May 20, 2022

TO: Advisory Committee on Plants and Animals

FROM: Povi Carisa-Abney
Wildlife Supervisor
Hyatt Regency Maui Resort and Spa
200 Nohea Kai Drive
Lahaina, Hawaii 96761

THROUGH: Noni Putnam
Land Vertebrate Specialist
Plant Quarantine Branch


I. Summary Description of the Request

**PQB NOTES:** The Plant Quarantine Branch (PQB) submittal for requests for import or possession permits, as revised, distinguishes information provided by the applicant from procedural information and advisory comment and evaluation presented by PQB. With the exception of PQB notes, hereafter “PQB NOTES,” the text shown below in Section II from page 2 through page 9 of the submittal was taken directly from the Hyatt Regency Maui Resort and Spa’s application and subsequent written communications provided by the applicant, Mrs. Povi Carisa-Abney. For instance, the statements beginning on page 7 regarding effects on the environment are the applicant’s statements in response to standard PQB questions and are not PQB’s statements. This approach for PQB submittals aims for greater applicant participation in presenting import requests in order to move these requests to the Board of Agriculture (Board) more quickly, while distinguishing applicant provided information from PQB information. The portion of the submittal prepared by PQB, including the Advisory Subcommittee Review and Proposed
Import Conditions is identified as Sections III and IV of the submittal, which starts at pages 9 and 12, respectively.

We have a request to review the following:


SHIPPERS: Holly Hunt & Kara Campbell, International Animal Exchange, Inc. 25600 Woodward Avenue, Suite 110, Royal Oak, Michigan 48067. Phone No.: (248) 545-4125.

IMPORTER: Povi Carisa-Abney, Wildlife Supervisor, Hyatt Regency Maui Resort and Spa, 200 Nohea Kai Drive, Lahaina, Hawaii 96761. Phone No.: (808) 250-1030. Fax No.: (808) 667-4717. (Refer to Appendix B for resume).

PQB NOTES: The PQB has previously approved Import Permits for Patricia Lonick, Hyatt Regency-Maui, on November 10, 1992 and December 1, 1992 to import Black-Footed Penguins, *Spheniscus demersus* and Magellanic Penguins, *Spheniscus magellanicus*. (Refer to Attachments 1 and 2).

CATEGORY: African Black-Footed Penguins, *Spheniscus demersus*, are on the List of Restricted Animals (Part B). Pursuant to Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR), Chapter 4-71, all species in the family Spheniscidae (*Spheniscus demersus*), may be imported into Hawaii for government use, or private and commercial use, including research, zoological parks, or aquaculture production.

II. Information Provided by the Applicant in Support of the Application

PROJECT: Currently, our facility can no longer produce viable offspring as they are too closely related. We are seeking to obtain 4 penguins of a different bloodline to ensure genetic vitality. We plan on registering all of our penguins to Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA) Species Survival Plan via their regional studbook, as they are one of the most reputable organizations and we have worked with them in the past. The purpose of the AZA Regional Studbook is to document the pedigree and entire demographic history of each animal within a managed population. These collective histories, compiled and maintained by an AZA Regional Studbook Keeper, are known as the population’s genetic and demographic identity and are valuable tools to track and manage each
individual as part of a single *ex situ* population. Refer to Attachment 3 for the AZA Species Survival Plan Program Handbook).

**PQB NOTES:** The Hyatt Regency Maui Resort and Spa has applied for a Captive-Bred Wildlife Registration, Endangered Species Act (ESA) from the United States Fish & Wildlife Service on 11-10-2021. If this request is approved, PQB would obtain any required licenses prior to the issuance of an import permit.

**OBJECTIVE:** We are also seeking to obtain 4 new penguins in order to comply with AZA’s minimum population standard recommendation of 10 penguins for an animal enclosure of our size. (Refer to Attachment 4 for the AZA Penguin (Spheniscidae) Care Manual). With the introduction of a new bloodline, it is our hope that our penguins will produce healthy offspring to continue the future of our colony. If we enter a situation of having surplus penguins, we will look to AZA to see if there is a need at other facilities for African Penguins, and follow all recommendations, rules and regulations regarding this species.

**PROCEDURE:** Our penguin habitat was designed to house 12-16 penguins and we now only have 6 penguins. Should we have surplus penguins, our habitat can be restructured to house up to 20 penguins. We have had a very successful colony of penguins at the Hyatt Regency Maui for 36 years. Our 3 “founding” penguins all lived past their expected lifespan and have produced 6 healthy offspring.

**DISCUSSION:**

1. **Person Responsible:** Povi Carisa-Abney, Wildlife Supervisor, Hyatt Regency Maui, 200 Nohea Drive, Lahaina Hawaii 96761. Phone No.: (808) 250-1030. Email Address: povi.carisa-abney@hyatt.com

   I am the wildlife supervisor at the Hyatt Regency Maui and have worked with animals for over 30 years, caring for these penguins over the last 3.5 years. I will use my experience with these animals and other experts in the field to provide these penguins with the best care possible. I am an active member of AZA and have connections at several zoos and aquariums that work with African Penguins. I work with a very skilled team of wildlife technicians, and an exotic animal’s veterinarian who provide amazing care to our penguins. They include: Rogelio Yasana, 12 years with African Penguins

   Lisa Braun, 1.5 years with African Penguins, 10 years animal experience Dr. Paul McCurty: Veterinarian (contracted). 16 years wildlife medicine/10 years as a vet.
2. **Safeguard Facility and Practices:** Povi Carisa-Abney, Wildlife Supervisor, Hyatt Regency Maui, 200 Nohea Drive, Lahaina Hawaii 96761. Phone No.: (808) 250-1030. Email Address: povi.carisa-abney@hyatt.com

(Refer to Attachment 5 for aerial map of facility).

The exact location of penguin habitat. Penguin habitat inside the Hyatt Regency Resort Maui (200 Nohea Drive, Lahaina, Hawaii 96761). I have provided a photo and a map of our penguin enclosure below. This habitat is safeguarded by a lava rock wall to keep penguins inside and to protect from elements. We have 24-hour security on property, and water features that monitor’s the penguins’ water quality. We also have a quarantine space inside for severe weather, training and minor medical procedures. Major illness/injuries would take place at South Maui Animal Clinic in Kihei, Maui. We follow AZA guidelines for penguin welfare and the Hawaii Department of Agriculture (HDOA) provides yearly inspections. (Refer to Attachment 4 for the AZA Penguin (Spheniscidae) Care Manual).

![Photograph 1: Depicts the Penguin enclosure.](image-url)
Our penguin habitat is located in the center of our main lobby. It is made up of a sand beach and walkway, a rock beach, hard plastic igloo-style penguin houses and a waterway with a plastic liner. We have plants growing in all the corners and trees that provide shade. The habitat is kept enclosed by a lava-rock wall and concrete bridge. (Refer to Attachment 6 for additional photographs). We have 3 occupied houses, 3 empty houses with space for at least 2 more homes, and each igloo typically houses 2 penguins.
3. **Method of Disposition:** We are looking to acquire penguins to keep our colony going for generations to come. We have no plans to end this project, but should our penguins have to be re-homed, we would contact AZA to find a suitable home for them. When a penguin dies, we send the body in for a necropsy to better understand the cause of death, then would dispose of the body through cremation or burial (as individual case would dictate).

We keep our penguins enclosed and they are monitored through our 24-hour security team on property. This is not a species that could establish a wild colony in Hawaii due to lack of food (they eat cold-water schooling fish not found in Hawaii).

4. **Abstract of Organisms:**


   b. They have no close relatives in Hawaii.

   c. The African penguin averages 2 ft. tall and weighs up to 8 lbs. Eggs are laid in pairs and both parents help incubate and feed offspring. After 2-4 years, the chicks will mature and lay their own eggs. Reproductively mature at 4-6, they typically live 10-15 years in the wild but longer in captivity. They tolerate extreme temperatures of 40-100 degrees, regulate heat well, and do well in our moderate climate.

   d. Their habitats require shelter, a dry substrate like sand, rock beaches, water and shade.

   e. Native range is South Africa.

   f. This is not a species that could establish a wild colony in Hawaii due to lack of this species’ food (they eat cold-water schooling fish not found in Hawaii).

   g. African Penguins have not established a viable population anywhere except Africa.

   h. African Penguins feed on cold water schooling fish like sardines, anchovies and capelin (not found in Hawaii). This species is not considered a pest or invasive as it does not inhabit anywhere except Africa.

   i. This species is not considered domesticated, nor is it cultivated for commercial purposes.
j. This species is declining in its natural environment, mainly due to overfishing, loss of habitat, global warming and human impact.

k. Illnesses and bacteria: salmonellosis, clostridiosis, and the polymicrobial contribution to penguin diphtheria, avian malaria and aspergillosis.

l. Not a threat to local wildlife through disease or parasites as they are in an enclosed area and contained water system.

We have a very successful colony of penguins at the Hyatt Regency Maui for 36 years. Our 3 “founding” penguins all lived past their expected lifespan and have produced 6 healthy offspring. We have come to a point where our penguins are too closely related to produce offspring of genetic vitality, and our current penguins will begin to age out of their healthiest reproductive years. Our enclosure can support the additional penguins and we feel it is important to contribute to this population of endangered species. We have an excellent support team, connections with several other zoos and aquariums, an active role in the AZA, a strong working relationship with our veterinarian, as well as the HDOA Quarantine department. It is our goal to provide all of our penguins with the best care and welfare possible, and to work with AZA and US Fish and Wildlife to help revive a declining species.

The last of our original penguins passed away this year at the age of 36, and the other two lived to 25 and 27. We are currently housing their 6 offspring.

- Number of years we have had African Penguins: 36
- Successful births in the last 5 years: 0
- Mortalities in the last 5 years: 1 mortality due to cancer/advanced age- 36 years old.

We will continue to give all of our penguins the best nutrition, habitat, medical care, enrichment, social opportunities and overall welfare to ensure our penguins live long, healthy lives.

5. Effects on the Environment:

There should be very little environmental impact regarding our penguins, as they are kept in a closed system. All water is treated and kept inside the enclosure, not dumped anywhere on property. All penguins waste and debris is disposed of
in sealed plastic garbage bags and placed in our dumpster. The penguins do not have any contact with animals or people outside the enclosure.

a. Our penguins do not have contact with any animals or people outside their enclosure. Our staff washes hands and/or uses proper PPEs before working with any other species on our property. Penguins in other zoo facilities have been affected by Avian Malaria, but that is a very low risk as we stock Mosquitofish (*Gambusia affinis*) in our habitat as a natural way to get rid of mosquitoes. Aspergillosis is considered one of the most common causes of respiratory disease in pet birds. It is caused by infection with a fungus of the genus *Aspergillus*. This can be avoided by keeping our penguin houses and enclosure clean, so we have implemented a daily cleaning schedule for our penguins.

b. There is very little risks regarding importing this species into Hawaii. We do not have any wild penguin colonies in Hawaii to be impacted. Our penguins never leave their enclosure, therefore do not pose any risks to endemic species, agricultural industries, natural resources, the economy and human/animal health risks. Nobody besides wildlife staff and our vet will be handling our penguins. Their food source is shipped in from the North Atlantic, and therefore harvesting their food will not impact any local species.

**Biosecurity:**

We have a 3-foot-tall rock wall that completely surrounds our penguin exhibit, as well as a locked gate and bridge over the water area. We have over 150 Avigilon security cameras, 24-hour staff to prevent escape or harassment of the animals. All penguins have their own weatherproof igloo houses to protect from the elements. Disease and pest exposure is minimal due to the design of the habitat, and that our penguins are not in contact with other animals or people outside their enclosure.

Our most athletic penguin can jump almost 1 foot into the air. The waterway is closed off by an underwater mesh netting under our bridge. All structures are kept away from the wall to prevent a penguin from using such item as a springboard. To my knowledge, in 36 years, we have never had a penguin escape or go missing from our enclosure. Our security team monitors all of our security cameras 24 hours a day as well as patrols the property 24 hours a day.

The Hyatt Maui has over 150 Avigilon security cameras installed across the lobby and around the property. Our security team patrols the lobby 18 times a day. We
have security, engineering, housekeeping and front desk personnel who are on duty 24 hours a day to help monitor. The Hyatt Regency Maui Resort & Spa has provided a Biosecurity Manual for their facility that is based on the National Zoo Biosecurity Manual/Guidelines. (Refer to Attachment 7 for the National Zoo Biosecurity Manual).

6. Alternatives:

We will not be seeking an alternate species, as we want them to be compatible with our existing penguins. If International Animal Exchange does not have African penguins available, we may look at other zoos and aquariums and will update you accordingly.

7. References: (Refer to Attachment 3 for the AZA Species Survival Plan Program Handbook and Attachment 4 for the AZA Penguin (Spheniscidae) Care Manual).

III. Advisory Subcommittee Review

This request was submitted to the Advisory Subcommittee on Land Vertebrates for their review and recommendations. Their recommendations and comments are as follows:

1. I recommend approval ___ / ___ disapproval to allow the importation of four (4) African Black-Footed Penguins, *Spheniscus demersus*, an animal on the List of Restricted Animals (Part B), by permit, for exhibition, by the Hyatt Regency Maui Resort and Spa.

   Dr. Allen Allison, Vice President/Assistant Director, Research and Scholarly Studies, Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum: Recommends approval.

   Comments: “Request is reasonable; environmental risk is extremely low and track record of maintaining a colony of penguins is impressive.”

   Dr. Isaac Maeda, DVM, State Veterinarian, HDOA-Animal Industry Division: Recommends approval.

   Comments: No comments.

   Mr. Tom May: No response.
Comments: “Birds are regulated under the AWA though no standards have been set as yet. There is currently a proposed rule published in the Federal Register on bird standards so in the future these animals will be regulated and inspected by USDA. **The estimated date that the final rule will be in place is February 2023.** The Hyatt will be required to have an active USDA license at that time. Although the standards are still being promulgated, the licensee would need to comply with all the standards, as the penguins habitat is in the middle of the lobby area, a suitable barrier from the public would be required thought the lava rock wall may be sufficient. It is likely an attendant would need to be present or in the near vicinity. The security team and 24/hour cameras the applicant uses is a proactive measure to protecting the animals. USDA APHIS recommends that the applicant go to our website and review the proposed bird rule and review all the associated materials.


Dr. Robert Reed, Deputy Director of the United States Geological Survey, Pacific Island Ecosystems Research Center, Hawaii Volcanoes National Park: Recommends approval.

Comments: **“My approval is conditional on the importer providing definitive evidence that the penguins are free from internal and external parasites.** I’ve attached two papers to my response demonstrating that penguins in general are known to harbor a wide range of blood parasites, and that S. demersus in particular is known to harbor subclinical avian malaria infections both in mainland zoos and collections and in wild populations in South Africa. Introducing a new strain of Plasmodium relictum (the avian malaria parasite) or a new species such as Plasmodium elongatum would greatly complicate current efforts by State of Hawai‘i and a wide range of partners to control avian malaria that is causing extinctions of native Hawaiian birds (these impacts would certainly end up costing many millions of dollars in order to develop and implement new mosquito control tools for these new parasites). Moreover, given the potential for infection with Babesia and Borrelia that are tick transmitted and because chewing lice can also transmit filarial nematodes, I recommend that the birds be screened and treated for ectoparasites. The hotel’s comment about controlling mosquitoes with Gambusia is not very credible unless they are able to provide evidence to support that claim. I consulted with Dr. Carter Atkinson, perhaps the best qualified expert in Hawai‘i for assessing this risk. Here’s his recommendation for testing and prophylactic treatment for the malarial parasites: [I would suggest multiple PCR tests (from at least three blood samples collected
several days apart) plus prophylactic treatment with chloroquine and primaquine to try to eliminate any cryptic blood or tissue stages of the parasites that don't show up by PCR. If the birds are originating from a screened facility with no prior reports of malaria, then that would be even better.] A bird-focused veterinarian could suggest a proven treatment regimen for external parasites.”

**PQB NOTES:** Refer to Attachments 8 and 9 for the published research papers provided for review by Dr. Reed demonstrating the known risks for this species. Dr. Maeda was consulted on the information presented.

2. I recommend approval ____ / ____ disapproval to update permit conditions for the importation of four (4) African Black-Footed Penguins, *Spheniscus demersus*, an animal on the List of Restricted Animals (Part B), by permit, for exhibition, by the Hyatt Regency Maui Resort and Spa.

Dr. Allen Allison, Vice President/Assistant Director, Research and Scholarly Studies, Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum: Recommends approval.

   Comments: “Permit conditions are reasonable.”

Dr. Isaac Maeda, DVM, State Veterinarian, HDOA-Animal Industry Division: Recommends approval.

   Comments: “Include negative test for Newcastle disease and avian influenza within 14 days of import as a requirement.”

Mr. Tom May: No response.

Dr. Carolyn McKinnie, DVM, Supervisory Veterinary Medical Officer, USDA, APHIS-Animal Care: Recommends approval.

   Comments: “From the information submitted, the facility has a good record of maintaining penguins in captivity. In reviewing this material from an Animal Welfare Act lens, it appears that the facility would meet the requirements using the AWA subpart F requirements. However, once the bird standards are in place, the facility would need to follow the AWA regulations and standards and have a valid license.”

Dr. Robert Reed, Deputy Director of the United States Geological Survey, Pacific Island Ecosystems Research Center, Hawaii Volcanoes National Park: Recommends approval.
Comments: “Approval is conditional on providing evidence that animals are free of parasites, as discussed above.”

IV. Proposed Import Permit Conditions

1. The restricted article(s), four (4) African Black-Footed Penguins, *Spheniscus demersus*, including progeny, shall be used for exhibition, a purpose approved by the Hawaii Department of Agriculture (HDOA), Board of Agriculture (Board), and shall not be given, sold, and/or transferred in Hawaii unless approved by the Board. Release of the restricted article(s) into the environment is prohibited.

2. The permittee, Povi Carisa-Abney, Hyatt Regency Maui Resort and Spa, 200 Nohea Drive, Lahaina, Hawaii 96761, shall be responsible and accountable for the restricted article(s) imported, including progeny, from the time of their arrival to their final disposition.

3. The restricted article(s), including progeny, shall be safeguarded at Hyatt Regency Maui Resort and Spa, 200 Nohea Drive, Lahaina, Hawaii 96761, a site inspected and approved by the Plant Quarantine Branch (PQB) prior to importation. Prior to the removal of the restricted article(s) or progeny to another site, a site inspection and approval by the PQB Chief is required.

4. The restricted article(s) shall be maintained by the responsible person, Povi Carisa-Abney, Hyatt Regency Maui Resort and Spa, 200 Nohea Drive, Lahaina, Hawaii 96761, or by trained or certified personnel designated by the permittee.

5. The restricted article(s) shall be imported only through the port of Honolulu, as approved by the Board. Entry into Hawaii through another port is prohibited.

6. The permittee shall provide the HDOA, PQB and Animal Industry Division (AID) with the confirmed arrival date, time, mode of transportation, and any other required information for the arrival of the restricted article(s) at least 48 hours prior to arrival. The permittee shall immediately notify the HDOA, PQB and AID of any changes to this information.

7. Each shipment shall be accompanied by a complete copy of the PQB permit for the restricted article(s) and an invoice, packing list, or other similar PQB approved document listing the scientific and common names of the restricted article(s), the quantity of the restricted article(s), the shipper, and the permittee for the restricted article(s).

8. The restricted article(s) and progeny shall be permanently marked with a unique identification code that is approved by the PQB Chief.
9. At least four sides of each parcel containing the restricted article(s) shall be clearly labeled with “Live Animals” and “This Parcel May be Opened and Delayed for Agriculture Inspection” in 1/2-inch minimum sized font.

10. Water used to transport the restricted article(s) shall be disinfected with a solution of 50 mg chlorine/L (50 ppm), for a duration of 30 minutes, then neutralized with sodium thiosulfate, another approved neutralizing agent, or by holding the solution for 48 hours, prior to disposal into an individual wastewater system, municipal sewer system or other PQB approved system.

11. All bedding used to transport the restricted article(s) and fecal material from the restricted article(s) shall be bagged and disposed of directly into the municipal landfill.

12. The restricted article(s), including progeny, shall comply with all pre-entry and post-entry animal health requirements of the AID, Chapter 4-28, Hawaii Administrative Rules, (Ph: (808) 837-8092).

   a. Imported restricted article(s) shall be accompanied by a Poultry and Bird Import Permit and a valid original health certificate issued by a Category II Accredited Veterinarian within ten (10) days prior to importation. The health certificate shall include a declaration indicating the restricted article(s) are free from diseases designated by the HDOA State Veterinarian, free of external parasites and a declaration indicating the restricted article(s) received a negative test for Newcastle disease and avian influenza within fourteen (14) days prior to importation.

   PQB NOTES: Condition No. 14(a.) was amended as a result of comments made by Dr. Maeda. He was consulted on the language of this condition as presented.

   b. Prior to importation, restricted article(s) shall be isolated at a veterinary clinic in a mosquito-free/proof enclosure for West Nile Virus under the direct supervision of a Category II Accredited Veterinarian. The isolation shall be a minimum of seven (7) days (168 hours), and the restricted article(s) shall enter the State within thirty-six (36) hours of completion of the isolation.

   PQB NOTES: Condition No. 14(b.) was inserted as a result of comments and correspondence that was made by Dr. Maeda. He was consulted on the language of this condition as presented.

   c. Upon arrival at the port of Honolulu, the restricted article(s) must be issued a permit to ship (form DC-8), by the HDOA State Veterinarian or authorized
representative, prior to transport to the approved inspection site, if movement is allowed prior to inspection.

13. The restricted article(s), including progeny, shall be subject to inspection by the HDOA, PQB, and the AID prior to entering the State. It is the responsibility of the permittee to provide any restraint(s), including chemical restraint(s), deemed necessary by the AID to conduct a proper inspection. The permittee shall be responsible for ensuring an inspection is conducted.

14. The approved site, restricted article(s), including progeny, records, and any other document pertaining to the restricted article(s) and progeny under this permit, may be subject to post-entry inspections by the HDOA, PQB, and the AID. The permittee shall make the site, restricted article(s), including progeny, and records pertaining to the restricted article(s) and progeny available for inspection upon request by a PQB inspector.

15. The permittee shall adhere to the use, facility, equipment, procedures, and safeguards described in the permit application and as approved by the PQB Chief and Board.

16. Effluent from the permittee’s system shall be sufficiently treated, as determined by the PQB Chief, to prevent the accidental release of any potential parasites and/or pathogens associated with the restricted article(s), prior to disposal into any individual wastewater system, municipal sewer system or other PQB approved system. Effluent from the permittee’s system shall not be discharged to or have a direct connection to the ocean or any other body of water, such as ponds, estuaries, reservoirs, rivers and/or streams.

17. The permittee shall have a biosecurity manual available for review and approval by the PQB, at the time of the initial site inspection and any subsequent post-entry inspection(s), which identifies the practices and procedures to be adhered to by the permittee to minimize or eliminate the risk of theft, escape, or accidental release of the restricted article(s), including the risk of introduction and spread of diseases and pests associated with the restricted article(s) to the environment. The permittee shall adhere to all practices and procedures as stated in this biosecurity manual.

18. The permittee shall immediately notify the PQB Chief verbally and in writing under the following circumstances:

a. If any escape, theft, release, disease outbreaks, pest emergence and/or mortality involving the restricted article(s) or progeny under this permit occurs. If the restricted article(s) or progeny escape or are found to be free from confinement, the HDOA may confiscate or capture the restricted
article(s) or progeny at the expense of the permittee, pursuant to the Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), §150A-7(c). The AID shall also be notified of any sign or occurrence of disease.

b. If any changes to the approved site, facility, and/or procedures regarding the restricted article(s) or progeny occur or are to be made, the permittee shall obtain written approval from the PQB Chief as soon as practicable (if unplanned) or prior to implementation (if planned). Also, the permittee shall submit a written report documenting the specific changes to the PQB Chief.

c. If a shipment of the restricted article(s) is delivered to the permittee without a PQB “Passed” stamp, tag or label affixed to the article, container, or delivery order that indicates that the shipment has passed inspection and is allowed entry into the State, then the permittee shall not open or tamper with the shipment and shall secure, as evidence, all restricted article(s), shipping container(s), shipping document(s) and packing material(s) for PQB inspection.

d. If the permittee will no longer import or possess the restricted article(s) or progeny authorized under this permit, then the permittee shall submit a written report to the PQB Chief stating the name and address of the individual to whom the restricted article(s) will be transferred to. If the restricted article(s) or progeny will be transferred within the State, a PQB possession permit shall be obtained by the new owner prior to transfer. Once the transfer is complete, this permit shall be cancelled.

e. If the restricted article(s) or progeny expires, the permittee shall submit a written report to the PQB Chief that details the circumstances surrounding the death of the restricted article(s) or progeny, the cause of death of the restricted article(s) or progeny, and any other information deemed necessary by the PQB Chief. The permittee shall also submit a necropsy report from a U.S. Department of Agriculture accredited veterinarian within thirty (30) days post-mortem.

19. The permittee shall submit a copy of all valid licenses, permits, certificates or other similar documents required by other agencies for the restricted article(s) to the PQB Chief. The permittee shall immediately notify the PQB Chief in writing when any of the required documents are suspended, revoked, or terminated. This permit may be amended, suspended, or canceled by the PQB Chief upon suspension, revocation, or termination of any license, permit, certificate, or similar documents required for the restricted article(s).

20. It is the responsibility of the permittee to comply with all applicable requirements
of municipal, state, or federal law pertaining to the restricted article(s) and progeny.

21. The permittee shall submit a semi-annual report to the PQB Chief in January and July of all restricted articles(s) or progeny imported or possessed. The report shall be in a format approved by the PQB Chief and include the following information for the prior 6-month period:

a. The permit number, quantity, scientific name of each restricted article(s) and progeny;

b. The status of the use and possession of the restricted article(s) and progeny;

c. A summary of any significant changes to the permittee’s operation, personnel, and/or procedures; and

d. Any significant events that occurred at the permittee’s site.

22. Any violation of the permit conditions may result in citation, permit cancelation, and enforcement of any or all of the penalties set forth in HRS §150A-14.

23. The permittee is responsible for costs, charges, or expenses incident to the inspection, treatment or destruction of the restricted article(s), as provided in Act 173, Session Laws of Hawaii 2010, Section 13, including, if applicable, charges for overtime wages, fixed charges for personnel services, and meals.

24. A canceled permit is invalid and upon written notification from the PQB Chief, all restricted article(s) listed on the permit shall not be imported. In the event of permit cancelation, any restricted article(s) imported under permit may be moved, seized, treated, quarantined, destroyed, or sent out of State at the discretion of the PQB Chief. Any expense or loss in connection therewith shall be borne by the permittee.

25. The permit conditions are subject to cancelation or amendment at any time due to changes in statute or administrative rules restricting or disallowing import of the restricted article(s) or due to Board action disallowing a previously permitted use of the restricted article(s).

26. These permit conditions are subject to amendment by the PQB Chief in the following circumstances:

a. To require disease screening, quarantine measures, and/or to place restrictions on the intrastate movement of the restricted article(s), as
appropriate, based on scientifically validated risks associated with the restricted article(s), as determined by the PQB Chief, to prevent the introduction or spread of disease(s) and/or pests associated with the restricted article(s).

b. To conform to more recent Board approved permit conditions for the restricted article(s), as necessary to address scientifically validated risks associated with the restricted article(s).

27. The permittee shall agree in advance to defend and indemnify the State of Hawaii, its officers, agents, employees and the Board of Agriculture members for any and all claims against the State of Hawaii, its officers, agents, employees or Board of Agriculture members that may arise from or be attributable to any of the restricted article(s) that are introduced under this permit. This permit condition shall not apply to a permittee that is a federal or State of Hawaii entity or employee, provided that the state or federal employee is a permittee in the employee’s official capacity.

**ADVISORY COMMITTEE REVIEW:** We request your recommendation and comments at the next meeting of the Advisory Committee on Plants and Animals.
PERMIT APPLICATION FOR
RESTRICTED COMMODITIES
INTO HAWAII

In accordance with the provision of Chapter 71, Hawaii Administrative Rules of the Division of Plant Industry, Department of Agriculture, a permit is requested for the following commodities:

Please type or print clearly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>ScientificName</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>African Black-Footed Penguins</td>
<td>Spheniscus demersus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Name and address of shipper: International Animal Exchange 25600 Woodward Ave #110, Royal Oak, MI 4806

Please type or print clearly.

Applicant's Name: Povi Carisa-Abney
Company Name: Hyatt Regency Maui Resort and Spa
Hawaii Mailing Address: 200 Nohea Kai Drive, Lahaina, Hawaii 96761
Telephone number: 808-250-1030
Facsimile number: 808-667-4717
Fee Amount Enclosed (cash, check or mail order) $ 550

Povi Carisa-Abney

(complete reverse side)
PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION (attach extra sheet if necessary)

1. State in detail the reasons for introduction (include use or purpose).
   We have a very successful colony of penguins at the Hyatt Regency Maui for 36 years. Our 3 founding penguins all lived past their expected lifespan and have produced 6 healthy offspring. We have come to a point where our penguins are too closely related to produce offspring of genetic vitality, and our current penguins will begin to age out of their healthiest reproductively years. Our enclosure can support the additional penguins and we feel it is important to contribute to this population of endangered species. We will provide all of our penguins with the best care and welfare possible, and to work with Dept. of Agriculture to help revive a declining species.

2. Person responsible for the organism (include name, address and phone number).
   Povi Carisa-Abney (Wildlife Supervisor at the Hyatt Regency Maui)
   37 Polohina Lane #8, Lahaina HI 96761
   (808) 250-1030
   povi.carisa-Abney@hyatt.com
   I have worked with animals for over 30 years and have cared for these penguins over 3 years. I will use my experience with these animals and other experts in the field to provide these penguins with the best care possible.

3. Location(s) where the organism will be kept and used (include address, contact and phone number).
   The penguins will be kept in the penguin habitat located in the center of our lobby at the Hyatt Regency Resort and Spa. 200 Nohea Drive, Lahaina Hawaii 96761. Povi Carisa-Abney (wildlife supervisor) will be contact person and can be reached at 808-250-1030 or by email at povi.carisa-Abney@hyatt.com

   We are looking to acquire penguins to keep our colony going for generations to come. We have no plans to end this project, but should our penguins have to be re-homed, we would contact AZA to find a suitable home for them. When a penguin dies, we send the body in for a necropsy to better understand the cause of death, then would dispose of the body through cremation or burial (as individual case would dictate).

5. Give an abstract of the organism with particular reference to potential impact on the environment of Hawaii (include impact to plants, animals and humans).
   a. Spheniscus demersus, African Black-FOoted Penguin
   b. The African penguin averages 2 ft. tall and weighs up to 8 lbs. Eggs are laid in pairs and both parents help incubate and feed offspring. After 2-4 years, the chicks will mature and lay their own eggs. Reproductively mature at 4-5, they typically live 10-15 years in the wild but longer in captivity. They tolerate extreme temperatures of 40-100 degrees, regulate heat well, and do well in our moderate climate.
   c. Their habitats require shelter, a dry substrate like sand, rock beaches, water and shade.
   d-k. Native range is South Africa, no colonies in Hawaii unlike to establish here or elsewhere due to lack of food (small schooling fish like sardines). Not a threat to local wildlife, through disease or parasites (enclosed area).

I request permission to import the articles as listed on the permit application and further, request that the articles be examined by an authorized agent of the Department of Agriculture upon arrival in Hawaii.

I agree that I, as the importer, will be responsible for all costs, charges or expenses incident to the inspection or treatment of the imported articles.

I further agree that damages or losses incident to the inspection or the fumigation, disinfection, quarantine, or destruction of the articles, by an authorized agent of the Department of Agriculture, shall not be the basis of a claim against the department or the inspectors for the damage or loss incurred.

Signature  Povi Carisa-Abney  Date 10-8-2021
(Applicant)
Education: Southern Oregon University. Ashland, OR. Bachelor of Science Degree in Psychology. Graduated with Honors.

Certifications:
*Professional Level Member AZA
*USCG License- 100 Ton Master Boat Captain
*NAUI Master Scuba Diver
*Red Cross Lifeguarding, CPR and 1st Aid Certifications
*Hyatt Regency and Ritz Carleton Hospitality Training Course
*Pacific Whale Foundation Marine Naturalist Training Courses

Work Experience:

Hyatt Regency- Wildlife Supervisor
*Supervisor. Overseas all aspects of animal welfare and wildlife staff. Leads guest encounters, talks and public feedings animals on property. Care and feeding of penguins, parrots, cranes, flamingos, swans and exotic ducks. My focus is to help our guests create amazing experiences and nurture a love of wildlife.

Ritz-Carlton- Ambassadors of the Environment
*Naturalist Leading ecology focused tours to guests at the Ritz Carleton, including hikes, snorkels, whalwatches and kids programs. My position requires extensive knowledge of Hawaiian history, culture, plants and animals, as well as guest interaction, photography and computer skills.

Ultimate Rafting/Ultimate Whale Watching
*Public Relations/Naturalist As a naturalist I provide interactive talks on humpback whales and other marine species, as well as guide snorkel trips for onboard guests.

Pacific Whale Foundation
*Naturalist/1st Mate/ Cruise Ship Coordinator: Managing boat operations on a 149 passenger boat. Creating Powerpoint presentations and managing guest lecturers on board visiting cruise ships. Providing interactive talks on humpback whales and other marine species, as well as leading snorkel trips to onboard passengers.

Dolphin Research Center
*Animal Care and Training Intern: Assisted trainers in modifying dolphin and sea lion behaviors, as well as serving as a medical back-up for veterinary care. This position allowed me the opportunity to work with the Marine Mammal Stranding Network for the Florida Keys in the rescue and rehabilitation of injured and stranded animals.

References:
Alex Bonilla
Hyatt Engineering Manager

Ken Keidan
Hyatt Engineering Supervisor

Krystle Alcain
Hyatt Marketing Manager
State of Hawaii  
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
Plant Quarantine Branch  
701 Iiha Street  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813-5524

IMPORT PERMIT
(Valid for ___ shipment(s) within ___ year(s) from date)

Permit No. 11-93-M-7397  
Date Nov. 10, 1992

Permission is hereby granted to introduce the following, in accordance with Chapter 71 Rules of the Division of Plant Industry, Department of Agriculture, and the conditions listed below. (Each lot must be inspected by a Plant Quarantine Inspector upon arrival before release.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Black-Footed Penguins</td>
<td>Spheniscus demersus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See attached condition

Conditions: Must be certified in accordance with Reg. It attached and must be certified as to progeny of captive populations or have been held in captivity for a period of one year immediately prior to importation or have been specifically approved for importation by the board.

(NO SUBSTITUTIONS ALLOWED)

Chap. 19

INSTRUCTION To Shipper: One copy of permit to accompany shipment to Hawaii.

Conditions or Object of Importation:
- ☑ To be kept in captivity at all times. (Caged)
- ☐ For propagation
- ☐ Other

Conditions: It is the responsibility of the named importer to personally contact the Federal Government as to their requirements which are contingent to this permit.

Name and Address of Shipper: International Animal Exchange, 130 E. Nine Mile, Ferndale, MI 48220

Name and Address of Importer: Hyatt Regency-Maui, Patricia Lonick, 200 Nohea Kai Dr., Lahaina, HI 96761  
Phone: 661-1234

Chief Plant Inspector: 
Chairperson, Board of Agriculture

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

PORT ARIVAL DATE FLIGHT/SHIP
WAYBILL NO. INSPECTION DATE/TIME INSPECTOR

REMARKS
Conditions applicable to birds imported for Display:

1. Each lot of birds shall be inspected by a State Veterinarian upon arrival and all dead birds shall be returned to the Department of Agriculture for necropsy. The owner shall keep a record of all introduced birds and progenies for the inspection of State officials.

2. The following birds shall be pinioned:

   Flamingoes
   Swans
   Spoonbills
   Ducks
   Ibis
   Geese
   Cranes

All birds shall be certified by a veterinarian as pinioned and subject to inspection upon arrival by a State Veterinarian.

3. All birds for exhibition out of cages shall be pinioned prior to entry into Hawaii.

4. Birds shall meet all Federal requirements.

5. Inspection of birds may be made at any time by representatives of the Division of Animal Industry, Hawaii Department of Agriculture. Birds shall be dusted with an approved pesticide on entry into the State to prevent the introduction of ectoparasites, or certified by a veterinarian as being ectoparasite-free.

6. Must be enclosed in fenced area.

7. Post entry inspection by Plant Quarantine staff.

8. All progenies must be pinioned and certified by a veterinarian.
State of Hawaii  
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
Plant Quarantine Branch  
701 Ilalo Street  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813-5524

IMPORT PERMIT

(Valid for ___ shipment(s) within ___ year(s) from date)

Permission is hereby granted to introduce the following, in accordance with Chapter 71, Rules of the Division of Plant Industry, Department of Agriculture, and the conditions listed below. (Each lot must be inspected by a Plant Quarantine Inspector upon arrival before release.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Magellanic penguins</td>
<td>Spheniscus magellanicus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conditions: Must be certified in accordance with Reg. 11 attached and must be certified as a progeny of captive populations or have been held in captivity for a period of one year immediately prior to inspection or have been specifically approved for importation by the board.

In this permit subject to cancellation due to changes in requirements and policy at any time.

(NO SUBSTITUTIONS ALLOWED)

INSTRUCTION To Shipper: One copy of permit to accompany shipment to Hawaii.

Conditions or Object of Importation:
☐ To be kept in captivity at all times.
☐ For propagation
☐ Other

Name and Address of Shipper: International Animal Exchange, 130 East Nine Mile, Ferndale, MI 48220

Name and Address of Importer: Hyatt Regency Maui/Patricia Lonick, 200 Nohea Kai Drive, Lahaina, MI 96761

Phone: 661-1234

[Signatures]

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

PORT   ARRIVAL DATE   FLIGHT/SHIP

WAYBILL NO.   INSPECTION DATE/TIME   INSPECTOR
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Chapter 1. Introduction

Mission Statement

The mission of an Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA) cooperatively managed Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Program is to manage an ex situ species population with the interest and cooperation of AZA-accredited zoos and aquariums, Sustainability Partners, and Certified Related Facilities (CRFs). An AZA SSP Program is identified through documented demand and potential sustainability within the AZA community; is selected by Taxon Advisory Groups (TAGs) through the RCP process; and develops a Breeding and Transfer Plan that identifies population goals and recommendations to manage a genetically diverse, demographically varied, and biologically sound population. Success is achieved when SSP animals are available to meet program goals and come from biologically sound populations as a result of a shared commitment to cooperative populations and program management.

Description

SSP Programs are led by expert advisors who cooperatively work together to maximize genetic diversity, appropriately manage the demographic distribution and long-term sustainability of TAG recommended Animal Programs within AZA member facilities. Each SSP Program manages the breeding of a select species or sub-species through a Breeding and Transfer Plan (previously referred to as a Master Plan). Breeding and Transfer Plans summarize the current demographic and genetic status of the population, describe the Animal Program’s management designation, and recommend breeding pairs and transfers. Breeding and Transfer Plans are designed to maintain a healthy, genetically diverse and demographically stable population for the long-term future.

The AZA and its member facilities recognize that cooperative management is critical to the long-term survival of professionally managed Animal Programs and are fully committed to the goals and cooperative spirit of the SSP Program partnerships. Therefore, all AZA member facilities are required to fully participate in Green SSP Programs and their associated processes (see the AZA Policy for Full Participation in the SSP Program, Appendix A, and the AZA Animal Management Reconciliation Policy, Appendix B). Full participation in Yellow and Red SSP Programs is voluntary; however, cooperation among AZA facilities is strongly encouraged.

The AZA Animal Programs, along with the Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee), must assure that the appropriate AZA Board approved policies are followed in all aspects of Animal Program management. All AZA member facilities and Animal Programs, regardless of management designation, must adhere to the AZA Policy on Responsible Population Management [formerly the Acquisition and Disposition (A&D)] Policy, the AZA Code of Professional Ethics, and the Sustainability Partner policies. All Board approved policies are found on the AZA website (https://www.aza.org/board-approved-policies-and-position-statements).

AZA Animal Programs

All AZA Animal Programs that have a published AZA Studbook, at least three defined goals, a minimum population size of 20 individuals, and are managed among three or more AZA member facilities are designated, in their TAG’s Regional Collection Plan (RCP), and on the AZA website, as an SSP Program.

Animal Programs that have a published AZA Studbook, at least 3 defined goals and are designated as Extinct in the Wild, Critically Endangered, or Endangered (IUCN or other government agency) are not required to meet the minimum population size or number of participating facilities criteria in order to be designated by the TAG as an SSP Program. Whether the SSP Program is designated as Green, Yellow, or Red is dependent on the Animal Program’s Sustainability Criteria (e.g., current population size, number of participating facilities, and projected gene diversity). The TAG may designate Animal Programs that do not qualify to be SSP Programs as Candidate Programs as long as the TAG has the goal of growing the Candidate Program to SSP status.
SSP Programs

Animal Programs designated as Green SSP Programs manage populations that are the most sustainable over time. Green SSP Program designations are made if Animal Programs:

- have a published AZA Regional Studbook,
- have at least 3 defined goals,
- are managed among at least three AZA member facilities,
- have a population that is able to retain >90.0% GD for 100+ years or 10+ generations, and
- have a population that is presently sustainable demographically with a sufficiently large population size and a positive growth rate to reach 100 years or 10 generations.

Animal Programs designated as Yellow SSP Programs manage populations that are potentially sustainable but require additional attention and effort to increase their sustainability. Factors such as reduced husbandry and breeding expertise/predictability, limited number of individuals, space, or founders, and/or poor demographics may prevent the Animal Program from achieving the Green SSP Program designation. Yellow SSP Program designations are made if Animal Programs:

- have a published AZA Regional Studbook,
- have at least 3 defined goals,
- have a population size equal to or greater than 50 individuals,
- are managed among at least three AZA member facilities, and
- have a population that is not able to retain at least 90.0% GD over for 100+ years or 10+ generations, or have a population that has never been formally planned, or was planned more than 5 years ago, so that the population’s projected gene diversity cannot be properly assessed.

Animal Programs designated as Red SSP Programs manage populations that are currently unsustainable and in critical need of start-up efforts (e.g., importations) to help them increase their sustainability. This designation may change to a Yellow or Green SSP Program as sustainability increases. Red SSP designations are made if Animal Programs:

- have a published AZA Regional Studbook,
- have at least 3 defined goals,
- have a population size between 20 and 49 individuals, and
- are managed among at least three AZA member facilities.

Animal Programs that manage species designated as Extinct in the Wild, Critically Endangered, or Endangered (IUCN) do not need to meet minimum population size and number of participating facility criteria to be designated as an SSP Program. These SSP Programs only need to have a published AZA Regional Studbook and three defined goals.

More information on the designation and management of SSP Programs is in Chapter 3: SSP Program Management.
Chapter 2. Organization

Animal Program Overview

AZA Board of Directors

AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences

AZA

Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee)

Taxon Advisory Group (TAG)

TAG Officers
- Chair
- Vice Chair
- Secretary
- Treasurer (if appropriate)

TAG Steering Committee

Liaisons / Representatives
- APM Committee Liaison
- Institutional Representatives

Advisors
- Conservation Advisors
- Education Advisors
- Population Advisors
- Research Advisors
- Scientific Advisors
- Technical Advisors

Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Programs

SSP Coordinator
- SSP Vice –Coordinator (Recommended)
- SSP Management Group (If desired)

Studbooks
- Studbook Keepers

Candidate Programs
- Candidate Program Leader
SSP Program Structure

All SSP Programs are composed of an **SSP Coordinator**, Vice Coordinator (recommended), a **Management Group** (if preferred), and Advisors (if preferred) to assure that a significant amount of experience and diversity are represented. Required **Officer** positions include the SSP Coordinator and an AZA Regional **Studbook Keeper**. Ideally the SSP Coordinator or SSP Vice Coordinator is also the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper; however this is not a requirement. In some cases the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper may also act as the International Studbook Keeper. The SSP Program may also include a Secretary and, if any financial components are incorporated into the SSP Program, a Treasurer. The SSP Coordinator or Vice Coordinator may fulfill the role of Secretary or Treasurer, if necessary. It is not permissible for an SSP Program to have more than one Coordinator (Co-Coordinators) or Vice Coordinator (Co-Vice Coordinators). An SSP Coordinator is not required to be the **Institutional Representative (IR)** for their facility.

An SSP Program should include a Management Group if the SSP Program would benefit from the additional structure and administrative support. The Management Group must be composed of, and elected from, the SSP Program’s Institutional Representatives (IRs). In addition, each SSP Program may be complimented by Advisors, who are not required to be affiliated with an AZA facility but are able to serve as experts in various fields such as nutrition, behavior, education, and field conservation, and may be members of an associated AZA **Scientific Advisory Group (SAG)**. SSP Programs are encouraged to be creative in composing their Management Groups depending on the specific needs of their species.

**Officer Positions**

**SSP Coordinator**

**Position Overview**

The SSP Coordinator is elected by their TAG’s **Steering Committee** (if the TAG has a current, approved RCP), or by the APM Committee (if the TAG does not have a current, approved RCP). An SSP Coordinator performs various duties to lead and support the AZA SSP Program. The SSP Coordinator works with IRs, the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper, the TAG, the APM Committee, and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department, as well any associated governmental agencies, to develop, oversee, promote, and support the cooperative animal management, research, husbandry, and educational initiatives of the SSP Program. The primary responsibility of the SSP Coordinator is to regularly complete and distribute an SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan for the managed population.

Additional responsibilities include leadership and organization of the SSP Program in building and appropriately managing a sustainable population, and communication of recommendations and guidelines to the appropriate stakeholders. The SSP Coordinator serves as the primary contact and AZA expert for their species and abides by the duties and responsibilities set forth by the AZA, APM Committee, and the TAG.

**Eligibility Requirements**

The SSP Coordinator **must**:

- As of January 1, 2011, be a paid employee at an AZA member facility (AZA-accredited facility, Certified Related Facility, Society Partner, and Conservation Partner.) SSP Coordinators appointed prior to this date who were not employed at an AZA member facility are granted a personal variance as long as their existing circumstance remains in effect. When such individuals change circumstances they must resign as SSP Coordinator or gain employment at an AZA member facility within 6 months.
  - The term “paid” refers to hourly or salaried. The intent of this requirement is to assure that each Program Leader is fully integrated with his/her facility, serves a vital and consistent role within the facility that is outside of their role as a Program Leader, and has the facility’s full support to serve as a Program Leader. Payment of a minimal amount to a Program Leader who is no longer integrated with their facility outside of their role as Program Leader does not fulfill this eligibility requirement.
• Have an individual AZA membership.
• Be well versed in the biology and behavior of the species covered by the SSP Program.
• Indicate any prior population management experience (i.e., completing AZA’s Population Management 1 and/or 2 professional development courses, participation in a formal population planning meeting, prior Program Leader experience), as this is preferred.
• Uphold SSP business confidentiality.
• Be proficient in utilizing AZA web resources and the internet, and have email access.
• Have strong skills in organization, communication, facilitation, conflict resolution, and in establishing and maintaining effective working relationships with diverse groups of individuals.
• Provide a Statement of Commitment included in the application (Appendix C).

Essential Position Functions
Population Planning

• If the SSP Coordinator is the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper (or International Studbook Keeper in cases where a separate AZA Regional Studbook does not exist), s/he must:
  o Publish the AZA Regional component of the Studbook within 1 year of approval as SSP Coordinator/Studbook Keeper.
  o Coordinate development and publication of an SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan with the Population Management Center (PMC), a PMC Adjunct, or an approved Small Population Management Advisory Group (SPMAG) Advisor.
  o If an SSP Coordinator is elected during the 3 year period of a current Breeding and Transfer Plan, the next Breeding and Transfer Plan will not be required until its scheduled due date, or as soon as possible after completing a planning session with the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or SPMAG Advisor.
  o If an SSP Coordinator is elected past the 3 year period of the previous Breeding and Transfer Plan, a planning meeting date must be scheduled within 1 year of becoming SSP Coordinator. Publication of the Breeding and Transfer Plan will be due within 18 months of becoming SSP Coordinator.
  o Publish a complete Breeding and Transfer Plan with the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or an Approved SPMAG member at least every 3 years after initial Breeding and Transfer Plan publication.

• If the SSP Coordinator is not the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper, s/he must:
  o If the AZA Regional Studbook is current, work with the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper and the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or an approved SPMAG Advisor to publish an SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan as soon as possible after completing a planning session with the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or SPMAG Advisor.
  o If the AZA Regional Studbook is not current, work with the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper to submit an up-to-date AZA Regional Studbook to the PMC within 1 year of approval as SSP Coordinator.
    ▪ If it is a new AZA Regional Studbook and the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper does not meet the deadline within the 1 year period, then the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper can request an extension from the TAG, or if the TAG does not have a current, approved RCP, from the APM Committee Vice Chair of SSPs & Studbooks.
  o Coordinate development and publication of an SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan with the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or an approved SPMAG Advisor as soon as possible after completing a planning session with the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or SPMAG Advisor after the publication of an initial AZA Regional Studbook.
  o If an SSP Coordinator is elected during the 3 year period of a current Breeding and Transfer Plan, the next Breeding and Transfer Plan will not be required until its scheduled due date, or as soon as possible after completing a planning session with the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or SPMAG Advisor.
  o If an SSP Coordinator is elected past the 3 year period of the previous Breeding and Transfer Plan, a planning meeting date must be scheduled within 1 year of becoming SSP Coordinator.
Coordinator. Publication of the Breeding and Transfer Plan will be due within 18 months of becoming SSP Coordinator.

- Publish a complete Breeding and Transfer Plan with the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or an Approved SPMAG member at least every 3 years after initial Breeding and Transfer Plan publication.
- Communicate and collaborate with species managers from other zoological regions on this SSP Program as needed for population sustainability.
- Assure that the roles and goals of SSP Programs that are a part of a GSMP, or other formal international program, are well defined, and that participating facilities are aware of these roles.
- If the SSP Program is part of a GSMP or other formal inter-regional program, the SSP Program must coordinate with the TAG to determine whether the GSMP breeding and transfer information is sufficient for population management use among AZA facilities and therefore the publication of a separate SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan is not necessary.
  - If the GSMP breeding and transfer information is published in place of an SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan it must be published at least every 3 years, unless it is approved for a different time frame due to the species’ natural history and/or WAZA accountability.
  - If the GSMP breeding and transfer information is published independently from the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan, then the BTP must be published at least every 3 years and the GSMP will be required upon publishing at WAZA's accountability.
- Communicate any SSP Program data updates to the TAG Chair for inclusion in the TAG’s Animal Program Summary Table (See TAG Handbook).
- Contribute to the SSP Sustainability Report and assure that all information in the report is current and complete.
- Work with the TAG Chair to assure that all goals in the SSP Sustainability Report are aligned with the TAG’s RCP and TAG Annual Report.
- Copy the TAG Chair on all proposed changes to the SSP Sustainability Report.
- Communicate any SSP Program changes to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.

Program Oversight

- Consult with the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or an approved SPMAG Advisor for genetic or demographic management questions, and to produce a Breeding and Transfer Plan (see Appendix F).
- Review the SSP’s IR list on a regular basis. Contact ILs for those facilities that do not have a designated IR or when there is a discrepancy between the SSP’s IR designation list and the IR designation list on the AZA website. IR lists can be downloaded on the SSP’s Program page.
  - Communicate to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department any IRs that should be assigned to SSP Programs for Sustainability Partners.
- Assure that all SSP Program participants have an opportunity to communicate their wants and needs in the planning process.
- Assess and address the wants and needs of AZA member facilities.
- Assure that all Sustainability Partners in all SSP Programs have been reviewed and approved by the TAG Chair and the APM Committee (Appendix G).
- Work with animal owners to assure that all SSP Program animals are relocated to an AZA member facility, or designated as nonessential to the population’s demographic and/or genetic goals, within 2 years if a participating facility loses AZA accreditation and is not eligible to apply to be a Sustainability Partner, or chooses not to apply to be a Sustainability Partner.
- For the following qualifying event, the SSP Coordinator extends an invitation to a potential Sustainability Partner if the SSP wishes to include the facility in the Program and the facility is likely to pass the specific criteria in the Sustainability Partner application:
  - A facility participating in an SSP was formerly AZA-accredited, but is no longer accredited.
  - The SSP Coordinator should consult Appendix G: Sustainability Partner Policy & Application. The deadline to address Sustainability Partners will be 2 years from the change in facility accreditation OR approximately six months prior to the next SSP
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Breeding and Transfer Plan publication, whichever comes first. If the non-AZA facility is not approved as a Sustainability Partner during that time, the facility will be excluded from the SSP.

- Respond to surveys and requests for information from the **AZA Reproductive Management Center (RMC)**, as well as facilitate communication between the RMC and IRs when needed.
- Document issues related to IR or institutional accountability with respect to commenting on Draft and Final Breeding and Transfer Plans, and completing wants and needs surveys. A chart noting the institutional accountability should be included in the Breeding and Transfer Plan. In addition, the SSP Coordinator should make the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department and the APM Committee Chair aware of repeated events of poor IR or institutional accountability.
- Maintain detailed records, including institutional name, contact information, and Species360 mnemonics for each non-AZA member participating in SSP Programs.
- Immediately communicate any violations in AZA’s SSP Sustainability Partner Policy to the TAG Chair, the APM Committee Vice Chair of Partnerships, the APM Committee Vice Chair of SSPs & Studbooks, and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.
- Attempt to reconcile any disagreements surrounding SSP recommendations through effective communication. Program Leaders should utilize their TAG Chairs, other Program Leaders, and the APM Committee to assist if needed. For Green SSP Programs, if disagreements cannot be reconciled, the SSP Coordinator must document the issue, communicate with the TAG Chair, and follow the AZA Animal Management Reconciliation Policy (Appendix B).
- Apply for an AZA SSP Sustainability Award if there has been a significant increase in the SSP population's sustainability.

**Administration**

- Develop an appropriate Management Group if necessary, and oversee the fulfillment of Management Group responsibilities.
  - If the SSP Program no longer requires an existing Management Group, the SSP Coordinator must explain the reasoning with the TAG Chair, and the TAG Steering Committee must approve the removal of the Management Group in its entirety.
- Assure that all Officers and Management Group members update their personal information to the TAG Chair and on the AZA website.
- Send copies of all significant SSP Program documents to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department and TAG Chair.
- Respond to inquiries from the AZA office in a timely manner.
- Maintain regular contact with and respond in a timely fashion to inquiries/questions/concerns from SSP Program members.
- Assure that the SSP Program adheres to the AZA Communications Policy (Appendix M).
- Support the AZA Animal Welfare Committee with the development and updating of **Animal Care Manuals (ACMs)**.
- Support the AZA Ambassador Animal Scientific Advisory Group with the development and updating of **Ambassador Animal Guidelines (AAGs)**.
- Work closely with the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department if the SSP Program species is selected as an AZA SAFE species.
- Understand that Program Leaders are not responsible for providing engineering advice or letters of endorsement to facilities designing new exhibits for your Animal Program species. Rather, it is the responsibility of those who are developing new exhibit designs to approach a range of AZA member facilities to learn about various specifications or sources regarding the species to be exhibited.

The SSP Coordinator is encouraged to:

- Elect a Vice Coordinator. If no one within the Management Group or the SSP Program’s IRs applies for this position then the SSP Coordinator may appoint an interested party from their
facility. As there may only be one vote per facility, the Vice Coordinator would not have an official vote in SSP Program elections and issues.

- Actively advocate and develop sustained interest on the part of member facilities to participate in the SSP Program and build a sustainable population.
- Arrange at least one working SSP Program meeting each year, either in person or electronically through tele- or web-conferencing. If this meeting is in person, the SSP Program is encouraged to hold the meeting in conjunction with the AZA Annual Conference and/or Mid-Year Meeting. Provide minutes from these meetings to the TAG.
- Provide routine SSP Program updates to IRs.
- Serve on or as an Advisor to the appropriate TAG and attend relevant meetings.
- Maintain contact with counterparts in other regional associations to facilitate inter-regional cooperation, if applicable.
- Engage with the appropriate International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Species Survival Commission (SSC) Specialist Group and other relevant organizations, if applicable.
- Delegate responsibilities to the SSP Vice Coordinator and Management Group, as appropriate.
- Complete the AZA Professional Development Courses “Population Management 1 (PM1): Data Acquisition and Processing” and “Population Management 2 (PM2): Data Analysis and Breeding Recommendations.”
- Review relevant AZA Online Training Modules (https://www.aza.org/online-training-modules/).

Vice Coordinator

Position Overview
The Vice Coordinator is a recommended role for SSP Programs and is elected from the Management Group or IRs through a publicly disclosed, democratic process. If no one within the Management Group or the SSP Program’s IRs applies for this position then the SSP Coordinator may appoint an interested party from their facility. The Vice Coordinator’s specific duties will be outlined by each SSP Program, but the primary role of the SSP Vice Coordinator is to assume leadership of the SSP Program should the SSP Coordinator be unavailable. It is presumed that if, for any reason, the SSP Coordinator must vacate the position, the SSP Vice Coordinator will assume all SSP Coordinator duties until a new SSP Coordinator is elected. Vice Coordinators are not automatically appointed as the SSP Coordinator upon an SSP Coordinator vacancy. Only one official Vice Coordinator may be appointed to the SSP; however, the SSP Program may design its operating structure as best it sees fit.

Eligibility Requirements
The SSP Vice Coordinator must:
- As of January 1, 2011, be a paid employee at an AZA member facility (AZA-accredited facility, Certified Related Facility, Society Partner, and Conservation Partner.) SSP Vice Coordinators appointed prior to this date who were not employed at an AZA member facility are granted a personal variance as long as their existing circumstance remains in effect. When such individuals change circumstances they must resign as Vice Coordinator or gain employment at an AZA member facility within 6 months.
- Have an individual AZA membership.
- Be well versed in the biology and behavior of the species covered by the SSP Program.
- Uphold SSP business confidentiality.
- Have proficiency in utilizing AZA web resources and the internet, and have email access.
- Have strong skills in organization, communication, facilitation, conflict resolution, and in establishing and maintaining effective working relationships with diverse groups of individuals.
- Provide a Statement of Individual Commitment (Appendix E).
- Provide a Statement of Institutional Support from their employer (Appendix E).

Essential Position Functions
- Assume all Coordinator duties if Coordinator is unavailable, or the Coordinator position is vacant.
• Attend all TAG and SSP Program meetings, whenever possible.
• Respond to inquiries from the IRs, TAG, and AZA office in a timely manner.
• Assist the SSP Coordinator in supporting the SSP Program and building a sustainable population.
• Assist the Coordinator with filling the Secretary and Treasurer (if applicable) positions if vacant.

**Secretary**

**Position Overview**

If the SSP Program determines that a Secretary is needed to record and manage the SSP Program’s details, the Secretary is elected from the IRs through a publicly disclosed, democratic process. The SSP Coordinator or Vice Coordinator may fulfill the role of Secretary, if necessary. In the event that a Secretary cannot be identified from within the Management Group membership, an IR may be appointed Secretary, but will not be allowed to vote as a Management Group member. The Secretary keeps a written record of the SSP Program’s elections, votes and formal meetings, and communicates these records, and any programmatic changes to the TAG, the APM Committee, and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.

**Eligibility Requirements**

The Secretary must:

• As of January 1, 2011, be a paid employee at an AZA member facility (AZA-accredited facility, Certified Related Facility, Society Partner, and Conservation Partner.) Secretaries appointed prior to this date who were not employed at an AZA member facility are granted a personal variance as long as their existing circumstance remains in effect. When such individuals change circumstances they must resign as Secretary or gain employment at an AZA member facility within 6 months.
• Have an individual AZA membership.
• Uphold SSP business confidentiality.
• Have proficiency in word processing and spreadsheet programs, utilizing AZA web resources, and have email access.
• Have strong skills in organization, communication, and in establishing and maintaining effective working relationships with diverse groups of individuals.
• Provide a statement of individual commitment (Appendix E).
• Provide a statement of institutional support from their employer (Appendix E).

**Essential Position Functions**

• Attend all TAG and SSP Program meetings, when possible.
• Respond to inquiries from the IRs, TAG, and AZA office in a timely manner.
• Post all issues held to a vote within the SSP Program on the SSP’s listserv, SSP Network Group, AZA website, etc.
• Distribute all Officer nominee applications to the Management Group, or if there is no Management Group, to the IRs.
• Oversee and mediate all components of issues and elections held to a vote within the SSP Program, including the issue and/or election, the voting record, and the outcome.
• Record and archive the results of all issues held to a vote within the SSP Program and submit them to the SSP Coordinator.
• Record, report, and archive IR responses to SSP related requests.
• Record, document, and **AZA brand** all SSP Program business (e.g., Action Plans, etc.) and submit these to the SSP Coordinator.
• Record, archive, AZA brand, and submit minutes from significant SSP Program meetings to the SSP Coordinator.
• Keep all application materials, statements of individual support, etc. on file.
• Communicate all programmatic changes (e.g., Program Leader, Officer, Management Committee member, Advisor, SSP Program designation, etc.) for the SSP Program to the TAG Chair and AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.
Treasurer
Position Overview
If any financial components are incorporated into the SSP Program, a Treasurer should be elected from the Management Group through a publicly disclosed, democratic process. The SSP Coordinator or Vice Coordinator may fulfill the role of Treasurer, if necessary. In the event that a Treasurer cannot be identified from within the Management Group membership, an IR may be elected Treasurer but will not be allowed to vote as a Management Group member. Treasurers collect, disperse, and archive written records of all financial transactions. Treasurers also coordinate and manage AZA designated fund accounts.

Eligibility Requirements
The Treasurer must:
• As of January 1, 2011, be a paid employee at an AZA member facility (AZA-accredited facility, Certified Related Facility, Society Partner, and Conservation Partner.) Treasurers appointed prior to this date who were not employed at an AZA member facility are granted a personal variance as long as their existing circumstance remains in effect. When such individuals change circumstances they must resign as Treasurer or gain employment at an AZA member facility within 6 months.
• Have an individual AZA membership.
• Uphold SSP business confidentiality.
• Have proficiency in word processing and spreadsheet programs, and have email access.
• Have strong skills in organization, communication, and in establishing and maintaining effective working relationships with diverse groups of individuals.
• Provide a Statement of Individual Commitment (Appendix E).
• Provide a Statement of Institutional Support from their employer (Appendix E).

Essential Position Functions
• Apply for and manage the SSP Program’s Dedicated Fund in compliance with “AZA’s Management Guidelines for AZA Conservation Program Dedicated Funds” (www.aza.org/dedicated-funds), if appropriate.
• Respond to inquiries from the TAG and AZA office in a timely manner.
• Attend all TAG and SSP Program meetings, when possible.

Management Group
Overview
At a minimum, an SSP Management Group is composed of the Coordinator, Vice Coordinator (recommended), and AZA Regional Studbook Keeper. The SSP Program may find it useful to create a larger Management Group to assist in sharing the SSP Program’s workload and to allow the AZA member facilities greater input into the SSP Program’s management. The Management Group serves as the voting body for SSP Program business and all members are integrally involved in the SSP Program appointments, publications, and meetings. Each SSP Program should determine the Management Group’s size (suggested ideal is 7 individuals with a maximum of 15 individuals), structure, and administrative responsibilities (e.g., election terms, term limits, duties, etc.). Management Group members must be elected from the SSP Program’s IRs.

Eligibility Requirements
Members of the Management Group must:
• be a paid employee of their facility
• be their facility’s IR
• Uphold TAG business confidentiality.
• Have proficiency in utilizing AZA web resources and the internet, and have email access.
• Have strong skills in organization, communication, facilitation, conflict resolution, and in establishing and maintaining effective working relationships with diverse groups of individuals.
Essential Position Functions
- Contribute to the development of the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan
- Review and approve the species’ GSMP, if applicable.
- Vote in all elections and issues brought to a vote.
- Attend TAG and SSP Program meetings, whenever possible.
- Inform the SSP Coordinator of any problems or issues within the Management Group.
- Contribute to and review the final draft of the ACM for the species represented by the SSP Program. This includes garnering information regarding ecology, nutrition, reproduction, behavior, etc., and conducting a complete literature review to incorporate the most recent scientific information, and working with the TAG, if applicable, to identify the required external reviewers.
- Provide expert review of Conservation Grants Fund (CGF) proposals directed to the SSP Program.
- Provide and update general SSP information for the public pages of the AZA website upon request.
- Respond to inquiries from the IRs, TAG, and AZA office in a timely manner.

Members of the Management Group are encouraged to:
- Solicit additional Management Group members to reach the Group’s ideal capacity (5-15 individuals).
- Solicit new Management Group members to replace retired Management Group members.
- Implement a Program Leader Training and Mentoring Plan to help new incoming SSP Officers, Studbook Keepers, and Management Group members become familiar and comfortable with their responsibilities, especially with respect to building sustainable populations.
- Identify, assist, and provide, if appropriate, financial support for in situ and ex situ research related to the SSP Program.

Studbook Keeper
Position Overview
The AZA Regional Studbook Keeper is responsible for maintaining an accurate record of the histories of all individual animals in an ex situ population for the purpose of population management. This is an important responsibility because the global zoo and aquarium community depends on the maintenance of accurate Studbook records in order to manage populations and fulfill the goal of long-term sustainability. Ideally, the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper also serves as that Program’s SSP Coordinator or the SSP Vice Coordinator and the Regional Studbook data will be used to create the SSP Program’s Breeding and Transfer Plan. The AZA Regional Studbook Keeper works directly with the associated TAG and SSP Program, all participating AZA member facilities, the APM Committee, the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or an approved SPMAG Advisor, and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department to complete and distribute a timely and accurate AZA Regional Studbook to be used for demographic and genetic analyses relevant to the SSP Program’s population management.

The AZA Regional Studbook Keeper serves as a contact and AZA expert for the species and abides by the duties and responsibilities set forth by the AZA, APM Committee, SSP Program, and the TAG. If the Studbook is an International Studbook, and the International Studbook Keeper is employed in an AZA member facility, the Studbook Keeper is held to the same accountability requirements as an AZA Regional Studbook Keeper with regards to the AZA Regional Studbook data.

Eligibility Requirements
The AZA Regional Studbook Keeper must:
- As of January 1, 2011, be a paid employee at an AZA member facility (AZA-accredited facility, Certified Related Facility, Society Partner, and Conservation Partner,) AZA Regional Studbook Keepers appointed prior to this date who were not employed at an AZA member facility are granted a personal variance as long as their existing circumstance remains in effect. When such
individuals change circumstances they must resign as AZA Regional Studbook Keeper or gain employment at an AZA member facility within 6 months.

- Have an individual AZA membership.
- Uphold SSP business confidentiality.
- Be well versed in the biology and behavior of the Studbook species.
- Complete the AZA Professional Development Course “Population Management 1 (PM1): “Data Acquisition and Processing” within 2 years of becoming the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper. AZA Regional Studbook Keepers are also encouraged to take “Population Management 2 (PM2): Data Analysis and Breeding Recommendations” (https://www.aza.org/professional-development).
- Have proficiency in word processing and spreadsheet programs, population management software, utilizing AZA web resources, and have email access.
- Have strong skills in organization, communication, and in establishing and maintaining effective working relationships with diverse groups of individuals.
- Provide a Statement of Individual Commitment (see AZA Regional Studbook Keeper Handbook).
- Provide a Statement of Institutional Support from their employer (see AZA Regional Studbook Keeper Handbook).

Essential Position Functions

- Create, update and submit a current AZA Regional Studbook report to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department for publication on the AZA website, in accordance with the requirements outlined in Appendix D of the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper Handbook.
  - within 12 months of completing PM1.
  - within 12 months of becoming AZA Regional Studbook Keeper if the Studbook Keeper has already completed PM1 prior to becoming an AZA Regional Studbook Keeper.
- Submit a complete, current AZA Regional Studbook report to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department and the PMC at least once every 3 years in accordance with the current to date listed on the front cover of the previous Studbook publication; however, annual updates are preferred.
- Submit a complete, current AZA Regional Studbook database (PopLink, SPARKS, or Excel), if the studbook is not maintained in ZIMS for Studbooks.
  - to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department and the PMC at least once every 3 years in accordance with the current to date listed on the front cover of the previous Studbook publication; however, annual updates are preferred.
  - to the SSP Program’s Population Advisor (PMC, PMC Adjunct, SPMAG Advisor) prior to each formal population planning meeting, or as needed for population management purposes.
  - to the TAG Chair and SSP Coordinator after each publication.
  - If the Studbook is maintained in ZIMS for Studbooks, the Studbook cannot be exported. Instead of submitting a database, the PMC, PMC Adjunct, or SPMAG Advisor must have access to the database in ZIMS for Studbooks.
- Send copies of all significant AZA Regional Studbook documents and correspondence to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department, the relevant TAG Chair, and the SSP Coordinator (if the AZA Regional Studbook is for an SSP Program and if the SSP Coordinator is not the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper).
- Work closely with the appropriate TAG and SSP Coordinators.
- Attend relevant meetings, when possible.
- Update new contact information, including facility, phone, fax, and email via the AZA website by logging into their account on "My AZA."
- Serve as a contact and AZA expert for the Studbook species. Understand that Studbook Keepers are not responsible for providing engineering advice or letters of endorsement to facilities designing new exhibits for the Animal Program species. Rather, it is the responsibility of those
who are developing new exhibit designs to approach a range of AZA member facilities to learn about various specifications or sources regarding the species to be exhibited.

- Abide by the duties and responsibilities set forth by the AZA, the APM Committee, and the TAG.
- Maintain contact with counterparts in other regional associations to facilitate inter-regional cooperation, if applicable.
- If there is a separate International Studbook Keeper for the species or if data are combined in a single International Studbook, the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper is still responsible for current and accurate AZA regional data needed for AZA population analyses.
- Review relevant AZA Online Training Modules (https://www.aza.org/online-training-modules/).

Liaisons & Representatives

APM Committee TAG Liaison

Position Overview

The APM Committee Liaison is a member of the APM Committee who serves as the primary contact between the APM Committee and the Chair of the TAG(s) to which s/he has been assigned. The TAG should maintain consistent and open communication with their APM Committee Liaison. This will facilitate the Liaison in assisting the TAG during all RCP and accountability processes, and acting as a resource for TAG Program Leaders regarding APM Committee guidelines. The APM Committee Liaison will assist the TAG, and all SSP Programs within its purview, as needed.

Essential Position Functions

- Act as a Liaison between the TAG and APM Committee.
- Attend (or participate via conference call) as many of the TAG’s Animal Program meetings as possible.
- Maintain consistent communication with the TAG Chair.
- Uphold TAG business confidentiality.
- Provide general assistance to the TAG’s Animal Program oversight and operation, and assure the TAG maintains consistent communication with their Program Leaders, especially with respect to building sustainable populations.
- Serve as a conduit between the Animal Programs within the TAG’s purview and the APM Committee.
- Provide a verbal summary of the TAG and the Animal Programs within the TAG’s purview, including any accomplishments and/or concerns at both the Annual and Mid-Year APM Committee meetings.
- Assure that the TAG prioritizes, manages, and publishes the ACMs within their purview.
- Review the TAG’s Annual Report and communicate any issues identified to the APM Committee during the AZA Annual Conference.
- Respond to any inquiries from TAG Chairs during the development of the RCP, review the Draft RCP as outlined in the RCP Handbook, and provide feedback to the TAG Chairs.
- Review the TAG’s RCP, with the APM Committee Vice Chair of TAGs, and an additional appointed APM Committee member, and present this review to the APM Committee for final RCP approval consideration.
- Review SSP Sustainability Partner applications for completion.

Institutional Liaison

Position Overview

The default facility’s single Institutional Liaison (IL) is the institutional CEO/Director, however s/he may appoint an alternate IL for the facility if desired. The IL assures that there is effective communication and participation between the facility and AZA's TAG and SSP Programs. It is assumed that all decisions/votes made by the IL are approved by the Institutional Director. The IL designates IRs and keeps the facility's IR list current. The IL serves as the default IR for any TAG or SSP Program which does not have an IR designated and is required to respond accordingly. The IL
works with Program Leaders and IRs to assure that their facility fully participates in all associated TAG and SSP Programs, and if necessary, will meet in conflict resolution processes.

**Eligibility Requirements**

The Institutional Liaison must:

- Be a paid employee of the facility s/he represents.
- Be designated by the CEO/Director of his/her facility.
- Have access to their facility’s IR list through the AZA website.
- Be an individual member of AZA: Professional Affiliate or Professional Fellow.
- Uphold TAG business confidentiality.
- Have the capability to monitor and communicate with all IRs at his/her facility. Depending on the number of Animal Programs in which the facility participates, this can be a potentially large group of individuals.
- Have the ability to make decisions about his/her facility’s animal populations, or be able to communicate with those who make decisions about these populations.
- Have proficiency in word processing and spreadsheet programs, utilizing AZA web resources, and have email access. In an effort to be as green as possible, most documents will be sent electronically or be available for download from the AZA website, and the IL must be able to view and download documents in Microsoft Word and PDF formats.
- Have the capability to disperse documents to the appropriate institutional personnel.

**Essential Position Functions**

- Designate IRs to appropriate TAG and SSP Programs with consideration as to who would be the most appropriate staff member to represent the needs of the species and the wishes of the facility when communicating with Program Leaders, and work with the Program Leaders on developing plans for building sustainable populations.
- Review and update their IR list via the AZA website on a regular schedule to assure currentness.
- Review the AZA Online Training Modules for instructions on how to manage your IR list.
- Fulfill the IR responsibilities for any TAG or SSP Program that does not have a designated IR.
- Review the list of upcoming SSP planning meetings at the PMC that is posted in the IL Network Group’s announcement section each month. ILs should review this announcement as it serves as a reminder to update their IR list through the AZA website so that the Program Leaders obtain the most current IR list for their Animal Program.
- Assure that deadlines, including those for the completion of space surveys, are met by each IR.
- Assure that all Draft RCPs and Breeding and Transfer Plans are read and that all recommendations included within them are approved by each IR during the comment period.
- Provide Animal Program documents to IRs upon request if the IR is not an individual AZA member and does not have access to documents through the AZA website.
- Assure that studbook databases maintained by AZA Regional Studbook Keepers at their facility are archived, either at the facility or the AZA PMC.
- Assure that any RCP and Breeding and Transfer Plan recommendation disagreements are addressed by the IR with the SSP Coordinator during the comment period.
- Respond to initial inquiries of Program Leaders and IRs in a timely manner.
- Communicate with TAG and SSP Programs regarding problems that may arise with IR participation and work within the Reconciliation Process to resolve them if necessary.
- Follow up with Program Leaders who are approaching their accountability deadline for their Animal Program documents (i.e., RCPs, Breeding and Transfer Plans, and Studbooks). ILs are copied into automated accountability reminder emails at the 1 month, deadline reached, and 2 weeks past reminder emails.
- Follow up with AZA Regional Studbook Keepers who are approaching their Population Management 1 accountability deadlines; ILs are copied on reminder emails sent from the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.
If the institutional Director does not assume this responsibility, the IL must issue and communicate Program Leader extension approvals and denials to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department if the Program Leader misses their accountability deadline.

**Institutional Representative**

**Position Overview**

The IR is the primary contact between his/her facility and the Program Leader of the TAG and SSP Programs to which s/he has been designated. The IR is responsible for maintaining open communication between the TAG and SSP Program and the facility, communicating to the Program Leader on behalf of the facility, and participating in TAG and SSP Program communications and activities.

Each facility is represented by one IR for each TAG and SSP Program in which the facility participates. If the TAG Chair moves to a facility that already has an IR represented in that TAG, or if a new Chair is appointed from a facility that already has an IR represented in that TAG, the TAG Chair will automatically be appointed as that facility’s IR. The previous IR must relinquish his/her position because there can only be one IR, and one vote, per facility for each Animal Program. If the former IR served on the Steering Committee, the TAG will hold an immediate election to replace the Steering Committee member. SSP Coordinators and Studbook Keepers are not automatically approved as IRs; they must be designated as their facility’s IR by the IL. Program Leaders who are not Steering Committee members may still participate in the TAG as non-voting advisory members.

One individual may serve as the IR for more than one Animal Program at a facility; however the duties for each Animal Program are independent of each other. IRs should be aware that being a representative to multiple Animal Programs involves a greater commitment. The IR is appointed by the IL unless the facility’s Director assumes this responsibility.

**Eligibility Requirements**

The Institutional Representative *must*:

- Be a paid employee of the facility s/he represents.
- Be designated by the IL of the facility.
- Uphold TAG business confidentiality.
- Serve as the facility’s IR for the TAG if s/he serves as TAG Chair.
- Be familiar with the species/taxa s/he represents. It is understood that there will not always be a staff member that specializes in a particular taxon or species. In these situations, the position should fall to the person on staff who is the most logical point of contact for the Animal Program.
- Have the ability to make decisions about the facility’s animal collections, or be able to communicate with those who have the ability to make decisions about the collections.
- Have proficiency in word processing and spreadsheet programs, utilizing AZA web resources, and have email access. Most documents will be sent electronically or be available for download from the AZA website, and the IR must be able to view and download in documents in Microsoft Word and PDF formats.
- Have the capability to disperse documents to the appropriate facility personnel.

**Essential Position Functions**

- Communicate with and disseminate information among Animal Programs, Program Leaders, the IL, the institutional Director, Ambassador Animal staff, and the animal care staff, and work with and encourage Program Leaders to build sustainable populations.
- Respond to and fulfill inquiries by TAG and SSP Programs in a timely manner.
- Vote in all Steering Committee/Management Group elections.
- Review and complete “Institutional Wants and Needs” surveys within the requested time frame.
- Communicate Animal Program participation with the IL.
- Review and communicate comments for Draft Breeding and Transfer Plans and RCPs to the IL and Program Leaders during the 30-day comment period.
• Request Animal Program documents from the IL if the IR is not an individual AZA member and does not have access to documents through the AZA website.
• Assure that any RCP and Breeding and Transfer Plan recommendation disagreements are addressed with the IL and Program Leaders during the comment period.
• Complete and return space surveys for TAG RCPs within the requested time frame.
• Consider volunteering for Animal Program activities and standing for election to Animal Program committees.
• Communicate any contact information amendments or change of status to the IL.

Advisors

Position Overview
Advisors, often members of corresponding SAGs, play a critical role in advising, designing, and executing management decisions within AZA Animal Programs. If a member of the Management Group has the appropriate expertise in an advisory area, then s/he may serve as that Advisor. SSP Programs are encouraged to fill as many Advisor positions as appropriate for their SSP Program in order to implement superlative management initiatives. Advisors do not need to be employed by an AZA member facility.

Advisors do not vote in elections or on TAG issues unless they also serve as an IR or a member of the TAG Steering Committee.

Suggested Advisors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ambassador Animal</th>
<th>Horticulture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Animal Welfare</td>
<td>Life Support Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomaterials Banking</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavior</td>
<td>Pathology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraception</td>
<td>Public Relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Registrar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrinology</td>
<td>Reintroduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidemiology</td>
<td>Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Conservation</td>
<td>Reproduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetics</td>
<td>Water Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Affairs</td>
<td>Veterinary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Practices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Position Functions

• Advise the SSP in their efforts to identify, develop and implement Animal Program goals, as applicable.
• Work with the SSP Programs and provide input on the SSP Sustainability Reports.
• Provide content for AZA taxa-related stories of interest related to the Advisor’s area of expertise.
• Provide expert advice regarding any topics, research proposals and inquiries related to the Advisor’s area of expertise.
• Provide input on relevant Animal Care Manuals and Ambassador Animal Guidelines as requested.
• Uphold SSP Program business confidentiality.
• Assist in the development of education materials related to the Advisor’s area of expertise.
• Assist with the development of research projects related to the Advisor’s area of expertise.
• Assist the SSP Program and TAG in reviewing taxa-related CGF grant proposals as requested.

The AZA Population Management Center
The AZA PMC, hosted by the Lincoln Park Zoo in Chicago, Illinois, and San Diego Zoo Global in San Diego, California, was established in June, 2000 to provide assistance to zoo professionals across the country by conducting demographic and genetic analyses and preparing Breeding and Transfer Plan...
for SSP Programs. For more information on the PMC and its role in AZA Animal Programs see the SSP and AZA Regional Studbook Keeper Handbooks.

**PMC Functions**

PMC Population Biologists provide many services for AZA Animal Programs including:
- Producing Breeding and Transfer Plans (BTPs) with SSP Programs
- Assisting AZA Regional Studbook Keepers with AZA Regional Studbook publication
- Researching unknown or partially-known pedigrees
- Creating analytical AZA Regional Studbooks
- Conducting research and helping develop software to improve methods of population management
- Advising on data conventions and entering abnormal data, and
- Troubleshooting problems with population management software (e.g., SPARKS, PopLink, PMx, ZIMS for Studbooks, PMCTrack).

See Chapter 4 for more details on the PMC.

**The AZA Reproductive Management Center**

The mission of the AZA Reproductive Management Center (RMC) is to provide information and recommendations to the AZA community about contraceptive products that are safe, effective, and reversible. These recommendations are used by zoo professionals to make informed decisions on how to sustainably manage their animal collections. Contraception is an essential, proven, and humane tool for reproductive management while still allowing individuals to live in natural social and family groups. It allows managers to maximize available space by preventing births from animals that are not high priorities for breeding or animals that are not currently recommended for breeding, but will be in the future.

The RMC includes scientists, veterinarians, and animal managers with research and management expertise in wildlife contraception. The RMC houses a Contraception Database which contains over 30,000 records for animals treated with contraception. Using these data, the RMC is able to make taxon- and species-specific recommendations about products that are safe, effective, and reversible.

The RMC assures that contraceptives are safe and effective by:
- Maintaining databases that monitor all contraceptives used in all mammalian species.
- Analyzing data on the efficacy and safety of contraceptives.
- Conducting comprehensive pathologic examinations on reproductive tracts to detect if deleterious effects are associated with contraceptives through the Reproductive Health Surveillance Program.

**RMC Functions**

The RMC assists SSP Coordinators, mammal curators, wildlife managers, and veterinarians in choosing and administering appropriate contraceptives by:
- Annually producing and distributing up-to-date contraceptive recommendations for all mammals,
- Providing AZA SSP Coordinators and TAG Chairs with species-specific contraception guidelines for Animal Care Manuals,
- Providing a “Help Line” to assist animal managers with specific contraceptive questions or concerns,
- Maintaining a website with the latest wildlife contraceptive information,
- Attending SSP or TAG planning meetings if relevant to the population, and
- Providing written recommendations to be included in SSP Breeding and Transfer Plans as an Appendix, if needed.

The RMC relies on feedback from the zoo community to update and improve contraception recommendations. While safety and efficacy are vital components of a contraceptive suitable for zoo animals, reversibility is the third integral element that has far-reaching consequences for sustainable population management. The RMC’s goal is to produce reversibility data for different contraceptives so that managers are well-informed and know what to expect from a particular product. This is often the most challenging data to collect because pregnancies and births can occur years after treatment.
or at a different facility than the one at which the contraceptive was administered. It is essential details be reported not only during treatment to obtain efficacy parameters, but also after treatment is stopped for breeding. The RMC requests reversal data in the annual Contraception Survey, but asks that program managers keep the RMC in mind when births occur in their respective populations throughout the year.
Chapter 3. SSP Program Management

Sustainability Criteria

SSP Programs operate within three distinct management levels: Green SSP Programs, Yellow SSP Programs, and Red SSP Programs. The TAG may also designate populations that do not currently meet the minimum criteria to be an SSP as Candidate Programs. An Animal Program’s Sustainability Criteria (i.e., population size, number of participating AZA member facilities, and projected gene diversity) directly affect its management designation. These criteria and how to define them are explained below and summarized in Table 1.

If there is no published AZA Regional Studbook, an Animal Program will be designated as a Candidate Program until a current, up-to-date AZA Regional Studbook has been submitted to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department for publication.

Population Size

- To determine the current population size, refer to the published AZA Studbook, or the most recently published Population Viability Analysis (PVA), Breeding and Transfer Plan, and/or MateRx (whichever is most current).
- In order to be designated as an SSP Program, the population size (total N in the initial published Studbook, or the most recent Population Viability Analysis (PVA), Breeding and Transfer Plan, or MateRx) must be equal to or greater than 20 individuals. These SSP Programs are further designated as Green, Yellow, or Red SSP Programs through their population size and/or projected gene diversity.
- If the Animal Program manages a species which is classified as Extinct in the Wild, Critically Endangered, or Endangered (e.g., IUCN or other government agency), the minimum population size criterion does not apply and the Animal Program will qualify as an SSP Program upon publishing an AZA Regional Studbook.

Participating AZA Member Facilities

- To determine the official number of participating AZA member facilities in the managed population, refer to the AZA Studbook, or the most recently published Population Viability Analysis (PVA), Breeding and Transfer Plan, or MateRx (whichever is most current).
- In order to be designated as an SSP Program, the managed population must include at least three participating AZA member facilities. These SSP Programs are further designated as Green, Yellow, or Red SSP Programs through their population size and/or projected gene diversity.
- If the Animal Program is for a species which is classified as Extinct in the Wild, Critically Endangered, or Endangered then the minimum number of participating AZA member facility criterion does not apply and the Animal Program will qualify as an SSP Program upon publishing an AZA Regional Studbook.

Projected Gene Diversity

- For most populations, a projected gene diversity will be used to differentiate between Green and Yellow SSP Program designations, and this projected gene diversity is defined as the projected % gene diversity (%GD) at 100 years or 10 generations, although colonial populations such as herds, flocks and schools may require alternate modeling programs (to be developed) to determine their projected gene diversity.
- An Animal Program’s projected gene diversity is measured during population analysis with the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or an approved SPMAG Advisor.
- The projected gene diversity (%GD) at 100 years or 10 generations may be determined by a PVA or Breeding and Transfer Plan from the last 5 years.
- MateRx reports cannot be used to change projected gene diversity.
- If the population has never undergone formal population planning by the PMC, a PMC Adjunct or approved SPMAG Advisor, or was planned more than 5 years ago, the population’s projected gene diversity cannot be properly assessed.
If the Animal Program has at least 50 individuals in the population and three AZA facilities (determined as discussed above), it will be designated as a Yellow SSP Program until formal population planning occurs.

If the Animal Program has between 20 and 49 individuals in the population and three participating AZA facilities, it will be designated as a Red SSP Program until formal population planning occurs.

- The designation of each SSP Program may change in accordance with the population becoming more or less sustainable over the course of time. The TAG should assist in making these updated designations available to AZA members on the AZA website.

### Table 1. Applying Sustainability Criteria to Designate Animal Program Management Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Green SSP Program</th>
<th>Yellow SSP Program</th>
<th>Red SSP Program</th>
<th>Candidate Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population size</td>
<td>50 and above</td>
<td>50 and above</td>
<td>20-49</td>
<td>19 and fewer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># AZA member facilities</td>
<td>3 and above</td>
<td>3 and above</td>
<td>3 and above</td>
<td>2 or fewer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected gene diversity</td>
<td>90.0% or above</td>
<td>Less than 90.0%</td>
<td>Less than 90.0%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SSP Management Designations

SSP Programs fall into one of three designations: Green SSP Programs, Yellow SSP Programs, and Red SSP Programs. The differences in SSP Program management are described below, summarized in Table 2, and are outlined in a flow chart in Appendix S. The SSP Handbook provides complete SSP Program management details.

All AZA Animal Programs are held to the same established minimum criteria to be designated an SSP Program, unless the species is classified as Extinct in the Wild, Critically Endangered, or Endangered (IUCN or other government agency).

#### Green SSP Programs

- Green SSP Programs are overseen by the TAG, the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department, and the APM Committee.
- Green SSP Programs are cooperatively managed Animal Programs for selected populations that achieve the highest level of formal management due to their future sustainability.
- Green SSP Programs are managed by an SSP Coordinator, a Vice Coordinator (recommended), and a Management Group (if desired).
- Green SSP Programs are those populations that retain a minimum of 90% gene diversity at 100 years or 10 generations, and include at least 50 individual animals held among at least three AZA member facilities.
- Green SSP Programs must work with their TAG to identify their role in zoos and aquariums, at least three goals, and essential actions to work towards each goal.
- Green SSP Programs must record their population in a current, published AZA Regional Studbook.
- Each Green SSP Program Breeding and Transfer Plan manages breeding in order to maintain a healthy and self-sustaining population that is both genetically diverse and demographically stable.
- The PMC, PMC Adjuncts, and approved SPMAG Advisors are available to officially advise Green SSP Programs in the preparation of breeding and transfer recommendations.
- Green SSP Program participants must abide by the AZA Full Participation Policy in SSPs (Appendix A) and, if needed, the AZA Animal Management Reconciliation Policy (Appendix B).
- SSP Programs may partner only with Sustainability Partners that are approved by the APM Committee (See Appendix G for Sustainability Partner Policy and application).
Species Survival Plan® Program Handbook

For all SSP Programs that have non-AZA partners, the facility will be approved as a Sustainability Partner or they will be excluded from the SSP within 2 years or prior to the next Breeding and Transfer Plan (whichever comes first).

Adherence to the AZA Code of Professional Ethics and the AZA Policy on Responsible Population Management is still required.

Yellow SSP Programs

- Yellow SSP Programs are overseen by the TAG, the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department and the APM Committee.
- Yellow SSP Programs are cooperative population management Animal Programs for selected populations that receive formal management.
- Yellow SSP Programs are managed by an SSP Coordinator, a Vice Coordinator (recommended), and a Management Group (if desired).
- Yellow SSP Programs are those populations that retain less than 90% gene diversity at 100 years or ten generations, but include at least 50 individual animals (within AZA facilities and Sustainability Partner facilities) held among at least three AZA member facilities.
- Yellow SSP Programs must work with their TAG to identify their role in zoos and aquariums, at least three goals and essential actions to work towards each goal.
- Yellow SSPs must record their population in a current, published AZA Regional Studbook.
- Each Yellow SSP Program Breeding and Transfer Plan manages breeding in order to maintain as healthy and self-sustaining of a population as possible that is both genetically diverse and demographically stable.
- The PMC, PMC Adjuncts, and approved SPMAG Advisors are available to officially advise Yellow SSP Programs in the preparation of breeding and transfer recommendations.
- Although cooperation among AZA member facilities is strongly encouraged for the long-term benefit of the ex situ population, participation in Yellow SSP Programs is voluntary.
- SSP Programs may partner only with Sustainability Partners that are approved by the APM Committee (See Appendix G for Sustainability Partner Policy and application).
  - For all SSP Programs that have non-AZA partners, the facility will be approved as a Sustainability Partner or they will be excluded from the SSP within 2 years or prior to the next Breeding and Transfer Plan (whichever comes first).
  - Adherence to the AZA Code of Professional Ethics and the AZA Policy on Responsible Population Management is still required.
- When a Yellow SSP Program can retain 90% gene diversity it will be designated as a Green SSP Program.
  - Should a Yellow SSP Program change to a Green SSP Program, the draft SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan will be evaluated by the PMC Director, the APM Committee Chair, the APM Committee Vice Chair for SSPs and Studbooks, the APM Committee TAG Liaison, and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Senior VP. The evaluation will be based on criteria of Green SSP Programs, and the group will vote on the designation status. The SPMAG Chair will be consulted if additional assessment is needed.

Red SSP Programs

- Red SSP Programs are overseen by the TAG, the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department, and the APM Committee.
- Red SSP Programs are cooperative population management Animal Programs for selected populations that receive formal management.
- Red SSP Programs are managed by an SSP Coordinator, a Vice Coordinator (recommended), and a Management Group (if desired).
- Red SSP Programs are those populations that retain less than 90% gene diversity at 100 years or 10 generations, and include between 20 and 49 individual animals held among at least three AZA member facilities. Animal Programs managing species that are classified as Extinct in the Wild, Critically Endangered, or Endangered do not need to meet these criteria (e.g., a population...
of 19 individuals, managed at only two facilities, but classified as Critically Endangered, would qualify as a Red SSP despite failing to meet SSP criteria).

- Red SSP Programs must work with their TAG to identify their role in zoos and aquariums, at least three goals, and essential actions to work towards each goal.
- Red SSP Programs must record their population in a current, published AZA Studbook.
- Each Red SSP Program Breeding and Transfer Plan manages breeding in order to maintain as healthy and self-sustaining of a population as possible that is both genetically diverse and demographically stable.
- The PMC, PMC Adjuncts, and approved SPMAG Advisors are available to assist Red SSP Programs.
- Although cooperation among AZA member facilities is strongly encouraged, participation in Red SSP Programs is voluntary.
- SSP Programs may partner only with Sustainability Partners that are approved by the APM Committee (See Appendix G for Sustainability Partner Policy and application).
  - For all SSP Programs that have non-AZA partners, the facility will be approved as a Sustainability Partner or they will be excluded from the SSP within 2 years or prior to the next Breeding and Transfer Plan (whichever comes first).
  - Adherence to the AZA Code of Professional Ethics and the AZA Policy on Responsible Population Management is still required.
- If a Red SSP Program population grows to 50 or more individual animals, then it will be designated as a Yellow SSP Program.
- If a Red SSP Program can retain 90% gene diversity it will be designated as a Green SSP Program.

Candidate Programs

- Candidate Programs are overseen by the TAG, with no additional accountability requirements by the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department or the APM Committee.
- Candidate Programs are managed by a Candidate Program Leader.
- Candidate Programs are Animal Programs that the TAG hopes to grow to an SSP Program, and they are not considered AZA cooperatively managed Animal Programs at this time.
- Candidate Programs are those populations that have 19 or fewer individual animals and/or are held only at one or two AZA member facilities.
- Candidate Programs may also be populations which do not currently have a published AZA Regional Studbook.
- Candidate Programs must work with their TAG to identify their role in zoos and aquariums, at least three goals, and essential actions to work towards each goal.
- Once a Candidate Program Leader publishes an AZA Regional Studbook demonstrating that the population meets minimum SSP criteria it will be designated as an SSP. Candidate Program Leaders must take PM1 in order to publish an AZA Regional Studbook.
  - If the Candidate Program Leader has not taken PM1 prior to submitting an initial AZA Regional Studbook, the Candidate Program Leader must identify to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department that they have a skilled mentor (one that has taken PM1) that guided them through the process, and present their Studbook for review by a PM1 instructor, a PMC staff member, a PMC Adjunct Population Biologist, or an AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences staff member.
- Candidate Programs may work with private participants (organizations that are not AZA member facilities) without completing the APM Committee Sustainability Partner approval process. Adherence to the AZA Code of Professional Ethics and the AZA Policy on Responsible Population Management is still required.
- Candidate Programs should consider partners with the understanding that when they grow to an SSP Program, they must follow the Sustainability Partner Policy and application (see Appendix G).
**Table 2. Animal Program Overview: Green SSP, Yellow SSP, Red SSP, and Candidate Program Management.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Green SSP Program</th>
<th>Yellow SSP Program</th>
<th>Red SSP Program</th>
<th>Candidate Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AZA Policies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZA Policy on Responsible Population Management</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZA Code of Professional Ethics</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZA Full Participation in SSP Program Policy</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Voluntary</td>
<td>Voluntary</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZA Animal Management Reconciliation Policy</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Not Required</td>
<td>Not Required</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APM Committee Approval of Sustainability Partners</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Not Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sustainability Criteria</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum population size (N)*</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum number of participating AZA member facilities*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected gene diversity (%GD) at 100 years or 10 generations</td>
<td>90.0% or above</td>
<td>Less than 90.0%</td>
<td>Less than 90.0%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cooperative Management</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG recommended Animal Program in RCP</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZA Regional Studbook</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Not Required^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal population planning by PMC, PMC Adjunct or SPMAG Advisor</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Not Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Group</td>
<td>If Needed</td>
<td>If Needed</td>
<td>If Needed</td>
<td>If Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accountability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop three Program goals</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZA and APM Committee oversight</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breeding and Transfer Plan published at least every 3 years</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Not Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZA Regional Studbook published at least every 3 years</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Not Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZA Regional Studbook Keeper must take Population Management 1</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Recommended ^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Leader must take Population Management 2</td>
<td>Recommended</td>
<td>Recommended</td>
<td>Recommended</td>
<td>Recommended</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*If a managed species is listed by IUCN or ESA as Extinct in the Wild, Critically Endangered, or Endangered, there will be no minimum number of participating facilities, nor minimum population size requirements, in order to qualify for management at the SSP level. In these cases, SSP status (Green, Yellow, Red) will be determined based upon population size and projected gene diversity at 100 years or 10 generations.

^For a Candidate Program to upgrade to management at the SSP level, an AZA Regional Studbook must be published. The Candidate Program Leader, therefore, must complete AZA’s Population Management I in order to publish an official AZA Regional Studbook.

**Animals Declared Out of the Managed Population**

Some animals in the managed SSP population, due to their age, reproductive status, or other demographic or genetic characteristics, may be deemed out of the managed population.

- The SSP Program must document all decisions to designate an individual animal as out of the managed SSP population. SSP Coordinators should communicate with facilities housing any animals that are designated to assure mutual understanding.
In some cases animals may be sent out of the SSP population to another region in order to facilitate global population goals. These animals may not necessarily be out of the SSP, but individuals should be selected so that any negative impact on the SSP is minimized.

Please refer to the AZA Policy on Responsible Population Management before transferring any individuals that are designated as out of the SSP population to any non-AZA facilities.

Government Owned Species
The AZA Institutional Data Management Scientific Advisory Group (IDMAG) Government Ownership Working Group (IDMAG/GOWG) maintains a list of government owned species and develops record keeping protocols for many of these species. When managing government owned species, AZA Studbook Keepers and SSP Coordinators should be aware of record keeping protocols and loan agreements that may affect studbook record keeping or breeding and transfer recommendations in order to maintain data quality and assure legal compliance. It is suggested that documentation such as loan agreements or Memorandums of Understanding be obtained for all appropriate specimens. The GOWG is available to work with Animal Programs lacking record keeping protocols to develop them.

Any questions with regard to existing government-owned species, record keeping protocols, or general data management issues may be directed to the IDMAG/GOWG or IDMAG Chairs. Contact information and relevant documents are found on the AZA website (https://www.aza.org/institutional-data-management-scientific-advisory-group).

Assessment
SSP Programs should regularly assess the status and performance of its Officers, Management Group members, IRs, ILs, and other SSP Program participants within its purview.

Management Group Assessment
- SSP Coordinators should regularly communicate with their Officers, Management Group, Advisors, IRs, ILs, and the TAG regarding accountability deadlines, SSP Program management changes, policy guidelines, publications, population planning, etc. The Management Group may remove Management Group members if they do not adequately and/or appropriately perform their duties. The AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department, the APM Committee Vice Chair of TAGs, and the TAG Chair are available to provide assistance with SSP Program participant performance issues.
- TAG Chairs with current, approved RCPs have the authority to remove SSP Coordinators and AZA Regional Studbook Keepers who do not fulfill their Animal Program responsibilities. Any such action should be reported to the APM Committee Vice Chair of TAGs and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.

Institutional Assessment
- The SSP Program should assess the status and performance of the facilities participating in its SSP Program.
- The SSP Program should track IR and IL responses or lack of responses to all information requests, and include this information in an appendix in their Breeding and Transfer Plan
- The AZA Policy for Full Participation in the SSP Program is required of all AZA member facilities caring for species designated as Green SSP Programs (Appendix A). Full participation is strongly encouraged for all AZA member facilities caring for species designated as Yellow and Red SSP Programs.
- TAG Chairs are responsible for arbitrating any full participation issues brought to their attention by their Green SSP Programs in effort to reach a mutually agreeable resolution.
- The SSP Coordinator should discuss any potential issues with the TAG Chair, who will then discuss with the APM Committee Vice Chair of TAGs, their APM Committee Liaison, and/or a representative from the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.
- If a resolution cannot be obtained through this method, either party or the APM Committee may initiate AZA’s Animal Management Reconciliation Process Policy (Appendix B).
Cooperating with Other Zoo and Aquarium Regional Associations

- It is important to cooperate with WAZA and other regional zoo and aquarium associations (i.e., the European Association of Zoos and Aquaria (EAZA), the Canadian Association of Zoos and Aquariums (CAZA), the Zoo and Aquarium Association (ZAA in Australasia), etc.) as Animal Programs strive toward sustainability.
- SSP Programs must work closely with their TAG as they pursue international relationships with these other regional zoo and aquarium associations.
- For some Animal Program populations, management at the regional level (solely within one regional association, e.g., AZA) may be sufficient to achieve the Animal Program’s goals.
- The desired population size for maintaining optimal %GD for other Animal Programs may be greater than the current carrying capacity (maximum available space) within one regional association and cooperation with multiple regional associations may be necessary.
- Program Leaders are encouraged to consistently communicate with their regional counterparts as needed, and assure that the TAG’s members, APM Committee Liaison, and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department are kept informed about such discussions.
- The PMC is available to assist with questions relating to multi-regional population management (e.g., assessing genetic and demographic status regional populations, discussing potential value of global management, combining databases, selecting animals for transfer between regions, etc.).
- The AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department and the TAG’s APM Committee Liaison are available to assist Program Leaders in developing these relationships, if necessary.

Global Species Management Plans
The AZA and other WAZA member regional zoological associations have collectively identified addressing the sustainability of animal populations as a top priority. Thus, we seek to maximize the collective impact of our efforts in building the long-term sustainability of wildlife populations by working together in a manner that builds upon, respects, and optimizes existing regional processes and furthers science-based, inter-regional collaboration. For some Animal Programs forming an official WAZA Global Species Management Plan (GSMP) may be appropriate. The WAZA Committee for Population Management (CPM) is established to advance these relationships and collaborations in professionally managing species in zoos and aquariums globally. One way in which the CPM does this is through coordinating, administering, and overseeing GSMPs.
- When population goals cannot be met within a single regional association, global management may be an ideal method for increasing sustainability.
- Establishing a GSMP provides an opportunity to combine several regional populations, thus improving the genetic and demographic management potential by increasing the population’s size, carrying capacity, and other resources. For certain populations, these additional resources may markedly increase their long-term management success and sustainability.
- Once approved by the CPM, a GSMP formalizes a series of clear goals and agreements to which the GSMP partners agree or aspire to achieve, with an underlying goal of increasing the long-term sustainability of zoo and aquarium populations.
- Cooperation in a GSMP may range from a series of aspirations to a formal Memorandum of Understanding on specific goals and commitments. The partners (e.g., the regional associations) determine the appropriate level (e.g., individual, regional) at which to manage the population, as well as define the scope and flexibility of the GSMP.

The WAZA regional associations continue to advance best practices aimed at increasing effective communications for building population sustainability. Over the past few years a small number of pilot GSMPs have served as guides for developing a common framework for defining the mechanisms and management of GSMPs. AZA has made a commitment to take a strong leadership role for pilot and future GSMPs, and will be deeply involved in the development of the GSMP Program and working integrally with all partners.
Establishing a GSMP

- Please review the WAZA GSMP Handbook, which may be obtained from the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.
- An international studbook database is generally required for a GSMP. If an ISB for the species does not already exist, regional databases may be used to assist in completing the GSMP application but the PMC should be consulted to determine how to combine regional databases if global population management is the desired goal.
- Working with all partnering zoological regions, the zoological region that will be leading the GSMP will develop the GSMP application.
- Once the application is complete, it should be submitted the regional association office (e.g., the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences department) for review.
- The regional association office will send the completed application to the proposed partner regional associations for review and approval.
- Once all proposed partner regional associations have endorsed the application, the leading regional association will submit the application to WAZA's CPM for review and approval.

Sustainable Populations through Responsible Partnerships

AZA Animal Programs focus on select species through cooperative management of small populations at AZA-accredited zoos and aquariums and Certified Related Facilities (CRFs). These facilities undergo a thorough accreditation review process that includes the submission of an extensive application as well as an intensive, on-site inspection by a team of experts to assure the highest standards of animal care and management are met. Additionally, the facilities have access to members-only resources through the AZA Population Management Center, AZA Reproductive Management Center and the AZA office.

AZA Animal Programs can benefit from responsible partnerships with individuals, facilities, or organizations outside of AZA in the form of expertise, space, and other various resources. With a goal of creating genetically and demographically sustainable populations of animals that experience excellent welfare, AZA Animal Programs may explore such partnerships when they:

- Benefit individual animal(s) and/or the population as a whole through the goals of an AZA Animal Program
- Support AZA's mission of high quality animal care and welfare
- Recognize the contributions of like-minded entities in assuring a future for animals in expert care

See Appendix G for the Sustainability Partner Policy and application.

Sustainability Partners

The definition of a Sustainability Partner in an AZA Animal Program is an organization that regularly exchanges animals with AZA-accredited facilities and Certified Related Facilities, typically as part of the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan or other SSP Program management process.

- A Sustainability Partner's species/animal(s) is regularly included in the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan.
- Recommendations are made for individuals of that species in the Sustainability Partner's collection through the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan process. This would include documented interim SSP Program recommendations.

If an AZA Animal Program (e.g., TAG, SSP) determines that an animal population may benefit from collaboration with a Sustainability Partner that can provide high quality genetic, demographic, conservation, husbandry, population management, and/or animal welfare benefits to an SSP Program, they must consider the information provided below. Sustainability Partners in any AZA Animal Program must adhere to AZA's Policy on Responsible Population Management, SSP Full Participation Policy, the AZA Code of Professional Ethics, and Accreditation Standards related to animal care and welfare regardless of Animal Program designation. SSP Programs may partner only with Sustainability Partners that are approved by the APM Committee (See Appendix G for the Sustainability Partner Policy and application). For SSP Programs that have non-AZA partners, the individual/organization
must be approved as a Sustainability Partner or they will be excluded from the SSP population. All SSP Programs that include non-AZA partners in their SSP Program population must assess these partners and should aim to submit any Sustainability Partner applications to the APM Committee six months prior to their next SSP Breeding and Transfer Planning meeting.

As stated in the Guidelines for Data Entry and Maintenance of North American Regional Studbooks: “Any and all facilities that can be verified as holding or having held specimens should be included in the studbook. Inclusion of data from a facility should not be contingent on whether it is a member or affiliate of AZA; if a facility provides data to the studbook keeper, it should be included in the studbook, provided it can be verified.”

However, the inclusion of animals in a studbook does not indicate or imply that the facility is a Sustainability Partner in the Animal Program. Only AZA facilities and approved Sustainability Partners may be included in a Breeding and Transfer Plan. The AZA Population Management Center (PMC) may assist with evaluation of animals in the population regardless of their location, however discussion within the SSP and its associated TAG must occur to determine whether a facility/person needs to apply to be a Sustainability Partner, and thus continue participating in the SSP Program.

Sustainability Partners are not considered accredited or certified. Like AZA accreditations and certifications, approvals for a Sustainability Partner’s continued participation in an SSP Program must be renewed every five years based on a review of the benefits to the Animal Program. Animal Program Leaders must evaluate existing partnerships (with the help of their associated TAG) when beginning a new SSP Program or taking over an established SSP Program, especially before the Breeding and Transfer Plan process. See Appendix H for the Guidelines for Assessing Sustainability Partners in Species Survival Plan® Programs.

Application Review and Approval
- The SSP Coordinator is responsible for compiling all Sustainability Partner application materials and sending the application as a complete package to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department, with a copy to their TAG Chair.
- The AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will work with the APM Committee Vice Chair for Partnerships to review all application materials for completeness.
- Should any items be missing from the application or should the letter of justification from the SSP need adjustments, the APM Committee Vice Chair for Partnerships will return the incomplete application to the SSP Coordinator and specify the missing required information.
- The SSP Coordinator must submit requested materials or communicate with the APM Committee Vice Chair for Partnerships within 2 weeks of receiving the returned application. If the APM Committee Vice Chair for Partnerships does not hear from the SSP Coordinator within 2 weeks, the Sustainability Partner application will be considered inactive until the SSP Coordinator resubmits it.
- The APM Committee will hold monthly conference calls to review any complete Sustainability Partner applications that were submitted in the previous month.
- The AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will notify the SSP Coordinator, TAG Chair, and the applicant of the outcome as soon as possible.

Approved Partnerships
Once identified/approved, the Sustainability Partner must:
- Agree to adhere to AZA’s Code of Professional Ethics, SSP Full Participation Policy, AZA Policy on Responsible Population Management, and Accreditation Standards related to animal care and welfare.
- Appoint an Institutional Liaison (IL) and Institutional Representative (IR) to serve as the primary point of contact(s) for SSP communications. Contact the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department with the IL and IR names and contact info for the Sustainability Partner.
- Not display the AZA logo or SSP logo.
- Upon request, agree to allow the sponsoring AZA-accredited facility, staff, Board, APM Committee, TAG, and/or SSP representatives to visit the applicant and view their facility to assure
adherence to AZA policies and animal care and welfare practices. Such visitors will provide feedback to the SSP, TAG, and the APM Committee.

- Submit a new, complete application for Sustainability Partner before the end of the five-year approval period in order to continue participation in the SSP Program.

**Loss of Approval Status**

A Sustainability Partner may have its approved status revoked by APM Committee if it fails to meet any of the Sustainability Partner responsibilities identified above and in the application.

If APM Committee deems it appropriate, the SSP Program may work with a Sustainability Partner that loses its approved status for up to two years to help manage the population, facilitate transfer of animals owned by AZA zoos and aquariums and, when possible, mentor re-approval of Sustainability Partner status. In such instances, the Sustainability Partner will not be an active participant in the SSP Program, however still may be considered during the planning processes. During this time, the SSP Program will not move SSP Program animals to the former Sustainability Partner facility.

For reinstatement as a Sustainability Partner, the potential Sustainability Partner’s benefit to the SSP population’s sustainability and adherence to AZA’s Code of Professional Ethics, Policy on Responsible Population Management, and Animal Care and Welfare Standards must be reassessed.

**Animal Program Roles, Goals, and Essential Actions**

**Animal Program Role**

Although many species will qualify for more than one of the defined purposes below, the SSP Coordinator should work with the TAG to identify the primary role in zoos and aquariums for their managed population. The primary role for each SSP Program must be included in the Animal Program Roles, Goals, and Actions Table in the TAG’s RCP and Annual Report (See TAG Handbook for more information). SSP Program roles may be selected from the following list, or develop alternate descriptors.

- **Conservation Action** – the taxon is under immediate threat and action, or reintroduction is underway.
- **Assurance Population** – the taxon is threatened or declining in some fashion and the managed population is serving as a genetic and demographic reservoir for the future, if required.
- **Education/Exhibit Needs** – the taxon is used for educational purposes and inspires guests to care for wildlife.
- **Research** – the taxon is in need of greater understanding and the managed population serves as a research population or a population that is just being founded within zoos and aquariums.

**Setting Goals for your SSP Program**

The SSP Coordinator should work with the TAG to set at least three goals, with corresponding essential actions, for their SSP Program. Working closely with the TAG, the SSP should prioritize the top three goals and outline the essential actions to meet these goals. The top three goals and essential actions must be included in the Animal Program Roles, Goals, and Actions Table in the TAG’s RCP and Annual Report (See TAG Handbook for more information).

The first goal for each SSP Program should relate to the primary purpose of cooperatively managing the species within the AZA community. The second and third goals may be focused on items unique to the taxon and/or the managed population. Examples of additional goals might include, but are not limited to, increasing an SSP Program’s current projected gene diversity to X% GD, increasing the population size to 50 individuals, or increasing the number of spaces for the population.

The essential actions for each goal must be specific actions or tasks that need to be achieved to accomplish the goals. Examples may include increasing the number of breeding and offspring spaces available by a certain number, increasing the number of breeding pairs, advancing artificial insemination techniques, obtaining new importation permits, compiling or researching effective husbandry protocols, or working with non-AZA partners to increase the number of founders in the population. Essential Actions should be articulated according to SMAART criteria as being: specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound.
A SMAART goal explains a behavior using the following components:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific</td>
<td>A SMAART goal identifies a specific action or event that will take place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurable</td>
<td>The description of a SMAART goal will allow you to determine your progress towards completion, and let you know when you are finished.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achievable</td>
<td>A SMAART goal should be achievable given available resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreed-upon</td>
<td>A SMAART goal should encourage collaboration and cooperative ownership of plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realistic</td>
<td>A SMAART goal should require you to stretch some beyond your normal routine and regular abilities, but allow for likely success based on your skills and the time available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>A SMAART goal should state the specific time period in which it will be accomplished.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overview

The goal of a Breeding and Transfer Plan is to maintain a healthy, genetically diverse, and demographically stable *ex situ* population of a particular species through cooperative management strategies among AZA member facilities. In order to assure the production of an effective Breeding and Transfer Plan, the SSP Coordinator must work with the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or an approved SPMAG Advisor to summarize the current demographic and genetic status of the population, describe the SSP management designation, and recommend breeding pairs and transfers.

SSP Program Population Advisors

SSP Program advisors fall into three APM Committee approved categories:

- **PMC Advisors** are employed by and working at the AZA Population Management Center at Lincoln Park Zoo.
- **PMC Adjuncts** are trained by the PMC, and are employed by and working at an AZA member facility. An SSP Program is only approved or assigned to have their population planned by a PMC Adjunct if the SSP Coordinator or the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper is employed by the same AZA member facility that houses the PMC Adjunct.
- **PMC Regional Adjuncts** are trained by the PMC, and are employed by and working for a consortium of AZA members. An SSP Program is only approved or assigned to have their population planned by a PMC Adjunct if the SSP Coordinator or the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper is employed within the consortium of zoos and aquariums (e.g., the California Association of Zoos and Aquariums).
- **Approved SPMAG Advisors** are current member of the SPMAG who have historically planned particular populations. An SSP Program must receive APM Committee approval in order to have their population planned by a SPMAG Advisor.

The AZA PMC Director is responsible for assigning and reviewing AZA Animal Program advising assignments for all Population Biologists. These assignments always consider:

- SSP Coordinator’s hosting facility and if this is an Adjunct’s supporting facility
- Studbook Keeper’s hosting facility and if this is an Adjunct’s supporting facility
- Adjunct existing agreements with their supporting facilities
- Population Biologist’s experience and history with the SSP and TAG
- Population Biologist’s experience and history with the taxonomic group
- Population Biologist’s experience with any other specific factors for the SSP (e.g., reintroduction species, conducting molecular genetics research)
- Population Biologist’s time availability within their schedule as well as during the timeframe in which the SSP needs advising

If a Program Leader wants to change Population Biologists, the Program Leader is encouraged to first have a one-on-one conversation with the Population Biologist and if needed, reach out to the AZA PMC Director for additional discussion. These requests will be carefully considered, mediation used if necessary, and additionally include all the same variables listed above.

Preparing for the PMC Planning Meeting

Scheduling the PMC Meeting

- All Green, Yellow, and Red SSPs will be assigned a planning date. Candidate species are not prioritized at this time but should contact the PMC if their status changes (e.g., if an initial AZA Regional Studbook is published, or if an updated published AZA Regional Studbook indicates that the population size or number of participating facilities have increased above minimum SSP criteria).
• The PMC may be able to provide informal assistance for Animal Programs in need of advice outside of scheduled formal planning dates. See “interim planning” below for more information.
• Animal Programs that have not scheduled planning meetings but need population management assistance should contact the PMC Planning Coordinator (PMC@lpzoo.org) to schedule a future planning date. Populations that have never been planned should contact the PMC as soon as possible.
• The SSP Coordinator must notify all people involved (i.e., SSP Vice Coordinator, AZA Regional Studbook Keeper, Management Group members, and IRs) of the planning meeting date and their responsibilities prior to meeting with the PMC.
• As many IRs as possible should participate in the planning process to increase institutional support and the effectiveness of the Breeding and Transfer Plan. SSP Coordinators are encouraged to reach out to their IRs and invite them to the planning meetings.
• PMC planning meetings may be conducted electronically (conference calls, internet conferencing) or in person (typically at Lincoln Park Zoo, the AZA Annual Conference or the AZA Mid-Year Meeting). It is preferred that first-time planning meetings be held in person.

Consulting with the AZA Reproductive Management Center (RMC)
The AZA RMC, hosted by the Saint Louis Zoo, provides information on safety, efficacy and reversibility of contraceptive products to the AZA community to help zoo professionals make informed decisions on how to manage their animal collections. Contraception is an essential, proven, and humane tool for reproductive management while still allowing individuals to live in natural social and family groups.
• The RMC is an integral part of AZA Animal Program management practices and is fundamental to managed breeding and population sustainability for individuals that are, or have ever been, contracepted.
• To assist AZA’s Animal Programs the RMC maintains a database which monitors contraceptive records in one centralized location in order to facilitate meta-analyses and disseminate up-to-date recommendations.
• The SSP Coordinator should communicate with the RMC regarding the animals in their population prior to each formal planning meeting to review and update their status, as necessary.
• The RMC may provide written recommendations to be included in the Breeding and Transfer Plan as an appendix, if needed.
• An Advisor from the RMC may attend or conference into the SSP Program’s planning meeting if relevant for the population.
• Communication between the SSP Coordinator and the RMC need not be limited to planning meetings, but can occur throughout the year as questions arise or new data become available.

The PMC Planning Meeting
Materials Required Prior to the PMC Planning Meeting
There are five types of materials that the SSP Program must compile and submit to the PMC in preparation for a scheduled planning meeting (See Appendix F). The PMC will work with the SSP Program to set deadlines by which each of these materials must be received by the PMC so that they can prepare for the planning meeting (for more guidance, visit: http://www.lpzoo.org/population-management-center).

These materials include:
1. An AZA Regional Studbook database for the SSP Program species containing all the currently living animals. The PMC Planning Coordinator will run data validation software or will validate the submitted data to assist AZA Regional Studbook Keepers in preparing for the meeting. Studbook Keepers should assess the validation provided by the Planning Coordinator and make updates to the Studbook accordingly.
2. A list of institutional wants and needs from all current or future holders of that SSP Program’s species, including information on exhibits, holding facilities, breeding capabilities, or social groups, if applicable. Information regarding specific requests for breeding, holding, placing, or receiving animals should be included. IDs of animals should be included when relevant. The AZA and the APM Committee recommend utilizing PMCTrack to send and collect standardized,
customizable wants/needs surveys to IRs; see section regarding PMCTrack below for more information.

3. A **list of animals to be excluded** from the breeding population and the reason (e.g., medical, behavioral, age/post-reproductive, etc.).

4. An **up-to date contact list for IRs** for distribution of the Draft and Final Breeding and Transfer Plans.

5. A **list of potential pedigree assumptions** for those animals with unknown or MULT parentage (if applicable).

If the SSP Coordinator fails to meet the deadlines mutually agreed upon with the PMC, the PMC may cancel the meeting and reschedule for a time when the SSP Program is better prepared. If deadlines are not met, and the meeting cancelled, the PMC cannot guarantee a new planning date. The PMC will contact the TAG Chair and inform them of the situation. Repeated lack of preparedness may result in an SSP Coordinator’s removal from their position by the TAG Steering Committee.

**PMCTrack**

PMCTrack ([https://www.PMCTrack.org](https://www.PMCTrack.org)) is a web-based resource for SSP Coordinators who are preparing to plan with the PMC. SSP Coordinators should use PMCTrack to:

- See where the SSP Program is in the PMC planning process, as well as viewing information about their program’s management history
- View recommendation outcomes, which are data on whether previous recommendations to hold, transfer, breed, or not breed occurred as requested in past breeding and transfer plans; these data can be used to better understand SSP management challenges and evaluate current breeding situations before planning
- Use standardized surveys to collect important institutional information before a planning meeting. Wants/needs surveys are sent to all IRs to gather information each holding facility’s needs for the species; outcomes surveys are sent to IRs if a recommendation from the last breeding and transfer plan is not fulfilled according to the studbook, and solicit reasons that that recommendation was not completed as requested in the plan. PMCTrack includes automated reminder emails to encourage survey participation by IRs.

PMCTrack will help SSP Coordinators prepare for planning with the PMC, communicate with IRs, respond to problems completing plan recommendations, and will help to improve the planning and management processes over time for AZA Animal Programs. SSP Coordinators can log-in to PMCTrack at any time, but will most frequently utilize it when preparing for planning with the PMC.

For more information, contact PMCTrack@lpzoo.org.

**Key Elements Produced in the Breeding and Transfer Plan**

The PMC will work with the SSP Coordinator, other Animal Program participants, and their RMC Advisor (if necessary), throughout the course of the planning meeting to produce a Draft Breeding and Transfer Plan. This Draft will be AZA branded, structured to meet a standardized format and will include:

- A cover page with essential information such as the species common and scientific names, SSP Coordinator name and contact information, Studbook Keeper name and contact information, picture of the SSP species, date through which the data are current, and name of the PMC Advisor.
- A Table of Contents.
- A list of participating facilities with their corresponding IRs and Species360 mnemonics. This section must identify if the participant is an AZA-accredited facility, CRF, or approved Sustainability Partner.
- A genetic and demographic status summary of the population. Specific items to be included will be determined by the PMC Advisor but should include any assumptions made for the analyses.
- Animal-By-Animal Recommendations. The Breeding and Transfer Plan must include a list of the recommended actions for each individual animal or groups of animals in the population. These recommendations will consider genetic and demographic factors, social, nutritional, behavioral,
and medical concerns, practical day-to-day animal management considerations, and the wants and needs of the facilities.

- A general description of the SSP Program, identification of the SSP Program Officers, Management Group members, and Advisors, and a summary of the SSP Program’s priorities and activities.

**Reviewing the Draft Breeding and Transfer Plan**

Upon completion of the planning meeting, the PMC will post the Draft Breeding and Transfer Plan on the AZA Animal Program webpage for 30 days and email the draft to IRs. This process is specifically designed to assure that all facility IRs view, fully comprehend, and provide feedback on the Draft Breeding and Transfer Plan recommendations before they are finalized. The IR is expected to communicate any recommendations effecting their facility’s population to their IL and Director, and is required to provide feedback and address questions or concerns about these recommendations to the SSP Coordinator during the comment period. Lack of feedback from an IR will be interpreted as the facility’s full acceptance and agreement to the recommendations presented in the Draft Breeding and Transfer Plan.

The following steps are vital to the review process:

- An automated email will be sent to all SSP Program designated IRs to inform them that the Draft Breeding and Transfer Plan is available for review.
- The IRs will have 30 days to provide feedback and address questions or concerns about the recommendations made in the Breeding and Transfer Plan with the SSP Coordinator.
- The SSP Coordinator must respond to institutional comments and address them promptly.
- The SSP Coordinator may wish to notify the IL if an IR does not respond to the Draft Breeding and Transfer Plan within three weeks. If the IR or IL does not respond within the next seven days, the SSP Coordinator may wish to notify the institutional Director.

**IR and IL Responsibilities**

- SSP Coordinators are responsible for tracking and reporting the response (or lack of response) and feedback provided by the IRs for their Breeding and Transfer Plans.
- If a non-responsive pattern becomes apparent with an IR, the SSP Coordinator should inform the IL of the potential problem. If it is deemed that the IR is not fulfilling his/her obligations, it is the IL’s responsibility to contact the IR and inquire about the status of the delinquent duty. The IL will work with the IR and the SSP Coordinator until responsibilities are met.
- If the IL fails to properly oversee the completion of the SSP Program responsibilities of the IRs at his/her facility, the SSP Coordinator will likely contact the TAG, APM Committee, and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department to formally register a complaint.
- Failure to meet these obligations will likely result in the recommendation of removal of the IR by the APM Committee.

**Publication of the Final Breeding and Transfer Plan**

Upon completion of the Draft Breeding and Transfer Plan 30 day comment period, the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will publish the Final Breeding and Transfer Plan electronically on the AZA Animal Program webpage. A formal announcement of this publication will be distributed via an automated email that will be sent to all SSP Program designated IRs and in the publication month’s Animal Programs Update. SSP Coordinators must assure that the following individuals/entities are notified of Final Breeding and Transfer Plan publication:

- All facilities holding the SSP Program species and participating in the SSP Program
- The SSP Vice Chair
- The AZA Regional Studbook Keeper
- The AZA TAG Chair
- The AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department
- The United States Fish and Wildlife Service, if applicable.
- The IUCN Specialist Group Chair, if applicable.
• Invested individuals who do not have access to the member’s only section of the AZA website (i.e., IUCN specialist group chairs, Program Leaders from other regional zoological associations) of the publication.

Interim Population Recommendations

Although Breeding and Transfer Plans are the official method of recommending and documenting population management actions, many populations will need assistance between plans due to changes in the population or institutional needs. The PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or an approved SPMAG Advisor can usually provide informal unscheduled assistance to Program Leaders for such interim planning needs.

A MateRx is one tool provided by the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or an approved SPMAG Advisor that Program Leaders can use to assist them when making recommendations for their population between planning meetings.

• A MateRx is a matrix of all potential breeding pairs in a population which integrates four genetic factors to produce a single numeric Mate Suitability Index (MSI) for each male/female pair.
  o The MSI is calculated from considering the potential breeding pairs’ mean kinship values, the difference in male and female mean kinship, the inbreeding coefficient of the potential offspring produced, and the amount of unknown pedigree in the potential pair. A MateRx allows users to simplify the decisions about which pairs should be bred by condensing all that we know about the genetics of a pair into a single number.

• Requests for a MateRx can be made to the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or an approved SPMAG Advisor.
• Materials required for a MateRx include an updated studbook, a list of animals to be excluded from the breeding pool, and new information on pedigree assumptions.
• In some cases, a MateRx cannot be produced due to species biology or data quality (e.g., pedigree unknownness, population size, etc.). However, even in these cases the PMC highly encourages Programs to contact them for alternative assistance (PMC@lpzoo.org).
• Program Leaders are encouraged to record all recommendations made between their formal Breeding and Transfer Plans.
Chapter 5. SSP Sustainability Reports and Search Portal

A grant awarded to AZA by the Institute for Museum and Library Services (IMLS) allowed AZA to customize their database and merge existing data with new data from Animal Program documents and Program Leaders. The AZA community is now able to identify patterns in population challenges and to strategically address population needs. The SSP Sustainability Reports and Search Portal were launched to the AZA membership in May 2016 and are becoming incorporated into the daily management of AZA SSP Programs. This collection planning tool has profound impacts on TAG recommendations and management decisions, and facilitating action towards increasing SSP population sustainability. The primary sustainability challenges identified by SSP Coordinators and population biologists will help facilitate AZA members in aligning their resources (e.g., space, experience with partnership and imports, multi-species exhibit opportunities, husbandry/research expertise) with the essential actions of SSP Programs. The information gleaned from these reports allows zoo and aquarium staff to take direct action in addressing population sustainability. See Chapter 8 for more details.

SSP Sustainability Reports

The SSP Sustainability Reports are automatically generated, 5-page reports that summarize husbandry practices, exhibit management, species appeal, educational opportunities, multi-species exhibit considerations, species biology, SSP population dynamics, management priorities, challenges to sustainability, and research needs. They also include the major challenges impeding each SSP’s population sustainability and the goals and essential actions needed to address them. The report is a compilation of the SSP Coordinator’s expertise and the current and projected population summaries from the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan or PVA. The main areas of the reports are:

Page 1:
- Photos of the species
- Marketing phrase
- Species conservation status, SSP designation, geographic information, and biome
- Exhibit design and management
- Species appeal
- Messaging opportunities

Page 2:
- Multi-species exhibit opportunities
- Non-SSP species that could be substituted by the SSP species
- Species biology
- Offspring housing and reproduction

Page 3:
- Sustainability profile that includes population size, demographics, genetics, and images such as census graphs, age pyramids, and population projections

Page 4:
- Challenges to SSP population sustainability, with identified goals, actions, and needs
- Reproductive technologies available
- Additional research opportunities
- Additional notes on SSP management

Page 5:
- Acquisitions and transfers with information about imports, exports, and reintroductions
- Challenges to acquisitions and transfers
- Disclaimer that includes the date that the report was last updated
SSP Sustainability Reports Search Portal

The SSP Sustainability Reports Search Portal is an online tool for collection planners, Program Leaders, ILs, IRs, research scientists, and other zoo and aquarium staff. The searchable format allows collection planning users to perform searches that identify appropriate species for their collection planning criteria, while also directing resources and attention to managed species. This portal contains 25 search fields, including IUCN status, species appeal, special exhibit considerations, opportunities in multi-species exhibits, messaging opportunities, and research opportunities. The user can select any number of criteria that will return links to the individual SSP Sustainability Reports. The SSP Search Portal can help collection planners at AZA facilities to incorporate SSP species into their institutional collection plan, while facilitating alignment of their specific resources and expertise with SSP needs. SSP Coordinators may use their SSP Sustainability Reports to communicate the challenges impeding population sustainability and encourage the zoos and aquariums participating in the SSP to take an active role in overcoming these challenges. Research scientists can use the "Research Opportunities" field in the Online Portal to align their interests and expertise with critical SSP research needs.

Updating the SSP Sustainability Reports

Quantitative Data
Each month, the quantitative data (e.g., population numbers, gene diversity, participating facilities) from recently finalized SSP Breeding and Transfer Plans is downloaded from PMCTrack and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences staff add that information to the SSP Sustainability Reports.

Qualitative Information
To assure that the SSP Sustainability Reports are as accurate as possible, SSP Coordinators are encouraged, at any time, to submit updates to their qualitative information (e.g., major challenges to their SSP population sustainability, progress in importations) to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.

At the very least, SSP Coordinators will be asked to review their reports during each of their SSP planning sessions and send any updates to their information at that time.

TAG Chairs are requested to review all of the SSP Sustainability Reports within their purview at least once per year to make sure that the information is current, accurate, and in line with the TAG’s goals. The TAG Chair will be asked if they have conducted their reviews in their TAG Annual Report.

A disclaimer is located on the last page of the SSP Sustainability Reports. A date is included in the disclaimer to show when the report was last updated.
Chapter 6. SSP Program Administration

SSP Program Accountability
SSP Coordinators are accountable for submitting a Breeding and Transfer Plan at least every 3 years in accordance with the submission date listed on the front cover of the previous publication, in order to meet their SSP Program accountability requirements. If a GSMP breeding and transfer information is also produced, it must be submitted at the time of publication in accordance with WAZA accountability. In addition, SSP Programs should also track IR responses for required SSP Program objectives, including wants and needs data.

There are a few SSP Programs that, due to the species’ natural history, may not require or benefit from a traditional Breeding and Transfer Plan every 3 years. These SSP Programs will be considered on a case by case basis by the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department, the TAG, and the SSP Program’s Population Advisor.

Automated Accountability Emails
Automated deadline reminders are emailed as a courtesy to remind the SSP Coordinator, and other associated parties, of an upcoming deadline. Each email includes the appropriate instructions, relevant contact information, and links to the Deadline Information pages on the AZA website (http://www.aza.org/animal-program-deadlines/). These automated emails are administered as follows:

- One year prior and 6 months prior to the deadline – Sent to the SSP Coordinator, and copied to the associated TAG Chair and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.
- One month prior – Sent to the SSP Coordinator, and copied to the associated TAG Chair, Institutional Liaison, APM Committee Chair, APM Committee VC of SSPs and Studbooks, APM Committee Liaison, and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.
- Deadline reached - Sent to the SSP Coordinator, and copied to the associated TAG Chair, Institutional Liaison, APM Committee Chair, APM Committee VC of SSPs and Studbooks, APM Committee Liaison, and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.
- Two weeks past - Sent to the SSP Coordinator, and copied to the associated TAG Chair, Institutional Liaison, APM Committee Chair, APM Committee VC of SSPs and Studbooks, APM Committee Liaison, and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.

Extension Requests
Prior to the accountability deadline date:

- The SSP Coordinator may request an extension to complete their Breeding and Transfer Plan prior to the due date by contacting their TAG Chair, if the TAG has a current, approved RCP.
  - If deemed appropriate, the TAG Chair must contact the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department with the approved new deadline.
- If the TAG does not have a current, approved RCP, the SSP Coordinator must also contact the APM Committee Vice Chair for SSPs & Studbooks to request an extension.
  - If deemed appropriate, the TAG Chair must contact the APM Committee Vice Chair and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department with the proposed new deadline.
- The APM Committee Vice Chair will work with the APM Committee to approve/not approve the extension request and communicate the decision to the TAG Chair and SSP Coordinator.

After the accountability deadline has passed:

- If the Breeding and Transfer Plan extension request was not made prior to the Breeding and Transfer Plan deadline but the SSP Coordinator wishes to maintain their position, the IL or Director of the SSP Coordinator’s facility must contact the APM Committee Vice Chair for SSPs.
& Studbooks within 2 weeks of the missed deadline to discuss the reason for the missed deadline, and request a new deadline.

- The APM Committee will vote to determine if the reason for the missed deadline for the completion of the Breeding and Transfer Plan is valid, and if so, a new deadline will be set.
- If the APM Committee determines that the reason for the missed deadline is non-valid, the SSP Coordinator will likely be removed from their position.
- If the SSP Coordinator is removed, the position vacancy will be advertised on the AZA website and in the *monthly Animal Programs Update*.

**Voting**

- All members of the Management Group, if one exists, are required to vote on issues and in elections; votes are determined by majority
- The Secretary will record the votes and submit the voting record to the SSP Coordinator.
- The SSP Coordinator will alert the members of the SSP, the candidates (if applicable), and the TAG of the outcome of all votes.
- Failure to meet these obligations may result in the removal of the Management Group member by the SSP Coordinator or the APM Committee.

**Election Processes**

SSP Coordinators are elected by their TAG’s Steering Committee (if the TAG has a current, approved RCP) or by the APM Committee (if the TAG does not have a current, approved RCP or lacks a TAG Chair). SSP Officers and Management Group members are elected from the SSP Program’s IRs. There are no SSP mandated term limits for SSP Officers or Management Group members. SSP Programs may determine whether to impose term limits on their Management Group members. All facilities are able to participate in the SSP Program through their IRs.

SSP Coordinator vacancies must be announced in the monthly Animal Programs Update: [https://www.aza.org/animal-programs-monthly-update](https://www.aza.org/animal-programs-monthly-update), and are available on the Current Program Leader Vacancy page if they are not filled after the required 30-day posting: [http://www.aza.org/Program-Leader-Vacancies/](http://www.aza.org/Program-Leader-Vacancies/).

**SSP Coordinator**

- Individuals interested in becoming an SSP Coordinator should consult the appropriate TAG Chair. If no TAG Chair exists, or the TAG does not have a current, approved RCP, interested individuals may consult the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department for advice on becoming an SSP Coordinator.
- Applicants for the position of SSP Coordinator must submit an SSP Coordinator Application (Appendix C), a Statement of Individual Commitment and a Statement of Institutional Support (Appendix C).
- All SSP Coordinator applications should be submitted directly to the TAG Chair, if the TAG has a current, approved RCP. If the TAG’s RCP has not been approved or is not current, or there is no TAG Chair, SSP Coordinator applications should be submitted to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.
- TAG Chair contact information can be found on the TAG’s Animal Program page, or on the AZA website: [https://www.aza.org/contact-information](https://www.aza.org/contact-information).

**Officers**

- The SSP Coordinator will distribute a call for interest to the SSP Management Group, or to the IRs if there is no Management Group, to obtain a list of nominees for vacant Officer positions (except that of SSP Coordinator).
- Nominees for Officer positions must submit a Statement of Individual Commitment (Appendix E) and a Statement of Institutional Support (Appendix E) to the SSP Secretary (or the SSP Coordinator if the SSP Program does not have a Secretary) who will distribute the application to the Management Group, or if there is no Management Group, to the IRs.
- Elections, using an open democratic process, will be held if more than one Management Group member, or IR, is interested in the same Officer position.
• The SSP Coordinator will communicate the new appointment decision to the applicant, the rest of the applicant pool, and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.

Management Group Members
• The SSP Coordinator will send a request for Management Group nominees to all of the SSP Program's IRs if the Officers determine that a Management Group is necessary.
• Elections, using an open democratic process, will be held if the number of interested IRs exceeds the number of Management Group positions available.
• The Management Group may fill a vacated position by either holding a new election or appointing the IR who received the highest number of votes among the nominees not selected in the previous election.
• An IL may be involved with the Management Group as a non-voting member if an IR at the same facility is in the Management Group. There may only be one vote per facility.

AZA Regional Studbook Keeper
• Ideally, the SSP Coordinator or SSP Vice Coordinator is also the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper for the SSP Program.
• Individuals interested in becoming an AZA Regional Studbook Keeper should communicate with the TAG Chair, or review published RCPs to determine priority species.
• If the TAG does not have a current, approved RCP, or there is no TAG Chair, interested individuals should consult the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department for advice on acquiring an AZA Regional Studbook for a taxon of interest.
• All AZA Regional Studbook Keeper applications (See AZA Regional Studbook Keeper Handbook) should be submitted directly to the TAG Chair if the TAG has a current, approved RCP. If the TAG does not have an approved RCP, or there is no TAG Chair, applications should be submitted to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.

Change in Employment or Institutional Status
Change in Facility
Officers
• If the SSP Coordinator is leaving a facility and wishes to maintain the SSP Coordinator role and the facility does not wish to relinquish the SSP Program, the Director (or IL) must contact the TAG Chair (or the APM Committee Vice Chair for TAGs if the TAG does not have a current, approved RCP or the TAG Chair position is vacant) within 30 days of the departure of the SSP Coordinator. The position must be advertised as a vacancy in the monthly Animal Programs Update for a minimum of 30 days and a new SSP Coordinator candidate from the facility must submit an application (Appendix C).
  o The TAG Steering Committee (or the APM Committee Vice Chair for SSPs & Studbooks if the TAG does not have a current, approved RCP or the TAG Chair position is vacant) will request an updated application from the current SSP Coordinator if s/he wishes to retain their position.
  o Additional applications will also be received from any interested candidates.
  o The TAG Steering Committee will review and vote on the candidates to select the one most qualified.
  o For purposes of continuity of SSP Program management, applicants from the current supporting facility will be given serious consideration.
  o Upon selection of an SSP Coordinator, the TAG Chair (or the APM Committee Vice Chair for SSPs & Studbooks if the TAG does not have a current, approved RCP or the TAG Chair position is vacant) will inform the applicants, the supporting facilities, and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department of the final decision.
• Officers moving to a new facility do not automatically become that facility's IR; they must be designated by the new facility's IL.
• If the new facility’s current IR is involved in the SSP Program in a voting capacity, the facility must determine which of the two will serve as the IR for, and which will no longer act as a voting member of, the SSP Program to assure each facility has only one voting member.
• The IR required to step down may be appointed as a non-voting Advisor at the SSP Program’s discretion.
• Officers who move to a new AZA member facility must, within 90 days of departure from their original facility, submit a new Statement of Individual Commitment (Appendix E) and Statement of Institutional Support (Appendix E) to the TAG Chair.
• Officers must update their new contact information, including facility, phone, fax, and email to the TAG Chair and via the AZA website by logging into their account on “My AZA.”

Management Group Members
• If a Management Group member transfers to a new facility with an existing IR for the same SSP Program, the facility must determine which of the two will serve as the IR for, and which will no longer act as a voting member of, the SSP Program.
• The Management Group member required to step down may be appointed as a non-voting advisor at the SSP Program’s discretion.

IL and IR
• ILs or IRs who transfer to a new facility will no longer serve as the previous facility’s representative to the SSP Program. The IL position will revert to the Director, and the IR position will revert to the IL.

Loss of Employment

Officers
• If an Officer loses their position from an AZA member facility, they have 6 months to re-gain employment with another AZA member facility before they have to surrender their position within the SSP Program.
• If an Officer is no longer employed at an AZA member facility and fails to communicate with the TAG or the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department within one month, it will be assumed that the Officer has abandoned the role in the Animal Program and the TAG may proceed with filling the vacancy before the 6 month grace period is over.
• Officers who do not resign under these conditions will be removed by the TAG Chair or, if the TAG does not have a current, approved RCP, the APM Committee.

IL and IR
• If an IL or IR loses their position from an AZA member facility, they will immediately be removed from the SSP Program. The IL position will revert to the Director, and the IR position will revert to the IL.

Member Facility Loss of Accreditation or Certification

Officers
• If an Officer’s facility loses accreditation or certification, they must communicate this to the TAG Chair.
• If an SSP Officer’s facility loses accreditation or certification, the Officer has 6 months to resign from the SSP Program or find employment with another AZA member facility.
• Officers who do not resign from the SSP Program under these conditions will be removed by the TAG Chair or, if the TAG does not have a current, approved RCP, the APM Committee.

IL and IR
• The IL and all IRs of a facility that loses accreditation or certification will be removed from Green SSP Programs if accreditation is not regained within the two year grace period.

Member Resignation

Officers
• SSP Coordinator must provide a written notice of resignation to the TAG Chair.
• The SSP Vice Coordinator will act as interim SSP Coordinator until a replacement is elected.
• Officers, excluding the SSP Coordinator, must provide a written notice of resignation to the SSP Coordinator.
• Departing Officers should uphold SSP business confidentiality and, when possible, orient and provide all relevant SSP Program documents to their replacement.

Management Group
• SSP Management Group members must provide a written notice of resignation to the SSP Coordinator.
• Departing Management Group members should uphold SSP business confidentiality and, when possible, orient and provide all relevant SSP Program documents to their replacement.

IL and IR
• If an IR resigns, the IL will serve as the default IR for the SSP Program until a new IR is designated.
• If an IL resigns, the Director will serve as the default IL until a new IL is appointed.

Member Removal

Officers
• If an SSP Coordinator is removed by the TAG or the APM Committee, the position must be advertised and the TAG will select a new Coordinator from the pool of applicants if the TAG has an approved RCP. If the TAG does not have a current, approved RCP, the APM Committee will select the new SSP Coordinator.
• The SSP Management Group must vote to remove an Officer (excluding the SSP Coordinator) from the SSP Program.
• The SSP Coordinator will notify the TAG and the APM Committee in writing if an Officer (excluding the SSP Coordinator) is removed from the SSP Program and will include all reasons for, and documentation pertaining to, the removal.
• The SSP Management Group will hold a new election to fill the vacant Officer position as soon as possible.

Management Group Members
• The Management Group may choose to remove a Management Group member.
• The SSP Coordinator will notify the TAG and the APM Committee in writing if a Management Group member is removed from the SSP Program and will include all reasons for, and documentation pertaining to, the removal.
• The Management Group may fill the position by either holding a new election or appointing the IR who received the highest number of votes among the nominees who were not selected in the previous election.

IL and IR
• If an IL removes an IR, the IL will serve as the default IR for the SSP Program until a new IR is designated.
• If a Director removes an IL, the Director will serve as the default IL until a new IL is appointed.

AZA Animal Program participants (e.g., Program Leaders, Officers, Steering Committee members,) may be removed at the discretion of the AZA Executive Director. In the rare case that this should occur, the Executive Director and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will work closely with the TAG or SSP Program to document this process.
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Conservation Activities
While not a requirement, SSP Programs may want to support and/or engage in conservation activities on behalf of their species. Engagement is particularly encouraged if an SSP Program manages a species designated by the IUCN or other government agency as Extinct in the Wild, Critically Endangered, or Endangered.

- The AZA Wildlife Conservation Committee is available to assist SSP Programs with developing conservation programs with clear goals and objectives. The Wildlife Conservation Committee recommends that all conservation activities are part of an adaptive management plan that links activities to current threats and ultimate conservation goals. One recommended framework is the Open Standards for the Practice of Conservation (http://cmp-openstandards.org/).
- Additional recognition of specific projects may develop within the context of AZA SAFE: Saving Animals from Extinction.

SSP Officer and Management Group Training

- SSP Coordinators are encouraged to mentor incoming SSP Coordinators, Officers, AZA Regional Studbook Keepers, and Management Group members to help them become familiar and comfortable with their responsibilities as established by the APM Committee in the associated Animal Program Handbooks, especially with respect to building sustainable populations.
- Mentoring and training procedures should include identifying the protocols used to assure data are current and transferred from the outgoing Program Leader to the new incoming Program Leader.
- Effort should be taken to coordinate training for SSP Programs within a TAG so that training can address similar issues across the taxa.

Animal Program Meetings
The APM Committee holds an open meeting for AZA Program Leaders, Officers, ILs, IRs, and other interested parties at each AZA Annual Conference and Mid-Year Meeting. These meetings may include reporting and updates from the APM Committee, the PMC, the RMC, and/or the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department, as well as an open question and answer session. Minutes from these meetings are disseminated over the consci listserv after the meeting.

Program Leader Workshops
- Program Leader workshops may be held at AZA Annual and/or Mid-Year Meetings. These may be organized by the AZA, the APM Committee, or individual Animal Programs.
- These workshops should be advertised in the Animal Programs Update and other appropriate Network Groups and listservs.
- Minutes and reports from these meetings should be AZA branded and disseminated, as appropriate. The AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department is available to assist with document branding, if needed.

AZA Online Training Modules
Online Training Modules are web-based video tutorials found on the AZA website that were created for AZA’s Animal Program Leaders, Institutional Representatives (IRs), Institutional Liaisons (ILs), and other individuals interested in becoming involved in AZA’s Animal Programs. These modules provide helpful hints on navigating the AZA website and the Animal Programs Database, as well as downloading certain documents and contact information. PMCTrack and population management modules are available to help Animal Program participants navigate PMCTrack and understand the technical aspects of creating an AZA Regional Studbook or Breeding and Transfer Plan. Any new Online Training Modules will be announced in the monthly Animal Programs Update.
Conservation Grants Fund Reviews

Participation in the AZA Conservation Grants Fund (CGF) review process provides all Animal Programs with a direct tool for steering the research directives of the AZA. SSP Programs may be asked to provide first-tier reviews for relevant proposals.

- CGF application materials become available in January, with funds available the following October.
- SSP Programs are encouraged to provide input to parties interested in submitting CGF proposals in order to strengthen links between the project and the SSP Program priorities.
- Only one review per proposal may be submitted on behalf of the SSP Program. Requests for reviews will be forwarded to Program Leaders in April and are due at the end of May.
- Reviews should critically examine the project’s justification for goals and anticipated outcomes, the conservation and/or management significance and importance, project team ability, and budget.
- Reviews are considered confidential, should identify those aspects of the proposal most important to the SSP Program, and describe whether and how the proposal reflects SSP Program priorities. If the SSP Program is given multiple proposals to review, it is helpful to provide a hierarchy which proposals best reflect these priorities.

Outputs

Each SSP Program is responsible for publishing and maintaining specific outputs including a Breeding and Transfer Plan, an SSP Sustainability Report, an Animal Care Manual (ACM), and an Ambassador Animal Guideline (AAG) (if applicable). Breeding and Transfer Plans must be developed with, and require approval from, the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or an approved SPMAG Advisor. The SSP Sustainability Report may be updated at any time by emailing the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department. ACMs require AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department approval prior to publication. All outputs must be AZA branded and published on the AZA website upon approval.

Breeding and Transfer Plans

Each SSP Program is required to develop a Breeding and Transfer Plan which summarizes the current demographic and genetic status of the population, describes the SSP Program management designation, and recommends breeding pairs and transfers. Breeding and Transfer Plans are designed to maintain a healthy, genetically diverse, and demographically stable population. In order to assure the production of an effective Breeding and Transfer Plans, the SSP Coordinator must work with the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or an approved SPMAG Advisor. See Chapter 7 for more information on Breeding and Transfer Plans.

SSP Sustainability Reports

Each SSP Coordinator should work with the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department to complete their automatically generated 5-page report that summarizes husbandry practices, exhibit management, species appeal, educational opportunities, multi-species exhibit considerations, species biology, SSP population dynamics, management priorities, challenges to sustainability, and research needs. The report is a compilation of the SSP Coordinator’s expertise and the current and projected population summaries from the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan or PVA. This information can be updated at any time and is automatically generated from the SSP Search Portal located on the AZA website.

Animal Care Manuals

SSP Programs are required to assist their TAG in creating ACMs for their species. ACMs present a compilation of knowledge provided by recognized animal experts based on the current science, practice, and technology of animal management. The manual assembles basic requirements, best practices, and animal care recommendations to maximize capacity for excellence in animal care and welfare. The manual should be considered a work in progress, since practices continue to evolve through advances in scientific knowledge. The use of information within this manual should be in accordance with all local, state, and federal laws and regulations concerning the care of animals. The recommendations are not exclusive management approaches, diets, medical treatments, or procedures, and may require adaptation to the specific needs of individual animals and particular
circumstances in each facility. Commercial entities and media identified within the ACM are not necessarily endorsed by AZA. The statements presented throughout the body of the manual do not represent standards of care unless specifically identified as such in clearly marked sidebar boxes. See Chapter 9 for more information on ACMs.

**Ambassador Animal Guidelines**

SSP Programs are encouraged to assist the AASAG or their TAG in creating AAGs for their species, if applicable. AAGs provide a compilation of knowledge provided by recognized animal and education experts based on the current science, practice, and technology of ambassador animal management and presentation. Each AAG assembles basic requirements, best practices, and animal care recommendations to maximize capacity for excellence in animal care and welfare of ambassador species. The guidelines should be considered a work in progress, since practices continue to evolve through advances in scientific knowledge. The use of information within this document should be in accordance with all local, state, and federal laws and regulations concerning the care of animals. While some government laws and regulations may be referenced, these are not all-inclusive nor is this document intended to serve as an evaluation tool for those agencies. The recommendations included are not meant to be exclusive management approaches, diets, medical treatments, or procedures, and may require adaptation to meet the specific needs of individual animals and particular circumstances in each institution. See Chapter 10 for more information on AAGs.

**Communication**

Each SSP Program must develop a means to facilitate communication among its members, as well as distribute appropriate information about the SSP Program and its functions to the general public. The SSP Program may choose to distribute information via reporting sessions at AZA conferences and meetings, through AZA Annual Reports, *monthly Animal Programs Update*, Listservs, and AZA Stories and/or through TAG websites, e-mail, and newsletters. All public communications must be AZA branded and it is recommended that the TAG utilize electronic resources as much as possible in order to engage in green practices.

**Meetings**

- SSP Coordinators should hold (electronically or in person) at least one working Animal Program meeting each year, and are encouraged to hold in person meetings in conjunction with the AZA Annual Conference and/or Mid-Year Meeting.
- If the SSP Program holds additional meetings in a venue outside of these conferences, the SSP Program must communicate the dates and locations of these to its TAG Chair, the APM Committee Liaison, and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.
- The SSP Coordinator or SSP Vice Coordinator is encouraged to schedule and moderate reporting sessions at AZA Mid-Year and/or Annual Conferences.
- All Officers are encouraged to attend all official SSP Program meetings.
- Minutes must be recorded, AZA branded, archived, disseminated among the SSP Program’s IRs, and submitted to the TAG Chair and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.

**Position Statements, White Papers and Guidelines**

**Position Statements**

An AZA Position Statement must be approved by the AZA Board of Directors and defines an AZA Committee, SAG, or Animal Program (and therefore the Association’s) position on a specific issue. AZA Position Statements most frequently supplement an AZA Board approved policy and are supported by an informational and science-based AZA White Paper. AZA Board approved Policies, Position Statements and White Papers are found here: [https://www.aza.org/board-approved-policies-and-position-statements](https://www.aza.org/board-approved-policies-and-position-statements).

If an Animal Program, Committee, or Scientific Advisory Group is interested in developing Position Statement and White Papers relevant to taxa within their purview they should adhere to the following process:
• Draft the Position Statement using the AZA branded template (Appendix O).
• Draft a White Paper using the AZA branded template (Appendix P).
• Submit both Drafts to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department (animalprograms@aza.org).
• The AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will review the drafts and, if deemed necessary, send them to the appropriate AZA Committees for review.
• All review comments will be returned to and discussed with you by the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department to develop final drafts.
• If deemed necessary, the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will submit the Final Drafts to the AZA Board for review.

White Paper
An AZA White Paper may either support an AZA Position Statement and therefore require approval by the AZA Board of Directors, or it may be a stand-alone document that does not support an official AZA Position Statement. AZA White Papers may be informational articles that discuss a philosophy or initiative, or a description of recommended guidelines that are of relevance to the Association. If an Animal Program, Committee, or Scientific Advisory Group has a White Paper that they wish to submit for review, they should adhere to the following process:

• Draft a White Paper using the AZA branded template (Appendix P).
• Submit the Draft to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department (animalprograms@aza.org).
• The AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will review the draft and, if deemed necessary, send it to the appropriate AZA Committees for review.
• All review comments will be returned to and discussed with you by the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department to develop final draft.
• If deemed necessary, the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will submit the Final Draft to the AZA Board for review.

Guidelines
While the majority of Animal Program guidelines (i.e., hand-rearing protocols, mixed species exhibit suggestions, etc.) may not require AZA Board approval it is still important that they are required and approved by the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department before they are published and distributed to assure that they are appropriate and reflect the philosophy. If an Animal Program, Committee, or Scientific Advisory Group has developed guidelines that they wish to submit for review, they should adhere to the following process:

• Draft Animal Program guidelines using the AZA branded template (Appendix Q).
• Submit the Draft guidelines to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department (animalprograms@aza.org).
• The AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will review the draft and, if deemed necessary, send it to the appropriate AZA Committees for review.
• All review comments will be returned to and discussed with you by the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department to develop final draft.
• If deemed necessary, the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will submit the Final Draft to the AZA Board for review.

Animal Programs Update
The Animal Programs Update is published monthly on the AZA website at (https://www.aza.org/animal-programs-monthly-update), and includes Animal Program announcements, vacancy advertisements and new publications. The TAG is responsible for submitting programmatic changes for Animal Programs within its purview, including SSP Program appointments and contact information, Animal Program upgrades and downgrades, and taxonomic changes for managed species to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department. The SSP Program may also provide TAG-approved announcements and notices to be published in the monthly Animal Programs Update.
The TAG Chair must approve all Program Leader vacancy advertisements and assure that the TAG submits them to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department. All Program Leader vacancies must be advertised for 30 days in the Animal Programs Update and on the Current Program Leader Vacancies page (www.aza.org/Program-Leader-Vacancies) before a new Program Leader may be appointed.

AZA Network
The AZA Network brings together great ideas, best practices and lessons learned from within the zoo and aquarium community. The diverse community allows for open professional interest groups or closed working groups. Your profile in the Network is where you will manage notifications of activity, allowing you options to receive emails as activity occurs, or in a daily, or weekly digest email. There are many open professional interest groups available, as well as closed Animal Program groups that can be maintained by the Animal Program Leaders themselves. These groups allow members to start discussions, add resources, and share documents.

SSP Programs are encouraged to establish an AZA Network Group for their SSP Program. Membership within Animal Program Network workspaces may be compartmentalized such that some portions may be restricted to the Management Group, while another section may be open to all IRs. All IR updates made in the AZA Animal Program Database will automatically be applied to the workspace membership. The workspace must have a Moderator who will manage subscriptions to closed Management Group workspaces and establish rules for postings. To create a group within the AZA Network, the Program Leader should contact the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.

SSP Highlights
SSP Highlights is a “member view” feature in AZA’s CONNECT magazine that provides an opportunity to highlight and share efforts SSP Programs have made to increase population sustainability in zoos and aquariums and share their successes with the general AZA membership. This feature provides a way for SSP Programs to share their creative approaches to address population sustainability challenges. Examples include engaging in innovative research, advancing management practices, and developing partnerships to enhance SSP sustainability. SSP Highlights made its debut in the August 2016 issue of CONNECT. SSPs are encouraged to submit their draft SSP Highlights to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department using the template found in Appendix N.

SSP Sustainability Award
The SSP Sustainability Award recognizes initiatives of AZA SSP Programs that have a quantifiable impact on the long-term sustainability of an SSP’s managed population. Animal Program Leaders (i.e., TAG Chairs, SSP Coordinators, Studbook Keepers, and Scientific Advisory Group Chairs) may submit an application for this award that demonstrates how their significant and innovative efforts have resulted in a significant increase in an SSP population’s sustainability. Award decisions will be based on the level of effort described that has resulted in significantly improving the SSP population’s sustainability, the quantifiable impact that has occurred which demonstrates an increase in the SSP population’s sustainability, how any resulting forward actions were made accessible to all appropriate facilities in an effective and timely manner, and how this initiative advances AZA TAG recommendations for that SSP population’s sustainability. For more information on deadlines and application materials, visit the AZA website: https://www.aza.org/ssp-sustainability-award.

Social Media and CONNECT articles
AZA manages an AZA Facebook page and a Twitter account that have thousands of followers. To maximize exposure of the TAG’s work, snippets of publicly appropriate information (including photos) should be provided for inclusion on the social media resources. To publish information on AZA’s Facebook page and Twitter account, the TAG Chair should contact the AZA Digital Media Director. In addition, TAGs may wish to distribute information about their work in an article in CONNECT each year. To publish an article in CONNECT the TAG Chair should contact the AZA Publications and Brand Director.
**Newsletter**

SSP Programs may find it helpful and engaging to distribute annual or more frequent updates on their activities by publishing a newsletter. Newsletters may include updates and progress reports on all aspects of the SSP Program's work, such as membership, elections, vacancies, fundraising, research, statements, photos (rights must be obtained for all photos), and conservation projects. Newsletters must be AZA branded and may be distributed online via the AZA Animal Programs Database, or specifically to IRs and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.
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AZA Animal Programs

In the late 1970’s, the recognition that wildlife populations were declining in the wild and access to collection animals was becoming increasingly more difficult, inspired a group of visionary zoologists to create the Species Survival Plan® (SSP) concept as a cooperative animal management program administered by the AZA. AZA’s first SSPs were created in 1981.

These SSPs functioned by managing each animal of a species held by all AZA member zoos and aquariums as a member of a single population for breeding purposes. The breeding plan for each species made breeding (or “do-not-breed”) recommendations to maintain demographically stable populations with the greatest possible genetic diversity for the long-term future of a healthy and sustainable population. Sustainability of the population is related to many factors including its gene diversity, demographic stability, husbandry expertise, etc.

In 1994, AZA published Species Survival Plans – Strategies for Wildlife Conservation, which stated: “The SSP program was originally conceived to provide a blueprint for cooperative captive breeding programs in North America, but more recently the concept has also evolved to include field conservation efforts.”

The AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Staff and the Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee), which oversee 43 Taxon Advisory Groups (TAGs) and more than 500 Animal Programs, initiated a variety of processes to sustain zoo and aquarium collections and wild species. The TAGs became responsible for creating and maintaining RCPs which recommend species to be managed within AZA-accredited facilities given available space and resources. The AZA Population Management Center (PMC), created in 2000, became responsible for incorporating the data derived from Studbooks and RCPs to identify science-based breeding and transfer recommendations along with each SSP Program. The AZA Reproductive Management Center (RMC) at the St. Louis Zoo was also created in 2000 to assess contraception efficacy, reversibility, and safety for animals not recommended for breeding.

The 2009 publication titled Sustaining the Ark: the challenges faced by zoos in maintaining viable populations (International Zoo Yearbook. 43:6-18) highlighted a fact that many have increasingly recognized over the past several years: “Over the last decade … Ark-related activity” (i.e., maintaining sustainable populations) “has declined as zoos have diversified their conservation activities, re-directing efforts into other areas, such as conservation education, fund-raising and other support for in situ projects….Zoo populations are not achieving the conditions for sustainability.”

The declining sustainability of zoo and aquarium populations likely results from a variety of factors including insufficient animal holding and breeding space, low breeding success, need for more advanced husbandry techniques, or, occasionally, lack of success in completing breeding recommendations. In 2008, Lincoln Park Zoo developed PMCTrack to evaluate the outcomes of breeding and transfer recommendations issued by AZA Program Leaders with the assistance of the PMC. AZA Program Leaders will be able to view their program’s historical outcomes, monitor outcomes going forward, and use simple survey tools to solicit reasons why recommendations didn’t occur from Institutional Representatives, so we can begin to understand how to improve recommendation outcomes. Institutional Liaisons will also have access to the system, so directors and their ILs can evaluate the participation of their staff in the cooperative management system and how their facility is doing at completing recommendations in comparison to the AZA average. Ultimately, the AZA community will be able to use the tools and data in PMCTrack to understand, monitor, and improve AZA’s cooperative management system and the long-term viability of animal populations. The AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department conducted an intensive qualitative and quantitative assessment of the Animal Programs to understand where simplification of processes may assist Program Leaders, how the involvement of non-AZA entities could make crucial founders available, and other aspects that could facilitate Animal Program success, in building sustainable populations.
In 2009, the AZA Board approved a simplified procedure to approve non-member participants in the SSP Programs, a new Full Participation Policy, and a new Animal Management Reconciliation Policy to articulate the roles and responsibilities needed to enhance program success. The Board also formed a Task Force on the Sustainability of Zoo-based Populations and a Task Force on the Sustainability of Aquatic Populations.

The Task Forces on the Sustainability of Zoo-Based Populations and Aquatic Populations, comprised of AZA Board members, the APM Committee Chair, and AZA staff, obtained input from a diversity of individuals from the conservation community including: AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences and Government Affairs staff, the PMC and RMC, the APM Committee and Small Population Management Advisory Group (SPMAG), U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Program Leaders, researchers, and other selected conservation professionals as needed to accomplish the following tasks:

- Review the mission, goals, and limits of the cooperative management of AZA’s Animal Programs.
- Set minimum achievable goals for long-term sustainability of AZA’s Animal Programs.
- Determine which factors have the greatest impact on the sustainability of zoo populations.
- Assess resources needed to sustain the cooperative management of AZA’s Animal Programs.
- Plan for Program Leader succession.
- Assess the ability of the current program administration system to allow programs to meet sustainability requirements.
- Assess current relationships with U.S. government agencies and assess permitting regulations and practices that impede zoos and aquariums in maintaining sustainable populations. Provide recommendations to increase respect for the cooperative management of AZA’s Animal Programs and facilitate legislative and regulatory changes that will maximize collection sustainability.
- Recommend modifications to the cooperative management system structure and the administration of AZA’s Animal Programs to assure programs are positioned to achieve sustainability goals.

Variables Affecting Sustainability

The 2010 AZA Sustainability Task Force’s assessment identified a combination of variables that have contributed to the reduced long-term sustainability of many of AZA’s managed Animal Program populations. As there are a variety of causes, there is no single answer, direction, or solution. These variables include insufficient:

- Knowledge of current Animal Program population sustainability duration and genetic diversity.
- Number of holding and breeding spaces needed to increase the sustainability of the Animal Programs.
- Animal Program planning capacity.
- Institutional awareness surrounding the topic of sustainability.
- Institutional commitment to provide additional holding or breeding spaces.
- Permitting and/or regulatory availability to move animals.
- Advanced breeding expertise.

Enhancing Population Sustainability

In 2010, the Task Force identified, and the AZA Board approved, a variety of new Animal Program management strategies to address these variables and improve the sustainability of AZA’s Animal Programs. These included:

- Assessing and providing each Animal Program population’s projected gene diversity (% GD) at 100 years or 10 generations.
- Designating each Animal Program as a Green SSP Program, a Yellow SSP Program, or a Red Program.
- Increasing educational opportunities for Institutional Directors and staff to gain a detailed understanding of the new Animal Program management strategies including:
  - The critical need for an increased number of holding and breeding spaces.
  - The need for strong institutional support for all Program Leaders and their training.
  - The importance of following Breeding and Transfer Plan recommendations.
- Increasing training opportunities for zoo and aquarium staff to become more skilled at understanding permit application processes and permit writing techniques.
• Enhancing legislative and regulatory efforts to increase recognition of the vital roles of zoos and aquariums serve and better facilitate importation processes to help them build self-sustaining Animal Program populations.

In 2014, the APM Committee assessed and evaluated the effects that the new Animal Program designations had on AZA’s cooperatively managed Animal Programs. After a thorough review, the APM Committee made a recommendation the AZA Board that all AZA cooperatively managed Animal Programs (i.e., Green, Yellow, and Red Programs) be designated as SSP Programs, that minimum SSP criteria be established for those Animal Programs that were not managing species classified as Extinct in the Wild, Critically Endangered, or Endangered, and that all Animal Programs would identify at least three goals. The Board approved establishing criteria that all other SSP Program populations include at least three AZA member facilities and be comprised of at least 20 individuals. At this time, the APM Committee established a new category of TAG managed Candidate Animal Programs that may include those populations that did not meet the minimum SSP Criteria, but where the TAG wishes to grow the Program to become an SSP in time.

Over the years, the AZA community has engaged in several initiatives including working with the Alexander Center for Applied Population Biology and the AZA PMC at Lincoln Park Zoo to develop new tools for sustainability. Funded by grants from the Institute for Museum and Library Services (IMLS), Lincoln Park Zoo has worked with Program Leaders at AZA facilities to conduct Population Viability Analyses (PVAs) for AZA Animal Programs. A PVA is a computer model that projects a population’s likely future status and helps identify key factors that may be impacting the sustainability of the population. From 2011-2016, PVA reports were completed for 135 programs on a TAG-by-TAG basis. TAG summary reports, comparing and contrasting PVA results among different populations, have been completed for 16 AZA TAGs. As of the conclusion of IMLS funding in late 2016, PVAs are continuing to be conducted for additional SSPs to answer specific questions about long-term population viability. PVA reports are made available on the individual SSP or TAG pages within the Animal Programs Database.

An IMLS grant has also used IMLS funding to create a database that compiles extensive quantitative and qualitative information. Informed by this wealth of data, the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department can work with TAGs, SAGs, the PMC, and other working groups to identify patterns in population challenges and to strategically address population needs.

The online tools emerging from the SSP Sustainability Database include the SSP Sustainability Reports and Search Portal. The Database automatically generates SSP Sustainability Reports which summarize SSP species’ basic care, exhibit design, and population management considerations and priorities. This information, which was originally provided by SSP Coordinators, TAG Chairs, and other Animal Program participants, is compiled in a searchable format, allowing collection planning users to perform searches that identify appropriate species for their collection planning criteria, while also directing resources and attention to managed species.

The SSP Sustainability Reports and Search Portal were designed as a member service for collection planners, Program Leaders, research scientists, and other zoo and aquarium staff. Access is available for staff at AZA-accredited facilities and Certified Related Facilities.

AZA SAFE: Saving Animals From Extinction

The mission of AZA’s SAFE: Saving Animals From Extinction is to combine the power of zoo and aquarium visitors with the resources and collective expertise of AZA members and partners to save animals from extinction. The vision of SAFE is that together, we are saving the most vulnerable wildlife species from extinction and protecting them for future generations.

SAFE Species programs protect threatened animals; build on established recovery plans and track records of commitment; prioritize collaboration among AZA member facilities; implement both strategic conservation and public engagement activities; and measure and report conservation progress.

In 2015, ten inaugural SAFE Species were identified including the African penguin, Asian elephant, black rhinoceros, cheetah, gorillas, sea turtles, sharks and rays, vaquita, western pond turtle, and
whooping crane, and SAFE continues to grow. SAFE is a framework that encourages teams to use a collaborative process, incorporate a wide-range of species-specific expertise from AZA members and non-government and government partners, and identify the conservation actions needed to protect those species based on published recovery plans. Three-year SAFE Program Plans, include objectives and actions for conservation, stakeholder and public engagement, public awareness and communications, and fundraising that will make a positive impact on species’ populations in the wild. Employees at AZA-accredited aquariums and zoos lead and implement these projects.

The Wildlife Conservation Committee administers SAFE, with support from AZA staff. Explore current SAFE Species programs or consider whether a species of interest to you may be eligible to become a SAFE Species at: https://www.aza.org/aza-safe.
Chapter 9. Animal Care Manuals

Overview

Animal Care Manuals (ACMs) provide a compilation of animal care and management knowledge that has been gained from recognized species experts based on the current science, practice, and technology of animal management. These manuals compile and organize our understanding of basic requirements, best practices, and animal care recommendations to advance the capacity for excellence in animal care and welfare. These dynamic manuals are considered works in progress, since practices continue to evolve through scientific learning. Once completed, the use of information within each manual should always be in accordance with all local, state, and federal laws and regulations concerning the care of the species specified.

Recommendations included in the manuals are not exclusive management approaches, diets, medical treatments, or procedures, and may require adaptation to the specific needs of individual animals and particular circumstances in each facility. The statements presented throughout the body of the manuals do not represent specific AZA accreditation standards of care unless specifically identified as such in clearly marked as such in sidebar boxes.

ACMs are composed by TAG and Animal Program representatives, managed by the AZA Animal Welfare Committee, and approved by the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department. The developmental procedures used to compose each manual follow a specific sequence that includes several review procedures (internal and external) before AZA reviews, and ultimately approves their publication. Because one of the most important outputs of a TAG is to develop and maintain a current ACM, a summary of the primary developmental procedures are described below, however, in addition, a specific ACM template (http://www.aza.org/animal-care-manuals/) has been composed to ease the process. The ACM template should be adjusted (e.g., edit headers and sub-headers, etc.) to match the needs of your species/taxa.

The key processes needed to compose an ACM are listed below; however the complete set of developmental processes (http://www.aza.org/animal-care-manuals/) should be used as a guide to produce the final publication.

Developmental Processes

Draft ACM Development

- TAGs and/or SSP Programs identify a contact person (Champion) who serves as the main communications conduit between the TAG/SSP and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Staff. The Champion is responsible for
  - seeking input from the TAG/SSP,
  - collecting TAG/SSP-based information,
  - compiling all scientific data and professional information about the natural history and management strategies of the taxa(on),
  - incorporating this information into the pre-existing sections of the ACM template, or editing the headers and sub-headers to match the needs of your species/taxa,
  - proof-reading and updating the TAG Chair on the ACM progress, and
  - communicating ACM issues with the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Staff.

- The Champion will submit the first Draft of the ACM to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will complete a review of the draft and assure that all relevant bullets were addressed.

- The Champion will review the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department edits, develop a 2nd draft ACM, and submit this 2nd draft ACM to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.

- The Champion will review the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department edits, develop a 3rd draft ACM (if necessary), and submit this 3rd draft ACM to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.
• The AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Staff will return the edited final Draft ACM to the Champion to assure that mutually agreeable solutions are achieved for any editorial changes that may be necessary.

Final Draft ACM Review
• Prior to completion of the final Draft ACM, the Champion works with the TAG/SSP to identify two or more external review experts and submits the Final Draft ACM to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department for editing.
• The AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will provide a digital copy of the final Draft ACM to the TAG Steering Committee, SSP Management Group, relevant AZA Committees and Scientific Advisory Groups, and external review experts. Additionally, the final Draft will be posted on the AZA website for the 30-day AZA member comment period.
• The AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will collect all comments from the members and external review experts, organize them according to their corresponding ACM sections, and distribute them via email to the Champion at the close of the 30 day review period.
• The Champion will work with the TAG/SSP to review all comments, incorporate suggestions as deemed necessary and then submit the Pending-Approval ACM to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.

ACM Approval
• If the ACM is approved, the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will post the ACM on the AZA website.
• An updated and revised ACM should be published within 5 years of the last ACM publication. A TAG may update an ACM sooner if significant new information regarding animal care and welfare practices becomes available.

Required Elements of an ACM
The published ACM should include a variety of components that are clear enough for colleagues not familiar with the taxonomic group to understand how and why these recommendations were made. ACMs should provide up-to-date information gained from a large body of expertise including biologists, veterinarians, nutritionists, reproduction physiologists from the contraception center, behaviorists and researchers. TAGs/SSPs must address each of the following elements in the ACM template (www.aza.org/animal-care-manuals) if deemed relevant to the taxa (and may add additional elements if warranted). If data do not exist for particular areas listed below the ACM should state that fact as a clear identification of needed research and study. Each relevant area should be as comprehensive as existing knowledge allows.

**Taxonomic Information**
• Taxonomic classification
• Genus/species/status
• General Information

**Ambient Environment**
• Temperature/humidity
• Light
• Water/air quality
• Sound/vibration

**Habitat Design and Containment**
• Space and complexity
• Safety and containment

**Records**
• Definitions
• Types
• Permit considerations
• Government ownership
• Identification

**Transport**
• Preparations
• Protocols

**Social Environment**
• Group Structure and size
• Influence of others and conspecifics
• Introductions and reintroductions

**Nutrition**
• Nutritional requirements
• Diets
• Nutritional evaluations
Veterinary Care
- Veterinary services
- Transfer examination and diagnostic testing recommendations
- Quarantine
- Preventative medicine
- Capture, restraint, and immobilization
- Management of disease, disorders, injuries, and/or isolation

Reproduction
- Reproductive physiology and behavior
- Assisted reproductive technology
- Pregnancy, egg-laying/parturition
- Birthing/hatching facilities
- Assisted rearing
- Contraception

Behavior Management
- Animal training
- Environmental enrichment
- Staff and animal interactions
- Staff skills and training

Ambassador Animals
- Ambassador animal husbandry
- Institutional ambassador animal programs
- Handling and staff training
- Program evaluation

Research
- Known methodologies
- Future research needs

Other Considerations
- Additional information
Chapter 10. Ambassador Animal Guidelines

Overview

Ambassador Animal Guidelines (AAGs) provide a compilation of knowledge provided by recognized animal and education experts based on the current science, practice, and technology of ambassador animal management and presentation. Each AAG assembles basic requirements, best practices, and animal care recommendations to maximize capacity for excellence in animal care and welfare of ambassador species. These guidelines are considered works in progress, since practices continue to evolve through advances in scientific knowledge. Once completed, the use of information within each guideline should always be in accordance with all local, state, and federal laws and regulations concerning the care of the species specified.

While some government laws and regulations may be referenced, these are not all-inclusive nor is this document intended to serve as an evaluation tool for those agencies. The recommendations included are not meant to be exclusive management approaches, diets, medical treatments, or procedures, and may require adaptation to meet the specific needs of individual animals and particular circumstances in each institution.

AAGs are composed by TAG and Animal Program representatives, managed by the AZA Ambassador Animal Scientific Advisory Group, and approved by the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department. The developmental procedures used to compose each guideline follow a specific sequence that includes several review procedures (internal and external) before AZA reviews and ultimately approves their publication. The key processes needed to compose an AAG are listed below; however the complete set of developmental processes (https://www.aza.org/ambassador-animal-guidelines) should be used as a guide to produce the final publication.

Developmental Processes

Draft AAG Development

- In the event an Animal Care Manual (ACM) exists for a species, or is in the development process, the AAG will be incorporated into the ACM development process or integrated as appropriate at the next scheduled revision.
  - In the absence of an ACM, the AAG would continue through the development and revision process outlined below.
- The AASAG, TAG/SSP, or other relevant committee will identify a contact person (AAG Coordinator) who serves as the main communications conduit between the AASAG, TAG/SSP, and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Staff. The AAG Coordinator is responsible for:
  - seeking input from the TAG/SSP,
  - collecting TAG/SSP-based information,
  - compiling all scientific data and professional information about the natural history and management strategies of the taxa(on),
  - incorporating this information into the pre-existing sections of the AAG template, or editing the headers and sub-headers to match the needs of your species/taxa,
  - proof-reading and updating the TAG Chair on the AAG progress, and
  - communicating AAG issues with the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Staff.
- The AAG Coordinator will submit the first Draft of the AAG to the AASAG Steering Committee for review.
- Upon completion of the draft AAG, the AAG Coordinator will communicate with the TAG/SSP and AASAG to identify to or more external review experts and submit this information and the draft AAG to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department for proofreading and editing.
- When all edits are agreed upon, the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will provide a digital copy of the final Draft AAG to the external reviewers, TAG
Steering Committee, SSP Management Group, AASAG Steering Committee, relevant AZA Committees and Program Leaders, Chair of the Conservation Education Committee, and the Chair of the Animal Welfare Committee. Additionally, the final Draft will be posted on the AZA website for the 30-day AZA member comment period.

- The AAG Coordinator will work with the TAG/SSP and AASAG to review all comments, incorporate suggestions as deemed necessary and provide a written justification report for omitting suggestions deemed unnecessary.

**AAG Approval**

- Once completed, the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department will provide final approval and post the AAG on the AZA website.
- An updated and revised AAG should be published within 5 years of the last AAG publication. An AAG Coordinator may update an AAG sooner if significant new information regarding animal care and welfare practices becomes available.

**Required Elements of an AAG**

The published AAG should include a variety of components that are clear enough for colleagues not familiar with the taxonomic group to understand how and why these recommendations were made. AAGs should provide up-to-date information and must address each of the following elements in the AAG template ([https://www.aza.org/ambassador-animal-guidelines](https://www.aza.org/ambassador-animal-guidelines)) if deemed relevant to the taxa (and may add additional elements if warranted). Each relevant area should be as comprehensive as existing knowledge allows.

**Husbandry**

- Housing
- Diet
- Enrichment
- Animal Training
- Social Grouping
- Signs of Stress

**Programs**

- Program Types
- Temperature Guidelines
- Transport
- Display Options
- Messaging

**Handling and Staff Training**

- Handling Limits
- Handlers and Handler Training
- Handler Certification
Contacts

**AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department**

Candice Dorsey, Ph.D.  
Senior VP, Cons., Mgmt., & Welfare Sciences  
301-244-3339  
cdorsey@aza.org

Shelly Grow, M.S.  
VP, Conservation & Science  
301-244-3363  
sgrow@aza.org

Rebecca Greenberg  
Director of Animal Programs  
301-244-3328  
rgreenberg@aza.org / animalprograms@aza.org

Megan Brown  
Director of Population Management Strategy  
301-244-3366  
mbrown@aza.org

Gillian Cannataro  
Program Assistant, Conservation Programs  
301-244-3342  
gcannataro@aza.org

**AZA Population Management Center**

Kristine Schad Eebes, M.S. (She/Her/Hers)  
PMC Director  
312-742-3993  
kschad@lpzoo.org

Colleen Lynch, M.S.  
Consulting Population Biologist  
803-602-0913  
clynch@riverbanks.org

John Andrews, M.S. (He/Him/His)  
Senior Population Biologist  
312-742-6600  
janrews@lpzoo.org

Cara Groome Bryan, M.S. (She/Her/Hers)  
Consulting Population Biologist  
cgroome@lpzoo.org

Amanda Lawless, M.S. (She/Her/Hers)  
Population Biologist  
312-742-0220  
alawless@lpzoo.org

Paul Senner, M.S. (He/Him/His)  
Population Biologist  
312-742-8865  
PSenner@lpzoo.org

Rachel Bladow (She/Her/Hers)  
Population Biologist  
312-742-7745  
rbladow@lpzoo.org

Kendra Strohmayer  
Planning Coordinator  
312-742-7682  
kstrohmayer@lpzoo.org / pmc@lpzoo.org

Hana Johnstone (She/Her/Hers)  
Research Assistant  
hjohnstone@lpzoo.org / pmc@lpzoo.org

**AZA Reproductive Management Center**

David Powell, Ph.D.  
Director  
314-646-4523  
dpowell@stlzoo.org

Monica McDonald, Ph.D.  
Program Scientist  
314-646-4595  
contraception@stlzoo.org

Ashley Franklin, Ph.D. (She/Her/Hers)  
Program Analyst  
314-646-4732  
franklin@stlzoo.org
AZA Web Resources

AZA Board Approved Policies
https://www.aza.org/board-approved-policies-and-position-statements

Animal Exchange
To access Animal Exchange, the user must be logged in to the AZA website and have Animal Exchange privileges assigned to your individual record in order to use this feature. Never share your log-in information with anyone as you will directly be held responsible for any changes or edits made to secured areas. Once logged in, the Animal Exchange link will be found on the Animals & Conservation > Animal Care & Management dropdown.

Animal Programs Database
The Animal Programs Database contains all Animal Program Data, and is separated out into Animal Program pages. There are separate pages for TAGs, SSP Programs, Studbooks and SAGs. Each Animal Program page can be accessed by going through the:

Animal Program Page Search Portal

Each Animal Program page contains the following (*information only available if logged in):
- Program Leaders, Officers, Advisors
- Program Leader, Officers, Advisors contact information*
- Animal Program details (start dates, websites, etc.)
- Animal Program Species
- Related Animal Programs
- Animal Program Documents*
- Animal Program IR list*

SSP Sustainability Reports and Search Portal

The SSP Sustainability Reports summarize SSP species’ basic care, exhibit design, and population management considerations and priorities. The Search Portal automatically generates these reports which allow collection planners to perform searches that identify appropriate species for their collection planning criteria, while also directing resources and attention to managed species. The SSP Sustainability Reports and Search Portal were designed as a member service for collection planners, Program Leaders, ILs, IRs, research scientists, and other zoo and aquarium staff. Access is available for staff at AZA-accredited facilities and Certified Related Facilities.

Animal Programs Resources
https://www.aza.org/animal-programs-resources

The Animal Programs Resources page contains numerous links, documents and templates aimed to assist Program Leaders. These include:
- Program Leader Handbooks
- Animal Program Applications
- Resource Documents (including templates, guides, and resources related to being a new Program Leader, assessing Sustainability Partners, TAG strategic planning, PVA FAQs, and maintaining Studbooks)
- Contact information for TAGs Chairs, Institutional Liaisons, APM Committee TAG Liaisons, SPMAG TAG Liaisons
- Animal Program Sustainability Designations (updated quarterly)
- Animal Programs Monthly Update
- Current Program Leader Vacancies
- Illustrative protocols to help Program Leaders navigate the Animal Programs Database

Accountability Information and Instructions
http://www.aza.org/animal-program-deadlines/

PMCTrack
Website: www.pmctrack.org; Email: pmctrack@lpzoo.org

PMCTrack evaluates breeding and transfer recommendations to:
- Determine whether each recommendation occurred based on studbook data
• Collect reasons from Institutional Representatives for recommendations not occurring as planned
• Improve management of AZA’s Animal Programs and increase long-term viability of these populations

**Population Management Center**
Website: [http://www.lpzoo.org/population-management-center](http://www.lpzoo.org/population-management-center); Email: pmc@lpzoo.org

**Reproductive Management Center**
Website: [https://www.stlzoo.org/animals/scienceresearch/reproductivemanagementcenter/](https://www.stlzoo.org/animals/scienceresearch/reproductivemanagementcenter/); Email: contraception@stlzoo.org

**ZIMS for Studbooks**
Website: [https://zims.species360.org/](https://zims.species360.org/)
Email: support@species360.org
ZIMS for Studbooks is an online database where Studbook Keepers maintain and track their studbook databases.

**Resources:**
- [A Reference Guide to ZIMS for Studbooks for Animal Program Leaders](https://zims.species360.org/)
- [Starting a New AZA Studbook in ZIMS for Studbooks](https://zims.species360.org/)
- [AZA Guidelines for I. Roles and Access to ZIMS for Studbooks, and II. Sharing Studbook Data](https://zims.species360.org/)
- [Working Together in a Shared Studbook Database](https://zims.species360.org/)
Accountability- Accountability refers to the processes by which Animal Program participants including Program Leaders, Institutional Representatives (IRs), and Institutional Liaisons (ILs) are responsible for producing and reviewing documents, and communicating among appropriate individuals. Accountability of Animal Programs includes meeting deadlines, requesting extensions if needed, maintaining communication with all individuals, and adhering to the AZA’s Full Participation Policy and the Species Survival Plan® Animal Management Reconciliation Policy.

Advisor- An advisor is a non-voting participant of an AZA Animal Program (AP) that provides advice to the AP in their efforts to identify, develop and implement goals related to their species. An advisor may also provide input on Animal Care Manuals and assist with the development of education materials and research projects related to the Advisor’s area of expertise.

Animal Care Manuals (ACMs)- Animal Care Manuals (ACMs) are a compilation of animal care and management knowledge that has been gained from recognized species experts, including AZA Taxon Advisory Groups (TAGs), Species Survival Plan® Programs (SSPs), biologists, veterinarians, nutritionists, reproduction physiologists, behaviorists and researchers. Content is based on the current science, practice, and technology of animal management. The manual assembles best practices, animal care recommendations and AZA accreditation standards to maximize capacity for excellence in animal care and welfare and is updated every 5 years. All ACMs are peer reviewed, widely valued, and acclaimed by other regional associations. All TAGs are required to coordinate the publication of ACMs for the taxa within their purview.

Animal Exchange- The Animal Exchange allows representatives from AZA-Accredited Facilities, Certified Related Facilities and Approved Non-Member Participants to list and search for individuals of a species that can be exchanged to meet the goals of their Institutional Collection Plan (ICP) or the Regional Collection Plan (RCP).

Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee)- The Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee) works collaboratively with other Committees and is responsible for facilitating the professional and scientific management of the animals cared for in AZA-Accredited zoos and aquariums, Certified Related Facilities, and Approved Non-Member Participants. Committee members serve up to two three-year terms and consist of Directors, Vice Presidents (VPs), curators, and registrars. APM Committee develops, oversees, promotes, evaluates, and supports the cooperative animal management, conservation, sustainability, and scientific initiatives of the AZA.

Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee) Liaison- Each Taxon Advisory Group (TAG) is assigned one member of the Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee) who serves as a liaison for that TAG. APM Committee members typically serve as a liaison for 3 TAGs. They communicate with the TAG regularly and serve a crucial advisory role for any policy, procedure, or processes questions the TAG may have, and act as the primary contact and mentor during the TAG’s Regional Collection Plan (RCP) developmental and review process.

Animal Programs Database- The AZA Animal Programs Database allows anyone to access general information about AZA’s Taxon Advisory Groups (TAGs), Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Programs, Studbooks, the individual species included in these AZA Animal Programs (APs), and view Program Leader, Officer and Advisor contact information. AZA members can log in to the AZA Animal Programs Database to gain access to more detailed AP information and have the ability to download Institutional Representative (IR) lists and associated final and draft documents.

Animal Program Summary Table- Animal Program Summary Table identifies each AZA Animal Program (AP) (Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Programs and Studbooks) recommended by the TAG for cooperative management. The following information is included for each AP: the date of the last Breeding and Transfer Plan; the current population size, current gene diversity, designation, and target population size; the number of additional spaces needed to achieve the target population size; and the 5-year population trend, conservation status, and top three goals. This table must be updated as APs are analyzed by the Population Management Center (PMC), a PMC Adjunct or an approved Small Population Management Scientific Advisory Group (SPMAG) Advisor, and is a required component of the TAG Annual Report and the TAG’s Regional Collection Plan (RCP).

Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA)- Founded in 1924, the Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA) is a nonprofit organization dedicated to the advancement of accredited zoos and aquariums in the areas of animal care, wildlife conservation, education and science. AZA is America’s leading accrediting organization for zoos and aquariums and accredits only those facilities that have achieved rigorous standards for animal care, education, wildlife conservation and science.

AZA Animal Program- AZA Animal Programs (APs) include Taxon Advisory Groups (TAGs), Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Programs and Studbook Programs. APs are responsible for the extraordinary leadership, development,
oversight, promotion, evaluation and support of AZA’s cooperative animal management, conservation, and scientific initiatives. Management tools, databases, reference materials, policies, and management plans have been developed to facilitate exceptional AP collaboration within and amongst AZA-accredited facilities.

**AZA Brand/Branded** The signature for the Association of Zoos & Aquariums is a unique piece of artwork that has been designed specifically for our brand. Consisting of the AZA wordmark and the AZA ampersand symbol, the signature is an extremely valuable asset and the most concise visual representation of our brand.

**AZA Board Approved Policies** - AZA policies may be drafted by AZA Committees, Scientific Advisory Groups (SAGs), and Animal Programs (APs) in collaboration with their AZA Staff and Board Liaisons but all AZA-related policies must be approved by the AZA Board of Directors before being finalized, published, or distributed. AZA policies may cover topics such as animal management, animal programs, conservation, ethics, health, husbandry and welfare, research and technology, and safety.

**AZA Dedicated Funds Account** - AZA Committees, Scientific Advisory Groups (SAGs), Taxon Advisory Groups (TAGs), Species Survival Plan® Programs, and SAFE Species Programs who hold and distribute money raised specifically to support projects initiated or coordinated by their group must use an AZA Dedicated Funds to manage all transactions.

**AZA Mission** - The Association of Zoos & Aquariums (AZA) provides its members the services, high standards and best practices needed to be leaders and innovators in animal care, wildlife conservation and science, conservation education, the guest experience, and community engagement.

**AZA Network** - The Association of Zoos & Aquariums’ online private social networking tool.

**AZA Policy for Full Participation** - AZA policy stating that all AZA-accredited facilities and Certified Related Facilities having a Green SSP animal in their collection are required to participate in the collaborative SSP planning process (e.g., provide relevant animal data to the AZA Studbook Keeper, assign an Institutional Representative (IR) who will communicate institutional wants and needs to the SSP Coordinator, comment on the draft plan during the 30-day review period, and abide by the recommendations agreed upon in the final plan). All AZA member facilities and Animal Programs (APs), regardless of management designation, must adhere to the AZA Acquisition, Transfer and Transition Policy, as well as the AZA Code of Professional Ethics.

**AZA Strategic Plan** - AZA accredited zoos and aquariums will be recognized for leading a compelling wildlife conservation movement. We will achieve this by caring for wildlife and wild places; educating and engaging public, professional and government audiences; serving and increasing membership; and developing a robust and sustainable economic model which empowers AZA to provide superlative member services.

**Breeding and Transfer Plans** - Breeding and Transfer Plans (BTPs) summarize the current demographic and genetic status of a Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Program, describe the SSP Program management designation, and recommend breeding pairs and transfers. Breeding and Transfer Plans are designed to maintain a healthy, genetically diverse and demographically stable population.

**Candidate Programs** - TAG managed Animal Programs are not considered official AZA cooperatively managed Animal Programs; however the TAG has the goal to grow these populations to meet minimum criteria to be an SSP Program. Candidate Programs manage smaller populations (19 or fewer individual animals), and/or manage populations among only one or two participating AZA member facilities. New Animal Programs that do not have a published AZA Regional Studbook will also be classified as Candidate Programs until an AZA Regional Studbook is published.

**Certified Related Facilities** - Organizations holding wildlife that are not commercial entities, and are not open to the public on a regularly scheduled, predictable basis. The facility shall be under the direction of a professional staff trained in animal husbandry, and shall be further defined as having conservation and preservation as part of its mission—a mission that shall have a beneficial, tangible, supportive impact on the zoological and aquarium professions. This includes wildlife ranches, wildlife refuges or rehab centers, research facilities, survival centers, breeding farms, and/or similar organizations.

**Conservation Grants Fund (CGF)** - Established in 1984, CGF supports the cooperative conservation-related scientific and educational initiatives of AZA and AZA-accredited zoos and aquariums and their collaborators. CGF grants are awarded in six categories: Animal Health, Animal Welfare, Conservation Education, Field Conservation and/or Reintroduction, Management and/or Breeding, Research.
**Conservation Partner**- Organizations that support the vision, mission and goals of zoos and aquariums. Conservation Partners represent AZA-Accredited Facility member societies and associated organizations, professional societies, conservation organizations, universities, some government entities and other non-profits.

**Ex situ Conservation**- Preservation of species outside of their native habitat.

**Global Species Management Plan (GSMP)**- GSMPs are formal, international population management plans among a minimum of two regional zoological associations, and are overseen by WAZA. GSMPs are a valuable partnership when population goals for increasing sustainability cannot be met within a single region. A GSMP provides an opportunity to combine several regional populations, thus improving the genetic and demographic management potential by increasing the population’s size, carrying capacity, and other resources.

**Green Species Survival Plan® (Green SSP) Program**- A Green SSP Program has a population size of 50 or more animals and is projected to retain 90% gene diversity for a minimum of 100 years or 10 generations. Green SSP Programs are subject to AZA’s Full Participation and Sustainability Partner Policies.

**International Studbook**- The World Association of Zoos and Aquariums’ (WAZA) Committee of Population Management (CPM) administers and provides oversight to International Studbooks. International Studbooks provide a valuable service to the zoological community by offering the most complete and accurate global data on the ex situ population’s pedigree and demography, if possible including husbandry and veterinary guidance, and enhancing management of the ex situ population through analysis of the International Studbook data.

**In situ Conservation**- Preservation of natural communities and populations of species in the wild.

**Institutional Liaison (IL)**- The Institutional Liaison (IL) assures that there is effective communication and participation between the facility and AZA’s Animal Programs (APs). The IL designates Institutional Representatives (IRs), keeps the facility’s IR list current, and is responsible for updating IR contact information on the AZA website. The IL serves as the default IR for any AP which does not have an IR assigned and is required to respond accordingly. The IL works with Program Leaders and IRs to assure that their facility fully participates in all associated Taxon Advisory Groups (TAGs) and Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Programs, and if necessary, will meet in conflict resolution processes.

**Institutional Representative (IR)**- The Institutional Representative (IR) is the primary contact between his/her facility and the Program Leader of the Animal Programs (APs) to which s/he has been designated. The IR is responsible for maintaining open communication between the AP and the facility, communicating to the Program Leader on behalf of the facility, and participating in the AP communications and activities.

**Management Group**- At a minimum, the Management Group is composed of the Coordinator, Vice Coordinator, and AZA Regional Studbook Keeper. The Management Group serves as the voting body for Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Program business and all members are integrally involved in the SSP Program appointments, publications, and meetings. Management Group members must be elected from the SSP Program’s Institutional Representative (IRs).

**MateRx**- The primary output is a matrix of genetic ratings for every possible breeding pair in a population which allow Program Leaders to quickly discover how the genetic status of animals in their collections compare to the rest of a managed population. Note that this does not include any demographic, logistic, or other variables that should be considered when recommending breeding.

**Monthly Animal Programs Update**- AZA’s Monthly Animal Programs Update contains information about the most recent news pertaining to Animal Programs (APs), Professional Development Courses, workshops, conferences, meetings, funding and award opportunities, new Program Leaders, Program Leader vacancies, new publications, and information regarding Breeding and Transfer Plans.

**Officer**- Officer positions for an Animal Program (AP) include the Program Leader Taxon Advisory Group (TAG) Vice Chair or Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Program Vice Coordinator, TAG or SSP Secretary, and if any financial components are incorporated into the Animal Program, a TAG or SSP Treasurer. Officers, with the exception of the TAG Chair or SSP Coordinator, are elected from the TAG Steering Committee or SSP Management Group and the Steering Committee/Management Group forms the electorate for that vote.

**PMC Adjunct**- PMC Adjunct Population Biologists are advisors that are approved by AZA and advise AZA Animal Programs from their home facilities. PMC Adjuncts provide many services for AZA Animal Programs including producing Breeding and Transfer Plans, providing informal genetic or demographic advice between plans, investigating unknown or partially-known pedigrees, developing pedigree assumptions and creating analytical studbooks, conducting research and helping to develop software to improve methods of population management, and troubleshooting software problems.
PMCTrack - PMCTrack is a web-based database and monitoring system designed to evaluate the outcomes of breeding and transfer recommendations made through the AZA Animal Programs (APs) such as Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Programs. PMCTrack provides the necessary tools and data to understand, monitor, and improve AZA's cooperative population management system. PMCTrack includes survey functionality to request additional information from facilities on the information needed for preparing for SSP Breeding and Transfer Plans (wants/needs, reasons for unfulfilled outcomes).

Population Management Center (PMC) - The AZA Population Management Center (PMC) hosted by the Lincoln Park Zoo in Chicago, Illinois, as well as San Diego Zoo Global in San Diego, California, is responsible for conducting demographic and genetic analyses needed to develop and distribute population management recommendations for all SSP Programs. PMC staff, including Population Biologists, Planning Coordinator, and Research Assistant, assist each SSP in the development of their population management plans by making sure the data are accurate, determining the current population status, predicting the future population status, identifying specific breeding and transfer recommendations, and distributing the plan to all participating AZA-accredited facilities. In addition, the PMC contributes valuable information for AZA Sustainability Reports and Regional Collection Plans (RCPs).

Population Sustainability - AZA's cooperatively managed Animal Programs reach population sustainability when the projected gene diversity (% GD) at 100 years or 10 generations is greater than or equal to 90%. The SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan for each species makes recommendations to maintain demographically stable populations with the greatest possible genetic diversity for the long-term future of a healthy and sustainable population. Sustainability of the population is related to many factors including its gene diversity, demographic stability, husbandry expertise, etc.

Population Viability Analysis (PVA) - A PVA is a computer model that projects the likely future status of a population. PVAs are used for evaluating long-term sustainability, setting population goals, and comparing alternative management strategies. Several quantitative parameters are used in a PVA to calculate the extinction risk of a population, forecast the population's future trajectory, and identify key factors impacting the population's future.

Program Leader - Program Leaders include Taxon Advisory Group (TAG) Chairs, Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Program Coordinators, AZA Regional Studbook Keepers, and Candidate Program Leaders.

Publish - An SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan, AZA Regional Studbook, Population Viability Analysis, MateRx, or a TAG Regional Collection Plan is considered published once the document is posted on that Animal Program's page in the AZA Animal Programs Database.

Red Species Survival Plan® (Red SSP) Program - A Red Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Program has a population size of twenty or more animals managed among three or more participating AZA facilities. If a population does not meet these minimum criteria, but has an IUCN designation of Critically Endangered, Endangered, or Extinct in the Wild, and the TAG has developed three goals to sustain this population, then the population will be considered a Red SSP Program. Red SSPs cannot retain 90% gene diversity for 100 years or 10 generations and participation by AZA facilities is voluntary. Red SSP Programs are subject to AZA's Sustainability Partner Policy.

Regional Collection Plan (RCP) - Taxon Advisory Groups (TAGs) develop Regional Collection Plans (RCPs) to recommend species for cooperative management among the Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA) member facilities, determine the sustainability goals for each recommended Animal Program (AP) within its purview, identify objectives relevant to their long-term collection plans, and assure adherence to AZA’s animal management and conservation goals.

Reproductive Management Center (RMC) - The AZA Reproductive Management Center (RMC), hosted by the Saint Louis Zoo, is responsible for assessing factors such as contraception type efficacy, reversibility, and safety; an animal's age, reproductive status, behavioral and social needs, and delivery system practicality when recommending appropriate contraception methods for the animals cared for in AZA-accredited facilities.

Scientific Advisory Group (SAG) - Established in 1991, Scientific Advisory Groups (SAGs) help facilitate, support, network and coordinate the relevant research activities of its member facilities. SAGs are made up of experts in a particular field of wildlife science. Members include veterinarians, researchers and zoo- and aquarium-based curators with appropriate scientific training, as well as university, government and other outside scientists with a commitment to sharing their particular expertise.

Small Population Management Scientific Advisory Group (SPMAG) - A Scientific Advisory Group (SAG) that provides technical advice pertaining to population management for AZA Animal Programs. SPMAG helps advance the science of applied small population biology and develops tools for use by small population managers.
Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Program- An AZA SSP Program is an AZA cooperatively managed program that strives to manage an ex situ species population with the interest and cooperation of AZA-accredited facilities; is identified through documented demand and potential sustainability within the AZA community; is selected by TAGs through the RCP process; and develops a Breeding and Transfer Plan that identifies population goals and recommendations to manage a genetically diverse, demographically varied, and biologically sound population. Success is achieved when SSP animals are available to meet program goals and come from biologically sound populations as a result of a shared commitment to cooperative populations and program management.

Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Coordinator- An Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Program Coordinator performs various duties to lead and support the AZA SSP program. The SSP Coordinator works with Institutional Representative (IRs), the AZA Regional Studbook Keeper (if different from the Coordinator), the Taxon Advisory Group (TAG), the Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee), and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department, as well as any associated governmental agencies, to develop, oversee, promote, and support the cooperative animal management, conservation, and research initiatives of the SSP Program. The primary responsibility of the SSP Coordinator is to regularly complete and distribute an SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan for the managed population.

SSP Sustainability Report- An automatically generated 5-page report that summarizes husbandry practices, exhibit management, species appeal, educational opportunities, multi-species exhibit considerations, species biology, SSP population dynamics, management priorities, challenges to sustainability, and research needs. The report is a compilation of the SSP Coordinator’s expertise and the current and projected population summaries from the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan or PVA.

SSP Sustainability Search Portal- An online tool for collection planners, Program Leaders, ILs, IRs, research scientists, and other zoo and aquarium staff. The searchable format allows collection planning users to perform searches that identify appropriate species for their collection planning criteria, while also directing resources and attention to managed species.

Statement of Individual Commitment- A signed statement by the potential new Animal Program (AP) officer to show that the individual is willing and able to meet the commitments and responsibilities of the AP and leading the group in its mission.

Statement of Institutional Support- A signed statement by the potential new Animal Program (AP) officer’s facility to show that the facility is willing and able to support this individual in meeting the commitments and responsibilities of the AP and leading the group in its mission.

Steering Committee- The Steering Committee serves as the voting body for Taxon Advisory Group (TAG) business, and all members are integrally involved in TAG decision making, appointments, publications and meetings. The Steering Committee is composed of 5-15 members, including Officers. Each TAG may determine the optimal size and management of its Steering Committee.

Studbooks- An AZA Regional Studbook dynamically documents the pedigree and entire demographic history of each individual in a population of species. These collective histories are known as the population’s genetic and demographic identity and are invaluable tools that track and manage each individual cared for in AZA-Accredited Zoos and Aquariums, Certified Related Facilities and by Approved Sustainability Partners as part of a single ex situ population.

Studbook Keeper- The AZA Regional Studbook Keeper is responsible for maintaining an accurate record of the histories of all individual animals in an ex situ population. The AZA Regional Studbook Keeper works directly with the associated Taxon Advisory Group (TAG) and Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Program, all participating AZA member facilities, the Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee), Population Management Center (PMC), a PMC Adjunct, or an approved Small Population Management Advisory Group (SPMAG) advisor, and the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department to complete and distribute a timely and accurate AZA Regional Studbook to be used for demographic and genetic analyses relevant to the SSP Program’s population management.

Sustainability Designations- An initial Studbook, or a Population Viability Analysis (PVA), Breeding and Transfer Plan, or MateRx determines an Animal Program’s (AP’s) designation. Sustainability Designations include Green Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Programs, Yellow SSP Programs, and Red SSP Programs. This list is updated quarterly on the Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA) website.
**Sustainability Partners** - AZA Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee) approved wildlife facilities that regularly exchange animals with AZA-accredited facilities and certified related facilities, typically as part of the Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Program Breeding and Transfer Plan or other SSP Program management process.

**Target Population Size (TPS)** - The desired number of SSP animals to be held across AZA and approved partner facilities over a specific, stated timeframe. This number is determined with consideration for program roles and goals (genetic, demographic, and others), logistical constraints, spatial competition with other TAG-managed species, and other population-specific concerns. Target Population Size is determined by the Taxon Advisory Group (TAG) and published in their Regional Collection Plan (RCP).

**Taxon Advisory Group (TAG) Annual Report** - Taxon Advisory Group (TAG) Annual Reports update the Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee) and the Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA) Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department on the conservation work of the TAG, and the Animal Programs (APs) within the TAG’s purview. TAG Annual Reports provide the Chair an opportunity to document and communicate any potential issues within the TAG’s programs, and allow an opportunity for the TAG to submit AP meeting minutes and other materials to AZA on an annual basis. Reports are due to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department July 15 of each year.

**Taxon Advisory Group (TAG)** - Established in 1990, Taxon Advisory Groups (TAGs) examine the conservation and management needs of entire taxa, or groups of related species. TAGs establish priorities for management, research, and conservation. TAGs select appropriate species for AZA conservation and management programs and provide a forum for discussing husbandry, veterinary, ethical, and other issues that apply to entire taxa.

**Taxon Advisory Group (TAG) Chair** - The primary responsibility of the Taxon Advisory Group (TAG) Chair is to assure the completion and distribution of a Regional Collection Plan (RCP). Additional responsibilities include leadership of the TAG, organization of its members, oversight and consistent communication with all Animal Programs within the TAG’s purview (Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Program, AZA Regional Studbooks, and Candidate Programs), the Institutional Liaisons (ILs), Institutional Representatives (IRs), and reporting to the Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee). The TAG Chair serves as the primary contact and AZA expert for the taxon and abides by the duties and responsibilities defined for the position.

**Yellow Species Survival Plan® (Yellow SSP) Program** - A Yellow Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Program has a population size of 50 or more animals but cannot retain 90% gene diversity for 100 years or 10 generations. Yellow SSP participation by AZA facilities is voluntary. Yellow SSP Programs are subject to AZA’s Sustainability Partner Policy.
Appendix A: Policy on Full Participation in SSPs

Policy for Full Participation in the Species Survival Plan®

Cooperative animal management and conservation are among the primary goals of the Association of Zoos & Aquariums (AZA). These goals are best exemplified by the Association’s shared commitment to its cornerstone animal management and conservation program: the Species Survival Plan® (SSP). The AZA Board of Directors recognizes that: 1. Cooperative animal management is vital to the long-term survival of professionally managed zoological parks and aquariums and their valuable and often irreplaceable live animal collections; and 2. All AZA-accredited institutions and Certified Related Facilities should be fully committed to the animal management, conservation, and public education goals as well as the collaborative spirit of the SSP partnership. Therefore, in 2000, the Board adopted the first policy of Full Participation in the SSP program by all AZA member institutions.

An SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan articulates long- and short-term goals for a population. It plans the “family tree” of each managed population to minimize the rate of loss of genetic diversity and maintain the long-term demographic stability of the population. Breeding and other population management recommendations are made for each animal with consideration of logistical feasibility, animal welfare, and other factors that can improve SSP outcomes. In addition to breeding recommendations, Breeding and Transfers also include a recommendation not to breed certain animals for sound husbandry reasons and the betterment of the population. The Board recognizes that, in the collaborative process of managing the SSPs, the responsibility of each SSP Management Group is to make sound Breeding and Transfer Plan recommendations, and also recognizes that, at times, these may conflict with a member institution’s plans.

The Board emphasizes the responsibility of all institutions to cooperate in SSP Master Planning. If differences occur between an SSP’s recommendations and a participating institution, the SSP Coordinator and the IR have a joint responsibility to work collaboratively to resolve it. When an SSP recommendation is fundamental to the collaborative management of the ex situ population, then the SSP recommendation should take precedence. In this process, all institutions’ clearly stated and reasonable needs will be considered. If an SSP recommendation is not fundamental to the collaborative management of the ex situ population, then the SSP Management Group may elect to change it before the Breeding and Transfer Plan is finalized. Thus, when an SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan is approved its animal management recommendations will accurately reflect the vital needs of both the SSP and the participating institutions.

The Policy for Full Participation in the SSP Program assures that AZA Accredited Institutions and Certified Related Facilities have input into the SSP Master Planning process and that they fully comprehend, agree to, and follow the final SSP recommendations. The Board now further defines Full Participation in the SSP program, and the processes used to achieve Full Participation, as follows:

- The Institutional Liaison (IL) at AZA Accredited Institutions or Certified Related Facilities will assure that an Institutional Representative (IR) is appointed for each SSP species the institution/facility owns or holds, or for which the institution selects to support as defined by the SSP Management Group.
- Each IR must serve as the primary point of contact for all matters relating to their assigned SSP and will assure that their institution responds to SSP needs for information during Master Planning.
- Periodically and regularly, the SSP Coordinator will ask each participating institution’s IR how their institution will participate in the SSP: breeding, non-breeding (where an institution cannot breed due to space, or other factors), or support.
- Prior to the Breeding and Transfer Plan development, at the request of the SSP Coordinator, each IR will provide all relevant data regarding individual SSP animals to the corresponding SSP Coordinator and Studbook Keeper in a timely manner. Further, IRs must assure that all proposed acquisitions or dispositions of the SSP species are included in the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan or, if the Breeding and Transfer Plan is already published, are approved in advance by the SSP Coordinator or, preferably the SSP Management Group. SSP Coordinators and IRs must work collaboratively to develop an SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan that strives to meet the needs of the SSP program and the needs of participating institutions.
- A draft of the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan, which must include a written record of all animal management recommendations, will be published on the AZA web site for a 30-day comment period and the SSP Coordinator will notify all IRs as soon as the Plan is available for comment. IRs at all participating institutions must inform the SSP Coordinator during the comment period that they will adhere to the Breeding and Transfer Plan recommendations, or why they cannot, which will initiate the resolution discussions described below. If all participants agree with the recommendations, the final Breeding and Transfer Plan will be published and implemented.
• Each IR must assure that their institution’s Director and IL are aware of the Breeding and Transfer Plan and its recommendations and must initiate a collaborative discussion with the SSP Coordinator to resolve differences regarding Breeding and Transfer Plan recommendations during the comment period. All involved should maintain accurate records of all related communications and discussions.

• If a resolution with no change to the SSP recommendations is found, then the final Breeding and Transfer Plan will be published and implemented.

• If a resolution that causes changes in the SSP recommendations is reached, the edited Breeding and Transfer Plan will be re-posted for a final 30-day comment period. IRs at institutions affected by the edited recommendation(s) must respond to the SSP Coordinator during the final comment period regarding their agreement to adhere to the recommendations; institutions not affected by the changes will not need to respond again. At this stage, the finalized Breeding and Transfer Plan will be published and all institutions agreeing to adhere to the Breeding and Transfer Plan’s recommendations will commence implementing the Breeding and Transfer Plan.

• If no resolution is found through direct discussion between the SSP Coordinator and the IR(s), they must work cooperatively with the IL, institutional Director, and corresponding TAG Chair to find one. If necessary, the discussion can extend for an additional 30 days, during which time the institution disputing a recommendation must not engage in any breeding or acquisitions and/or dispositions of species that run counter to the SSP recommendations.

If differences are not resolved by the steps outlined above, then the SSP Coordinator and/or any other involved parties must request that AZA’s Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee) mediate the situation as defined in the AZA Animal Management Reconciliation Policy and, again, the institution disputing the recommendation must not engage in any breeding, acquisitions and/or dispositions that run counter to the SSP recommendations until the mediation and, if necessary, the reconciliation process is complete. Emergencies or other extraordinary circumstances will be considered for the health and welfare of the animals. Institutions not affected by the disagreement will continue carrying out their recommendations.
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Appendix B: AZA Animal Management Reconciliation Policy

Species Survival Plan® – Animal Management Reconciliation Policy

The success of cooperative breeding programs depends on all institutions supporting Species Survival Plan® (SSP) recommendations. Therefore, the Board emphasizes the crucial nature of the cooperative process in the development of SSP Breeding and Transfer Plans to assure that animal management recommendations accurately reflect the vital needs of both the SSPs and participating Accredited Institutions and Certified Related Facilities.

If differences regarding SSP recommendations occur between the SSP Management Group and a member Institution, AZA’s Full Participation Policy clearly articulates the process that both parties must utilize to resolve them prior to engaging in the Animal Management Reconciliation process. However, if such differences cannot be resolved, then the parties involved must request that AZA’s Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee) mediate the situation.

- APM Committee will (1) determine if all efforts to resolve differences have been exhausted and, (2) determine if the recommendations in question are fundamental to the cooperative management of the ex situ population. If both situations are true, then APM Committee will notify all parties and appoint a Mediation Task Force which includes the APM Committee Chair / designee, one member of APM Committee selected by each party to represent them, the SSP Coordinator, the institution’s Director and two other institutional representatives, and AZA’s VP of Animal Conservation, or designee.

- The Mediation Task Force will conduct a confidential review of the situation in less than 30 days. Within 2 weeks of the completed review, the APM Committee Chair / designee will draft a mediation report describing a consensus decision, which will be reviewed by the participating parties. Comments on the draft report must be returned within a week of distribution. The APM Committee Chair / designee will consider all comments and produce a final mediation report. Assuming a resolution is reached, the report will be submitted to all participants involved in the process and the matter will be closed.

- If the mediation process yields no resolution, APM Committee must notify all parties and initiate the reconciliation process, during which the institution in question must not engage in any breeding, acquisitions and / or dispositions that run counter to the SSP until a resolution is found. The Reconciliation Committee, over which the APM Committee Chair / designee presides, will include the institution’s Director or designee, the APM Committee Board Liaison, and AZA’s Sr. VP of Conservation, VP of Animal Conservation, or designee, and Executive Director, or designee. The Reconciliation Committee will consider the Mediation Task Force report and determine if additional information is required.

- In its call for greater accountability, the AZA Board holds that action by the Accreditation Commission and / or the Ethics Board can be taken against a member institution that: (1) demonstrates a pattern of a failure to participate and / or (2) demonstrates an action contrary to an SSP program recommendation which threatens the short- or long-term management of the ex situ population. Therefore, the Reconciliation Committee will specifically consider if either of these instances is found to be valid.

- If it is determined that the member institution’s action is not detrimental to the cooperative management of the ex situ population, then the Breeding and Transfer Plan will be changed accordingly and the results of these findings will be incorporated into a reconciliation final report submitted to the AZA Conservation Office.

- If it is determined that the member institution’s action is detrimental to the cooperative management of the ex situ population, and / or is part of a pattern of a failure to participate, then the Breeding and Transfer Plan will stand as is and the Reconciliation Committee will notify the institution that they must comply with it. If the institution refuses this directive, the Reconciliation Committee will note this in the reconciliation final report filed with AZA’s Conservation Office and provide the report to the Accreditation Commission and the Ethics Board for consideration.
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Appendix C: SSP Coordinator Application

Individuals interested in becoming an SSP Coordinator must complete the following application and submit it to The TAG Chair, or if the TAG does not have a current RCP or there is no TAG Chair, the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.

*Please note that this application is available in a digitized Word form at https://www.aza.org/animal-program-applications

1. Applicant Name: ______________________________
   AZA supporting facility: ______________________
   Are you an AZA Individual Member? _______________
   Phone: ______________________________________
   Email: _______________________________________
   Date Application Submitted: ______________________

2. Common and Scientific name(s) of the species: ___________________

3. Which TAG oversees this SSP? _____________________

4. Name of the current AZA Regional Studbook Keeper, if other than you: _________________

5. Date of program’s last Breeding & Transfer Plan: ___________________________________

6. List all other AZA Program Leader positions (e.g., Studbook Keeper, SSP Coordinator, etc) you hold or have held, and the most recent publication date of relevant Animal Program documents (e.g., Studbook, Breeding and Transfer Plan, RCP).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Leader Position</th>
<th>Term dates</th>
<th>Publication</th>
<th>Date Last published</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>E.g.: XX Studbook Keeper</em></td>
<td>2010 – present</td>
<td>Studbook</td>
<td>12 May 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Attach a current curriculum vitae.
SSP Coordinator Statements of Commitment and Support

AZA SSP Coordinators and their supporting facilities must be willing and able to devote the necessary resources to oversee and manage an AZA Species Survival Plan®. As outlined in the AZA Species Survival Plan® Program Handbook these duties and responsibilities include:

- Publishing a complete Breeding and Transfer Plan with the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or an Approved SPMAG member at least every three years after initial Breeding and Transfer Plan publication.
- Communicating any SSP Program data updates to the TAG Chair.
- Ensuring that SSP Program participants fully understand and abide by the AZA Policy on Responsible Population Management, the AZA Code of Professional Ethics, and the Sustainability Partner Policy.
- Ensuring that Green SSP Program participants fully understand and abide by the AZA Policy for Full Participation in the SSP Program and the AZA Animal Management Reconciliation Policy.
- Ensuring that all holding facilities have a designated IR, and that this designation has updated on the AZA website. Contact the Institutional Liaison (IL) when a discrepancy between IR designations is found between the SSP Coordinator’s IR list and the IR list on the AZA website.
- Ensuring that all Officers and Management Group members update their personal information to the TAG Chair and on the AZA website.
- Sending copies of all significant SSP Program documents to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department and TAG Chair.
- Maintaining regular contact with and respond in a timely fashion to inquiries/questions/concerns from SSP Program members, the TAG Chair, and the AZA office.
- Understanding that failure to meet these obligations and those outlined within the AZA Species Survival Plan® Program Handbook could result in removal from the Animal Program.

The _________________ (Name of facility) is committed to providing the necessary resources to oversee and manage the AZA ______________ SSP program as outlined above. This may include:

- Access to computers and software necessary for database management, assembling a complete Breeding and Transfer Plan for distribution and communication via email.
- Funding for travel to professional meetings, workshops or to meet with Population Advisors.
- Scheduled time within routine work schedules to accomplish Animal Program related tasks.

The above-named facility further acknowledges that information gathered for SSP Programs supported by the facility is not the exclusive property of the facility and enters public domain upon publication on the AZA website. The facility also understands that part of any SSP Program Officers’ responsibility is to promote the development of sustainable populations.

Name of Applicant: ________________
Date: ______________

The following will serve as your digital signature:
I, ________________ (Name of Applicant) have read and agree to the terms and conditions stated above.

Name of the Director/Governing Official: _____________
Date: ______________

The following will serve as your digital signature:
I, ______________ (Name of Director/Governing Official) have read and agree to the terms and conditions stated above.
Appendix D: SSP Coordinator and Regional Studbook Keeper Application

Individuals interested in becoming an SSP Coordinator and Studbook Keeper must complete the following application and submit it to the TAG Chair, or if the TAG does not have a current RCP or there is no TAG Chair, the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department.

*Please note that this application is available in a digitized Word form at https://www.aza.org/animal-program-applications

1. Applicant Name: ______________________________
   AZA supporting facility: _______________________
   Are you an AZA Individual Member? _______________
   Phone: _____________________________________________________________________________
   Email: _____________________________________________________________________________
   Date Application Submitted: _______________________________________________________

2. Common and Scientific name(s) of the species: ___________________

3. Which TAG oversees this SSP? _____________________

4. Date of program’s last Breeding & Transfer Plan: ___________________________________

5. Date of program’s last Studbook: ______________________________

6. List all other AZA Program Leader positions (e.g., Studbook Keeper, SSP Coordinator, etc) you hold or have held, and the most recent publication date of relevant Animal Program documents (e.g., Studbook, Breeding and Transfer Plan, RCP).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Leader Position</th>
<th>Term dates</th>
<th>Publication</th>
<th>Date Last published</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E.g.: XX Studbook Keeper</td>
<td>2010 – present</td>
<td>Studbook</td>
<td>12 May 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Attach a current *curriculum vitae.*
SSP Coordinator and Studbook Keeper Statements of Commitment and Support

AZA SSP Coordinators and Studbook Keepers and their supporting facilities must be willing and able to devote the necessary resources to oversee and manage an AZA Species Survival Plan®. As outlined in the AZA Species Survival Plan® Program and AZA Regional Studbook Keeper Handbooks these duties and responsibilities include:

- Completing the Population Management 1 (PM1) Professional Development course.
- Creating, updating and submitting a current AZA Regional Studbook report to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department for publication on the AZA website.
- Submitting a complete, current AZA Regional Studbook database to the AZA Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department and the PMC at least once every three years. In the event of loss of employment/resignation, assure that the Studbook Keeper and/or supporting facility provide relevant AZA Regional Studbook documents to the TAG Chair and to the replacement AZA Regional Studbook Keeper.
- Providing an up to date AZA Regional Studbook database to the SSP Program’s Population Advisor (PMC, PMC Adjunct, SPMAG Advisor) prior to each formal population planning meeting, or as needed for population management purposes.
- Publishing a complete Breeding and Transfer Plan with the PMC, a PMC Adjunct, or an Approved SPMAG member at least every three years after initial Breeding and Transfer Plan publication.
- Ensuring that SSP Program participants fully understand and abide by the AZA Acquisition, Transfer, and Transition Policy and the AZA Code of Professional Ethics, both of which apply to all AZA Animal Programs.
- Ensuring that Green SSP Program participants fully understand and abide by the AZA Policy for Full Participation in the SSP Program, the AZA Animal Management Reconciliation Policy and the AZA SSP Sustainability Partner Policy.
- Maintaining regular contact with and respond in a timely fashion to inquiries/questions/concerns from SSP Program members, the TAG Chair, and the AZA office.
- Understanding that failure to meet these obligations and those outlined within the AZA Species Survival Plan® Program and AZA Regional Studbook Keeper Handbooks could result in removal from the Animal Program.

The _________________ (Name of facility) is committed to providing the necessary resources to oversee and manage the AZA ______________ SSP and Studbook as outlined above. This may include:

- Funding to attend Population Management 1 and 2.
- Access to computers and software necessary for database management, assembling a complete Breeding and Transfer Plan and AZA Regional Studbook for distribution and communication via email.
- Funding for travel to professional meetings, workshops or to meet with Population Advisors.
- Scheduled time within routine work schedules to accomplish Animal Program related tasks.

The above-named facility further acknowledges that information gathered for SSP Programs supported by the facility is not the exclusive property of the facility and enters public domain upon publication on the AZA website. The facility also understands that part of any AZA Animal Program Leader’s responsibility is to promote the development of sustainable populations.

Name of Applicant: ______________

Date: ______________

The following will serve as your digital signature:
I, ______________ (Name of Applicant) have read and agree to the terms and conditions stated above.

Name of the Director/Governing Official:

Date: ______________

The following will serve as your digital signature:
I, ______________ (Name of Director/Governing Official) have read and agree to the terms and conditions stated above.
Appendix E: Statements of Commitment and Support for Officers

*Please note that these statements are available in a digitized Word form in the Program Leader Applications at https://www.aza.org/animal-program-applications

Statement of Individual Commitment for SSP Vice Coordinator, Secretary, or Treasurer

As the ___________________ position of the _____________ SSP Program, I am willing and able to devote the necessary time to fulfill the deadlines, commitments and responsibilities as outlined in the SSP Program Handbook. I understand that failure to meet these obligations could result in my removal from the SSP Program.

Name of Applicant: _________________________________________________________
Signature of Applicant: _________________________________________________________
Date: ______________________________________________________________________

Statement of Institutional Support for Vice Coordinator, Secretary, or Treasurer

The ___________________________________ (Name of Facility) is committed to providing adequate resources and support for the ______________ position of the __________________ SSP Program as outlined in the SSP Program Handbook. I understand that failure to meet these obligations could result in his/her removal from the SSP Program.

The above-named facility further acknowledges that information gathered for SSP Programs supported by the facility is not the exclusive property of the facility and enters public domain upon publication on the AZA website. The facility also understands that part of any SSP Program Officers' responsibility is to promote the development of sustainable populations.

Name of the Director/Governing Official:  ____________________________________________
Signature of Director/Governing Official:  ____________________________________________
Date: ______________________________________________________________________
Appendix F: Preparing for a Planning Meeting with the PMC

**PMC PLANNING TIMELINE**

**USE THIS GUIDE TO GET READY FOR YOUR MEETING**

### 10 WEEKS PRIOR TO MEETING
- Obtain updated taxon reports from your holding institutions, update studbook data.
- Login to [AZA.org](http://AZA.org) to download the official IR list for your SSP program.
- Compare your IR list to IR list downloaded from AZA, make updates as needed.

### 8 WEEKS PRIOR TO MEETING
- Send updated studbook data + IR list containing AZA facilities and SSP Sustainability Partners (using IR list template from PMC) to:
  - PMC@pzoo.org – pre-planning preparations
  - PMCTrack@pzoo.org – pre-planning surveys
- Indicate whether you intend to use PMCTrack for your pre-planning surveys.

### 6 WEEKS PRIOR TO MEETING
- Log in to PMCTrack to launch pre-planning surveys ([How to Launch PMCTrack Surveys](#)).
- Update studbook based on validation comments from PMC.

### 4 WEEKS PRIOR TO MEETING
- Login to PMCTrack, check survey responses, and begin compiling institutional wants & needs and exclusions list.
- Follow up with any IR responses that are unclear to you.
- Non-PMCTrack Users’ materials are due, submit the following information to the PMC:
  - Updated studbook database and IR list.
  - A list of institutional wants and needs using the attached spreadsheet as an example.
  - A list of permanent exclusions from the breeding population.
  - Names of expected meeting attendees for an in-person meeting.
  - List of meeting attendee email addresses for a GoToMeeting.
  - List of any non-AZA institutions that you would like to see included in your managed population.

### 2 WEEKS PRIOR TO MEETING
- Contact any IRs that have not completed the pre-planning survey.
- Email the following information to the PMC:
  - Exclusions list (see previous box for reference).

### 1 WEEK PRIOR TO MEETING
- PMCTrack surveys close—Login to PMCTrack to download survey response reports.
- Email updated studbook + compiled wants/needs to PMC before planning meeting.

### DAY OF PLANNING MEETING
- Bring any materials that have been updated since you last submitted planning data.
Appendix G: Sustainability Partner Policy & Application

Information & Application to Become an Approved Sustainability Partner in an AZA Species Survival Plan® Program

Approved by the AZA Board of Directors 1 December 2009, Revised March 2014, Revised July 2018

The digitized Full Application to become an Approved Sustainability Partner can be obtained on the AZA website at https://www.aza.org/resource-documents.

The Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA) is a professional organization representing accredited zoological parks and aquariums and certified related facilities (CRFs). Among its objectives, AZA strives to raise professional standards that foster the continued development of superior zoos and aquariums and best practices in animal population management that uphold high standards of animal welfare.

AZA-accredited zoos and aquariums serve as centers of excellence in animal welfare, wildlife conservation, and public education and create animal exhibits that provide society the opportunity to develop personal connections with the animals and nature. As such, AZA-accredited zoos and aquariums are concerned about ecosystem health, take responsibility for species survival, contribute to research, and promote the highest standards of animal care and welfare in the management of small populations of earth’s precious wildlife species.

Expectations for Approved Sustainability Partners in an AZA Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Program

AZA SSP Programs focus on the conservation of select and typically threatened or endangered species through the cooperative management of small populations at AZA-accredited zoos and aquariums and Certified Related Facilities. These facilities undergo a thorough accreditation review process that includes the submission of an extensive application as well as an intensive, on-site inspection by a team of experts to assure the highest standards of animal care and management are met.

A Sustainability Partner is defined as an organization that has regularly exchanged animals of the SSP with AZA-accredited facilities and CRFs, typically as part of the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan or other SSP Program management process.

- A Sustainability Partner's species/animal(s) is regularly included in the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan.
- Recommendations are made for individuals of that species in the Sustainability Partner's collection through the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan process. This would include documented interim SSP Program recommendations.

It is not necessary to apply for a facility to be a Sustainability Partner if exchanges occur as infrequent, "one-way,” or "one-off" transfers and the facility will not receive SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan recommendations. A facility may be sent animals excluded from the SSP population without becoming a Sustainability Partner. If the facility does not currently have the species in question then they do not qualify as a Sustainability Partner and the SSP should not apply. They may apply to include the facility as a Sustainability Partner in the future once the facility acquires the animals.
AZA believes that the highest standards of animal care, welfare, and population management are of paramount importance, and Sustainability Partners are expected to agree and abide by AZA's Code of Professional Ethics, SSP Full Participation Policy, Policy on Responsible Population Management, and Accreditation Standards related to animal care and welfare for all animals in the facility's collection.

Sustainability Partners in SSP Programs are not considered accredited or certified and may not display the AZA logo or the AZA SSP logo. Like AZA accreditations and certifications, approvals for a Sustainability Partner's continued participation in an SSP Program must be renewed every five years.

Who is Eligible to Apply for Approval as a Sustainability Partner?

If an AZA SSP Program determines that a potential Sustainability Partner cares for animals that can provide genetic, demographic, conservation, husbandry, population management, and/or animal welfare benefits to the SSP Program, the following are eligible to apply for approval as Sustainability Partners:

**Category 1. Zoos/aquariums located outside the U.S. that are accredited members of a World Association of Zoos and Aquariums (WAZA) Recognized Super-Regional Zoological Association**

Note: Recognized Super-Regional Zoological Associations that have a formal accreditation program include: Asociación Latinoamericana de Parques Zoológicos y Acuarios (ALPZA), Zoo and Aquarium Association - Australasia (ZAA), Asociación de Zoológicos Criaderos y Acuarios de México (AZCARM), Canadian Association of Zoos and Aquariums (CAZA), European Association of Zoos and Aquaria (EAZA), and Pan African Association of Zoos and Aquaria (PAZA)

Note: Members of the Asociación Mesoamericana y del Caribe de Zoológicos y Acuarios (AMACZOOA), the Eurasian Regional Association of Zoological Parks and Aquariums (EARAZA), the Japanese Association of Zoos and Aquariums (JAZA), South East Asian Zoos Association (SEAZA), South Asian Zoo Association for Regional Cooperation (SAZARC), and unaccredited members of a qualifying recognized super-regional association must apply under Category 2.

Submission Requirements
A. A Letter of Justification from an AZA SSP Program for the applicant to participate in their SSP Program;
B. A completed and signed Sustainability Partner Application; and
C. A Letter affirming their accreditation from a WAZA recognized Super-Regional Zoological Association.

**Category 2. Wildlife facilities that are not accredited by a WAZA recognized Regional Zoological Association**

Wildlife facilities include, but are not limited to zoos and aquariums not accredited by a WAZA recognized regional zoological association (including zoos and aquariums that are members of such associations but not accredited by them), ranches, refuges, rehabilitation centers, research facilities, sanctuaries, survival centers, breeding facilities, private individuals, and educational outreach organizations. These facilities can be within or outside the U.S., and do not need to be open to the public.

Submission Requirements
A. A Letter of Justification from an AZA SSP Program for the applicant to participate in their SSP Program;
B. A completed and signed Sustainability Partner Application;
C. Letters of Sponsorship from the Directors of two different AZA-accredited facilities; and
D. If within the United States, submission of the most recent USDA licenses and inspection report(s), if applicable.
Application Processes

Submission Process
The SSP Coordinator must work with the applicant to assure all application materials are complete. The SSP Coordinator may fill out the application through a phone call to the applicant facility. The SSP Coordinator must submit the completed application, required letters, and attachments to the AZA Conservation, Management, & Welfare Sciences Department (animalprograms@aza.org), and assure that the applicant does not participate, or continue to participate, in the SSP Program until they are formally approved as a Sustainability Partner.

The AZA Conservation, Management, & Welfare Sciences Department will review the application materials, and forward applications deemed to be complete and appropriate for review to the APM Committee Vice Chair for Partnerships who will act as the coordinator of the Sustainability Partner’s application. The Vice Chair of Partnerships will review application materials to identify potential needs for clarification. The Vice Chair of Partnerships will notify the AZA Conservation, Management, & Welfare Sciences Department when the application is ready for APM Committee review, and distribute the application to the committee for review.

Review Process
Applications are reviewed by AZA’s Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee) throughout the year via committee conference calls, as well as twice each year – during the AZA Annual Conference and the AZA Mid-Year Meeting. No fees are charged for the Sustainability Partner application.

APM Committee will evaluate the benefits of the applicant being approved as an SSP Program Participant in the context of the entire AZA ex-situ population which includes all SSP Program animals at AZA-Accredited zoos and aquariums, Certified Related Facilities, and Sustainability Partners.

Reviews are held either by conference call or in closed sessions, and are attended by APM Committee members and advisors and AZA staff; in addition, members of the AZA Board of Directors, the SSP Coordinator, and/or the corresponding Taxon Advisory Group (TAG) Chair may attend as well. Approval decisions are based on the information that exists at the time of the application review, not on future plans. Crucial elements in APM Committee’s consideration include:

- Completion of application
- Application support letters, documents, and photographs
- Whether there is sufficient evidence that the applicant follows the tenets of AZA’s Code of Professional Ethics, SSP Full Participation Policy, Policy on Responsible Population Management, and Accreditation Standards related to animal care and welfare for all animals in the facility’s collection.
- Whether the participation of the proposed partner in the SSP will significantly enhance the SSP program population’s sustainability, while supporting high standards of animal welfare.

APM Committee may take one of the following actions:

- Approval: APM Committee will grant approval when it determines that the applicant facility meets the requirements of an approved Sustainability Partner.
- Table Approval: APM Committee may table a facility’s application if it determines that certain conditions must be met or additional information submitted before the facility can be considered as a Sustainability Partner. If the facility is able to meet those requirements within one year, and if the SSP Program still wishes, the APM Committee will re-review the application.
- Deny Approval: APM Committee will deny approval when a facility does not meet the minimum requirements (see “expectations”) to be recognized as an approved Sustainability Partner at the present time and, in its opinion, would require in excess of one year to successfully do so. Applicants may work with the SSP Program and reapply to be a
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Sustainability Partner after the APM Committee’s concerns have been addressed.

Once a decision is reached, the APM Committee Vice Chair for Partnerships will inform the SSP Coordinator and the facility’s Owner/CEO/Director of the outcome. An official letter noting the decision and points of discussion will be sent from the AZA Conservation, Management, & Welfare Sciences Department to the SSP Coordinator and the facility Director within 30 days of the application review.

**Sustainability Partner Responsibilities**

Once approval has been granted the Sustainability Partner will:

- Agree to adhere to AZA’s Code of Professional Ethics, SSP Full Participation Policy, Policy on Responsible Population Management, and relevant Accreditation Standards, especially those related to animal care and welfare for all animals in the facility’s collection.
- Appoint an Institutional Liaison (IL) to serve as the primary point of contact for SSP communications.
- Not display the SSP logo.
- Agree to allow the sponsoring AZA-accredited zoo or aquarium, staff, Board, APM Committee, TAG, and/or SSP representatives to visit and view the applicant facility, upon request, to assure adherence to AZA policies and animal care and welfare practices. Such visitors will provide feedback to the SSP, TAG, and the APM Committee.
- Submit a new, complete application for Sustainability Partner before the end of the five-year approval period in order to continue participation in the SSP Program.

**Loss of Approval Status**

A Sustainability Partner may have its approved status revoked by the APM Committee if it fails to meet any of the Sustainability Partner responsibilities identified above and in the application.

If AZA/APM Committee deems it appropriate, the SSP Program may work with a Sustainability Partner that loses its approved status for up to two years to help manage the population, facilitate transfer of animals owned by AZA zoos and aquariums and, when possible, mentor re-approval of Sustainability Partner status. In such instances, the Sustainability Partner will not be an active participant in the SSP but still may be considered during the planning processes. During this time the SSP will not move SSP animals to the former Sustainability Partner facility.

For reinstatement as a Sustainability Partner, the potential Sustainability Partner’s benefit to the SSP population’s sustainability and adherence to AZA’s Code of Professional Ethics, Policy on Responsible Population Management, and Animal Care and Welfare Standards must be reassessed.
Sustainability Partner Application

Part A: To be completed by facilities in Categories 1 & 2

Facility Information

1. Facility Name:

2. Mailing Address (street, city, state, zip code, country):

3. Physical Address (if different than mailing address):

4. Telephone Number:

5. Website:

6. Is this organization open to the public on a regularly scheduled and predictable basis? Yes, Hours: No ☐

7. If located outside of the United States, is the organization accreditied by a WAZA recognized Super-Regional Zoological Association: Yes ☐ No ☐

   Name of Accrediting Zoological Association:
   ☐ ALPZA
   ☐ AZCARMA
   ☐ CAZA
   ☐ EAZA
   ☐ PAAZA
   ☐ ZAA - Australasia

8. If located outside of the United States, is the organization a member of a WAZA recognized Super-Regional Zoological Association: Yes ☐ No ☐

   Name of Zoological Association:
   ☐ AMACZOOA
   ☐ EARAZA
   ☐ JAZA
   ☐ SAZARC
   ☐ SEAZA

9. Who has ultimate responsibility for decisions relating to animal care, welfare, and management at the facility?

   ☐ Owner
   ☐ Director
   ☐ Board of Directors
   ☐ Animal Care Manager/Curator
   ☐ Veterinarian
   ☐ Other (name):

10. The organization is (check all that apply):

    ☐ owned/operated by municipality, city, county, state, or federal government
☐ not for profit
☐ part of a larger corporation
☐ privately funded
☐ privately owned
☐ other/more information:

11. Type of organization (check all that apply):
☐ Zoo
☐ Aquarium
☐ Rescue facility
☐ Research facility
☐ Sanctuary/refuge
☐ Open to the general public
☐ Guided tours only
☐ Drive-thru park
☐ Ranching operation that manages non-domestic/domesticated wildlife species
☐ Private breeder (not open to the public)
☐ Other/more information:

Facility’s Representative Information
1. Name of the Facility’s Director/CEO:
   a. Director/CEO Telephone Number:
   b. Director/CEO E-mail:
2. Name of the Facility’s Institutional Representative (IR):
   a. IR’s Telephone Number:
   b. IR’s E-mail Address:

SSP Program Information
1. Name of SSP Program:
2. Number of SSP Program animals owned by/at your facility that are to be included in the SSP Program:
3. Does the facility currently house this species?
4. Describe any breeding of this species at the organization (e.g., have you bred this species previously? How many times? When?)
5. List all other AZA SSP Programs in which the organization participates.

---

1 Sanctuaries being distinct from zoos by housing only non-breeding animals and only receiving animals (e.g., does not send animals to facilities or individuals)
Part B: To be completed by facilities in Category 2

Animal Housing, Animal Care, Safety, and Records

1. If an AZA Animal Care Manual (ACM) exists for the SSP Program species, has your facility reviewed the ACM and does your facility meet the guidelines identified in the ACM?

2. Describe the spaces available for this SSP Program species (indoor and outdoor facilities; holding areas; shifting doors; locks and pins for all doors; public barriers and containment). Attach photos of exhibit and holding areas. Approximate the size of the animals’ space.

3. Describe the staff experience in care and management of the SSP Program species.

4. Describe the facility’s veterinarian’s experience with the SSP Program species (or similar or closely related species). If the veterinarian does not have experience with the SSP Program species, is there a consulting veterinarian that does have experience with the species?

5. Describe the typical diet of the SSP Program species at the organization and how this diet was or will be created to meet the nutritional needs of the animal(s).

6. Describe the organization’s internal procedures for reviewing animal welfare of this SSP Program species.

7. Describe the standard operating procedure (SOP) for daily husbandry and/or exhibition of the SSP Program species. The SOP typically includes a list of daily staff duties required for safe care and exhibition of the species with assigned or rough estimates of sequence and time allocation.

8. What type of contact will staff have with the SSP Program species?
   - ☐ Unprotected contact – staff will intentionally make physical contact with the species and will share space with the animal(s) regularly.
   - ☐ Incidental – staff will regularly share space with the animal(s) and may occasionally make physical contact with the animals.
   - ☐ Protected – Physical contact with the animal(s) by staff will always be across or through a protective barrier; staff will share space with the animals only in emergency situations.
   - ☐ None – no physical contact with this species is ever permitted at this facility regardless of whether staff shares space with the animal(s) or interacts with them across a barrier. Exceptions regarding physical contact are only made in the event of emergencies.

9. What type of contact will visitors have with the SSP Program species?
   - ☐ Unprotected contact – visitors will share space with the animal(s) and they will be granted the ability to make physical contact with the animal(s).
   - ☐ Incidental – visitors will share space with the animals, the ability to touch the animals will be incidental and contingent on animal(s) seeking contact with visitors.
   - ☐ Protected – visitors will not share space with the animals; physical contact with the animal(s) will always be across or through a protective barrier.
   - ☐ None – visitors will not be permitted to have physical contact with the animal(s) under any circumstances.

10. If the facility houses dangerous animals (including, but not limited to large felids, large canids, bears, any great ape species, large crocodilians, large snakes, large ratites,
venomous or toxic species), attach the risk management plan describing escape protocols, contingency plans for disasters/emergencies, and immobilizations/lethal weapons protocols. Please also describe any additional safety measures taken at the facility when working with these species (e.g., animal shifting protocols, lock checking protocols, communication protocols, and delivery of feed).

a. For venomous species, does your facility maintain a stock of the appropriate anti-venin, if available? Are health practitioners in your area aware that you are housing venomous species?

11. Has the facility had any permits and/or licenses related to wildlife suspended or revoked? If yes, please explain the reasons for suspension or revocation. If permits or licenses were revoked or suspended, when were they re-instated?

12. Has the facility received any fines from local, state or federal wildlife regulatory agencies? If yes, please explain by providing dates of fining and circumstances that lead to the fine.

13. Is the facility in compliance with all local, state, and federal ordinances, laws, regulations, permits, etc. related to wildlife? If no, please explain how the organization is working towards becoming compliant.

14. Submit the facility’s USDA license and the last 5 years of the USDA inspection reports, if applicable.

15. Submit any applicable (local, state, federal) wildlife permits.

16. What animal recordkeeping system does the facility use?

17. What method(s) will be used to permanently identify animals born/hatched at this facility in accordance with SSP Breeding and Transfer recommendations?

18. Submit a current inventory list and census of the animal collection at the facility.
Part C: To be completed by facilities in Categories 1 & 2

Applicant Agreement to Accept All Sustainability Partner Responsibilities

By signing below, I, (Name _ ), (this must be the Director or CEO) of the   (Organization Name), fully agree to meet the responsibilities listed below if approved as a Sustainability Partner in the (species name) SSP Program and further acknowledge that failure to do so may result in a revocation of this approved status:

☐ I and my staff will adhere to AZA’s Code of Professional Ethics, SSP Full Participation Policy, Policy on Responsible Population Management, and Animal Care and Welfare Standards for all animals in our collection.

☐ I will provide the AZA SSP Program with complete studbook histories for all animals proposed for inclusion in the SSP managed population within 30 days of approval.

☐ I will not display the SSP logo.

☐ I will complete the full application process before the end of the five-year approval period ends in order to continue my Organization’s participation in the SSP Program.

☐ I will assure that the sponsoring AZA-accredited facility, staff, Board, APM Committee, TAG, and/or SSP representatives can schedule a visit to the applicant institution/zoo upon request to view the facilities and assure adherence to AZA policies and animal care and welfare practices.

Applicant Director/CEO Signature: Date:
Checklist of Supporting Materials and Attachments for each Sustainability Partner Category

1. Zoos/aquariums located outside the U.S. that are accredited members of a World Association of Zoos and Aquariums (WAZA) Recognized Super-Regional Zoological Association
   ☐ Does this application include a completed Letter of Justification from the SSP Program Coordinator?
   ☐ Does this application include a completed Letter Affirming the applicant’s accreditation from a WAZA Super-Regional Zoological Association?

2. Wildlife facilities that are not accredited by a WAZA recognized Regional Zoological Association
   ☐ Does this application include a completed Letter of Justification from the SSP Program Coordinator?
   ☐ Does this application include completed letters of sponsorship from two Directors of two different AZA-accredited facilities? If the SSP Coordinator submitting the application is also a Director from an AZA-accredited facility or CRF, they must include a letter of sponsorship from a Director of a different AZA-accredited facility. The SSP Coordinator cannot write their letter of support.

Photographs of SSP Program species:
   ☐ Indoor facilities
   ☐ Outdoor facilities
   ☐ Holding areas
   ☐ Animal shifting doors
   ☐ Locks and pins for all doors, including keeper to exhibit/holding, animal to exhibit, animal to animal
   ☐ Public barriers and containment

Documents:
   ☐ Standard operating procedure for daily husbandry of the species
   ☐ Risk management plan
   ☐ Copies of USDA License and applicable permits, if applicable
   ☐ Last 5 years of USDA inspection reports, if applicable
   ☐ Current inventory list and census of the animal collection
Guidelines for Letters of Justification, Accreditation, and Sponsorship

Required for facilities in Categories 1 & 2:

Letter of Justification from an AZA SSP Program for a Sustainability Partner to Participate in their Program

The letter from an SSP Coordinator must:

1. Clearly identify the SSP Program for which the potential Sustainability Partner participant's involvement is being requested, as well as the SSP Coordinator's identity, telephone number and e-mail address;

2. Indicate that the TAG Chair has reviewed the Sustainability Partner application and the TAG supports the approval of the potential Sustainability Partner;

3. Make a clear formal request for approval of the potential Sustainability Partner;

4. Describe the challenges that the population faces and how this partner could alleviate some of those challenges. Identify specifically why the potential Sustainability Partner's participation would benefit the SSP Program and describe how the Sustainability Partner applicant's animals and/or holding/breeding spaces are critical to the success of the SSP Program. How would the approval of the potential Sustainability Partner for participation in the SSP Program provide genetic, demographic, population management, husbandry, welfare, conservation or other benefits to the SSP Program? Identify any additional factors that substantiate how approval of the potential Sustainability Partner's participation will benefit the SSP Program and conservation of the species (e.g., reference to the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan, Global Species Management Plans, Population Viability Analysis results suggesting a need for additional holding space, unique genetics, additional demographics, TAG Regional Collection Plan goals, etc.). Present facts and accurate data. Indicate if the facility is currently working successfully with the TAG in other ways or with other SSP Programs, and include examples of when/how the facility effectively communicated with the SSP, other AZA SSP Programs, and/or other facilities. Describe any animal transfers that have occurred between the applicant and the SSP Program;

5. Provide confirmation that the SSP Coordinator has discussed in detail with the potential Sustainability Partner the responsibilities that the organization will assume upon approval as an SSP participant including assurance that the Sustainability Partner applicant has fully read and understood:
   - AZA's Code of Professional Ethics,
   - the Full Participation in the SSP Policy,
   - the Policy on Responsible Population Management, and
   - the AZA Accreditation Standards related to animal care and welfare.

6. Provide a clearly stated description of how the Sustainability Partner applicant provides a level of animal care and welfare in keeping with AZA's standards and identify how the SSP Coordinator has attained this understanding. Describe the Sustainability Partner applicant's history of experience with the SSP Program species or similar species, and identify staff qualifications in caring for the species, related expertise, and resources at the facility. This section should also include any forms of information (such as photographs, veterinary statements, USDA inspection reports, etc.) that corroborate the applicant's adherence to AZA standards of animal care and welfare;

7. Indicate whether the SSP Coordinator or any current participating facility in the SSP Program has conducted a site visit of the proposed Sustainability Partner in the last 3 years. Provide copies of site visit reports, if available;

8. End with the signature of the SSP Coordinator and the date.
9. Submit the completed application, required letters, and attachments to the AZA Conservation, Management, & Welfare Sciences Department (animalprograms@aza.org) for APM Committee approval.

*The APM Committee Vice Chair for Partnerships is a valuable resource to use when the SSP is beginning to gather the application materials. The current APM Committee Vice Chair for Partnerships is listed on the AZA website (https://www.aza.org/animal-population-management-committee).

**Required for Facilities in Category 1:**

**Letter Affirming Accreditation by a WAZA Recognized Zoological Association for Organizations which are Applying for Approval in an AZA SSP Program**

The letter from the appropriate association should:

1. Clearly identify the name of the organization / entity applying for approval as a participant in an AZA SSP Program;
2. Clearly identify the name of the Association and the Association Director’s name and contact information;
3. Affirm that the applicant organization/entity is a currently accredited member in good standing of the Association providing this letter;
4. Provide clearly stated assurance that the applicant organization/entity provides a level of animal care and welfare in keeping with the standards of the Association providing this letter and identify how this information is known;
5. End with the signature of the Association Director and the date.

**Required for Facilities in Category 2:**

**Letters From the Directors of Two AZA-accredited Facilities Sponsoring the Approval of a Non-Member Wildlife Facility as an SSP Sustainability Partner**

The letters from two, separate AZA-accredited Facility Directors must:

1. Identify the SSP for which the potential Sustainability Partner participant’s involvement is being sponsored;
2. Provide a description articulating why it is believed that the potential Sustainability Partner applicant will provide a benefit to the SSP Program, and identify any additional sources that substantiate how approval of the potential Sustainability Partner applicant’s participation will benefit the SSP Program and conservation of the species (e.g., reference to the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan, Population Viability Analysis results suggesting a need for additional holding space, unique genetics, additional demographics, TAG Regional Collection Plan goals, etc.);
3. Provide a statement that it is understood that the signature on the letter of sponsorship serves as assurance that the applicant facility provides a level of animal care and welfare equivalent to or above that of the AZA Accreditation Standards. When referencing the site, please describe if the Director (or identify who on senior staff) visited the applicant’s facility and outline the observations and experiences that substantiate why it is believed the applicant adheres, or will adhere, to AZA’s Code of Professional Ethics, SSP Full Participation Policy, Policy on Responsible Population Management, and Accreditation Standards related to animal care and welfare for all animals in the facility's collection.

For wildlife facilities in North America both sponsoring letters must be written by a Director (or designate) of two different AZA facilities. For wildlife facilities outside of North America,
one of the two required letters may be written by the Director of a zoo or aquarium accredited by another WAZA recognized regional zoological association.

4. End with the signature of the Director and the date.
Appendix H: Guidelines for Assessing Sustainability Partners in SSP Programs

Partnerships in animal management are unique relationships between facilities accredited by the Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA) and entities external to AZA that are designed to benefit the population viability of the species while upholding high standards of animal care and welfare. A **Sustainability Partner** is an AZA Animal Population Management (APM) Committee approved wildlife facility* (see definition below) that has regularly exchanged animals of the Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Program with AZA-accredited facilities and certified related facilities, typically as part of the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan or other SSP Program management process.

- A Sustainability Partner’s species/animal(s) is regularly included in the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan.
- Recommendations are made for individuals of that species in the Sustainability Partner’s collection through the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan process. This would include documented interim SSP Program recommendations.

AZA Animal Programs can benefit from responsible partnerships with appropriate wildlife facilities in the form of expertise, space, and other various resources. For the purpose of this and other associated materials, **wildlife facilities** include, but are not limited to, zoos and aquariums, ranches, refuges, rehabilitation centers, research facilities, sanctuaries, survival centers, breeding facilities, private individuals, and educational outreach organizations. These facilities can be within or outside the U.S., and do not need to be open to the public.

The SSP Program and Taxon Advisory Group (TAG) must first decide if a partnership is warranted before a facility is invited to apply and considered for formal inclusion in an SSP Program as a Sustainability Partner. It is understood that there is no “one size fits all” model regarding how to assess partners, and each SSP Program should consider their own specific needs as they work through these guidelines. SSP Coordinators should communicate with relevant parties (SSP Program Officers, Steering Committee members, the TAG Chair, population advisors, etc.) and follow these guidelines to help them make an informed and responsible partnership decision.

This assessment process will be two-fold. APM Committee Liaisons will work with TAGs and the SSP Programs within their purview, and use these Guidelines to evaluate the current and potential SSP Program partners in order to make an initial assessment of their value to the SSP Program’s population sustainability, as well as their appropriateness as an AZA partner. During this process some existing and potential partners may be removed from the SSP Program, for a variety of reasons.
After this initial assessment, SSP Programs should work closely with their AZA Population Management Center (PMC) Population Biologist as they initiate their next Breeding and Transfer Plan (BTP) process. The analyses needed to assess the remaining partners may be time-consuming, depending on the species, population dynamics, the number of remaining potential partners, and other factors. APM Committee will allow for extensions to SSP Program accountability deadlines as needed. If the SSP Program determines that it wants to include the facility in the SSP Program then it must move forward with submitting the Sustainability Partner application to APM Committee.

Step 1: Please discuss the questions (a-e) below within the SSP Program management group to determine if the partnership meets basic requirements to be forwarded for APM Committee consideration

The first and foremost question to consider when determining whether or not to include a specific Sustainability Partner to the SSP Program should be:

**Does the potential Sustainability Partner provide the AZA Animal Program (TAG or SSP) population with any of the following benefits?**

a. Genetic considerations
   i. Could the partner provide genetically unique or valuable animals to the SSP population if they joined the SSP Program? Could the partner provide a unique individual or multiple unique individuals?

b. Demographic considerations
   i. Could the partner provide individual animals of a desired age, life stage, or gender to the SSP population?
   ii. Could the partner provide additional animals that would make the population more demographically stable?

c. Field conservation considerations
   i. Does this partner’s involvement affect current field conservation initiatives for the SSP Program?
   ii. Does this partner play a significant role in a release or recovery plan for the species?
   iii. Will the loss of the partnership negatively affect conservation strategies for the SSP or Recovery Program?

d. Husbandry, well-being, and welfare considerations
   i. Does the partner provide some unique opportunity that would benefit the species’ husbandry that may be difficult to replicate or is only replicable on a small scale in AZA facilities (e.g., hundreds of acres for herds of animals, direct access to saltwater for marine species)?
   ii. Will the partner be able to provide appropriate husbandry for the species at all life stages?
   iii. Are there concerns regarding the potential commercialization of the animals or their offspring? Would the partner be willing to only accept non-breeding animals in this situation?
iv. Will the partner accept animals recommended by the SSP Program as directed?

v. Is there a specific husbandry role the partnership may provide (housing males, housing groups instead of individuals, etc.)?

vi. Will the partnership provide a husbandry benefits to individual animals as well as to the species as a whole?

vii. Will the partnership provide additional expertise to the SSP Program, such as veterinary, behavior, education, research, etc.?

e. International partners, if applicable

i. Is it logistically feasible for the partner to regularly import or export this species across its international boundary?

ii. Is the partner willing and able to meet any pre-export quarantine requirements relevant for this species as dictated by the USDA?

iii. Does the partner have a member of staff experienced with international animal transfers and/or permits?

iv. Is the facility experienced with international imports/exports among major zoological regions?

If you answer “no” to many of the above questions, then it may be most appropriate not to partner with the facility in question in future SSP BTPs. This does not imply that the SSP Program or an AZA facility can never send or receive animals from this facility. However, if exchanges occur, they must be infrequent or “one-way,” and the facility will not receive BTP recommendations.

If the answer is “yes” to many of the above questions, the partner may warrant additional deliberation to include them in the SSP Program’s next BTP. Potential partners will require additional evaluation to assure that they are vital to the SSP Program and an appropriate partner for AZA. Consider the potential partner carefully. Consult with fellow members of the TAG and other SSP Programs that may have partnered with them in the past. Proceed to step 2

Step 2: Please ask your potential partner - and yourself - the following questions:

How does this new or continued partnership benefit the sustainability of the animal population?

The AZA PMC population biologists are available to help with your decision. They may be able to assess whether a potential partner’s animals are valuable to the SSP population or how valuable additional space(s) is/are and help think critically about the impact that the partner could have on the population. For example, while increasing numbers may seem automatically positive, simply adding one more space or one more animal does not necessarily mean the partner will significantly enhance the population’s sustainability. Answering this type of question may require consultation with the SSP Program’s Population Biologist, and may need to wait until the BTP process.

Will the facility actively support and participate in AZA Animal Programs?

Supporting and participating includes providing requested information regarding its animals and their husbandry and welfare upon request to AZA Program Leaders, including Studbook
Keepers, SSP Coordinators, and TAG Chairs, assigning Institutional Representatives (IRs) to the AZA Animal Program, and following agreed upon recommendations (e.g., acquisitions, breeding, transfers, etc.). An existing partner who has regularly failed to provide requested information in the past should not be moved forward through the current application process.

If you are assessing an existing partner, has the facility been actively involved in previous SSP Breeding and Transfer Plans with the SSP Program in question?

Has the facility communicated with the SSP Coordinator during the planning process such as answering wants and needs surveys, reviewing draft plans and providing feedback? Do they have a history of following SSP BTP recommendations? Are they equally likely to follow recommendations for hold as they are for breed with, or transfer? Do they regularly communicate and share their animal data with the Studbook Keeper?

If the answer to the above questions is yes, do you think they will continue to do so in the future?

Will the movement of SSP animals with the partner be considered infrequent “one-way” or “one-off” transfers?

The best way to make this decision is with an AZA Population Biologist and using PMx software; however, SSP Program Leaders can also do some investigation on their own to help make these decisions. When potentially bringing an animal into the SSP, it is best to first determine if this animal will add value to the SSP population. This value can come in many forms (e.g., genetically, demographically, husbandry, ambassador needs). When potentially sending an animal out of the SSP, there is always a cost and many variables must be carefully considered, including what will the welfare of the animal be outside of the SSP, will losing the animal hurt the demographic or genetic stability of the SSP, etc.

It is not necessary to apply for a facility to be a Sustainability Partner if exchanges occur as infrequent, “one-way,” or “one-off” transfers and the facility will not receive SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan recommendations. A facility may be sent animals excluded from the SSP population without becoming a Sustainability Partner. If the facility does not currently have the species in question then they do not qualify as a Sustainability Partner and the SSP should not apply. They may apply to include the facility as a Sustainability Partner in the future once the facility acquires the animals.

What can SSP Program Leaders do to help determine which individual animals to infrequently send out of the SSP (export) and/or receive into the SSP (import) (i.e., “one-way,” or “one-off” transfers)?

The best way to make these decisions is with an AZA Population Biologist and using PMx software; however, SSP Program Leaders can also do some investigation on their own to help make these decisions. When potentially bringing an animal into the SSP, it is best to first determine if this animal will add value to the SSP population. This value can come in many forms (e.g., genetically, demographically, husbandry, ambassador needs). When potentially sending an animal out of the SSP, there is always a cost and many variables must be carefully considered, including what will the welfare of the animal be outside of the SSP, will losing the animal hurt the demographic or genetic stability of the SSP, etc.

As each SSP is unique, there is no way to create an extensive list, but below are some examples of ways to further investigate these potential values and considerations.

• What do you know about the potential animal(s) that are proposed to join the SSP? If you are adding them for genetic reasons, do you know their pedigree and are they linked to the SSP
population? If they are intended for breeding, are they of an appropriate age, reproductively viable, experienced?

- Look at the studbook and previous Breeding and Transfer Plan for the SSP.
  - Demography
    - Are more animals demographically needed for this SSP (i.e., it has a very small population size or lacks young, breeding-aged animals)?
    - Is the SSP population demographically robust enough to send out and potentially lose animals (i.e., is the animal(s) you plan to export in pre-reproductive or reproductive age classes and will you have enough reproductive-aged animals remaining in the SSP to meet future breeding goals)?
  - Genetics
    - Would the SSP population benefit from adding more unique genes (i.e., is gene diversity low and projected to decline quickly? Do you have a small number of founders represented in the SSP?)?
    - Are the proposed non-SSP animals related to the SSP population? If so, how closely related? Has the SSP previously imported animals from this source?
    - Would the SSP population be able to withstand sending out and potentially losing some genes? Are the animals you plan to export over-represented, having high mean kinship and many living relatives in the SSP?
  - Husbandry
    - Is the husbandry known and consistent for this SSP population? If not, could this non-SSP facility share knowledge, expertise, or experienced breeding animals to help the SSP?
    - Was this non-AZA facility included in the last BTP? If so, what were their breeding and transfer recommendations?

- Are these potential animals included in the SSP studbook database? If so, look in the database to identify closely related individuals to minimize inbreeding when making new breeding recommendations. Use the Antecedent and Descendant Pedigree Reports in PopLink, the Sibling Tables and Descendant Lists in SPARKS, or the Pedigree tools in ZIMS for Studbooks.

Think about the logistics, resources, abilities, and acquisition/disposition policies of the facilities potentially involved in these transfers. Are they conducive to making the proposed transfers occur?
Does the Animal Program believe the partner will adhere to relevant AZA policies and accreditation standards?

The partner must agree to adhere to the AZA’s Code of Professional Ethics, SSP Full Participation Policy, Policy on Responsible Population Management, and Accreditation Standards related to animal care and welfare. Do you know if the partner is familiar with these documents - have you provided them? Did the partner express any concerns or reservations?

The agreement to follow these policies signals the partner’s intent to provide high quality animal care and operate in ways consistent with AZA principles and ethics.

Is the facility involved with other AZA Animal Programs?

Reach out to those Animal Program Leaders and ask about the potential partner. What is the nature of their relationship? Are they communicative? Do they provide information? Do they actively participate in the program?

The AZA Conservation, Management, & Welfare Sciences Department and the AZA PMC may be able to help SSP Programs identify what other SSP Programs are working with a potential partner.

Has anyone from an AZA-accredited facility (e.g., staff, an AZA Board member, APM Committee member, TAG, and/or SSP Program representative) visited the potential partner and viewed their facility to assure adherence to AZA policies and animal care and welfare practices?

Communicate with your TAG Chair and Steering Committee, as well as other SSP Programs within your TAG (and others). Utilize the AZA Network groups for your taxa or at the Curator level. Reach out to the person who conducted a site visit to gain insight into the facility. Make sure that it is an appropriate fit for the SSP Program animals. Request documents or written opinions.

Has the partner’s participation in another SSP Program been denied?

Talk to the SSP Programs for which the partnership application was denied to identify the exact reasons why the application did not go through. It could be that a facility that is appropriate or capable of working with one species is less appropriate for another.

Step 3: Decide if this partnership will be formally submitted for APM Committee consideration

Given the answers to all of the questions above:

A. The SSP Program feels that the facility is essential to the SSP Program
   - The SSP Coordinator should review the Sustainability Partner and other related AZA policies and Accreditation Standards with the partner.
   - The SSP Coordinator should work with the Sustainability Partner and begin completing the Sustainability Partner application.
• The AZA Conservation, Management, & Welfare Sciences Department and APM Committee Vice Chair for Partnerships are available to assist with any questions you may have during this process.

B. The SSP Program believes the facility would be an appropriate partner, but is unsure if the facility is essential to the SSP Program from a sustainability standpoint
  • The SSP Coordinator should review the Sustainability Partner and other related AZA policies and Accreditation Standards with the partner.
  • The SSP Coordinator should let their AZA PMC Population Advisor know that they will be requesting additional analyses during their next SSP BTP so that schedules and materials can be planned accordingly.
  • If, once these analyses are complete, the partner is deemed essential to the SSP Program then the SSP Coordinator should work with the Sustainability Partner and begin completing the Sustainability Partner application.
  • The AZA Conservation, Management, & Welfare Sciences Department and APM Committee Vice Chair for Partnerships are available to assist with any questions you may have during this process.

C. The SSP Program does not believe the partner is essential to the SSP Program
  • The partner must not be listed in subsequent SSP BTPs. They are not an SSP Program partner.
    o This does not prohibit occasional animal moves that are permitted by AZA’s Policy on Responsible Management.
    o This does prohibit the facility’s holdings from appearing in the BTP and from them receiving written recommendations.
Appendix I: Sustainability Partner Policy FAQ

The APM Committee recommends that Program Leaders contact Michael Ogle, APM Committee Vice Chair of Partnerships (mogle@zooknooxville.org), with any questions prior to submitting applications for review.

Expectations for Approved Sustainability Partners in an AZA Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Program

AZA SSP Programs focus on the conservation of select and typically threatened or endangered species through the cooperative management of small populations at AZA-accredited zoos and aquariums and Certified Related Facilities. These facilities undergo a thorough accreditation review process that includes the submission of an extensive application as well as an intensive, on-site inspection by a team of experts to assure the highest standards of animal care and management are met.

A Sustainability Partner is defined as an organization that has regularly exchanged animals of the SSP with AZA-accredited facilities and CRFs, typically as part of the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan or other SSP Program management process.

- A Sustainability Partner's species/animal(s) is regularly included in the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan.
- Recommendations are made for individuals of that species in the Sustainability Partner's collection through the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan process. This would include documented interim SSP Program recommendations.

It is not necessary to apply for a facility to be a Sustainability Partner if exchanges occur as infrequent, “one-way,” or “one-off” transfers and the facility will not receive SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan recommendations. A facility may be sent animals excluded from the SSP population without becoming a Sustainability Partner. If the facility does not currently have the species in question then they do not qualify as a Sustainability Partner and the SSP should not apply. They may apply to include the facility as a Sustainability Partner in the future once the facility acquires the animals.

AZA believes that the highest standards of animal care, welfare, and population management are of paramount importance, and Sustainability Partners are expected to agree and abide by AZA’s Code of Professional Ethics, SSP Full Participation Policy, Policy on Responsible Population Management, and Accreditation Standards related to animal care and welfare for all animals in the facility’s collection.

Sustainability Partners in SSP Programs are not considered accredited or certified and may not display the AZA logo or the AZA SSP logo. Like AZA accreditations and certifications, approvals for a Sustainability Partner’s continued participation in an SSP Program must be renewed every five years.
Accountability and Planning

My SSP Program is scheduled to be planned with the AZA Population Management Center (PMC) or Adjunct Advisor in the next few months. Do all Sustainability Partner applications need to be submitted and approved before my planning date?

SSP Program populations that had a scheduled planning meeting between October 1, 2018 and March 31, 2019 were included in a six-month grace period and could include any not yet approved non-AZA facilities in the Breeding and Transfer Plan (BTP), if the Program Leaders chose to do so. Program Leaders should think about potential Sustainability Partners and start working with them to fill out the application(s) in order to be ready for the next Breeding and Transfer Plan planning process. SSP Programs will need to get their non-AZA facilities approved before the planning meeting in order to include them in the BTP. Non-AZA facilities that are not yet approved Sustainability Partners are not allowed to be included in the BTPs, even if their applications are in process.

Who enforces the implementation of the new policy, and how is it assured that only approved Sustainability Partners are included in BTPs?

The AZA Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee) ultimately enforces all Animal Program policies. The SSP Coordinator and TAG Chair should conduct the first review of their Sustainability Partner application(s) and remove any non-AZA facilities that clearly do not meet the definition of a Sustainability Partner. During the development of the Draft BTP, the SSP Program’s Population Biologist will be engaged in conversations with the SSP Program and discuss all remaining non-AZA participants, and will be aware of which participants have been approved to be Sustainability Partners.

The AZA Conservation, Management, & Welfare Sciences Department will review the most recent published SSP BTPs every six months or so to track Sustainability Partners that are listed in the Final Plans. The Conservation, Management, & Welfare Sciences Department will share these data with the APM Committee and the TAGs on a regular basis.

What is the turnaround time for application review and approval by APM Committee once the SSP Coordinator submits the application?

APM Committee holds monthly conference calls to review and approve Sustainability Partner applications on a rolling schedule as they are submitted. The SSP Program and the applicant will be notified via email as soon as possible whether or not the Sustainability Partner application was approved. SSP Coordinators must assure that application materials are provided and all questions answered completely. TAG Chairs may assist in assuring applications are ready for submission. If the APM Committee has questions about the application(s) during review, a representative will contact the SSP Coordinator and provide an opportunity for further clarification. While this may delay the approval process, it will be valuable in helping the APM Committee understand why the SSP Coordinator feels the partner should be considered for Sustainability Partner status.
If a Green SSP Program includes previously approved Sustainability Partners, do these Sustainability Partners have to go through the new approval process to be included in the next BTP?

Previously approved Sustainability Partners are approved for five years, and these approvals will remain in place until their original expiration date. Once the five years have passed, the Sustainability Partner must submit the new application to remain as a Sustainability Partner in the SSP Program.

Do facilities participating in SSP Programs that include government-owned/managed animals follow the same policy and processes?

The AZA Board approved a waiver to the Sustainability Partner Policy when certain conditions are met. SSP Programs that include animals owned by a US state or federal agency, or equivalent foreign government partner, may not need to complete Sustainability Partner applications for each facility housing individuals of that species in order for them to participate in the SSP. These agencies and designated facilities are considered Government Partners, which allows them to be part of the SSP and included in the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan. Official documentation may be requested by the APM Committee.

When the animals at a specific facility meet all of the following conditions with regard to the SSP program, a waiver for that facility would apply:

- The agency owns the animals the SSP program is requesting for participation,
- The agency holds responsibility and authority for adding (or removing) the facility as a holder of the agency owned individuals,
- The government agency must approve of the housing and management of the species at the facility, and
- The agency must approve animal breeding and transfer activity at this facility.

If specific facilities within your SSP Program meet the criteria above, please notify animalprograms@aza.org at AZA as soon as possible. If you have documentation from the agency that empowers the SSP Coordinator to manage the population for the agency please submit this to animalprograms@aza.org. Doing so will help the AZA staff and the APM Committee help facilitate the planning process for your program with the PMC.

The APM Committee strongly encourages Program Leaders for these programs to have up-to-date facility profiles on participating non-AZA facilities as these may be helpful in facilitating transfers of animals between AZA and non-AZA facilities. The Sustainability Partner application could be used as a template for these profiles as the application covers most, if not all, of the common questions AZA facilities may have when working with non-accredited facilities on animal transfers and may reduce the paperwork burden on non-AZA facilities participating in transfers with AZA facilities in your SSP Program.

It is important to note that requirements to acquire permits for possession, transport or import/export for your species are NOT sufficient government oversight to waive the Sustainability Partner Policy.

Application and Process

Who submits the Sustainability Partner application to APM Committee?
The SSP Coordinator and the non-AZA facility should work together to assure that the application and materials are complete. The SSP Coordinator is the point of contact and the person who should collate the application and submit all materials to the AZA Conservation, Management, & Welfare Sciences Department (animalprograms@aza.org) and copy their TAG Chair.

How should SSP Programs notify their current non-AZA partners of the new policy?

We hope that SSP Coordinators have developed relationships with their current partners and feel comfortable introducing them to the application and walking them through the process, if they meet the definition of a Sustainability Partner. APM Committee has developed template letters that SSP Coordinators may wish to use to assist in their communications. Email the AZA Conservation, Management, & Welfare Sciences Department (animalprograms@aza.org) for these templates.

Some TAGs where multiple SSP Programs are working with the same partners may wish to coordinate their outreach efforts, while others may prefer for SSP Coordinators to reach out to their non-AZA partners directly to explain the new policy and application process. APM Committee TAG Liaisons are available for additional assistance.

How should an SSP Program select Directors to write their Letters of Sponsorship? Can someone other than Directors (such as a Curator) write the Letters of Sponsorship?

SSP Coordinators may ask Directors who have had recent animal transfers with the non-AZA facility to write the letter as they may have the most current knowledge of and communication with the facility. Alternatively, it may be useful to ask a Director of an accredited facility nearby to provide one of the letters since they may be aware of, have relationships with, or have visited these neighboring non-accredited facilities.

A Curator, or another designee, at an AZA-accredited facility may write the letter, but the Director of the facility must assume responsibility for the contents of the letter by signing it.

If the SSP Coordinator is also a Director from an AZA-accredited facility or CRF, they must include a letter of sponsorship from a Director of a different AZA-accredited facility.

Who should conduct the site visit for a potential Sustainability Partner facility?

Site visits are NOT a required component of a Sustainability Partner application. However, if a Director references a site visit in the letter of support then it must have occurred within the last five years. Visits should have an inspection report associated with them, and may be conducted by someone delegated by the signing Director, such as a member from the SSP Program or TAG Steering Committee or a representative (curator, director, or veterinarian) from a neighboring AZA-accredited facility.

It should be noted, however, that in the application, applicants agree to site visits after they are approved.
Do Candidate Programs need to follow the Sustainability Partner policy process with their non-AZA participants?

No, this policy and application process applies only to current SSP Programs. Candidate Programs will need to assess their non-AZA participants if they become SSP Programs, and facilities that meet the definition of a Sustainability Partner must be approved by APM Committee before they can be included in a BTP.

If a non-AZA facility participates in multiple SSP Programs, can they submit one Sustainability Partner application that encompasses all of these SSP Programs?

APM Committee requires a separate application for each SSP Program in which a non-AZA facility wishes to continue participating. Regulations, standards of care and welfare, facilities, and experience at the proposed partner facility may vary among species, so each must be assessed separately.

Several sections of the application form (e.g., Part A: Facility Information and Facility's Representative Information; and most of Part B) may be easily cut and pasted from application to application, easing the workload of those facilities that may be applying to participate in multiple SSP Programs.

If a facility loses AZA accreditation during the SSP Breeding and Transfer Planning process, does the facility need to become an approved Sustainability Partner to be included in the current, final Plan?

In the event that a facility loses its AZA accreditation during the SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan planning process (i.e., after the planning meeting), if the SSP Program chooses, the newly non-accredited facility may still be included in the Final BTP. The SSP Program should work with the facility to submit a Sustainability Partner application to the APM Committee within six months from the Final BTP publication and must be an approved Sustainability Partner or rejoin AZA before the next BTP. The Final BTP must include a note next to the facility's recommendations table indicating that in order to remain an SSP participant; this facility must work with the SSP to submit a Sustainability Partner application.

Partnerships

What are the benefits of being a Sustainability Partner to a non-AZA facility?

Sustainability Partners benefit in many ways. A Sustainability Partner is part of collective population management and information exchange. They can participate with the SSP Program, be affiliated with professionally-managed AZA programs, receive scientifically-based breeding and transfer recommendations to assist with managing animals at their facility, or acquire new animals.

Being a Sustainability Partner offers a designation of engagement in the preservation of the species in human care that goes beyond simply occasionally providing or receiving animals to/from zoos and aquariums. Sustainability Partners may also have enhanced access to
information about ways to support in situ conservation of the species through more regular and formal interaction with AZA colleagues, or they may be better placed to seek support from the AZA community for their own in situ initiatives.

We also hope that having a centralized, comprehensive, and reasonably up-to-date (five years old or less) profile maintained by AZA and the SSP Coordinator will help reduce the burden of paperwork down the road when the Sustainability Partner is sending or receiving animals from AZA-accredited facilities, as these AZA facilities will have access to the Sustainability Partner applications, which will likely answer many of the questions they typically have when they work with non-AZA facilities. We hope that current non-AZA partners recognize these benefits and value continued participation in AZA SSP Programs.

This application may be a lot of work for applicants; what if a facility doesn’t want to fill it out?

The types of questions found within the Sustainability Partner application are very similar to those found in recipient profile forms that many zoos and aquariums already use when they work with non-AZA facilities. Therefore, many potential Sustainability Partners may be familiar with these types of questions and have much of the information already available. SSP Coordinators and TAG Chairs may assist partners that are finding the application process difficult. If the facility does not want to apply to be a Sustainability Partner then they cannot be considered part of the SSP nor included in the BTP.

If an SSP Program no longer wants to include a Sustainability Partner, can they remove them from the SSP Program? Do they need APM Committee approval to do this?

An SSP Program may choose to no longer partner with a Sustainability Partner at any time without APM Committee approval. SSP Programs should let the AZA Conservation, Management, & Welfare Sciences Department know of any changes in participation, and the reasons why the partnership has ended.

Must all Sustainability Partners adhere to relevant AZA policies and accreditation standards, regardless of SSP designation?

The Sustainability Partner must agree to adhere to the AZA’s Code of Professional Ethics, SSP Full Participation Policy, and Policy on Responsible Population Management for all animals in their collection. The agreement to follow these policies signals the partner’s intent to provide high quality animal care and operate in ways consistent with AZA principles and ethics. As well, it acknowledges the Program Leader’s responsibility and authority to maintain best practice animal care and scientific population management standards.

The Sustainability Partner must agree to AZA’s SSP Full Participation Policy regardless of the SSP Program designation (Red, Yellow, or Green). This also signals the partner’s intent to cooperate fully with the SSP Program and abide by BTP recommendations.

Must all Sustainability Partners adhere to relevant AZA animal care and welfare accreditation standards?
The Sustainability Partner must agree to adhere to Accreditation Standards related to animal care and welfare. The agreement to follow these policies signals the partner’s intent to provide high quality animal care and operate in ways consistent with AZA principles and ethics.

What if a non-AZA facility is not “regularly exchanging animals” with the SSP Program population (e.g., movements of animals between the facility and AZA are infrequently recommended by the SSP Program)? Does that mean that they do not qualify to be a Sustainability Partner?

A facility must be “regularly exchanging animals” of the SSP to meet the definition of a Sustainability Partner. The period of time considered “regular” is dependent upon the characteristics of the SSP Program’s species, such as lifespan and frequency of breeding events. SSP Coordinators should assess the likelihood of moving animals between the partner and AZA facilities in the next five years and use that as a guideline in determining who to invite to go through the Sustainability Partner application process at this time.

Facilities that are likely to only be receiving OR sending animals to AZA facilities, but not likely to be involved in both types of transactions in the next five years, may not be priorities for applying to become Sustainability Partners at this time. However, they may apply at a later date if deemed appropriate by the SSP Coordinator. SSP Coordinators may ask their Population Biologists for assistance in making some of these decisions. All facilities and all animals are encouraged to be tracked within the Studbooks, regardless of their Sustainability Partner status, so that SSP Coordinators and Population Biologists may potentially assess whether animals at non-Sustainability Partner facilities should be brought into the SSP population.

If a non-AZA facility is expected to ONLY RECEIVE individuals deemed non-essential to the SSP and there is NO REASONABLE EXCEPTION that any individuals from that facility will be transferred into an SSP facility in the future, that facility does not need to be an SSP Sustainability Partner. If an individual is declared non-essential to an SSP and is available for export to a non-SSP facility, no demographic and/or genetic analyses will be conducted to either inform which non-SSP facility the individual should be transferred to or to provide breeding recommendations for that individual at a receiving non-SSP facility.

If a non-AZA facility has expressed a willingness to follow future SSP recommendations and holds one or more individuals that ARE EXPECTED to be transferred into an SSP facility in the future, requiring the demographic and/or genetic value of the individual(s) to be REPEATEDLY ASSESSED during Breeding and Transfer Plan or interim recommendation development to identify when transfer into an SSP facility is beneficial, then that facility should become an SSP Sustainability Partner. A non-AZA facility should also become a Sustainability Partner if it would like to receive breeding and/or transfer recommendations for its animals.

Demographic and/or genetic analyses to evaluate the transfer of individual(s) from a non-SSP facility to an SSP facility will only be completed when a specific need has been defined and transfer(s) have a REASONABLE EXPECTATION of occurring. The demographic and/or genetic value of individual(s) at non-SSPs facilities will not be repeatedly assessed during
Breeding and Transfer Plan or interim recommendation development to identify when the transfer to an SSP facility might be beneficial.

**How should the SSP Program document in the BTP when an animal is transferred out of the SSP population to a non-AZA facility that is not a Sustainability Partner for that SSP Program?**

In the BTP, the animal should be given a “SEND TO” transfer recommendation, labeled as “excluded”, and have a note indicating that the animal will be transferring “Out of the SSP.” The receiving non-AZA facility will not be listed in the BTP. The SSP Coordinator will be responsible for discussing the potential transfer options with the current holding facility, separate from the BTP. The holding facility will adhere to its own policies when transferring the animals to the non-AZA facility. The Studbook Keeper should record this transfer, and the destination, in the Studbook.

**When an AZA member-owned animal is currently held at a non-AZA facility, does that facility need to be a Sustainability Partner to remain in the SSP Program?**

When an AZA member-owned animal is held at a non-AZA facility, the best course of action will vary depending on the needs of the SSP Program. There are several possibilities: 1) The non-AZA facility may apply to become a Sustainability Partner to the SSP Program so that the animal remains part of the SSP population; 2) The non-AZA facility does not become a Sustainability Partner, and the animal is not included in the SSP nor BTP and remains at the non-AZA facility; or 3) The owning AZA facility moves the animal to an AZA facility and it remains in the SSP population. Animals should be moved as soon as possible; however, it is understood that this may sometimes take additional time.

**What are the options if a non-AZA facility does not want to complete the Sustainability Partner application but still wants to be involved in the SSP Program?**

There are several categories of AZA membership that are available including AZA-accredited members and Certified Related Facilities (https://www.aza.org/organization-membership). AZA membership provides many benefits and many opportunities. See more information at https://www.aza.org/benefits-of-accreditation, or contact membership@aza.org.

**If a non-accredited facility does not become a Sustainability Partner, does this mean I cannot work with them in any way?**

No, AZA facilities may still send animals and/or receive animals to/from non-AZA facilities at the recommendation of the SSP Coordinator according to their own animal transfer policies. When these transactions are mostly one-way (send to OR receive from) and/or rare, those non-AZA facilities are not engaging with the SSP at the level of Sustainability Partner. It does not mean that these facilities are less valuable or not necessary. AZA-accredited facilities may continue to work with non-AZA facilities in accordance with AZA Accreditation guidelines, Code of Professional Ethics, and Policy on Responsible Population Management.
In some cases, a non-AZA facility may hold individual animals for a long time before those animals become genetically and/or demographically beneficial to the SSP population. AZA recognizes that these facilities are still performing a valuable contribution and hopes the facility will provide data on the animals to the Studbook Keeper so that the animals can be tracked. The level of engagement a potential partner has with an SSP Program can change over time and thus there may be periods when it does and does not make sense for the SSP Program to try and move the facility through the Sustainability Partner process.

**Are AZA Certified Related Facilities required to apply as Sustainability Partners?**

No. Certified Related Facilities are full institutional AZA members, subject to the same standards, policies and processes as accredited members, with one exception, because they are not regularly open to the public, they are not required to maintain education programs.

**What can SSP Program Leaders do to help determine which individual animals to infrequently send out of the SSP (export) and/or receive into the SSP (import) (i.e., “one-way,” or “one-off” transfers)?**

The best way to make these decisions is with an AZA Population Biologist and using PMx software; however, SSP Program Leaders can also do some investigation on their own to help make these decisions. When potentially bringing an animal into the SSP, it is best to first determine if this animal will add value to the SSP population. This value can come in many forms (e.g., genetically, demographically, husbandry, ambassador needs). When potentially sending an animal out of the SSP, there is always a cost and many variables must be carefully considered, including what will the welfare of the animal be outside of the SSP, will losing the animal hurt the demographic or genetic stability of the SSP, etc.

As each SSP is unique, there is no way to create an extensive list, but below are some examples of ways to further investigate these potential values and considerations.

- What do you know about the potential animal(s) that are proposed to join the SSP? If you are adding them for genetic reasons, do you know their pedigree and are they linked to the SSP population? If they are intended for breeding, are they of an appropriate age, reproductively viable, experienced?
- Look at the studbook and previous Breeding and Transfer Plan for the SSP.
  - Demography
    - Are more animals demographically needed for this SSP (i.e., it has a very small population size or lacks young, breeding-aged animals)?
    - Is the SSP population demographically robust enough to send out and potentially lose animals (i.e., is the animal(s) you plan to export in pre-reproductive or reproductive age classes and will you have enough reproductive-aged animals remaining in the SSP to meet future breeding goals)?
  - Genetics
    - Would the SSP population benefit from adding more unique genes (i.e., is gene diversity low and projected to decline quickly? Do you have a small number of founders represented in the SSP?)?
    - Are the proposed non-SSP animals related to the SSP population? If so, how closely related? Has the SSP previously imported animals from this source?
    - Would the SSP population be able to withstand sending out and potentially losing some genes? Are the animals you plan to export over-
represented, having high mean kinship and many living relatives in the SSP?

○ Husbandry
  ▪ Is the husbandry known and consistent for this SSP population? If not, could this non-SSP facility share knowledge, expertise, or experienced breeding animals to help the SSP?
  ▪ Was this non-AZA facility included in the last BTP? If so, what were their breeding and transfer recommendations?

• Are these potential animals included in the SSP studbook database? If so, look in the database to identify closely related individuals to minimize inbreeding when making new breeding recommendations. Use the Antecedent and Descendant Pedigree Reports in PopLink, the Sibling Tables and Descendant Lists in SPARKS, or the Pedigree tools in ZIMS for Studbooks.

• Think about the logistics, resources, abilities, and acquisition/disposition policies of the facilities potentially involved in these transfers. Are they conducive to making the proposed transfers occur?
Appendix J: Starting a New AZA Studbook in ZIMS for Studbooks

This document outlines how a new AZA studbook can be started in ZIMS for Studbooks. There are several ways this is possible:

1. A new studbook in ZIMS for Studbooks can be created from ZIMS for Husbandry data.
2. An existing Candidate or TAG-monitored studbook already in ZIMS for Studbooks can be reclassified to become a new SSP studbook.
3. A studbook that exists in another software can be migrated over to ZIMS for Studbooks.
4. A new blank studbook can be created for data to be entered manually by the Studbook Keeper.
5. An existing studbook within ZIMS for Studbooks but managed by a different region or institution can be transferred to AZA.

1. Starting a new AZA studbook from ZIMS for Husbandry data
A new studbook can be created using data from the ZIMS for Husbandry module, which contains data entered by Species360 member institutions. This can be done for a new AZA Animal Program or to restart a studbook that was lost or corrupted in legacy software. Creating this studbook would be an AZA TAG level decision, and dependent on approval from the Animal Population Management (APM) Committee. Species360 will download data for the species from ZIMS for Husbandry into the ZIMS for Studbooks module, and the PMC/AZA office will assign roles to the Studbook Keeper and other program participants.

2. Starting a new AZA studbook by upgrading to SSP
A studbook may exist in ZIMS for Studbooks but is not a designated SSP Program; for example, it may be a Candidate or TAG-monitored program. In the event that it is eligible to become an SSP (i.e. a published studbook indicates the population meets SSP criteria), a Candidate Program will automatically be upgraded to the SSP designation and a TAG-monitored program can be upgraded at the recommendation of the TAG with approval from the Animal Population Management (APM) Committee. The PMC/AZA office will change the name on the studbook and assign roles to the Studbook Keeper and other program participants.

3. Starting a new AZA studbook by migrating from a legacy software
There may be a studbook that is maintained in PopLink, SPARKS, Excel, Access, etc. There are various reasons studbooks may not yet have migrated. Some may not have been able to migrate to ZIMS for Studbooks previously due to special functionality required for their management not yet developed by ZIMS. When the necessary functionality becomes available, the Studbook Keeper should send the studbook and other relevant materials to the PMC (pmc@lpzoo.org), who then will share them with Species360 for migration.
4. Starting a new AZA studbook by manually inputting data into a blank database in ZIMS for Studbooks

While uncommon, there may be reason to start a new studbook by manually entering data only. Species360 can create a blank studbook in these cases and the designated Studbook Keeper enters the data by hand.

5. Starting a new AZA studbook by transferring an existing studbook from a different region or institution over to AZA

Species360 can transfer over an existing ZIMS for Studbooks database from a different region or institution if all parties/regions approve of the transfer.

Resource documents:
- Studbooks from ZIMS Data
- A Reference Guide to ZIMS for Studbooks for Animal Program Leaders
- Working Together in a Shared Studbook Database
- Tidying Your Studbook in 5 Steps

Contacts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Person</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General questions; giving others access to your studbook database</td>
<td>Rebecca Greenberg</td>
<td>AZA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:animalprograms@aza.org">animalprograms@aza.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kendra Strohmayer</td>
<td>PMC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:pmc@lpzoo.org">pmc@lpzoo.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population questions</td>
<td>Your Population Biology Advisor</td>
<td>PMC, Adjunct, SPMAG</td>
<td>Contact <a href="mailto:pmc@lpzoo.org">pmc@lpzoo.org</a> for referral if you don’t yet have an advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIMS for Studbooks software technical questions</td>
<td>Species360 Support</td>
<td>Species360</td>
<td><a href="mailto:support@species360.org">support@species360.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix K: AZA Guidelines for Roles and Access to ZIMS for Studbooks

ZIMS for Studbooks allows for different roles to be created to give access to specific features in each studbook database, such as the ability to view or edit data, run reports, and use available tools. Multiple people can have access at the same time to a single studbook database. The intention of this document is to outline AZA’s currently existing roles and give guidance on whom they could be assigned.

At the request of approved AZA Studbook Keepers, access roles are currently assigned by the Regional Association Administrators (i.e., AZA Conservation, Management, & Welfare Sciences Program Assistant and PMC Planning Coordinator). To simplify the customizability of ZIMS for Studbooks and protect data quality, the features available to roles are preset as described below.

Not all SSPs are managed the same. For this reason, SSP Coordinators and Studbook Keepers may request custom roles. Depending on the nature of the request and parties involved, a request must be submitted to animalprograms@aza.org and may need additional approval by the APM Committee and corresponding TAG.

As ZIMS for Studbooks is updated with new features, populated with more studbook databases, and we learn from the requests coming from SSPs, these roles and access options may change to accommodate the perceived needs.

If any AZA member facilities wants access to the AZA studbook database, but are not involved in the SSP in any way, they must request access from both the Studbook Keeper and APM Committee Vice Chair of SSPs and Studbooks (animalprograms@aza.org).

We encourage collaboration within AZA and WAZA-recognized regional associations, but sharing data outside of the AZA/WAZA community is more sensitive. For guidance on when it is appropriate to share studbook data, please see the AZA’s “Guidance for SSP Coordinators and Studbook Keepers on Sharing Studbook Data” (Section II, page 3).

AZA Studbook Data Ownership:

Access and use of studbook data is vital to the AZA mission to maintain and perpetuate healthy populations of animals. To this end, AZA owns the compilation of studbook data contributed at any time by AZA members (current and archived), Sustainability Partners, SSP Programs, and other participants in its animal programs (hereafter referred to as “Contributors”). By its participation as a Contributor, a Contributor gives AZA the non-exclusive right to use its contributed data for studbook purposes including unrestricted use by AZA members and the AZA right to authorize access and use by third parties (including researchers and members of other WAZA-recognized regional associations) without further notice or additional permission. (Updated approval by the AZA Board, July 2019).
AZA Roles and Their Access for ZIMS for Studbooks

1. **Studbook Keeper**
   - Full view and edit access (except for the overlay tool)
   - Given to: TAG-appointed Studbook Keepers recognized by AZA will receive this access as soon as the studbook database is in ZIMS for Studbooks. For Candidate Programs and TAG monitored populations, the TAG studbook maintainer will be treated the same as an SSP Studbook Keeper and receive access as soon as the studbook database is in ZIMS for Studbooks.
   - Access: Can view and edit all data, and run any report or tool, except for overlays.
   - This role needs approval by the AZA office, to verify that they are an approved AZA Studbook Keeper, TAG-approved Candidate Program or TAG monitored population, or AZA member facility sponsored studbook database.

2. **All View and Edit Access**
   - Full view and edit access (customizable, as needed)
   - Given to: TAG-appointed Studbook Keepers and SSP Coordinators recognized by AZA or others, as approved by the Studbook Keeper and SSP Coordinator
   - Access: Can view and edit all data, and run any report or tool, including overlays, with the tool access being customizable, as needed.
   - This role needs approval by the Studbook Keeper, SSP Coordinator, and Advising Population Biologist.

3. **View and Export Only Access**
   - Given to: the Studbook Keeper and SSP Coordinator approve who to give this access to and may include the former Program Leaders, International Studbook Keeper that maintains a different studbook database, another WAZA-recognized regional association’s equivalent Program Leader, Apprentice Studbook Keeper, TAG Chair, APM Committee member, SSP Advisors, TAG Advisors, ILs, PM1/PM2 instructors, researchers, etc.
   - Access: Can view all studbook data, run any report or tool, and export data to Excel, but cannot edit data or use overlays.
   - Duration: Some ‘View and Export Only’ roles may include a specific timeframe that must be re-approved after each period.

4. **Population Biology Advisor**
   - Full view and edit access (all features)
   - Full access to data, editing, reporting, and tools
   - Given to: AZA Population Biology Advisors will receive this access as soon as the studbook database is in ZIMS for Studbooks.
   - Population Biology Advisors from other WAZA-recognized regional associations can also request this type of access in order to advise and manage programs in their association. The regional association must verify this request before access is granted.

5. **Regional Administrator**
   - Full administrative access
   - Given to: AZA Administrators (AZA Conservation, Management, & Welfare Sciences Program Assistant, PMC Planning Coordinator, AZA Director Animal Programs, PMC Director)
   - Assign individuals to access studbook databases using one of the roles listed above

A description of all features and how to create, delete, or edit roles can be found in the ZIMS for Studbooks Roles document.
Conflict Resolution

There may be cases of conflict between users over their roles and access to a studbook and their handling of data. This conflict may be within AZA, the larger WAZA community, or when sharing with outside users. If needed, the ‘AZA Animal Management Reconciliation Policy’ (found as an Appendix in the AZA SSP Program Handbook; https://www.aza.org/animal-program-handbooks) should be used for any conflict resolution needs that arise.
Appendix L: Guidance for SSP Coordinators and Studbook Keepers on Sharing AZA Studbook Data

The intention of this document is to provide guidance on when it is appropriate to share studbook data or allow studbook access. This information is specific for AZA studbooks; international studbooks or studbooks from other regions may be subject to other processes.

Sharing studbook data
There are many ways for SSP Coordinators and Studbook Keepers to share studbook data. Summarized data can be shared via exported population figures, tables, and reports (using SPARKS, PopLink, ZIMS for Studbooks, PMx, Excel, R), Population Viability Analyses (PVAs), and Breeding and Transfer Plans (BTPs). Historic and current studbook data are viewable via AZA Studbook Publication documents. An entire PopLink, SPARKS, or Excel studbook database may also be shared, and ZIMS for Studbooks allows for roles to be created to give individuals customized access to a studbook database.

Sharing access to your studbook database within ZIMS for Studbooks
ZIMS for Studbooks allows for different roles to be created to give access to specific features in each studbook database, such as the ability to view or edit data, run reports, and use available tools. Multiple people can have access at the same time to a single studbook database. If any SSP participants would like access to the studbook data in ZIMSs for Studbooks, ‘View and Export Only’ access may be the best choice and can be requested from the Studbook Keeper and SSP Coordinator, who then request it from the AZA Administrators (animalprograms@aza.org). For more information on sharing data from studbook databases within ZIMS for Studbooks see ‘AZA Guidelines for Roles and Access to ZIMS for Studbooks’ (Section I, page 1).

While a distinction can be made between sharing studbook data and sharing studbook access, from here on for the purposes of this document, “sharing data” will be used to include both descriptions.

Sharing studbook data with colleagues within the AZA Community
We encourage collaboration within the AZA community. Potential SSP collaborators may include an SSP Advisor, TAG Chair, TAG Advisor, participating SSP facility, researcher affiliated with an AZA facility, or other colleagues involved in the SSP. Even when sharing studbook data within the AZA community, SSP Coordinators and Studbook Keepers should consider the following:

- The AZA Studbook Keeper will always remain ultimately responsible for their studbook database and all data within it.
- Be aware that information in a studbook database has been contributed by numerous facilities, with the understanding that these data are only to be used for collaborative population management within AZA.
- Be aware that the studbook database may contain sensitive information or information that may be considered controversial (e.g., transfers, management euthanasia, individual animal’s notes).
- Never share the log in information to your personal ZIMS account. People with whom you would like to share information must have their own log in access to ZIMS. If they do not already have an account, contact AZA Administrators (animalprograms@aza.org) for guidance.
- Collaborators planning to publish research or analysis based on studbook data that could be distributed outside of the AZA community are required to fill out and submit the consent form (Appendix L) to both the Studbook Keeper and APM Committee Vice Chair of SSPs and Studbooks (animalprograms@aza.org) before data are shared.

Sharing studbook data with collaborators from WAZA-recognized regional associations
We encourage collaboration within the WAZA community. AZA Studbook Keepers and SSP Coordinators may need to share studbook data with colleagues working at institutions within WAZA-recognized regional associations (e.g., EAZA, Australasia’s ZAA, SEAZA), particularly population biologists or Studbook Keepers for the same species in other regions. Studbook Keepers and SSP Coordinators wishing to grant access should contact AZA Administrators (animalprograms@aza.org) to have an access role assigned within ZIMS.
for Studbooks. Reciprocal access can also be requested to view studbook data maintained within other WAZA-recognized regional associations.

**Sharing studbook data with collaborators outside the AZA/WAZA Community**

Sharing studbook data with collaborators outside AZA or WAZA-recognized regional associations can lead to more effective *ex situ* population management and species conservation. Potential outside collaborators include advisors, researchers at academic institutions, or partner NGOs. However, data sharing is not always mutually beneficial, and comes with risk including the misuse or misinterpretation of data, and sharing of information beyond the intended audience.

It is important that you initially create an agreement with any outside collaborator(s) concerning sharing data from a studbook, as well as publication of the data or any research results based on these data. Creating an agreement before sharing access to the studbook database will allow you to feel more comfortable with sharing these data. Researchers, or anyone planning to publish or present results based on studbook data, are required to fill out and submit the consent form (Appendix L) to both the Studbook Keeper and APM Committee Vice Chair of SSPs and Studbooks (animalprograms@aza.org) before data are shared. It is recommended that the following disclaimer be added to any data shared outside of the AZA/WAZA community or any published research or results based on the shared studbook data.

**AZA Studbook Data Sharing Disclaimer**

The data shared here are Copyright of AZA *(date)*. All rights reserved. None of these data may be used in any future research or publication, or reproduced in hard copy, machine-readable or other forms without consent from the Studbook keeper and the APM Committee Vice Chair of SSPs and Studbooks and a written agreement in place. Members of the Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA) may copy this information for their own use as needed. AZA strongly recommends that users of this information consult with the Studbook Keeper in all matters related to data analysis and interpretation.

**When considering sharing studbook data, be aware of the following:**

- Before sharing studbook data, request a research proposal from the researcher to better understand why the studbook database is being requested, what specific data will be needed from the studbook database, and how the researcher intends to use the data. For an example of such a research proposal form, see the AZA Research and Technology Committee’s ‘AZA Standardized Research Application Form’ here: [https://www.aza.org/research_and_technology_committee](https://www.aza.org/research_and_technology_committee).
- Check in with your TAG before sharing data. Several AZA TAGs have existing processes for evaluating potential research involvement.
- Verify who the researcher is that you are communicating with and about to potentially collaborate. You can do this by looking at their academic websites, LinkedIn, previously published articles, etc.
- Even those that have ‘View and Export Only’ access in ZIMS for Studbooks can export the entire studbook database to Excel, which allows them to analyze and share these data with others.
- It is important that you initially create an agreement with the collaborator concerning sharing the studbook data with third parties as well as publication of the data or any research results based on these data (see Appendix L). Creating an agreement before sharing access to the studbook database will allow you to feel more comfortable with sharing these data.
- We encourage SSP Coordinators and Studbook Keepers to at least be listed in the acknowledgements section and should be considered as co-authors on any publications using the studbook database (e.g., published journal articles, talks, posters), depending on their involvement and how prominently the studbook data are used.
- Studbook data are best interpreted by those trained in small population management and studbook data conventions and software. An AZA Population Biologist must be involved with data requests from external researchers to guide data analyses and interpretation.
- Identify the end date for the collaborator’s access to the studbook database. Depending on the research, this may be several weeks to several months or longer. If an end date is not identified, collaborators will be assigned the default access of six months. It is good practice to annually review who has access to your studbook database and update, as needed.
• Researchers are required to include both the studbook Currentness date and date of access in any publications and presentations.
• The consent form in Appendix L must be filled out and submitted to both the Studbook Keeper and APM Committee Vice Chair of SSPs and Studbooks (animalprograms@aza.org).

As a reminder, all AZA Program Leaders (e.g., Studbook Keepers, SSP Coordinators, TAG Chairs) can get access to ZIMS for Studbooks, even if your AZA facility is not a Species360 member. Contact the AZA Administrators (animalprograms@aza.org) for assistance if your AZA member facility is not a member of Species360.

For colleagues that are neither an AZA member nor a Species360 member and want access to a studbook database, they first need to get a ZIMS login from Species360. In addition to permission from the Studbook Keeper, permission will also be required from the APM Committee Vice Chair of SSPs and Studbooks (animalprograms@aza.org).
**Required Consent Form for Access to an AZA Studbook Database for Analyses**

*For researchers and anyone outside of the AZA/WAZA community who are planning to publish or present results based on Studbook data*

Attach a research proposal to briefly explain why the studbook data are being requested, what specific data will be needed from the studbook database, and how data will be analyzed and used. The SSP must also attach a letter of support for this specific researcher and their intended research.

I have read both the “AZA Guidelines for Roles and Access to ZIMS for Studbooks” and “Guidance for SSP Coordinators and Studbook Keepers on sharing studbook data” and I agree to the following terms (initial on each line):

- I will only use the studbook data for analyses relevant to population management and species conservation.
- Analyses of data from the ________________________________ (insert individual or multiple species’ name(s)) studbook database will never be presented or published without consent of the SSP Coordinator and Studbook Keeper.
- Individual facility information contained in these records will not be shared in any way, without specific written permission from the respective submitting facilities.
- Any publications and presentations resulting from analyses of data from the studbook listed above will have shared authorship with the SSP Coordinator and Studbook Keeper as well as any AZA staff or Population Biologist involved, as appropriate to the regional scope of the analysis.
- All authors on any reports resulting from analyses of data from this studbook database will fully review the material to be submitted, will be willing to support the conclusions of the study, and can defend it.
- The AZA Animal Population Management Committee reserves the right to block publication and presentation of results if agreement cannot be reached on the content of the reports.
- When submitting manuscripts using studbook data to journals requiring deposit of data for public access and later use, the author must include the italicized statement below in the document to be deposited. All individual animal and institutional identifying information must be stripped from the deposited document and replaced with dummy codes.

> The authors gratefully acknowledge the use of aggregate studbook data by permission of the Association of Zoos & Aquariums (AZA), the owner of this compilation of studbook data contributed by AZA members and other participants in its animal programs. That permission prohibits identification of particular facilities or identifiable details of particular animals.

Signature of Applicant: ____________________________________________     Date: ___________

Printed Name of Applicant: _______________________________________________

Approved by the AZA APM Committee Vice Chair of SSPs and Studbooks

Signature: __________________________________________________________    Date: ___________

Printed Name: _________________________________________________________

Date that studbook database and/or access to studbook database was given to applicant

Date: ___________
Appendix M: Communications Guidelines

Guidelines on communications that represent the Association of Zoos and Aquariums and its Members

All public statements* that may be construed to represent a communication from the Association of Zoos & Aquariums (AZA) or are made by or on behalf of any AZA Program** must be reviewed and approved by the appropriate AZA Department prior to public release or publication. In addition, plans to create such documents should involve input from AZA and other appropriate AZA entities** during their conceptualization and development.

* For example, but not limited to, position or advocacy statements, letters of support / endorsement or censure, policies, petition signatures, proposals, and comments on legislative / regulatory actions

** For example, but not limited to, Committees, Scientific Advisory Groups, Taxon Advisory Group, Species Survival Plan® Programs, Conservation Action Partnerships, Task Forces, the Population Management Center, and the Reproductive Management Center.
Appendix N: SSP Highlights Template

*Please note that this template is available on the AZA website (https://www.aza.org/resource-documents)*

The brief parameters for SSP Highlights:
- 300-500 words
- “Catchy” title
- Author/SSP Coordinator (with job title)
- Photo (5*7 300dpi)
- Appropriate for the broad audience that receive CONNECT magazine

Introduction should include one or more:
- General species information
- Conservation status
- What is their role in AZA zoos and aquariums?
- Why are they cool/interesting/important animals?
- General SSP information (population size, number of organizations in the SSP)

Body should include one or more:
- Sample challenges to SSP population sustainability that will be discussed in the highlight (e.g., low gene diversity, small population size, need for husbandry/management enhancements, etc.).
- New, innovative, and/or creative ways that the SSP is engaging in to address the challenge identified (e.g., international collaborations, research projects, artificial reproductive technologies, new husbandry and management practices, etc.).
- While there may be more than one sustainability challenge and more than one initiative in place, given the limited space it is recommended to focus on only one initiative in the SSP Highlight.

Conclusion should include:
- The results and impacts of the initiative on the SSP population in lay terms
- Lessons learned. Sharing the SSP’s story is important as it may inspire other SSPs with similar challenges to try something new or think about their situation in a new light.
- Future plans to further enhance sustainability, if necessary

Please submit draft SSP Highlights to animalprograms@aza.org.
Appendix O: AZA Position Statement Template

*Please note that this template is available on the AZA website (https://www.aza.org/resource-documents)*

---

Title

Approved by the AZA Board of Directors on ???

A Position Statement defines the Association’s position on a specific issue and most frequently supplements an AZA Board approved policy (http://www.aza.org/board-policies). Please concisely describe AZA’s position on the identified subject matter. If this Position Statement is associated with an AZA Board approved Policy, be sure to indicate to which policy [e.g., Acquisition and Disposition Policy (2008), Policy on the Presentation of Animals (2008), Program Animal Policy (2011)] the statement is related.

Please use Arial 10pt font for all text and separate each paragraph within a section by a 5pt. space.
Appendix P: AAZA White Paper Template

*Please note that this template is available on the AAZA website (https://www.aza.org/resource-documents)*

Title

Approved by the AAZA Board of Directors on ????

AZA Position

Include this section in the White Paper only if there is an AAZA Board approved Position Statement on this topic. If there is not an approved Position Statement then please remove this section. If this White Paper is being submitted alongside a Draft Position Statement, then you may include the Draft Position Statement here.

Please use Arial 10pt font for all text and separate each paragraph within a section by a 5pt. space.

Rationale

A White Paper may be an article that provides additional information to supplement and support a specific AAZA Position Statement, or an informational article that discusses a philosophy or an initiative that is of relevance to the Association.

Please compose a concise, well-cited article that provides the evidence to supplement and support the AAZA Position Statement above, or the identified philosophy or initiative. If this White Paper is informational and does supplement a Position Statement, then this “Rationale” section will be the first section of the White Paper.

Please number each citation in the References section below and include citation numbers as superscripts at the end of the appropriate sentence.

AZA Action

Detail the recommended actions that AAZA institutions and/or members should engage in to adhere to the AAZA Position Statement supplemented by this White Paper. If this white paper is informational, then this section is optional and may be removed.

References

References should be numbered and in APA format

Appendix Q: AZA Guidelines Template

*Please note that this template is available on the AZA website (https://www.aza.org/resource-documents)

Title

Approved by the AZA Conservation & Science Department (or other relevant party) on ??

While the majority of Guidelines (e.g., hand-rearing protocols, mixed species exhibit suggestions, etc.) may not require AZA Board approval, it is still important that they are reviewed and approved by the AZA Conservation & Science Department before they are published and distributed to ensure that they are appropriate and reflect the philosophy of the Association.

Guidelines may provide potential strategies, suggest procedures, and/or provide additional information regarding a specific topic. If these Guidelines are associated with an AZA Board approved Policy, be sure to indicate to which policy [e.g., Acquisition, Transfer, and Transition Policy (updated 2014), Policy on the Presentation of Animals (2008), Program Animal Policy (2011)] the Guidelines are related.

Please use Arial 10pt font for all text and separate each paragraph within a section by a 5pt. space.
AZA Animal Program Institutional Representative (IR) Guidelines

Overview
The Institutional Representative (IR) is the primary contact between their institution and the Program Leader of the Animal Program(s) to which they have been designated. The IR is responsible for maintaining open communication between the Animal Program and the institution, communicating to the Program Leader on behalf of the institution, and participating in Animal Program communications and activities.

Each institution is represented by one IR for each Animal Program the institution participates in. If the TAG Chair moves to an institution that already has an IR represented in that TAG, or if a new Chair is appointed from an institution that already has an IR represented in that TAG, the TAG Chair will automatically be appointed as that institution’s IR. The previous IR must relinquish his/her position because there can only be one IR, and one vote, per institution for each Animal Program. If the former IR served on the Steering Committee, the TAG will hold an immediate election to replace the Steering Committee member. SSP Coordinators and Studbook Keepers are not automatically approved as IRs; they must be designated as their institution’s IR by the Institutional Liaison (IL). Program Leaders who are not Steering Committee members may still participate in the TAG as non-voting advisory members.

One individual may serve as the IR for more than one Animal Program at an institution; however, the duties for each Animal Program are independent of each other. IRs should be aware that being a representative to multiple Animal Programs involves a greater commitment. The IR is appointed by the Institutional Liaison (IL) unless the institution’s Director assumes this responsibility.

Eligibility Requirements
The Institutional Representative must:
• be an employee of the institution they represent.
• be designated by the IL of the institution.
• uphold TAG business confidentiality.
• serve as the institution’s IR for the TAG if they serve as TAG Chair.
• be familiar with the species/taxa they represent. It is understood that there will not always be a staff member that specializes in a particular taxon or species. In these situations, the position should fall to the person on staff who is the most logical point of contact for the Animal Program.
• have the ability to make decisions about the institution’s animal collections or be able to communicate with those who have the ability to make decisions about the collections.
• have proficiency in word processing and spreadsheet programs, utilizing AZA web resources, and have email access. Most documents will be sent electronically or be available for download from the AZA website, and the IR must be able to view and download in documents in Microsoft Word and PDF formats.
• have the capability to disperse documents to the appropriate institution personnel.
Essential Position Functions

- Communicate with and disseminate information among Animal Programs, Program Leaders, the IL, the Institutional Director and the animal care staff, and work with and encourage Program Leaders to build sustainable populations.
- Respond to and fulfill inquiries by TAG and SSP Programs in a timely manner.
- Vote in all Steering Committee/Management Group elections.
- Review and complete "Institutional Wants and Needs" surveys within the requested time frame.
- Communicate Animal Program participation with the IL.
- Review and communicate comments for Draft Breeding and Transfer Plans and RCPs to the IL and Program Leaders during the 30-day comment period.
- Request Animal Program documents from the IL if the IR is not an individual AZA member and does not have access to documents through the AZA website.
- Ensure that any Regional Collection Plans (RCPs) and Breeding & Transfer Plan recommendation disagreements are addressed with the IL and Program Leaders during the comment period.
- Complete and return space surveys for TAG RCPs within the requested time frame.
- Consider volunteering for Animal Program activities and standing for election to Animal Program committees.
- Communicate any contact information amendments or change of status to the IL.

When requests are made for Taxon or Specimen reports from AZA Animal Program Leaders, please contact the Curator-Zoological Records to assist in providing the correct information as necessary.

Many AZA Animal Programs use the PMC Track software (www.pmctrack.org) prior to assembling Breeding & Transfer Plans. While not a requirement for Animal Programs, this software is a valuable tool in tracking recommendations in such plans. IRs are strongly encouraged to use this system when requested by a Program Leader for their Animal Program.

Surveys and Breeding & Transfer Plans (drafts and final) must be discussed with the appropriate Zoological Curators before being submitted or confirmed. IRs must be familiar with their roles and responsibilities, and are expected to communicate questions or concerns in a timely manner.

By signing, I understand the above information and accept the responsibilities and duties as explained.

Print Name ___________________________ Signature ___________________________

Date ___________________________

A copy of this signed form will be kept on file in the office of the Institutional Liaison.

Date created/Last edited: 23 April 2013 / 13 Jan 2021
Species Survival Plan® Program Handbook

Appendix S: AZA Animal Programs Chart

Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA)
Conservation, Management, and Welfare Sciences Department

Animal Population Management Committee (APM Committee)
Board-level Committee

Taxon Advisory Groups (TAGs)
43 Total

Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Programs

Cooperatively Managed
Criteria:
AZA published Studbook
≥ 20 animals
≥ 3 AZA institutions
-OR-
If extinct in the wild, critically endangered, or endangered (IUCN or US ESA):
AZA published Studbook
TAG has developed 3 goals

Green SSP
≥ 90% genetic diversity

Yellow SSP
≥ 50 animals

Red SSP
≥ 20 animals

Candidate Programs

TAG Managed
Criteria:
< 20 animals
< 3 institutions
TAG wants program to become an SSP

Species Survival Plan® Program Handbook
128
Appendix T: Citation Formats

Citation of an SSP Breeding and Transfer Plan:
*SSP Coordinator should be the first author, then Studbook Keeper, then the Population Biologist.

SSP Coordinator last name, first initial., Studbook Keeper last name, first initial., and Population Biologist last name, first initial. Year published. Species common name (Scientific name). AZA Species Survival Plan® Designation color Program Population Analysis & Breeding and Transfer Plan. AZA Population Management Center: Chicago, IL.


Citation of a Global Species Management Plan
GSMP Coordinator last name, first initial. and Population Biologist last name, first initial. Year published. Species common name (Scientific name) WAZA Global Species Management Plan. Institution name: City, State.


Citation of an AZA Regional Studbook:
Studbook Keeper last name, first initial. Year published. Species common name (Scientific name) AZA Regional Studbook. Institution name: City, State.


Citation of a Regional Collection Plan:
TAG Chair last name, first initial. Year published. TAG name Regional Collection Plan. Institution name: City, State.


Citation of a Population Viability Analysis:
(all Last name, First initial) Population Biologist., SSP Coordinator., Studbook Keeper., TAG Chair., and TAG Vice-Chair. Year. Species common name (Scientific name) AZA Animal Program Population Viability Analysis Report. Lincoln Park Zoo: Chicago, IL.


Citation of an SSP Sustainability Report:
SSP Coordinator last name, first initial. Year published. Species common name (Scientific name) Species Survival Plan® Sustainability Report. Association of Zoos and Aquariums: Silver Spring, MD.


Citation of a Survival Statistic Report:

Citation of PMCTrack:

Citation of an Animal Care Manual:

Citation of an Ambassador Animal Guideline:
PENGUIN
(Spheniscidae)
CARE MANUAL
Penguin (Spheniscidae) Care Manual
Published by the Association of Zoos and Aquariums in association with the AZA Animal Welfare Committee

Formal Citation:

Original Completion Date:
August 2014

Authors and Significant Contributors:
Tom Schneider, Detroit Zoological Society
Diane Olsen, Moody Gardens
Cheryl Dykstra, John Ball Zoo
Stephanie Huettner, Omaha Zoo
Sherry Branch, Sea World Florida
Gayle Sirpenski, Mystic Aquarium
Steve Sarro, National Zoo
Karen Waterfall, Albuquerque Biopark
Linda Henry, Sea World California
Lauren DuBois, Sea World California
Jessica Jozwiak, Detroit Zoological Society
Ed Diebold, Riverbanks Zoo
Roberta Wallace, Milwaukee County Zoo
Alex Waier, Milwaukee County Zoo
Kerri Slifka, Dallas Zoo
Roy McClements, Fossil Rim Wildlife Center (deceased)
Heather Urquhart, New England Aquarium

Reviewers:
Dee Boersma, University of Washington
Pierre de Wit, Zoo Emmen

AZA Staff Editors:
Maya Seaman, MS, Animal Care Manual Publication Specialist
Felicia Spector, MA, Animal Care Manual Editor Consultant
Shelly Grow, Director, Conservation Programs
Deborah Luke, PhD, Senior Vice President, Conservation & Science

Cover Photo Credits:
Mike Aguilera, Steve Sarro, Heather Urquhart, Bob Flores and Amanda Ista

Disclaimer: This manual presents a compilation of knowledge provided by recognized animal experts based on the current science, practice, and technology of animal management. The manual assembles basic requirements, best practices, and animal care recommendations to maximize capacity for excellence in animal care and welfare. The manual should be considered a work in progress, since practices continue to evolve through advances in scientific knowledge. The use of information within this manual should be in accordance with all local, state, and federal laws and regulations concerning the care of animals. While some government laws and regulations may be referenced in this manual, these are not all-inclusive nor is this manual intended to serve as an evaluation tool for those agencies. The recommendations included are not meant to be exclusive management approaches, diets, medical treatments, or procedures, and may require adaptation to meet the specific needs of individual animals and particular circumstances in each institution. Commercial entities and media identified are not necessarily endorsed by AZA. The statements presented throughout the body of the manual do not represent AZA standards of care unless specifically identified as such in clearly marked sidebar boxes.
# Penguin (Spheniscidae) Care Manual

## Table of Contents

### Introduction
- Taxonomic Classification ................................................................. 5
- Genus, Species, and Status ............................................................... 5
- General Information ................................................................. 5

### Chapter 1. Ambient Environment .................................................. 7
- 1.1 Temperature and Humidity .......................................................... 7
- 1.2 Light ..................................................................................... 8
- 1.3 Water and Air Quality ................................................................. 9
- 1.4 Sound and Vibration ................................................................. 11

### Chapter 2. Habitat Design and Containment ................................. 12
- 2.1 Space and Complexity ............................................................... 12
- 2.2 Safety and Containment ........................................................... 15

### Chapter 3. Transport ................................................................. 18
- 3.1 Preparations ........................................................................... 18
- 3.2 Protocols .............................................................................. 21

### Chapter 4. Social Environment .................................................... 24
- 4.1 Group Structure and Size .......................................................... 24
- 4.2 Influence of Others and Conspecifics .......................................... 25
- 4.3 Introductions and Reintroductions ............................................. 26

### Chapter 5. Nutrition ................................................................. 28
- 5.1 Nutritional Requirements ........................................................... 28
- 5.2 Diets .................................................................................... 32
- 5.3 Nutritional Evaluations ............................................................ 34

### Chapter 6. Veterinary Care .......................................................... 35
- 6.1 Veterinary Services ................................................................. 35
- 6.2 Identification Methods .............................................................. 36
- 6.3 Transfer Examination and Diagnostic Testing Recommendations ........................................................................... 37
- 6.4 Quarantine ............................................................................. 38
- 6.5 Preventive Medicine ............................................................... 39
- 6.6 Capture, Restraint, and Immobilization ...................................... 41
- 6.7 Management of Diseases, Disorders, Injuries and/or Isolation ........................................................................... 42

### Chapter 7. Reproduction .............................................................. 51
- 7.1 Reproductive Physiology and Behavior ........................................ 51
- 7.2 Assisted Reproductive Technology ............................................. 52
- 7.3 Pregnancy & Egg-laying ............................................................ 53
- 7.4 Birthing/Hatching Facilities ...................................................... 56
- 7.5 Assisted Rearing ..................................................................... 59
- 7.6 Contraception ........................................................................ 64

### Chapter 8. Behavior Management .................................................. 65
- 8.1 Animal Training ................................................................. 65
- 8.2 Environmental Enrichment ........................................................ 65
- 8.3 Staff and Animal Interactions .................................................... 66
- 8.4 Staff Skills and Training ........................................................... 67

### Chapter 9. Program Animals ......................................................... 68
- 9.1 Program Animal Policy ............................................................ 68

---

Association of Zoos and Aquariums
9.2 Institutional Program Animal Plans ................................................................. 69
9.3 Program Evaluation ..................................................................................... 71

Chapter 10. Research ......................................................................................... 72
  10.1 Research Methods .................................................................................... 72
  10.2 Future Research ....................................................................................... 72

Acknowledgements ............................................................................................. 74

References ............................................................................................................. 75

Appendix A: Accreditation Standards by Chapter ............................................. 84
Appendix B: AZA Policy on Responsible Population Management: Acquisitions,
  Transfers and Transitions by Zoos & Aquariums ........................................... 88
Appendix C: Recommended Quarantine Procedures ........................................ 94
Appendix D: Program Animal Policy and Position Statement ........................... 97
Appendix E: Developing an Institutional Program Animal Policy ..................... 101
Appendix F: Nutrient Composition of Fish ......................................................... 106
Appendix G: Sample Maintenance Diets for Various Penguin Species ............. 107
Appendix H: Nutrient Composition of Sample Diets (Dry Matter Basis) ......... 108
Appendix I: Institutions for Aspergillus Testing ............................................... 109
Appendix J: Drugs Commonly Used in Penguin Species ................................. 110
Appendix K: Product Information .................................................................... 111
Appendix L: Penguin Chick Hand-rearing Diet (Formula) ............................... 112
Appendix M: Penguin Chick Hand-rearing Protocols ...................................... 113
Appendix N: ISIS Physiological Blood Values ............................................... 120
Appendix O: AZA Recommended Penguin Egg, Chick & Adult Bird Necropsy Protocols .................................................................................................................. 139
Appendix P: Sample Enrichment Schedules for Penguins ............................... 142
Introduction

Preamble

AZA accreditation standards, relevant to the topics discussed in this manual, are highlighted in boxes such as this throughout the document (Appendix A).

AZA accreditation standards are continuously being raised or added. Staff from AZA-accredited institutions are required to know and comply with all AZA accreditation standards, including those most recently listed on the AZA website (http://www.aza.org), which might not be included in this manual.

Taxonomic Classification

Table 1. Taxonomic classification for penguins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Taxonomy</th>
<th>Additional information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kingdom</td>
<td>Animalia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phylum</td>
<td>Chordata</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td>Aves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order</td>
<td>Neognathae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suborder</td>
<td>Spheniscoformes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Spheniscidae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genus, Species, and Status

Table 2. Genus, species, and status information for penguins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>USA Status</th>
<th>IUCN Status</th>
<th>AZA Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aptenodytes</strong></td>
<td>patagonicus</td>
<td>King penguin</td>
<td>Not listed</td>
<td>Least Concern</td>
<td>Green SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>forsteri</td>
<td>Emperor penguin</td>
<td>Not listed</td>
<td>Least Concern</td>
<td>Red SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eudyptes</strong></td>
<td>pachyrhynchus</td>
<td>Fiordland penguin</td>
<td>Threated</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>robustus</td>
<td>Snares penguin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sclateri</td>
<td>Erect-crested penguin</td>
<td>Threated</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eudyptes</strong></td>
<td>chrysocome</td>
<td>Southern rockhopper penguin</td>
<td>Threated</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Green SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eudyptes</strong></td>
<td>moseleyi</td>
<td>Northern rockhopper penguin</td>
<td>Not listed</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Red SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eudyptes</strong></td>
<td>chrysolophus</td>
<td>Macaroni penguin</td>
<td>Not listed</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eudyptes</strong></td>
<td>schelegeli</td>
<td>Royal Penguin</td>
<td>Not listed</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eudyptula</strong></td>
<td>minor</td>
<td>Little blue penguin</td>
<td>Not listed</td>
<td>Least Concern</td>
<td>Yellow SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pygoscelis</strong></td>
<td>adeliae</td>
<td>Adélie penguin</td>
<td>Not listed</td>
<td>Least Concern</td>
<td>Green SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pygoscelis</strong></td>
<td>antarctica</td>
<td>Chinsstrap penguin</td>
<td>Not listed</td>
<td>Least Concern</td>
<td>Yellow SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pygoscelis</strong></td>
<td>papua</td>
<td>Gentoo penguin</td>
<td>Not listed</td>
<td>Least Concern</td>
<td>Green SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Megadyptes</strong></td>
<td>antipodes</td>
<td>Yellow-eyed penguin</td>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spheniscus</strong></td>
<td>magellanicus</td>
<td>Magellanic penguin</td>
<td>Not listed</td>
<td>Near Threatened</td>
<td>Green SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spheniscus</strong></td>
<td>humboldti</td>
<td>Humboldt penguin</td>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Green SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spheniscus</strong></td>
<td>mendiculus</td>
<td>Galapagos penguin</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spheniscus</strong></td>
<td>demersus</td>
<td>African penguin*</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Green SSP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Also known as the black-footed, Cape, and jackass penguin.

General Information

The information contained within this Animal Care Manual (ACM) provides a compilation of animal care and management knowledge that has been gained from recognized species experts, including AZA Taxon Advisory Groups (TAGs), Species Survival Plan® Programs (SSPs), Studbook Programs, biologists, veterinarians, nutritionists, reproduction physiologists, behaviorists and researchers. They are based on the most current science, practices, and technologies used in animal care and management and are valuable resources that enhance animal welfare by providing information about the basic requirements needed and best practices known for caring for ex situ penguin populations. This ACM is considered a living document that is updated as new information becomes available and at a minimum of every five years.

Information presented is intended solely for the education and training of zoo and aquarium personnel at AZA-accredited institutions. Recommendations included in the ACM are not exclusive management approaches, diets, medical treatments, or procedures, and may require adaptation to meet the specific needs of individual animals and particular circumstances in each institution. Statements presented
throughout the body of the manuals do not represent specific AZA accreditation standards of care unless specifically identified as such in clearly marked sidebar boxes. AZA-accredited institutions which care for penguins must comply with all relevant local, state, and federal wildlife laws and regulations; AZA accreditation standards that are more stringent than these laws and regulations must be met (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.1.1).

The ultimate goal of this ACM is to facilitate excellent penguin management and care, which will ensure superior penguin welfare at AZA-accredited institutions. Ultimately, success in our penguin management and care will allow AZA-accredited institutions to contribute to penguin conservation, and ensure that penguins are in our future for generations to come.

Penguins are flightless, highly specialized marine birds which spend the majority of the year at sea, coming ashore to nest and molt. On land, they are highly social animals, often occurring in large flocks that can number into the tens of thousands. They are dependent on prey items such as fish, crustaceans, and squid. This dependence creates a great vulnerability to pressures from fisheries as well as global climate change, oil spills, marine pollution, human disturbance, hunting, degradation of nesting habitats, and disease. All of these factors have led to the decline of most of the 18 species of penguins.

All species of penguin are found in a wide range of habitats throughout the Southern Hemisphere, from the snow and ice in Antarctica, to temperate rain forests in New Zealand. Breeding, egg-laying, and nest building vary across the species. The largest species of penguins—the Emperor and King penguins—will lay one egg, and instead of building a nest structure, will hold the egg in place on top of their feet. Other species build rock nests or burrows and lay two eggs. Penguins are normally monogamous and will often nest with the same partner for a number of years.

Penguins are long-lived; some individuals will breed at 20 years of age in the wild, and at over 30 years of age in zoos and aquariums. Some species will start nesting at 2 years of age, but others may not breed until they are 5 years old. Most species nest once a year during times of favorable environmental conditions, but for some species the nesting season is variable. A few species will nest twice during the same year.

Due to their adaptation to a marine environment, all penguin species are similar in morphology and physiology. The body is streamlined and the wings are adapted for swimming. Feathers are specialized, improving swimming performance while providing insulation and waterproofing. During molt, penguins lose waterproofing and insulation and should remain on land until molt is complete. This requires penguins to gain weight prior to molt while fasting during molt. (This physiological process has significant implications in an ex situ environment, and is addressed in this manual.) Plumage is similar in all species: the dorsal side is darkly colored and the ventral side is white. This coloration provides visual protection from both above and below.

Because of their aquatic adaptations penguins spend significant time in the water. Cold, clean water is essential to their well-being. Penguins will utilize deep pools and pathways that allow for circular swimming. In the wild, penguins will “porpoise,” a natural movement behavior that also occurs in zoo and aquarium environments if the aquatic habitat provides adequate space. Despite their aquatic nature, land space is also important for penguins; if provided in a zoological setting, penguins will spend significant time on land. Land areas should to be designed for roosting, nesting, and walking.

Their beaks are specialized and vary in size and shape depending on their prey. In the wild, penguins eat a variety of marine species including fish, squid, and krill. During nesting season, they will forage within a limited area near their nesting location, but they spend the majority of the year at sea. Recent advances in data trackers have allowed researchers to determine important foraging locations. This information has been used to protect important marine systems.

Penguins are not regulated by the US government other than those species listed as endangered or threatened by the Endangered Species Act. Regulations under this act can create challenges in importing or exporting birds to other countries, but do not affect movements within the United States.
Chapter 1. Ambient Environment

1.1 Temperature and Humidity

The animals must be protected from weather, and any adverse environmental conditions. (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.5.7). Animals not normally exposed to cold weather/water temperatures should be provided heated enclosures/pool water. Likewise, protection from excessive cold weather/water temperatures should be provided to those animals normally living in warmer climates/water temperatures.

Temperature: Penguins are warm-blooded, with average body temperatures ranging from 37.8–38.9 °C (100–102 °F). Penguin species range from the equator to the Antarctic Circle, but are generally found in waters that are relatively cool for the latitude. Temperature regulation is accommodated by both behavioral and physiological adaptations. Apart from behavior and weight, overlapping feathers with downy shafts and a thick layer of blubber provide very effective insulation against the cold. Penguins found in warmer latitudes may face problems with excess heat. These birds generally have thinner layers of blubber than polar species, and also have less dense feathers on the head and flippers. Heat can be lost by ruffling feathers to expose the skin, shading the feet, holding the flippers away from the body, panting, or by remaining in sheltered burrows. Feathers are replaced yearly in a "catastrophic" molt, which generally follows the breeding season.

Air temperature: The following optimum air temperature ranges are recommended for indoor exhibits, and can be used as a guide by northern facilities that seasonally exhibit these species outside.

Table 3. Recommended temperature ranges for penguins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Air temperature range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emperor</td>
<td>-6 to 0 °C (20 to 32 °F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adelie</td>
<td>-6 to 1 °C (20 to 34 °F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinstrap, gentoo</td>
<td>-4.5 to 7 °C (24 to 45 °F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King, macaroni, rockhopper</td>
<td>0 to 11.5 °C (32 to 52 °F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little blue</td>
<td>12 to 22 °C (54 to 72 °F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African, Magellanic, Humboldt</td>
<td>4.5 to 26.5 °C (40 to 80 °F)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Antarctic and sub-Antarctic penguin species (emperor, Adelie, chinstrap, gentoo, king, macaroni, rockhopper) need to be kept in climate controlled indoor facilities that can maintain the appropriate temperatures. Temperate species (African, Humboldt, Magellanic, little blue) can be successfully housed indoors or outdoors, or in exhibits using a combination of both. The success of an outside exhibit depends chiefly on the ambient temperature and the relative humidity of the area. When housing temperate penguins outdoors in areas where the temperature rises above 26.5 °C (80 °F), provisions should be made to allow the birds a means of heat relief. Sprinklers, misters, shaded areas, and forced-air movements are recommended methods. Chilled water and access to climate controlled areas should be provided. Heat stress problems are not confined to warm southern areas; hot, humid days in the upper mid-east of the United States are warm enough to cause problems. Signs of heat stress include panting, lethargy, and decreased appetite. The penguins may not automatically go into their pool or climate controlled holding areas and may need to be forced into these areas if heat stress becomes apparent. Fans, sprinklers, and misters should also be placed in or around the exhibit and indoor holding areas.

Outside exhibits should be constructed so that the birds have shelter from freezing winds in the winter months. When the temperature falls below freezing, all birds should have access to shelter. Open water should be available all winter, and pools should not be allowed to freeze. Penguin species that naturally inhabit temperate climates (e.g., Spheniscid species) may suffer frostbite to the flippers if housed outdoors in cold climates with inadequately heated or accessed shelters.

Water temperature: Acceptable water temperature ranges for penguins housed in zoos and aquariums can be found below.
Table 4. Recommended temperature ranges for penguin pools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Water temperature range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adélie and emperor</td>
<td>1–7 °C (33–45 °F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King, gentoo, chinstrap, macaroni, rockhopper</td>
<td>2–13 °C (35–55 °F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little blue</td>
<td>12–22 °C (54–72 °F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African, Magellanic, Humboldt</td>
<td>4–18 °C (40–65 °F)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some outside exhibits may have ambient temperatures that could rise above 29 °C (84 °F) during the summer months without causing adverse effects to the birds. Chilled water in these situations can assist birds in thermoregulation during these environmental conditions.

**Humidity:** Penguins do not thrive in humid climates. Warm, humid climates may be conducive to aspergillus infection. In addition, warm wet environments are breeding grounds for mosquitoes and penguins are highly susceptible to malarial infection. Outside exhibits in humid areas with heavy mosquito populations should not be considered for penguin enclosures. A mosquito abatement program should be in place in areas where mosquitoes are present.

In situ populations of penguins may experience a variety of humidity ranges depending on the season and their location (e.g., on the Antarctic continent, the coast of Chile, or the beaches of Australia), however an optimal humidity range has not been scientifically demonstrated. In zoos and aquariums, great care should be taken to ensure that penguins are provided the ability to regulate their own temperatures at all times through their behavior. Systems employed to raise or lower humidity within indoor and outdoor exhibits include air conditioning, dehumidifiers, misters, sprinklers, and fans.

AZA institutions with exhibits which rely on climate control must have critical life-support systems for the animal collection and emergency backup systems available, while all mechanical equipment should be included in a documented preventative maintenance program. Special equipment should be maintained under a maintenance agreement or records should indicate that staff members are trained to conduct specified maintenance (AZA Accreditation Standard 10.2.1).

**Climate control:** The AZA Penguin TAG recommends that each institution identify the most appropriate climate control systems suitable for their penguin exhibits in order to meet the temperature and humidity recommendations provided above.

Climate control systems can include but are not limited to the following items: HVAC system, heat exchanger, air handling unit, chiller, furnace or boiler system, and the computronics to run the system. All employees should have a general knowledge of the mechanical system to identify any unusual signs that the system may need repair. Daily mechanical/equipment checks should be conducted and information recorded. Any anomalies (e.g., high temperatures, mechanical failures, oil leaks) should be addressed. Critical repairs should be completed as soon as possible. Routine and preventative maintenance on equipment is recommended and all repairs documented.

Backup generators are recommended in the event of a power failure. The type of generator required will be dependent on the needs of the exhibit (e.g., small or portable generator for incubators, or large diesel backup generators for the exhibit). Facilities should have a contingency plan for moving animals in the event of a catastrophic event (e.g., natural disaster, motor failure, wide spread power failure, complete system breakdown). These contingency plans may include moving penguins to alternate housing.

1.2 Light

AZA-accredited zoos and aquariums should give careful consideration to the provision of proper lighting for penguins. For indoor exhibits, special attention should be given to the spectral quality of the light, the light intensity, and the photoperiod. Where feasible, the provision of natural light should be considered. It is recommended that designers plan ahead for the likely potential that more light will be required than what is projected to be needed. The configuration of the exhibit, along with the variation in...
exhibit elements and number of birds housed, will influence light absorption and reflectivity within the enclosure and has ultimate impact on the amount of light needed to be delivered inside the exhibit.

Types of lighting that have been used with penguins include skylights, HID lamps (mercury vapor and metal halide), quartz halogen, fluorescent (normal and full-spectrum), incandescent and, most recently, LED. Each type of light installation has unique characteristics and photometrics. For example, HID lamps produce heat and this should be considered when assessing overall exhibit heat load. However, metal halides are a relatively energy-efficient means of providing good quality, high intensity light. Fluorescent lamps are frequently used providing good energy efficiency and spectral output but may not provide sufficient intensity. When evaluating lighting needs, it is recommended to use a variety of bulbs to assure a balanced appearance and appropriate spectral environment. Bulb manufacturers can provide information on color temperature, color rendering index (CRI), and spectral power distribution (the distinct spectrum of light produced by the bulb). It is recommended to consult with other penguin exhibitors before making final decisions about light installations.

Proper maintenance of light fixtures is essential to good quality light. Institutions should make provision for annual replacement of light bulbs because many types of lamps experience a change in their spectral output with use. Skylights or windows through which light passes should be kept clean to maximize light transmittance.

Exposure to a consistent photoperiod is essential to promoting proper breeding and molting cycles. Although penguins have reproduced on a simple turn on/turn off lighting system, some zoos and aquariums report enhanced reproductive success by varying annual day length and light intensity. Lighting schedules should reflect definitive photoperiods to encourage natural molting and breeding cycles. Several zoos and aquariums use lighting schedules that approximate that of the latitudes in which the species exhibited are found. Variations in molt patterns have been correlated with lighting schedules. Penguins are maintained successfully in both northern and southern photoperiod. Birds that are transferred from one cycle to another will usually adapt biologically within three years.

1.3 Water and Air Quality

AZA-accredited institutions must have a regular program of monitoring water quality for aquatic animals and a written record must document long-term water quality results and chemical additions (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.5.9). Monitoring selected water quality parameters provides confirmation of the correct operation of filtration and disinfection of the water supply available for the collection. Additionally, high quality water enhances animal health programs instituted for aquatic collections.

Water quality: Both fresh water and salt water can be used in penguin exhibits. The water in a penguin exhibit pool should be clear and of good color with a low bacterial count. (Coliform bacteria levels should not exceed 1,000 MPN (most probable number) per 100 mL of water (Animal Welfare Regulations, 2013). A coliform bacteria count over 1,000 MPN is an indicator of potentially harmful conditions. There are several ways of controlling coliform levels. Water treatment filtration systems include sand, diatomaceous earth, ozone, biological, and ultraviolet light (UV). The addition of a chlorine or bromine system in conjunction with the filtering system also aids in controlling coliform levels. Older exhibits without filtration should maintain a clean supply of constantly running water, with adequate surface water skimming. Skimming capacity is essential for the health of the birds. Oils that build up on the water should be removed in order to maintain healthy feather condition. The number of skimmers should correspond to pool size and configuration. Noxious odors such as ammonia and chlorine that can cause health problems at high concentrations should be carefully monitored.

Performing routine water chemistries assures proper maintenance of water quality for pools. Chemistries should be taken at least once a month but a more frequent schedule is recommended. A record of results should be maintained and reviewed. When collecting water for testing, the sample should be taken from 61–91 cm (2–3 ft.) below the surface in about the same location at each collection. Tests can be performed by various methods such as with a refractometer, spectrophotometer or water quality test strips such as HACH® AquaChek strip. The tests to be run may include but are not limited to ammonia, nitrite, nitrate, pH, temperature, and specific gravity.
Ammonia (NH₃) should be kept at a level below 0.1 ppm and nitrite (NO₂⁻) levels below 0.5 ppm, although Spotte (1992) lists concentrations 3 ppm as being safe for adult marine fish. Nitrate (NO₃⁻) is the final product in the nitrogen cycle and is safer than nitrite or ammonia. Nitrate readings below 50 ppm are safe for adult marine fish. Nitrate will not react out of the system and is removed only through water changes. The pH for saltwater should range from 8.0 to 8.3 and for fresh water 5.5 to 7.5. Specific gravity for saltwater pools should range from 1.020 to 1.030. Ozone can be utilized for disinfection of penguin water sources. When ozone is used, institutions should develop specific water filtration and disinfection protocols. The following information on the use of ozone has been adapted from approaches used at one institution (see www.zoolex.org). Ozone disinfection can be achieved by using a 10% by-pass flow supplied by a 40 g (1.41 oz.) ozonator through dry air (2 mg/L) that is mixed with filtered water in a vortex mixing chamber with a contact time of two minutes. The oxidation reduction potential (ORP) taken from the mixing chamber can be used to measure and monitor the automation of the ozonator, along with oxidation-reduction probes in the return to pool line. In all cases, a back-up oxidation treatment system should be available (e.g., 1.0 mg/L sodium hypochlorite), and should become operative if the ozonator experiences any mechanical difficulties. If any of the water quality results are above the target levels appropriate, water changes should be performed. Penguin pools require a turnover rate of three to five times the system volume per hour.

Table 5. Recommended water quality parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (°C (°F))</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Oxidant (mg/L)</th>
<th>ORP (mVolts)</th>
<th>Turbidity (NTU)</th>
<th>Salinity (0/00)</th>
<th>Coli (/1000mL)</th>
<th>NH3 (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antarctic</td>
<td>42–45 (6–7)</td>
<td>7.2–8.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>400–600</td>
<td>&lt;0.20</td>
<td>30–34</td>
<td>&lt;1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spheniscus</td>
<td>54–57 (12–14)</td>
<td>7.2–8.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>275–325</td>
<td>&lt;0.20</td>
<td>30–34</td>
<td>&lt;1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drainage: Drainage systems for land areas and pool areas should be separate to avoid pool contamination from run-off or exhibit maintenance. Drains, intake valves, and skimmers should be covered so that direct contact by birds is not possible. In filtered systems, care should be taken to provide a large enough bottom drain cover to prevent the possibility of a bird being sucked onto the drain.

Surface drainage should be adequate to allow for quick drying, and all floors should slope to the drain. One of the major reasons to have large exhibits is so penguins can come in and out of the water and dry quickly. Low spots that puddle should be avoided because a constantly wet substrate will eventually cause foot problems in penguins, as well as added staff hours needed for servicing the facility.

Air quality: Penguins as a group are highly susceptible to air-borne fungal infections. For this reason, the air quality in an indoor penguin exhibit should be optimal. Airflow, fresh air exchange, and filter capacity should be researched to provide the cleanest air possible. _Aspergillus fumigatus_ spores range in size from 2.5–3 microns with other _aspergillus_ species spores as large as 10 microns. In order to remove these spores from the air, a filter should remove particles in that size range or smaller. If possible sources of _aspergillus_ are external to the exhibit then consideration should be given to reducing fresh air intake and providing a high-quality filter on the incoming air line as well as in the recirculation line. If the possible sources of _aspergillus_ are internal to the exhibit, then a high-quality filter in the recirculating system, a high volume air change per hour, and increased fresh air exchange—as well as identifying and removing the _aspergillus_ source within the exhibit—should be considered. Collection of regular air cultures in the exhibit as well as the air-handling system is a good practice in preventative maintenance. To aid in control of malaria in outdoor exhibits, consideration should be given to installing fans, since mosquitoes avoid persistent air movement.

Air turnover rates in the range of 15 air changes per hour have been recommended for laboratory animals (Lane-Petter, 1976). These parameters may be acceptable for penguins; however, the specific design of an air system needs to balance the tradeoffs between: (1) filter efficiency and airflow or ventilation; and (2) fresh air exchange and temperature regulation capacity. The exhibits of some 1993 AZA Penguin TAG Survey respondent institutions are under positive pressure, which allows air to be forced out instead of into the exhibit when a door is open (Henry, 1993). Doors should be well sealed to prevent air exchanges with outside areas. These rates are acceptable for closed indoor systems.

Daily records of air/water parameters should be recorded to monitor for any changes. If a significant variation in air/water parameters occurs, the penguins’ behavior should be carefully monitored for correlations. Immediate steps should be taken to correct problems. Appropriate air monitoring is important for maintaining proper air quality. Air filters, at least 3 microns, are recommended. Filters should be
changed on a regular basis; as often as once a month or more as air quality dictates. Air handlers can be disinfected monthly to reduce the risk of fungal growth. Air testing using agar plates can be conducted every few months to ensure that fungal growth is not occurring. Prior to adding penguins to a new or refurbished exhibit, the air should be monitored for any signs of fungal growth. If spores are grown the area should be cleaned and disinfected, filters changed and another set of air testing should be completed.

1.4 Sound and Vibration
Consideration should be given to controlling sounds and vibrations that can be heard by animals in the care of AZA-accredited zoos and aquariums.

In general, penguins appear adaptable to auditory stimuli within their environments, and can acclimate to new noises and vibrations that are slowly introduced and associated with positive stimuli. However, new sounds and/or sources of vibrations (e.g., generators, water filters, construction noise, concerts, etc.), and activities that may create chronic or acute auditory stressors, should be eliminated or minimized during sensitive animal management periods such as animal introductions, nesting, chick rearing, the arrival of animals in quarantine, and when animals are sick.

Results from formal and informal research into the responses of penguins to sounds and vibrations within zoo and aquarium environments, the welfare issues that may result from this exposure, and methods of minimizing the effect of these stimuli, should be reported to the AZA Penguin TAG and individual species SSP Programs. The AZA Penguin TAG and its SSP programs support research that advances the development of management recommendations and exhibit designs to best meet the needs of penguins in AZA-accredited zoos and aquariums.

Penguin colonies in general can be quite noisy environments (i.e., 90–100 dBA), and penguins seem to adapt to frequent high noise levels (A. Bowles, personal communication). Pending further research, it is recommended that sound levels suitable for humans without hearing protection (i.e., OSHA standards for an 8-hour day) are adequate for penguins.
Chapter 2. Habitat Design and Containment

2.1 Space and Complexity

Careful consideration should be given to exhibit design so that all areas meet the physical, social, behavioral and psychological needs of the species. Penguins should be presented in a manner reflecting modern zoological practices in exhibit design (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.5.1). Penguins must be housed in enclosures and in appropriate groupings which meet their physical, psychological, and social (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.5.2).

**Enclosure space and complexity:** Throughout most of the year, the behavior of penguins in zoos and aquariums is fairly predictable, consisting primarily of eating, swimming, and generalized social interaction. Penguins require a multi-faceted exhibit that encompasses enough space for species-appropriate behaviors such as breeding, nesting, and swimming, as well as areas for holding, isolating, and quarantining birds.

**Isolation area:** Isolation areas should be separate areas for housing birds that need to be isolated for forced pairing, behavioral challenges, parent and hand-rearing of chicks, and non-contagious health problems.

**Quarantine area:** The quarantine facility for penguins should be a separate facility for accommodating newly acquired birds, or birds that should be separated from the group for health-related reasons. This area should provide separate air and water systems from the main exhibit. A quarantine area can serve as an isolation area if not in use for its intended purpose, or if the isolated birds are treated as quarantine birds whenever quarantine is active. An isolation area without separate air and water systems should not be considered as an appropriate quarantine area.

At the present time, the AZA Penguin TAG adopts minimum guidelines for housing penguins (see Table 6). Additional space should be provided so that penguins are able to perform their full range of species-appropriate behaviors. The same criteria apply to the pool surface area in order to allow sufficient space for the swimming habits of the colony. Penguins within the facility should be able to lie down and turn in a complete circle. The following guidelines are recommended as minimum and only minimum criteria for exhibit and holding standards. These minimum areas do not include land required for nesting for all penguins other than *Aptenodytes*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Land Area</th>
<th>Pool Area</th>
<th>Pool Depth</th>
<th>Pool Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>King/Emperor Exhibit - (per bird for 1st 6 birds)</td>
<td>1.7 m² (18 ft²)</td>
<td>0.8 m² (9 ft²)</td>
<td>1.2 m (4 ft.)</td>
<td>6156 liters (1620 gallons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each additional bird</td>
<td>0.8 m² (9 ft²)</td>
<td>0.5 m² (5 ft²)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>593 liters (156 gallons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term holding area &lt;6 mo/per bird</td>
<td>0.8 m² (9 ft²)</td>
<td>0.5 m² (5 ft²)</td>
<td>0.9 m (3 ft.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other species (includes program animals) Exhibit - (per bird for 1st 6 birds)</td>
<td>0.7 m² (8 ft²)</td>
<td>0.4 m² (4 ft²)</td>
<td>0.9 m (3 ft.)</td>
<td>2052 liters (540 gallons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each additional bird</td>
<td>0.4 m² (4 ft²)</td>
<td>0.2 m² (2 ft²)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>171 liters (45 gallons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term holding area (per bird)</td>
<td>0.4 m² (4 ft²)</td>
<td>0.3 m² (3 ft²)</td>
<td>0.6 m (2 ft.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enough land mass is needed to accommodate the number of birds housed in the exhibit allowing for territorial disputes, and providing areas for nesting during the breeding season. Penguins also use vertical space and all land space accessible to the birds should be considered usable space. Adequate space will be determined by the particular species and the particular birds and best determined by the animal staff that works with and knows the birds. The amount of land space provided to birds within a breeding colony...
of penguins needs to be the size that it takes for individuals to build a nest far enough away from conspecifics that they are out of reach from a neighboring nesting bird’s beak. This ensures that neighboring birds do not peck chicks. Larger penguin colonies may benefit from an open area to facilitate individual feeding of supplemented fish.

The AZA Penguin TAG understands that there may be circumstances for short term holding during maintenance of an existing facility or construction of a new exhibit where an institution may find it necessary to house birds in a facility that maintains a healthy and appropriate life support system but may fall outside the square footage recommendations of land or water. The TAG encourages those institutions designing or renovating penguin exhibits to provide enriching and generous land space and as deep of a pool as financially possible to offer the birds an opportunity to perform their natural diving behaviors.

**Enclosure design:** Penguins are colonial, and the need for visual barriers within enclosures is usually not necessary. Barriers like whalebones, rocks, etc. may be used during breeding seasons between nest sites, and nest boxes or burrows should be 2 m (6.6 ft.) apart. This distance helps to prevent injury of a chick, and does not necessarily keep the birds out of sight from one another. In general, penguins do not seem to be disturbed by visitors, but they should be given an area within their enclosure where they can get away from the public view if they choose.

Hiding places for penguins can include nest boxes, caves, or rock areas that they can duck behind. There should be sufficient hiding places to allow as many opportunities for individual animals (or all individuals) to get out of sight as possible. Penguins should be allowed to move a comfortable flight distance, a minimum of four feet, from the public.

Penguins appear to be very adaptable to changes in their physical environment. Changes in the exhibit are enriching to the animals and should be encouraged. Design flexibility can include moving rocks around the exhibit, using waves and ice blocks in the pool, and utilizing misting systems.

The following list identifies facility design considerations recommended for appropriate and effective care of penguins in AZA-accredited zoos and aquariums:

**Observations:** Video cameras are an excellent tool to assist in recording events such as breeding, nesting, and chick rearing behavior. Underwater viewing areas for staff and visitor observations are also useful.

**Exhibit maintenance:** Various land areas where birds can safely get in and out of the water should be provided. Safe entryways and exits should also be provided for keepers and maintenance workers going down into the pool area, and for divers entering and exiting the pool. Walkways and land areas should be safe for keepers to walk on with no trip hazards. Barriers to block birds from the exhibit pool during draining or maintenance should be included within the design of the exhibit.

**Enclosure landscaping:** The land area should be large enough for various feeding stations to be provided. All areas should be landscaped to minimize bumblefoot by including different levels and different substrates and to encourage natural behaviors. It should also be possible to clean exhibit areas, and good drainage is essential to prevent puddles from accumulating. Care should be taken to ensure that nesting areas are located where the birds feel comfortable and where the public can have at least a partial view.

**Miscellaneous:** Adding general storage areas for nesting material and behavioral enrichment items near or on exhibit, as well as mixing chambers for adding chemicals to pool water, is helpful for the daily management of the animals.

**Enclosure substrates:** At this time, there is no single product that meets all of the requirements necessary for optimum penguin substrate. Many institutions use a combination of the following products to provide effective substrate for their birds: Astroturf, concrete, dirt, Dri-Dek®, fiberglass, grass, Gunite, ice, cat litter, Nomad™ matting, peanut shells, polyurethane®, rocks (river, pea gravel), sand, and sport track surfacing (See Appendix K for product information). Some zoos add soil and vegetation in outdoor exhibits.

Cat litter: Because of its desiccating nature, cat litter has been reported to decrease foot problems and respiratory issues caused by molds. However, caution should be used as cat litter labels now include an OSHA warning relating to the percentage of silica dust contained in the product. Cat litter will also find its
way into the pool drains, as well as the water and filtering systems, where it will clog mechanical equipment, creating additional keeper and maintenance work.

**Ground peanut shells:** Care should be taken when using ground peanut shell litter products. Although peanut shells do not fall under OSHA regulations, they can serve as a natural media for aspergillus growth. If this product is used, it is recommended that a fungal retardant be added at the manufacturers' level. As a precaution, it is recommended that the product be cultured for fungi before use.

**Concrete:** Historically, concrete has been used as a substrate for penguin enclosures. It is easy to clean and readily available. Over a period of time, however, the abrasive nature of concrete takes its toll on a penguin's foot, and the result can be pododermatitis or bumblefoot (see Chapter 6, section 6.6). For this reason, concrete or any substrate that remains wet for long periods of time should be avoided altogether. Many accredited zoos and aquariums have found it advantageous to use matting over concrete in selected areas of the exhibit. Some facilities place a protective coating of lacquer over concrete surfaces to reduce abrasiveness and to fill in the small pores where bacterial colonies can become established. Fiberglass and polyurethane have been reported to cause fewer foot problems than plain concrete.

**Ice:** Ice machines are used in some facilities to create a constant supply of ice, which can be used effectively as substrate. Ice has been used successfully over concrete floors to provide a less abrasive surface for the penguins to stand and walk on. Ice substrate should be used only in exhibits where the temperature is near freezing, as wet ice can contribute to foot problems.

**Pebbles:** Pebbles and small rocks of various sizes (e.g., 6–15 cm/2.4–5.9 in.) have been used in some exhibits with good success. Adequate drainage is important to ensure that the rocks can be hosed and disinfected regularly.

The AZA Penguin TAG recommends that a variety of materials and textures be provided on which the birds may stand. Plain concrete surfaces should be kept to a minimum, and some type of covering such as ice, matting, or cat litter should be provided. To reduce foot problems, it is recommended to encourage penguins to spend several hours each day swimming, as standing for long periods of time may contribute to foot health problems.

**Holding areas:** The same careful consideration regarding exhibit size and complexity and its relationship to the penguin’s overall well-being should be given to the design and size of all enclosures, including those used in exhibits, holding areas, hospital, and quarantine/isolation (AZA Accreditation Standard 10.3.3). Sufficient shade must be provided by natural or artificial means when sunlight is likely to cause overheating or discomfort to the animals (AZA Accreditation Standard 10.3.4).

All penguin exhibits should include an isolation area. There should also be a separate incubation room and/or nursery area away from other bird areas. Holding areas may contain a pool and barriers to separate birds. Adequate lighting, electrical, and temperature monitoring should be included within all indoor and holding areas. Transfer passages between exhibit areas and holding areas so birds do not need to be handled are important for the effective management of the birds.

**Enclosure cleaning:** Many facilities use wash-downs to clean areas on a periodic basis. These are sprinkler systems that come on for a short duration to prevent accumulation of fecal material. A broad-spectrum disinfectant and fungicide should be used to clean penguin exhibits on a daily basis. Some veterinarians recommend periodic rotation of these products. Care should be taken not to use products that produce strong or toxic fumes.
Enrichment through design:
Penguins are curious animals and appreciate a complex exhibit with multiple layers and textures. Care should be taken in design to create enriching features in the water (jets, vortex, and bubbles) and the dry area. Caves, rock ledges, alcoves, canyons and rock steps are some ways to create an interesting multifaceted exhibit for the birds. Large rocks can be used for penguins to stand on. Many species also exit the water in one rocket throttle and various large rock perches 0.9–1.8 meters (3–6 feet) above the water are very popular. Ice machines can also be left on during the day and many of the birds enjoy laying and standing in the snow piles. In addition, sprinklers that spray at randomly have also been used successfully and may also contribute to easy cleaning in the exhibit as well. Wave machines provide variation in the water’s surface.

2.2 Safety and Containment

Penguins should not be housed in free-ranging environments. Animal exhibits and holding areas in all AZA-accredited institutions must be secured to prevent unintentional animal egress (AZA Accreditation Standard 11.3.1). Exhibit design must be considered carefully to ensure that all areas are secure and particular attention must be given to shift doors, gates, keeper access doors, locking mechanisms and exhibit barrier dimensions and construction.

Containment: For burrowing penguins, containment barriers should be buried at least 0.6 m (2 ft.) into the ground, and they should be angled inwards in an ‘L’ shape a total of 0.9 m (3 ft.) down.

Predator and pest control: If pests or predators are a problem at an institution, then efforts should be made to protect the colony using appropriate containment barriers and management practices. These pest control methods must be administered so there is no threat to the animals, staff, and public (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.8.1). These methods can include trapping or making the exhibit area predator-proof by using predator-proof barriers such as fences or electrical barriers. Trapping should be used to remove potential predators from the area. Local laws concerning trapping or depredation of native wildlife should be checked prior to predator removal in this manner.

Native gulls (Larus spp.) will often raid penguin exhibits for fish, sometimes even taking fish from the beaks of the penguins. Several methods can be employed to discourage gulls, including placing fake predators in the area, playing recorded gull distress calls, placement of gull taxidermy specimens, and placing monofilament line over the exhibit. It is important that these methods be varied as gulls are likely to habituate quickly to a single method. Modifying the penguins’ feeding times and method of feeding may reduce the competition from the gulls. Providing fish underwater has been successfully used in some exhibits. It is important to remember that gulls are protected by the U.S. Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and federal permits are required for culling or capture.

On land, depending on geographical location, penguin eggs and chicks may be lost to gulls, dogs, foxes, cats, rats, or small mustelids. Fish should not be left outside overnight to avoid attracting rats. Additionally, if there are other exhibits nearby that attract rats, efforts should be made to keep these areas rodent-free as well. It is critical not to place any poison or traps in areas to which the birds have access.

Public barriers: Exhibits in which the visiting public may have contact with penguins must have a guardrail/barrier that separates the two (AZA Accreditation Standard 11.3.6). Most penguin exhibits are designed so the birds are maintained inside the boundary of the exhibit by acrylic, glass or a moated area with walls. If the exhibit is designed to allow penguins to come into close proximity with visitors, where they could possibly touch the birds, the area should also be constantly monitored by appropriate staff. If the exhibit is an open-air design where the public has potential access to the pool, it is recommended that there be a system in place to monitor for the presence of foreign objects (e.g., regular policing of the area, regularly radiographing the birds, etc.).
**Exhibits without a solid barrier between penguins and guests:** Several penguin species will “pop” out of the water on to land gaining height of as much as six feet. Consideration should be given to this fact, especially for gentoo penguins. It would be appropriate for exhibits with a low barrier between the guest pathway and the penguin pool to add a staff oversight during the day and a night time barrier to prevent birds from jumping out of the exhibit during the night.

Exhibits should be designed so that the birds, and especially the chicks, can easily move in and out of the water from the land mass. This will usually involve some type of ramp system. Sharp materials that birds could hit as they exit the water (walking or porpoising) should be avoided. Acrylic, glass, concrete and rockwork have all proven safe materials within a penguin exhibit.

**Selecting the species of penguin for a new exhibit:** Prior to committing to and designing a new penguin facility, institutions should consult the AZA Penguin TAG to identify which penguin Species Survival Plan® (SSP) populations have the greatest need for the additional spaces you will be providing. This will ensure that your facility is contributing to increasing the SSP’s long-term sustainability. The polar birds will require a much more sophisticated life support system and a climate controlled facility and there should be a considerable cost differential between displaying the sub-Antarctic and the more temperate species who can be housed outside in many climates.

**Monitoring:** Most zoos and aquariums use some type of identification band around each penguin’s flippers to maintain records on each bird and on the collection. A color coded system that includes colored cable ties is used by many. Implanted transponder chips are also used by many institutions. Some institutions use a combination of bands and implants to protect against a lost band. Care should be taken to constantly monitor the ID bands to make sure they are sitting properly on the flipper and that the bird’s flipper has not swollen prior to molt. Bands are changed regularly as needed and it is good practice in a large colony to use a band on each flipper in case one of the bands falls off.

**Education and conservation:** Education and Conservation outreach programs are very popular and many zoos allow guests the opportunity to have an up close and personal penguin experience. Penguins may also have a presence in the local and national community. The penguins should be conditioned to be around strangers and trained staff should always accompany the birds and be present when the penguins are in close contact with the guests. Penguins should travel in a kennel and portable display cases can be used at the remote site to safely house the penguins and allow guests a good view of the birds.

**Emergency protocols:** All emergency safety procedures must be clearly written, provided to appropriate staff and volunteers, and readily available for reference in the event of an actual emergency (AZA Accreditation Standard 11.2.3).

There should be enough crates and nets on site to be able to quickly transport all your birds in case of emergency evacuations. There should be a written evacuation plan that includes alternate locations to hold the animal should your facility have to be evacuated.

Staff training for emergencies must be undertaken and records of such training maintained. Security personnel must be trained to handle all emergencies in full accordance with the policies and procedures of the institution and in some cases, may be in charge of the respective emergency (AZA Accreditation Standard 11.6.2). AZA accredited institutions must also ensure that written protocols define how and when local police or other emergency agencies are contacted and specify response times to emergencies (AZA Accreditation Standard 11.2.7).

In the event of a fire or emergency weather event, a secondary holding area should be available for the penguins. The area should have adequate space and life support and be available quickly in the event of an emergency. It may be advantageous to prepare a contingency plan ahead of time in the event the main and secondary facilities are damaged. Arrangements can be made with other nearby zoological facilities in the case of emergency and a current phone tree of other AZA institutions in your...
area would be helpful to have. Due to the special natural history of the penguins, life support systems should be hooked up to a generator capable of running critical life support for several days in the event of emergency.

Training for emergency holding for penguins should consist of an SOP noting the plan, where the birds can be moved to, agreement with a refrigerated truck rental business and potential arrangements for ice and fish. Emergency drills should be conducted at least once annually for each basic type of emergency to ensure all staff is aware of emergency procedures and to identify potential problematic areas that may require adjustment. These drills should be recorded and evaluated to ensure that procedures are being followed, that staff training is effective and that what is learned is used to correct and/or improve the emergency procedures. Records of these drills should be maintained and improvements in the procedures duly noted whenever such are identified (AZA Accreditation Standard 11.2.5). AZA-accredited institutions must have a communication system that can be quickly accessed in case of an emergency (AZA Accreditation Standard 11.2.6).

Due to the nature of the animal, there is no need to develop an animal attack or escape plan for penguins. In the event of a penguin escape, appropriate zoological staff should be notified to recapture the bird. In the event of a bird bite, the institution should be notified and their health care protocol followed.

AZA Accreditation Standard

(11.2.5) Live-action emergency drills must be conducted at least once annually for each of the four basic types of emergency (fire; weather/environment appropriate to the region; injury to staff or a visitor; animal escape). Four separate drills are required. These drills must be recorded and evaluated to determine that procedures are being followed, that staff training is effective, and that what is learned is used to correct and/or improve the emergency procedures. Records of these drills must be maintained and improvements in the procedures documented whenever such are identified.

AZA Accreditation Standard

(11.2.6) The institution must have a communication system that can be quickly accessed in case of an emergency.
3.1 Preparations

Animal transportation must be conducted in a manner that adheres to all laws, is safe, and minimizes risk to the animal(s), employees, and general public (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.5.11). All temporary, seasonal, and traveling live animal exhibits must meet the same accreditation standards as the institution’s permanent resident animals (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.5.10). Safe animal transport requires the use of appropriate conveyance and equipment that is in good working order. Animals should be caught up and placed in kennels and transport vehicles with the least amount of stress just prior to transport.

**Transport container/crate:** IATA regulations require that the transport container allow a penguin being transported to stand fully erect without touching the roof and sides of the container. IATA regulations can be found at [www.iata.org](http://www.iata.org). Penguins can be transported in pet kennels or rigid plastic containers/crates. The proper substrate (e.g., cat litter, rubber matting, Astroturf), depending on the species, should be provided for all crates. It is recommended that the containers be divided so that animals have their own compartment to reduce the threat of injury or over-heating. Bonded pairs, however, can be kept together. A #300 size kennel can hold up to six birds (depending on species).

Transportation containers are typically made of hard white plastic or another safe, water proof non-toxic material, to reduce heat absorption as black crates may get too warm. These containers or crates can be modified for taller species by adding a screened area around the top which will also allow for increased air circulation. Lids should fit the dimensions of the crate and can be bolted on to the crate in all four corners. Figure 1 illustrates these features. Transportation crates should also have slots for the forklift to easily move them from one location to another and lift them up and down off of a vehicle (Figure 2).

![Figure 1. Example of a crate made of hard white plastic with a modified screened area added around the top to increase height and air flow.](image)

*Photo courtesy of Lauren DuBois*
Large crates: Large crates are used on charter flights primarily due to the size and weight. Dimensions of the most commonly used boxes are 1.1 m x 1.2 m x 1.0 m (44 in. x 48 in. x 40 in.). Large crates will hold four medium sized penguins (Gentoos and Macaronis) or five to six small sized penguins (Chinstraps, Adelies, Rockhoppers, etc.). For birds that are aggressive, no more than four small penguins should be placed in a crate. One to three King penguins can be shipped in these large containers.

Small crates: Small crates are typically 1.1 m x 0.7 m x 0.7 m (42 in. x 29 in. x 28 in.) and have been used on commercial flights. They are appropriate for small penguins (Adelie, chinstrap, macaroni, rockhopper), two to three medium sized penguins (gentoo) and one to two King penguins.

Individual pet crates (Sky Kennels): Dimensions of a standard pet crate is 0.7 m x 0.5 m x 0.5 m (27 in. x 21.5 in. x 20 in.). All “windows” and doors of the pet crate should be covered with a breathable and flexible material like bar mat/shelf liner or burlap. Pieces can be cut to fit the exposed areas and attached with cables ties. Doors should be secured with cable ties. Gentoos, Magellanic, Humboldts, Africans, Chinstraps, and Macaronis have been transported in pet crates.

Climate control: Polar species of penguins are susceptible to overheating and special considerations should be taken when these species are placed into transport containers/crates. To ensure that crates (of any size) remain adequately controlled for temperature, they should contain a bottom layer of pre-filled frozen large 0.2 m x 0.04 m x 0.2 m (7 in. x 1.63 in. x 6.75 in.) Bluelce® containers or a layer of frozen water (ice). If using Bluelce®, the best way to prevent slippage is to layer the Bluelce® containers between two industrial rubber floor mats (Figure 3). If using a layer of frozen water, fill crates with 7.6 cm (3 in) of water and freeze them overnight. To prevent slippage, place an industrial rubber floor mat on the ice and add a thin layer of water to the container and re-freeze to allow the mat to freeze to the ice (Figure 4).
Transportation plan: A transportation plan should be developed prior to any transports. The plan should identify the point persons and their contact information for both the shipping and receiving institutions and the emergency numbers for the trucking companies, airline contacts, etc. The point person should be responsible for updating their institution regularly on their progress and also notifying the receiving institution of progress and any possible problems and making sure adequate animal checks are being done if applicable. The mode of transportation selected will determine the number of staff needed but there should be at least one experienced penguin person aboard the transport.

Trucking companies generally have contingency plans for truck breakdowns, refrigeration issues or other problems that may occur and these should be detailed in the transportation plan. For a ground transport over 4 hours, also identify institutions having penguin accommodations along the route in case of emergency. The point person should contact these institutions prior to the transport to let them know that they will be in the area and to make sure that they would be able to assist if needed. Transport protocols and contingency plans should be well defined in the transportation plan and discussed with all animal care staff on the transport prior to the trip.

Modes of transportation: Climate control considerations should be taken in all modes of transportation moving polar species of penguins that are susceptible to overheating. If the transportation distance is not too great (e.g., not more than a 10-hour drive), penguins can be transported by being secured into a truck or van (Figure 5). If the ambient temperature is above 4 °C (39.2 °F), it is recommended that a refrigerated truck be used. If the ambient temperature is below 4 °C (39.2 °F), the animals can be transported in an unrefrigerated truck or passenger van. It is recommended that shipping occur during cooler weather 0–21 °C (32–70 °F) and/or during the cooler parts of the day. Ensure that the interior of the truck (van) is cleared of sharp edges and organic debris and that the inside is cleaned, aired out, and disinfected several times over several days prior to transporting penguins.

When the birds are being transported by truck, there should be enough drivers so that they reach their destination in the shortest amount of travel time. Longer truck transports will require several staff members. Contact the Department of Transportation with any questions and keep in mind that when crossing state lines, regulations can differ. However, if the transport is more than a one-day drive, it is recommended that the drivers stop and rest during the evening. This not only gives the drivers needed rest, but allows the penguins time to recover from the continual motion of the transport. Contact the Department of Transportation with questions and for the most updated regulations on driving time vs resting time. Keep in mind that when crossing state lines, regulations can differ.

Commercial air transportation can be used for penguins but it is easier for Spheniscus (and other non-polar) species because they are more heat-tolerant. Adequate communication with the airlines is essential and it is important to contact the airlines prior to shipping animals to understand their policy for transporting live animals. Staff should communicate the need to move the birds in a timely fashion so that
the time interval to and from the air freight office to the plane can be minimized. If possible, the animals should be transported through the VIP or DASH systems of freight transportation that many airlines have available. The most direct flights should always be used. Accompanying staff should ask the airline if the birds can be loaded onto the plane last, so that they can be the first off-loaded. Prior to loading the birds on to the aircraft, airline personnel will strap the penguin crates down to the “cookie sheets” which then slide into and are fastened onto the bottom of the plane for a secure ride. Airlines will often accommodate special needs of penguins so it is important that these are discussed in advance.

Figure 5. Penguins being transported in crates secured in a refrigerated truck. Photo courtesy of Lauren DuBois

Figures 6 & 7. Figure 6 illustrates how a crate is secured to “cookie sheet” being loaded on to an aircraft. Figure 7 illustrates how the “cookie sheet” is secured to the aircraft. Photos courtesy of Lauren DuBois

3.2 Protocols
The equipment should provide for the adequate containment, life support, comfort, temperature control, food/water, and safety of the animal(s).

Equipment: Batteries, extra light for ambient lighting, flashlight, thermometers, tools to repair barriers/kennels, extra cable ties, extra matting, extra ice, water and tubs are important to have on hand during transport. For possible medical conditions towels, plastic bags, spray bottle, paper towels, vet wrap, Quick Stop, silver nitrate sticks, sodium chloride solution, Povidine solution, triple antibiotic ointment, gauze, superglue are also important.
Physical condition: There are certain physical conditions experienced by penguins that can influence the timing of animal shipments. Penguins that are gravid or in any phase of the molt cycle should not be shipped. The timing of molt varies by species. As there is considerable physiological stress associated with molting, the AZA Penguin TAG recommends that birds should not be transported at least six weeks prior to their anticipated molt. Birds may be shipped one to two months after completing molt as long as they have sustained their pre-molt weight for two to four weeks. It is also best to avoid shipping animals just prior to or during the breeding season. The safest time to move penguins is during the cold months of the year as penguins can easily overheat (Boersma, 1991).

Food and water: In the wild, penguins commonly fast for several weeks at a time and drink only every few days. As penguins regularly go through these periods of feast and famine, it is recommended that they be fed well before transport. Once penguins have gained some weight for their trip they can be fasted for at least eight hours before transport. If the trip lasts more than 48 hours, it is recommended that the birds be fed during transport. It is important that the birds have access to fresh water or clean ice at all times.

Bedding and substrate: For polar penguin species, a suitable substrate is necessary to provide adequate footing for the animals. Smaller rocks (5–10 cm/2–4 in. in diameter) covered with ice provide good footing while allowing drainage of melting ice and fecal materials. It is important to ensure that drains are clear to avoid backup. For non-polar species, the transport container should be bedded with cat litter or rubber matting. Blue ice can be placed below the rubber matting to cool the container.

Temperature, light, and sound: It is necessary that light be provided at all times in the animal transport area. The light source can be the truck light in the refrigerated compartment, or a low wattage bulb that is powered with a 12-volt to 110-volt converter. If accompanying staff will be spending the night in transit, it will be necessary to run an extension cord with a light so that there is lighting throughout the night for the birds.

For truck transportation, a temperature monitor should be installed in the animal area that has a readout in the truck’s cabin. This allows the staff traveling with the penguins to constantly monitor the temperature. A backup thermometer should also be placed in the animal area, secured away from the birds in case there is a question of the temperature monitor working properly.

Sub-Antarctic and Adelie penguins: The recommended temperature for truck or plane transport is -5–11.1 °C (22–52 °F). These penguins should be shipped with ice or blue ice in their crates. Air temperature in the plane or truck should not exceed 12.8 °C (55 °F). For short durations (e.g., transport between exhibit and transport vehicle), 23.9 °C (75 °F) is acceptable. If Adelie penguins are housed in exhibits with temperatures below freezing, they should be acclimated to higher temperatures before transport.

Emperor penguins: The recommended transport temperature for emperor penguins is below freezing in the range of -7.2– -1.1 °C (19–30 °F). Emperor penguins overheat easily and should only be exposed to a maximum temperature of 4.4 °C (40 °F) for short durations when the animals are moved between the exhibit and transport vehicle.

Sphensicus: The temperature should be kept between 4.4–15.6 °C (40–60 °F) for air and truck travel involving Sphensicus penguins. During short periods of time when the animals are transported between the exhibit and transport vehicles, temperatures should not exceed 23.9 °C (75 °F). Sphensicus penguins should be acclimated to cooler or warmer temperatures prior to transport if the receiving institution maintains a different temperature than the sending.

Animal monitoring: The animal area in the back of the refrigerated truck should be separated from the door with a barrier. This will ensure that the animals will not be able to exit when the door is opened. A video camera should be installed in the animal area with a monitor in the cabin, so that the animals can be observed during transport. If a video camera is not available or breaks during transport, staff should check on animals every two to four hours.

Post-transport release: It is important that the environmental conditions in quarantine be similar between the sending and receiving institutions. It is also important, where possible, to have two or more birds quarantined together because of the social needs of the animals. If this is not possible, efforts should be made for quarantined birds to have visual or auditory contact with other penguins. For more information on quarantine, see Chapter 6, section 6.3.
Egg transport: An alternative to transporting live adult birds is to transport eggs and then complete incubation and hand-rearing of the animals at the final destination. One institution has developed techniques for transporting eggs from the wild to their incubation and rearing facilities (Todd, 1987). Eggs have also recently been transported between facilities. A portable incubator that maintains a constant temperature may be used however, for shorter intra-continental flights, a well-insulated cooler with a hot water bottle or hand/feet warmers with a mounted temperature probe can successfully maintain the temperature of the eggs.

The timing of egg transport is important. Eggs should be transported either during the last one-third of their incubation period or before incubation begins (C. Kuehler, personal communication). For species that lay two eggs, it is best to transport the eggs after the second egg is laid, because egg incubation does not begin until the clutch is complete and they can withstand changes in temperatures. Eggs are quite tolerant to periods of neglect throughout the incubation period. The temperature in the cooler or incubator should be maintained at approximately 35.6 °C (96 °F). When the temperature drops below this, additional water should be added to the hot water bottle from a thermos carried for this purpose. If necessary, the airline can usually supply hot water. Upon arrival at the destination, eggs should be placed in an incubator and the procedures and protocols for artificial incubation followed. Safe transport requires the assignment of an adequate number of appropriately trained personnel (by institution or contractor) who are equipped and prepared to handle contingencies and/or emergencies that may occur in the course of transport. Planning and coordination for animal transport requires good communication and planning.
Chapter 4. Social Environment

4.1 Group Structure and Size

Careful consideration should be given to ensure that animal group structures and sizes meet the social, physical, and psychological well-being of those animals and facilitate species-appropriate behaviors. Penguins are highly social, colonially-nesting birds. There is good evidence that reproduction in penguins, as in other colonial waterbirds, is socially facilitated, and that adequate stimulation by conspecifics is essential to successful reproduction in zoo and aquarium conditions (Berger, 1981). Boersma (1991) suggested that small colony sizes in zoo and aquarium populations of penguins might show decreased productivity. A minimal social grouping of three pairs for a single species of penguins was suggested by Gailey-Phipps (1978). The TAG has since revisited this recommendation and as stated in the 2010–2013 Regional Collection Plan TAG Guidelines now recommends that institutions maintain a minimum of 10 penguins in an exhibit. This recommendation supports the importance of the social structure in a penguin colony and allows the birds to select mates and establish a social hierarchy.

Penguins are generally considered to be perennially monogamous, except king and emperor penguins, which are serially monogamous. Mate fidelity in one colony of Adélie penguins housed in a zoological institution has been reported to be 75% over a 13-year period, which is markedly higher than the 51% reported for wild Adélie penguins (Ellis-Joseph, 1992; Ainley et al., 1983). In another case, one pair of wild Magellanic penguins was faithful for 16 years until one of the individuals died (Boersma, 2008). Mate fidelity may be affected by transfer, separation caused by management of illness, or mortality in a zoo or aquarium setting.

In emperor and king penguins, pair bond formation and egg fertility are often positively correlated with competition for new mates (A. Bowles, personal communication). Breeding pairs of Magellanic penguins are more likely to break up after a reproductive failure, compared to situations where breeding pairs have successfully reared a chick (D. Boersma, personal communication). Facilities should be strongly encouraged to build or renovate exhibits to allow any offspring to be housed for up to two years.

Same-sex groups and pairings: Single-sexed groups of penguins can be maintained for management purposes. Having single-sex groups can be an effective management tool for exhibiting birds without any breeding occurring. Same-sex pair bonding does not appear to pose any problems for the health and management of penguins. This phenomenon has been seen in Magellanic, gentoo, little blue, king, northern and southern rockhopper, and African penguins. Pairs of this nature have even been successfully used to raise fostered chicks. Bonds between same-sex individuals have also been successfully split, and the birds have successfully re-paired with individuals from the opposite gender.

Sex ratios: Managers of penguins should strive for fairly balanced sex ratios within their breeding colony. However, a perfect 1:1 ratio is not necessary for harmony within the group. Not all individuals seek out a mate and seem content in the company of conspecifics. Penguin caretakers should be cognizant of each individual’s behavior and social interactions. For ideal breeding situations, an even sex ratio and varied age structure among all social groups is best. Over representation of one sex may lead to same sex pairings, while over representation of age classes, especially among older penguins may lead to decreased breeding success.

Multigenerational groups: Individual interactions will be seen among multigenerational groups. Care should be taken to ensure that related birds do not breed together. Penguins in general are long-lived, prolific birds. In most colonies where breeding is occurring or younger animals are occasionally brought in, the age structure of the group is suitable for long-term sustainability (i.e., geriatric individuals are replaced by younger birds). Managers who are faced with static collections should consider making changes in order to balance the age structure to avoid loss of the collection through attrition.

Fledging: The age of fledging, or independence from parents, varies among penguin species (see Table 7). Penguins usually achieve their peak weight just prior to fledging.
Table 7. Average age and peak weight at fledging for penguins*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Age at fledging</th>
<th>Approximate peak weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emperor</td>
<td>4–6 months</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King</td>
<td>4–8 months</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adélie</td>
<td>40–60 days</td>
<td>2.5–3 kg (5.5–6.6 lb.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinstrap</td>
<td>55–60 days</td>
<td>3.1–4.2 kg (6.8–9.3 lb.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentoo</td>
<td>70–75 days</td>
<td>6.5–7.5 kg (14.3–16.5 lb.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little blue</td>
<td>50–55 days</td>
<td>0.8–0.9 kg (1.8–2 lb.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macaroni</td>
<td>60–65 days</td>
<td>3.0–4.1 kg (6.6–9 lb.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockhopper</td>
<td>50–60 days</td>
<td>1.4–1.8 kg (3.1–4 lb.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt</td>
<td>70–90 days</td>
<td>3.0–3.6 kg (6.6–7.9 lb.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td>70–84 days</td>
<td>3.0–3.3 kg (6.6–7.3 lb.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magellanic</td>
<td>65–120 days</td>
<td>3.2–4.2 kg (7.1–9.3 lb.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Information derived from one zoological institution’s unpublished data

4.2 Influence of Others and Conspecifics

Animals cared for by AZA-accredited institutions are often found residing with conspecifics, but may also be found residing with animals of other species.

Mixed-penguin species: Many facilities successfully house and breed several species of penguins in one enclosure, and in some cases mix penguins with other species such as Inca terns (Larosterna inca) or blue-eyed cormorants (Phalacrocorax atriceps). Concerns for mixed species exhibits include interspecific compatibility and aggression, differential life support and temperature requirements, differential habitat use and habitat requirements, and avoidance of hybridization. Hybridization among several penguin genera, in particular Spheniscus spp. and Eudyptes spp., has been documented. It is strongly recommended that Spheniscus spp. be housed as single-species populations. One facility has housed northern and southern rockhoppers together for over 25 years without hybridization. Managers contemplating mixed-species exhibits should carefully select desired species.

Aside from a few cases where multi-penguin species exhibition may be problematic, housing several species together can work well if seasonality is maintained. At one zoological institution, king and Gentoo penguins are housed together and utilize the same nesting area. Gentoo nest first, and as their chicks are fledging, the king penguins begin to occupy the rookery and breed. In mixed-species exhibits, sufficient space is needed for each species so that conflict can be avoided. Plenty of nesting areas and feeding stations are needed, with consideration for the natural behaviors of each species. For example, feeding stations for flighted birds housed with penguins can be located off the ground and away from the penguins. Another consideration is the size of the nesting burrow entrances. If little blue penguins are to be held with a larger species of burrow nesting penguins, the nest openings should be smaller to keep the larger birds from exploiting these burrows.

Any time a new species is introduced into an exhibit, it’s advisable to section them off to get them accustomed to their “territory” for at least a week before opening them up to the rest of the exhibit. This allows the birds to know where their area is and cuts down on the desire to nest or feed elsewhere once full exhibit access is allowed. Most species of penguins are territorial by nature and having established areas will reduce the need to aggressively defend their “home turf.”

Keepers with good observational skills are needed to watch for signs of stress, aggression, and competition in mixed-species exhibits. A plan should be in place to be able to remove problem individuals or make changes to the exhibit, such as changing feeding station areas, adding nesting areas, or adding barriers and dividers between nests if problems arise.

Mixed-species: Appropriate non-penguin species may include waterfowl and shorebird species found also occurring in the penguins’ home ranges. Competition for food and nesting resources can be an issue. One species may have to defer to another before gaining access to desired resources. Being alert and responding properly to this will help decrease stress in the colony. Some species within the same exhibit may show preferences for different areas of the exhibit for nesting. For example, one species may prefer flat beach areas versus higher cliff ledges. Make sure to provide ample nesting site possibilities for all exhibited species.
Social groups of penguins used for education: The Penguin TAG recognizes that penguins are valuable additions to education, outreach, and visitor experiences. For institutions that use their birds solely for the purposes of education and outreach, ten is still recommended as the minimum colony size. Acclimating penguins for educational programs can be accomplished by slowly conditioning the birds to being handled in a non-threatening way. Positive reinforcement of calm behavior seems to be most effective. Not all individuals have the demeanor to be involved in education programs. Managers should recognize the signs of intolerance to handling and be prepared to allow these individuals to rejoin their social group.

Imprinting in penguins: During the hand rearing process, penguin chicks can become imprinted on their caregivers. In some cases, this bond is encouraged especially if these individuals are to be used in educational programs. Humans can provide some social stimulation but should not be the only source of social activity for these penguins. All penguins require time with conspecifics in order to develop appropriate behaviors. In some juveniles, aggression towards humans develops. Heavily imprinted African penguins have gone on to select mates and successfully reproduce.

4.3 Introductions and Reintroductions

Managed care for and reproduction of animals housed in AZA-accredited institutions are dynamic processes. Animals born in or moved between and within institutions require introduction and sometimes reintroductions to other animals. It is important that all introductions are conducted in a manner that is safe for all animals and humans involved.

In general, introduction of novel stimuli, including new birds, to a social group of penguins is met with curiosity and investigation. As with all animal introductions, staff should closely monitor both the introduced bird as well as the social group for signs of stress and aggression. The introduction of a new bird or introduction of a group of birds to an exhibit has been approached in several ways:

- Gradual introduction: Use of this technique will depend on exhibit design as well as the temperament of the birds. In gradual introductions, birds are introduced to an exhibit for a few hours at a time, with close monitoring over a several-day period. The time the birds are left in the exhibit is gradually increased until the birds appear to be acclimated. This technique is the most conservative, and most likely to result in successful integration of new birds into an existing social group.

- Group introduction: Most penguin managers feel that it is inadvisable to introduce a single bird into a colony. New birds can be isolated with one or more conspecifics removed from the social group for a period of time. Birds can then be introduced into an exhibit together and monitored by staff.

- "Howdy" cage introduction: Birds are placed in a small enclosure within the exhibit for several hours daily and slowly acclimated to the exhibit and other penguins. Generally, a gradual introduction procedure, as described above, can then be followed.

- Immersion introduction: Birds are placed in the exhibit and regularly monitored by staff.

Hand-reared Spheniscus chicks can be introduced into the colony when they are nearly fledged (approximately 80 days). It is best to introduce all species of chicks in a group or in pairs if possible. It is advisable to supervise the interactions of the newly introduced birds during the initial visit to the colony to ensure the chicks' safe movement through exhibit and that aggression from older birds is not an issue.

Chicks can be left unattended after a few days, provided they are able to emerge from the water without trouble, and are not being harassed by other birds. Juveniles tend to congregate together and will fight to establish a hierarchy of their own (Gailey-Phipps, 1978). Chicks should be encouraged to join the other birds at the feeding station rather than be provided with special treatment. It may be a few weeks before they are regularly feeding with the others. Some institutions find it advantageous to use an off-site area to introduce the chicks to members of the colony. A Plexiglas® barrier or screen can also be used for the first introduction within the exhibit. Introduction of hand-reared chicks into exhibits requires close monitoring and is likely to be most successful if a gradual introduction procedure is followed.

Animal separations: In large colonies, removal of individual birds does not seem to have a well-defined effect on social dynamics, except for individuals whose mates have been removed. In these cases, birds may show some signs of lethargy or may repeatedly visit the nest site during breeding season, as if
searching for the bird that has been removed. For example, when moving one bird off exhibit for medical reasons, also move its mate if possible. This seems to decrease stress while off exhibit, helps to maintain the pair bond, and makes for an easier reintroduction to the exhibit. In smaller colonies, removal of a dominant individual may cause a shift in the dominance hierarchy and as equilibrium in the social group is re-established, may lead to a short-term increase in aggressive behavior.
5.1 Nutritional Requirements

A formal nutrition program is recommended to meet the nutritional and behavioral needs of all penguins (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.6.2). Diets should be developed using the recommendations of nutritionists, the Nutrition Scientific Advisory Group (NAG) feeding guidelines: (http://www.nagonline.net/Feeding%20Guidelines/feeding_guidelines.htm), and veterinarians as well as AZA Taxon Advisory Groups (TAGs), and Species Survival Plan® (SSP) Programs.

Diet formulation criteria should address the animal's nutritional needs, feeding ecology, as well as individual and natural histories to ensure that species-specific feeding patterns and behaviors are stimulated. Penguins feed almost exclusively on aquatic prey, predominately pelagic schooling fish, crustaceans (often Euphausiidae species) and cephalopods (squid). All species consume more than one type of food, although some smaller-sized, higher-latitude species (e.g., gentoo and chinstrap) rely almost exclusively on Euphausiidae crustaceans (Croxall & Lishman, 1987). Macaroni and Adélie penguins rely heavily on krill, but fish consumption has been reported in some locations (Lishman, 1985). Penguins that live at lower latitudes, such as little blue penguins and the Spheniscus spp., tend to rely more heavily on fish than do the high-latitude species (Croxall & Lishman, 1987). The prey fish taken most often are small-bodied, surface-schooling species.

Although qualitative information on feeding habits is available for most penguin species, information on consumed quantities of specific foods is exceedingly rare. Some food intake data are available for little blue, Humboldt, and African penguins, for both non-breeding and breeding seasons (Rand, 1960; Hobday, 1992; Herling et al., 2005). More recent ecological research has focused on the dietary effects on reproductive success (Fonseca et al., 2001; Putz et al., 2001; Clausen & Putz, 2002; Tremblay & Cherel, 2003); the foraging strategies and trophic levels of feeding (Raclot et al., 1998; Forero et al., 2002; Lenanton et al., 2003); and the effect of environmental change on penguin populations (Putz et al., 2001; Gauthier-Clerc et al., 2002; Chiaradia et al., 2003; Boersma, 2008).

Digestive system morphology and physiology: The digestive system of the penguin is relatively simple; it is anatomically and functionally similar to other carnivorous birds. The esophagus is large, expandable, and muscular, allowing for the consumption of large prey items; however, the crop is completely absent, similar to owls (Paster, 1992; Duke, 1997; Olsen et al., 2002). The stomach contains two distinct chambers: the proventriculus and the ventriculus. The proventriculus has two major functions: the secretion of gastric juices for chemical digestion, and the storage of food to feed chicks. The ability to store food for long periods of time is achieved through mechanisms that raise the pH of the gastric juices and regulates stomach temperatures, which disrupts digestive enzymatic activity (Gauthier-Clerc et al., 2002; Olsen et al., 2002; Thouzeau et al., 2004).

The proventriculus empties into the ventriculus (gizzard), which is characterized by a massive muscular wall, often containing grit or small stones (reviewed by Beaune et al., 2009). These stones are believed to aid in digestion and/or be used to regulate buoyancy during foraging; however, the absence of stones in zoo or aquarium penguin exhibits do not appear to affect digestibility (Splettstoesser & Todd, 1999). Small intestines are relatively long, compared with other birds, and correlates positively with body mass (Jackson, 1992). Although limited data exist on the functional features of the ceca in penguins, they are present, but small and vestigial (Clench & Mathias, 1995).

Nutrient requirements: While many items consumed by various species...
of free-living penguins are known, the nutrient content of these items have not been completely characterized. The National Research Council (NRC) has published estimated nutrient requirements of domestic birds and the carnivorous domestic cat (National Resources Council, 1994; 2006). Using these NRC estimates as guidelines, plus data on nutrient composition of free-ranging penguin foods and foods available in zoos and aquariums, target nutrient ranges for penguin diets are proposed in Table 8. Target nutrient ranges encompass needs for growing, reproducing, and maintenance animals.

**Vitamin A:** Dietary vitamin A requirements for studied avian species are between 1,100–5,600 IU/kg of diet on a DM basis (National Resources Council, 1994). Based on limited data, the vitamin A requirement for cats is between 3,333–7,500 IU/kg of dietary DM (National Resources Council, 2006). It is possible that penguins, as fish-eating birds, have a high tolerance for vitamin A because comparatively high levels occur in their natural diet (Crissey et al., 1998). Whether this infers a high dietary vitamin A requirement has not been established.

Most diets that contain a variety of fish species should contain adequate levels of vitamin A without supplementation. Studies of free-ranging macaroni penguins showed that vitamin A was mobilized from body stores during molt and reproduction (Ghebremeskel et al., 1991; 1992). In zoos and aquariums, serum levels of vitamin A in Humboldt penguins and plasma levels of vitamin A in gentoo and rockhopper penguins vary with diet fed and physiologic conditions, such as molt (Crissey et al., 1998; Monroe, 1993). Dietary levels of 12,000–100,000 IU/kg DM were offered to African and Humboldt penguins in the U.S. with no signs of vitamin A deficiencies or toxicities. Eggs produced by these birds contained vitamin A concentrations of 4.0–7.5 μg/g wet weight (McClements, 2007).

**Vitamin E:** Vitamin E is destroyed over time in stored marine foods (Bernard & Allen, 1997). It has been proposed that foods for marine animals should be supplemented with 100 IU of vitamin E per kg of diet on a wet basis, or approximately 400 IU/kg DM (Geraci, 1986). In zoos and aquariums, serum levels of vitamin E in Humboldt penguins and plasma levels of vitamin E in Gentoo and rockhopper penguins vary with diet and physiologic conditions, in the same way as serum and plasma vitamin A levels (Crissey et al., 1998; Monroe, 1993). Vitamin E can be purchased in capsules, paste, injectable form, or as a multivitamin designed specifically for piscivorous species, which can be hidden inside the fish and hand-fed to individual penguins.

Although limited data exists on the effect of dietary concentrations of vitamin E on egg composition and hatchability, McClements (2007) showed concentrations between 39–250 IU/kg of natural and commercially available vitamin E resulted in egg yolk concentrations between 180–356 μg/g. Although these data could not be used to determine a minimum requirement for reproductive success, it did appear that these dietary levels resulted in eggs containing sufficient levels of vitamin E for embryonic development. These sufficiency estimates were based on levels observed in eggs collected from reproductively successful free-ranging penguin and piscivorous birds (Surai et al., 2001a; Surai et al., 2001b).

**Thiamin:** Thiaminases have been identified in mackerel, herring, smelt, and clams with activity sufficient to destroy much of the tissue thiamin during frozen storage (Bernard & Allen, 1997; National Resources Council 1982). It has been proposed that thiamin supplements should be added to marine animal diets, providing 25–30 mg/kg diet on a wet weight basis or approximately 100–120 mg/kg DM (Geraci, 1986). Thiamin can be purchased in tablet, paste, injectable form, or as a multivitamin designed specifically for piscivorous species which can be hidden inside the fish and hand-fed to individual penguins.

**Vitamin D, calcium, and phosphorus:** Calcium concentrations in whole fish and krill (0.9–6.4% of DM) appear adequate, even for breeding and laying penguins, and calcium supplements should not be required (Bernard & Allen, 1997). Squid, however, are relatively low in calcium (0.1–0.2% of DM) and have an inverse calcium:phosphorus ratio. Some institutions have reported problems (without dietary details) in penguins housed in zoos and aquariums that were ascribed to calcium deficiency during production of multiple clutches, and calcium supplements were used with no apparent ill effect (Ellis & Branch, 1994). However, consideration should be given to the concentrations of calcium, phosphorus, and vitamin D in dietary items (using analyses, if necessary), and to the calcium:phosphorus ratio, as a disproportionate supply of one of these nutrients can adversely influence metabolism of the others. Appropriate UV lighting should be provided as a source of vitamin D if birds are housed indoors.
**Sodium:** Sodium is an essential nutrient for all animals. It was generally considered by some that the requirement for sodium is a special consideration for the functional development of the nasal glands of marine birds with access only to fresh water (Ellis & Branch, 1994). Some institutions, with both fresh and saltwater environments, supplement penguin diets with salt at approximately 250 mg of NaCl per bird per day, without apparent harm (Ellis & Branch, 1994). However, recent studies with *ex situ* African penguins, housed in a fresh water environment and offered a diet of herring, capelin, and squid, were found to maintain electrolyte balance without additional salt supplementation (Mazzaro et al., 2004). These electrolyte balances have been maintained in the six years since the end of the experimental period (L. M. Mazzaro, personal communication). Gentoo and rockhopper penguins have been maintained in freshwater with no sodium supplementation for eleven years, and king penguins for eight years with no reported ill effects (E. Diebold, personal communication). It is noteworthy that the fish and invertebrates that have been analyzed, whether of marine or freshwater origin, contain sodium concentrations (0.2–5.5% of DM) that are higher than the minimum need of any species for which a requirement has been established (Bernard & Allen, 1997).

**Fatty Acids:** Fish lipids contain high concentrations of both saturated and unsaturated long chain fatty acids. Henderson & Tocher, (1987) reviewed the major fatty acid fractions of a number of fish species and showed that generally freshwater fish contain considerably higher concentrations of omega-6 (ω-6) fatty acids than fish caught in the marine environment. Generally freshwater fish contain higher concentrations of linoleic (C18:2ω-6) and arachidonic (C20:4ω-6) acids compared to all other marine fish resulting in a 4–14 times reduction in the ω-6 to ω-3 fatty acid ratios (Henderson & Tocher, 1987; Ackman, 1989). Salmonids, such as rainbow trout, are the exception to this generalization, as they contain high concentrations of both ω-6 and ω-3 fatty acids. In contrast, all fish species contain high concentrations of ω-3 fatty acids, including docosahexaenoic acid (DHA; C22:6 ω-3) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) (C20:5 ω-3). Krill and squid are also very good sources of highly unsaturated fatty acids, with squid containing very high levels of DHA (Passi et al., 2002; Ackman & Kean-Howie, 1994).

Based on analytical values for other nutrients in fish and marine invertebrates, it seems unlikely that other deficiencies would appear unless unwise food choices have been made or storage and handling of these foods has been below standards (Crissey, 1998). If a variety of high quality fish are offered, and if they are stored and thawed properly, it is unlikely that supplements, other than of vitamin E and thiamin, will be needed. Adjustments in the amounts of supplement provided should be made in proportion to the mass of food offered.

**Chicks:** Nutrient requirements for growing chicks have not been defined. Diets that meet the target nutrient ranges should be adequate. During periods of rapid growth, the higher ranges of values for calcium and vitamin D are recommended. Metabolic bone disease has been reported in juvenile Humboldt penguins (Adkesson & Langan, 2007). Long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids are known to impart very important roles in birds, and they are especially apparent in the high concentrations of arachidonic acid and docosahexaenoic acid in the hearts and brains of developing chicks of many species (Noble & Cocchi, 1990; Speake et al., 1998).
Table 8. Target nutrient ranges for adult penguin diets\(^a\) based on requirements of domestic poultry (NRC, 1994); cats (NRC, 2006); and inferences from composition of wild foods (Bernard & Allen, 1997; McClements, 2007) (on a dry matter basis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross energy, kcal/g</td>
<td>4.5–6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein, %</td>
<td>45–75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat, %</td>
<td>10–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A, IU/g</td>
<td>1.1–7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D, IU/g</td>
<td>0.2–0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E, IU/kg</td>
<td>400(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamin, mg/kg</td>
<td>100(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium, %</td>
<td>0.78–2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus, %</td>
<td>0.26–0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium, %</td>
<td>0.04–0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium, %</td>
<td>0.33–0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium, %</td>
<td>0.14–0.17(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron, mg/kg</td>
<td>60–80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper, mg/kg</td>
<td>4–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese, mg/kg</td>
<td>5–67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, mg/kg</td>
<td>35–75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium, mg/kg</td>
<td>0.1–0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Other nutrients, such as essential fatty acids, essential amino acids, vitamin K, and the other B-complex vitamins are probably required. Nevertheless, there is no evidence that inadequate concentrations are provided by fish and marine invertebrates. Whether or not vitamin C can be synthesized by penguin tissues has not been established. Freshly caught fish contain significant concentrations of this vitamin, and some destruction undoubtedly occurs during storage. However, signs of vitamin C deficiency in the penguin have not been described.\(^b\) Although this concentration of vitamin E may exceed the minimum requirement, about 400 IU/kg of DM provided by the supplement of 100 IU of vitamin E/kg of fresh fish is recommended to compensate for losses during peroxidation of unsaturated fatty acids.\(^c\) This concentration of thiamin undoubtedly exceeds the minimum requirement, but about 100–120mg/kg of DM are provided by the supplement of 25–30mg of thiamin/kg of fresh fish to compensate for destruction by thiaminases.\(^d\) Recent studies with African penguins fed a diet of herring, capelin, and squid, indicate that salt supplementation is not necessary to maintain electrolyte balance (Mazzaro et al., 2004).

**Energy requirements:** On a yearly cycle, penguin behavior consists of periods of inactivity, such as molting and egg incubation, and periods of increased activity, such as nest building and raising chicks. Some institutions have seen migratory swimming behavior when the birds think that they are “out to sea.” The birds’ caloric requirements will vary as activity levels fluctuate. Most penguins in zoos and aquariums are given the opportunity to eat until the point of satiation. When the proper environmental conditions are in place, a penguin’s food consumption will oscillate with the normal cycles of activity. Nutrient and energy requirements should continue to be met.

**Breeding:** The appetite of penguins often increases in conjunction with breeding and egg-laying, and distinctive food preferences may be exhibited. Females may increase their weight by as much as 20–25%. It is currently recommended that a variety of whole fish be fed to nesting penguins, in quantities adequate to supply energy and protein needs. It does not appear necessary to provide supplemental fat in the diet. Adélie penguins have been found to feed exclusively on krill when nesting (Nagy & Obst, 1992).

**Chick rearing:** Energy requirements are considerable for the growth of chicks. King penguin (*Aptenodytes patagonicus*) chicks were estimated, by mass and energy density of stomach contents, to consume an average of 3,646 kJ (871 kcal) of gross energy (GE) per chick per day during a 3-month growth period (Cherel & Ridoux, 1992). The fish consumed contained 22–26 kJ (5.26–6.21 kcal) GE/g, DMB. Free-ranging emperor penguins fed their single chick the equivalent of about 7.5% of adult emperor penguin body mass in a 24-hour period (Robertson et al., 1993). The most important dietary adjustment to make when the parents are rearing chicks in zoos and aquariums is to offer enough fish to the parents so they may adequately feed themselves and their offspring. During chick rearing, parents should be fed *ad libitum* and frequently.

**Molting:** There are notable alterations in energy intake that are associated with molt (Ghebremeskel et al., 1992). The cues that induce the molting process include changes in ambient temperature, day length, food resource availability (possibly including food nutrient content), and associated hormonal changes (Ghebremeskel et al., 1992). It appears that if fed an adequate diet *ad libitum*, and the environment...
accurately mimics seasonal light and temperature changes, most penguins in zoos and aquariums will exhibit a normal annual cycle of food intake, and will molt and reproduce normally (Wilson, 1985; Monroe, 1993). Appetite usually increases during the pre-molt period and decreases during molt. In a study with ex situ rockhopper penguins, all birds gained about 23–38% in body mass just prior to molting (Monroe, 1993). Among the penguin species that have been studied, most will fast during incubation and molting. In the wild, mean loss of body mass during molt is as much as 40% in macaroni penguins and 47% in king penguins (Ghebremeskel et al., 1991; Cherel et al., 1994). During molt in zoos and aquariums, losses can be as much as 50% of body mass. After these periods, penguins consume vast quantities of food and deposit considerable body fat and protein (Ghebremeskel et al., 1991).

5.2 Diets

The formulation, preparation, and delivery of all diets must be of a quality and quantity suitable to meet the animal’s psychological and behavioral needs (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.6.2). Food should be purchased from reliable, sustainable and well-managed sources. The nutritional analysis of the food should be regularly tested and recorded.

The nutrient composition of fish and marine invertebrates fed to piscivorous animals in zoos and aquariums has been discussed by Bernard & Allen (1997) in the AZA Nutrition Advisory Group Handbook Fact Sheet 005. More recently, McClements (2007) analyzed fish fed to Humboldt and African penguins at ten U.S. zoos. This data encompasses most species of fish utilized for all species of penguin maintained in a zoo or aquarium (see Appendix F). It should be noted that fish nutrient values will vary with species, age, gender, physiologic state, season, and locale of harvest.

The quantity of food provided to penguins in zoos and aquariums to consume per day can be estimated based on their body mass. An average but active adult penguin’s daily food consumption on an as-fed basis is approximately 2–3% of body mass for the larger species, such as kings and emperors, and 10–14% for smaller species, such as Humboldts and rockhoppers (Ellis & Branch, 1994). However, the specific quantities consumed depend on the activity level and physiologic state of each individual. In one study, free-ranging king penguins consumed (wet basis) an average of 1.84 kg (4.06 lb.) of food daily (Cherel & Ridoux, 1992). Estimated daily consumption (wet basis) in another study with free-ranging king penguins was an average of 2.32 kg (5.1 lb.). Mean body mass of the king penguins was 11.8 kg (26 lb.), resulting in a calculated daily intake equivalent to as much as 20% of their body mass (Putz & Bost, 1994).

When formulating diets for ex situ penguins, flexibility is required to account for variations in food preferences, body mass, activity, physical condition, environment, and behavior, as well as food availability and nutrient content. Vitamin mineral supplementation should be included in the diet where appropriate according to label indications and or recommendations from a qualified nutritionist or veterinarian. Ideally, the items chosen (e.g., high-fat and low-fat fish) and supplements fed should complement each other so that nutrient and energy requirements are met. It should be noted that when examining nutrient data for whole fish and marine invertebrates, the nutrient concentrations can vary among species, among individual lots within a species, among individual fish within a lot, as well as over a period of storage. Thus, published values may or may not reflect the nutrients actually fed to penguins at a specific time. Fish should be routinely sampled and analyzed according to industry standards via commercial laboratory for the determination of macro and micro nutrient concentrations.

Sample diets: Sample diets from institutions housing penguins can be found in Appendix G. The nutrient composition of these diets is presented in Appendix H. Refer to section 7.5 Assisted Rearing of the Penguin Husbandry Manual (Henry & Sirpenski, 2005) for specific diet information regarding hand-rearing of any species.

Food provision: The recommended method of feeding is to hand-feed individual penguins, particularly when offering fish that have been injected with nutrient supplements or in which supplement tablets or capsules have been placed. This ensures that each bird will receive intended nutrients and allows caretakers to monitor food and energy consumption. However, birds conditioned to hand-feeding may develop poor swimming habits, and may spend most of their time standing around on the exhibit surface. To encourage swimming, institutions may opt to pool feed. Individual appetites should still be closely monitored during the feeding. Adult penguins are commonly fed to appetite twice daily, although the number of feedings may be increased during pre-molt and breeding.
Methods of penguin self-feeding can sometimes be used, but keepers should ensure that food items remain cool, clean, and are consumed within a short time after being thawed. In exhibits held at or below 4 °C (39.2 °F), fish may be offered in feeding trays for several hours, as long as birds are neither defecating nor walking in the trays. However, fish should not be left in standing water because of the potential for nutrient loss. Supplemented fish should not be fed in trays because of the potential for under- or over-dosing if individual penguins consume either no or several fish containing supplements. If penguins are fed outdoors in hot, humid, or sunny weather, it is important to feed only the amount that will be consumed immediately or while still iced to avoid microbial proliferation, nutrient loss, and contact by disease-spreading pests.

The size of food items offered to penguins should be appropriate for easy manipulation and swallowing. Purchasing specifications for fish and squid should include size designations so that they can be fed whole. Whole food is accepted most readily, but if it has to be cut because it is too large, all portions should be fed to ensure that the entire supply of nutrients contained in the whole food is consumed. Lengths of fish consumed by free-ranging adult emperor penguins range between 6–12 cm (2.4–4.7 in.), and lengths of squid consumed range from 1.9–28 cm (0.7–11 in.). The largest squid consumed weighed 460 g (1 lb.) (Robertson et al., 1993). Free-ranging adult king penguins consumed prey estimated to be 7–9 cm (2.8–3.5 in.) long, substantially smaller than the fish commonly fed in zoos and aquariums (Cherel & Ridoux, 1992). The larger average body size and bill dimensions of male penguins may result in consumption of somewhat larger prey than consumed by females. This sex-related difference has been documented in Gentoo penguins, but such differences have not been seen in macaroni, chinstrap, and Adélie penguins (Williams et al., 1992).

Food variability: Among penguin species that have been studied at more than one site or during more than one season, there are suggestions of within species diet variations (Croxall & Lishman, 1987; Cullen et al., 1992). Much of the variation may relate to differences in prey availability, but not all feeding patterns are clear (Croxall & Lishman, 1987; Cullen et al., 1992; Adams & Klages, 1987; Croxall et al., 1988; Clausen & Putz, 2002). Both seasonal and site-based differences in quantities of specific prey items have been reported for most species, including little blue, African, king, and others (Adams & Klages, 1987; Rand, 1960; Montague, 1982; Moore & Wakelin, 1997; Coria et al., 2000; Ainley et al., 2003; Lynnes et al., 2004). African penguins appear to exhibit seasonal variations in food selection that appear unrelated to prey supply. Nevertheless, prey supply appears to be the single largest contributor to seasonal variations and is often associated with reduced reproductive success in free-living species (Clausen & Putz, 2002; Rombola et al., 2003; Lynnes et al., 2004).

Supplies of prey items may shift with major oceanographic events, such as El Niño (Radl & Culik, 1999; Bakun & Broad, 2003; Hays, 1984; 1986). The increased risk of prey disappearance may result from climate change, major disease outbreaks in prey items, and increased competition of human fisheries on prey species (Tonn, 1990; Walther et al., 2002; Perry et al., 2005; Chiaradia et al., 2001; Chiaradia et al., 2003). The impact of fisheries on prey species should not simply be considered a free-living animal issue, especially given that prey items available to zoos and aquariums are a direct result of commercial fisheries. Considerable data exist on both the direct and indirect effects of fisheries on free-living avian species, including penguins (Furness & Tasker, 2000; Tasker et al., 2000; Furness, 2003; Crawford & Shelton, 1978; Shelton et al., 1984; Croll & Tershy, 1998). Therefore, it is recommended that all institutions understand where and how their prey items are being harvested and whether these practices are ecologically sustainable. Data can be found regarding many of the commonly offered species at a number of non-profit and government websites, including the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration’s FishWatch® initiative and Seafood Watch®. Not all of the fish that are commonly offered to penguins are listed in these two websites, but other countries have similar websites listing many of these other species and their ecological status.

In zoos and aquariums, it is generally accepted that penguins have food preferences. The types and species of prey available for feeding are limited and may be quite different from the variety with which penguins evolved. Even data from free-ranging penguins suggest that the food items most consumed may not be those most preferred, but may be foods that are most available (Hays, 1986; Hobday, 1992; Boersma, 2008). Differences in food choice also may be influenced by physiologic circumstances, such as stage of the reproductive cycle (Boersma, 2008).

A penguin’s selection of particular food items may be an expression of food preference, but since penguins in zoos and aquariums lack a historical and long-term association with the dietary items they
are provided, they do not appear to make choices on the basis of nutritional wisdom. Food refusal, on the other hand, may be an indication of spoilage, and if fish are refused, their quality should be checked in addition to normal quality inspections. To avoid dependence on a particular food item, it is prudent to offer a variety of prey species. If a penguin becomes “imprinted” on a specific food item, and if that item becomes unavailable, it may be difficult to coax acceptance of an alternative. In addition, offering a variety of foods will help ensure that the diet provides a complementary and complete nutrient profile.

Food preparation must be performed in accordance with all relevant federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.6.1). Meat processed on site must be processed following all USDA standards. The appropriate hazard analysis and critical control points (HACCP) food safety protocols for the diet ingredients, diet preparation, and diet administration should be established for the taxa or species specified. Diet preparation staff should remain current on food recalls, updates, and regulations per USDA/FDA. Remove food within a maximum of 24 hours of being offered unless state or federal regulations specify otherwise and dispose of per USDA guidelines. Refer to Crissey (1998) for proper assessment, handling and storage of fish.

Typically browse is not offered to penguins. However, any plant species used in the exhibit or for enrichment should be identified with regards to safety by the veterinarians or horticulturalists. If browse plants are used within the animal’s diet or for enrichment, all plants must be identified and assessed for safety. The responsibility for approval of plants and oversight of the program should be assigned to at least one qualified individual (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.6.3). The program should identify if the plants have been treated with any chemicals or near any point sources of pollution and if the plants are safe for the penguins. If animals have access to plants in and around their exhibits, there should be a staff member responsible for ensuring that toxic plants are not available.

5.3 Nutritional Evaluations

Taking regular weights is important for monitoring the health of individual animals. The weighing of individuals should be carried out opportunistically. This can be done on a routine basis if exhibit design and bird behavior allows it. The birds should always be weighed when they are handled for other reasons. Individual weight records should be maintained over time and utilized for comparison when a bird appears sick. The use of operant conditioning to train birds to stand on a scale (e.g., scale training) can assist in the daily management of the birds. In most cases, there is no need to limit food intake below ad libitum levels unless the penguin is extremely overweight.

**Vitamin excesses:** Fat-soluble vitamins A, D, E and K accumulate in the body when intakes exceed need, and excessive amounts over extended periods will produce signs of toxicity (Machlin, 1984). It should be noted, however, that there are seasonal differences in the availability of these vitamins for some animal species in the wild, and the accumulation of body stores during comparatively short natural periods of plenty may be critical for health during periods of short supply.

**Vitamin A:** Chronic vitamin A toxicity typically results from long-term intakes that are 100–1,000 times dietary requirements, although toxic signs have been reported from dietary levels as low as 10 times the requirement in domestic animals (National Resources Council, 1987). Elevated serum levels of vitamin A have been observed in Humboldt penguins fed diets containing 59,800 IU of vitamin A/kg (DMB) for 12 months, but no toxicity signs were seen (Crissey et al., 1998).

**Vitamin E:** Maximum tolerable levels of dietary vitamin E are quite high, but interference with blood clotting has been reported in pelicans with supplements of vitamin E adding 1,000–2,000 IU/kg of dietary DM (Nichols et al., 1989). Elevated serum levels of vitamin E have been observed in Humboldt penguins fed diets containing 58.6 IU of vitamin E/kg (DMB) for 12 months, but there were no signs of toxicity (Crissey et al., 1998).

---

**AZA Accreditation Standard**

2.6.1 Animal food preparation and storage must meet all applicable laws and/or regulations.

2.6.3 The institution should assign at least one person to oversee appropriate browse material for the animals.
6.1 Veterinary Services

Veterinary services are a vital component of excellent animal care practices. A full-time staff veterinarian is recommended. In cases where this is not practical, a consulting/part-time veterinarian must be under contract to make at least twice monthly inspections of the animal collection to respond to emergencies (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.1.1). In some instances, because an institution’s size or nature, exceptions may be made to the twice-monthly inspection requirement. Veterinary coverage must also be available at all times so that medical needs can be responded to in a timely fashion (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.1.2). The AZA Accreditation Standards recommend that AZA-accredited institutions adopt the guidelines for medical programs developed by the American Association of Zoo Veterinarians (AAZV):


The current Penguin TAG veterinary advisors can be found at: https://ams.aza.org/eweb/DynamicPage.aspx?Site=AZA&WebKey=8f652949-31be-4387-876f-f49a2d7263b2. Basic information on penguin husbandry, behavior and medicine is available in the current scientific literature, including Zoo and Wildlife Medicine 3rd edition (Fowler, 1993), and the 5th editions (Fowler & Miller, 1999). Additional veterinary references can be found in the reference section of this document. There are no penguin-specific training programs in veterinary medicine currently available, although several institutions that house penguins may offer general veterinary medicine internships which include on the job training with penguins.

AZA-accredited institutions must have a clear process for identifying and addressing penguin animal welfare concerns within the institution (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.5.8) and should have an established Institutional Animal Welfare Committee. This process should identify the protocols needed for animal care staff members to communicate animal welfare questions or concerns to their supervisors, their Institutional Animal Welfare Committee or if necessary, the AZA Animal Welfare Committee. Protocols should be in place to document the training of staff about animal welfare issues, identification of any animal welfare issues, coordination and implementation of appropriate responses to these issues, evaluation (and adjustment of these responses if necessary) of the outcome of these responses, and the dissemination of the knowledge gained from these issues.

Given the wide variety of zoos and aquariums that house penguins, the AZA Penguin TAG cannot provide specific recommendations for the best approaches to take to communicate animal welfare issues effectively within every institution. Some institutions have an animal welfare committee to whom concerns can be relayed. Committee members include both frontline care staff, animal managers, curators as well as staff from other institution departments. Some additionally recruit one or two outside consultants to be members that can voice non-institutional opinions. All animal caretakers that work with penguins should be aware of institutional protocols in place for them to identify, communicate, and hopefully address potential animal welfare issues that are associated with the care and management of these animals.

Protocols for the use and security of drugs used for veterinary purposes must be formally written and available to animal care staff (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.2.1). Protocols should include a list of persons authorized to administer animal drugs, situations in which they are to be utilized, location of animal drugs and those persons with access to them, and emergency procedures in the event of accidental human exposure.

AZA Accreditation Standard
(2.1.1) A full-time staff veterinarian is recommended. In cases where such is not practical, a consulting/part-time veterinarian must be under written contract to make at least twice monthly inspections of the animals and to respond as soon as possible to any emergencies.

AZA Accreditation Standard
(2.1.2) So that indications of disease, injury, or stress may be dealt with promptly, veterinary coverage must be available to the animal collection 24 hours a day 7 days a week.

AZA Accreditation Standard
(2.3.2) Written, formal procedures must be available to the animal care staff for the use of animal drugs for veterinary purposes, and appropriate security of the drugs must be provided.

AZA Accreditation Standard
(2.5.1) Deceased animals should be necropsied to determine the cause of death. Cadavers must be stored in a dedicated storage area. Disposal after necropsy must be done in accordance with local/federal laws.

AZA Accreditation Standard
(2.2.1) Written, formal procedures must be available to the animal care staff for the use of animal drugs for veterinary purposes, and appropriate security of the drugs must be provided.
Animal recordkeeping is an important element of animal care and ensures that information about individual animals and their treatment is always available. A designated staff member should be responsible for maintaining animal records and for conveying relevant laws and regulations to the animal care staff (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.4.6). Recordkeeping must be accurate and documented on a daily basis (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.4.7). Complete and up-to-date animal records must be retained in a fireproof container within the institution (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.4.5) as well as be duplicated and stored at a separate location (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.4.4).

A specific individual should be assigned to handle endangered species permits. For transport across state lines or out of country, contact the receiving state for its requirements regarding health certificates, preshipment tests, and permit numbers.

Detailed medical records should be kept regarding an individual’s complete medical history. This includes information on all preventive medical care, diagnostic exams, illnesses, injuries, associated treatments, vaccinations, lab reports, abnormal physiology and abnormal behavior. Water quality results should be documented and readily available. Key information for veterinary care should be recorded on a daily basis and include changes in behavior, appetite, diet offered, fecal consistency, reproductive activity, and any overt signs of illness or abnormal health, such as regurgitation/vomiting, bleeding, abnormal swelling, lameness, and respiratory problems, including coughing. It is critical to follow up with information on response to treatment and procedures, or changes in condition. If medications are being administered, record this information and whether or not delivery of medication was successful. Weights should be documented regularly. Necropsies should be done if at all possible and results maintained as part of the permanent record as a way to monitor the health of the overall collection.

Reproductive recordkeeping: Recordkeeping related to reproductive management should begin at the time of egg laying. Marking the first egg laid is important when calculating expected hatch dates. Egg logs should contain data such as lay date, number of days incubated, sire and dam, sibling identification, and method of rearing. Fertility results should be noted for each egg as well as survivability of chicks. By tracking a pair’s reproductive history, trends in success or failure can be identified. One simple method for recording reproductive data for penguins, using large rookery maps, is described by Ellis-Joseph (1990).

Hatch weights and subsequent daily or weekly weights are important to monitor overall growth rate. For hand-reared penguins, many institutions develop records which include first morning weight, weight before and after each feeding, amount of food consumed at each feeding, types of food consumed, vitamins and medications given, and comments on behavior, and. It is useful to record ambient temperature and brooder temperature (if applicable). Chick records should be maintained through fledging. For more information on assisted rearing practices for penguins, see Chapter 7.5.

6.2 Identification Methods

Ensuring that penguins are individually identifiable allows for more better care of each individual. And individual animals should have corresponding ID numbers whenever practical. A system for accurately maintaining animal records must be created if individual identifications are not practical (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.4.3).

To maintain individual records, animals should be banded or marked so individuals can be identified at a distance. In birds, an additional system of permanent identification is recommended in
case the band is lost, and to track birds from one institution to another if banding techniques should change. Cheney (1989) reported that most institutions use flipper bands with good success. In lieu of actual flipper bands, colored cable ties can be placed around the flipper. When using this method, the band should be tightened to the point where a finger can be slipped between the band and the bird’s flipper. As bands can continue to tighten after applied, either the fastener should be glued to the band to prevent slippage when in place or monitored to ensure that they do not tighten further and impede circulation to the flipper.

The band should be placed in such a manner that the fastener does not rub against the penguin’s flipper or get hooked on protruding objects. Flipper bands should be monitored closely during molt, as the penguins’ flippers often swell during this time, potentially restricting circulation. During molt many institutions replace the flipper band with a looser band to accommodate swelling or leave it off during molt if there are other methods if identifying the bird. Regardless of the method of visible individual identification used, the AZA Penguin TAG recommends that transponders also be used with penguins. The AZA Penguin TAG recommends subcutaneous placement of the transponder in the loose skin of the back of the neck, or on top of the head, but Boersma recommends the fleshy part of the foot in the front of the tarsus (D. Boersma, personal communication). Chicks weighing as little as 500 g (1.1 lb.) can be microchip ped if needed. For smaller collections, identification of adults can be made based on spot patterns of the breast feathers based on photographs taken after the molt into adult plumage.

Sexing: DNA sexing from feather, blood, or egg membranes can be done by commercial laboratories and is very reliable. This is the recommended method for sexing penguins (see Appendix I for laboratories). When pulling feathers, be sure to remove them so the root is intact. If commercial labs are not available, penguins can be sexed by cloacal examination. The most reliable use of this technique is constrained to a two-week period following egg laying (Boersma & Davies, 1987). Sladen (1958) indicated that a cloacoscope method for sexing Adélie, Humboldt, and African penguins has been used with some success. The differences between male and female physical characteristics are slight, and extensive training is needed for this method to be used accurately. Although sexing based on morphometrics has been published for some species, this has been shown to be unreliable in managed populations of Humboldt penguins (Wallace et al., 2008) and thus might be expected to be unreliable for other spheniscid species.

AZA member institutions must inventory their penguin population at least annually and document all penguin acquisitions and dispositions (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.4.1). Transaction forms help document that potential recipients or providers of the animals adhere to the AZA Code of Professional Ethics, the AZA Policy on Responsible Population Management: Acquisitions, Transfers and Transitions by Zoos & Aquariums (see Appendix B), and all relevant AZA and member policies, procedures and guidelines. In addition, transaction forms must insist on compliance with the applicable laws and regulations of local, state, federal and international authorities. All animals owned by an AZA institution must be listed on the inventory, including those animals on loan to and from the institution (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.4.2).

6.3 Transfer Examination and Diagnostic Testing Recommendations

The transfer of animals between AZA-accredited institutions or certified related facilities as a result of AZA Animal Program recommendations often occurs as part of a concerted effort to preserve these species. These transfers should be done as altruistically as possible and the costs associated with preshipment examination and diagnostic testing should be considered.

Complete preshipment examinations are recommended to ensure that individuals are healthy enough to withstand the stress of shipment, and to screen for disease to prevent spread to another institution. A full physical exam should be conducted, including but not limited to weight, inspection of the feet, oral cavity and eyes, general body and feather condition, and review of medical history, appetite, and behavior.

AZA Accreditation Standard (1.4.1) An animal inventory must be compiled at least once a year and include data regarding acquisitions and dispositions at the institution.

AZA Accreditation Standard (1.4.2) All species owned by the institution must be listed on the inventory, including those animals on loan to and from the institution. In both cases, notations should be made on the inventory.
Minimally, most institutions request blood for a routine CBC and chemistry profile, fecal exam for parasites, and fecal culture for pathogens. Radiographs can be requested provided that the sending institution has access to anesthesia and a radiograph machine, but not all institutions can provide this. Other diagnostic tests might be required by the receiving state/country, and the state/country should be contacted prior to shipment to find out what additional rests and what permits are required. Local, state, or federal regulations that are more stringent than AZA Standards and recommendations have precedence.

6.4 Quarantine

AZA institutions must have holding facilities or procedures for the quarantine of newly arrived animals or for the treatment of sick/injured animals (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.7.1). All quarantine, hospital, and isolation areas should be in compliance with AZA standards/guidelines (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.7.3; Appendix C). Local, state or federal regulations that are more stringent take precedence. All quarantine procedures should be formally written, available to staff working with quarantined animals, and supervised by a veterinarian (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.7.2). If no specific quarantine facility exists, newly acquired animals should be kept separate from the established collection to prohibit physical contact, prevent disease transmission, and avoid aerosol and drainage contamination. If the receiving institution lacks appropriate facilities for quarantine, pre-shipment quarantine at an AZA or American Association for Laboratory Animal Science (AALAS) accredited institution may be applicable.

**Quarantine protocols:** Penguins should be quarantined for a minimum of 30 days unless otherwise directed by the staff veterinarian. It may be extended if problems are diagnosed. It can be shortened if examination has shown no problems and it is behaviorally necessary for the well-being of the animals. If additional birds are introduced during the quarantine period, the quarantine should begin again. However, the addition of animals besides birds may not require the re-initiation of the quarantine period. If the new additions do not show signs of infectious disease, the first set of animals may clear quarantine without re-examination.

Separate facilities are recommended to accommodate newly acquired birds, or birds that should be separated from the group for health-related reasons. This area should have air and water systems separate from the main exhibit. It can serve as an isolation area if not in use for quarantine. An area without separate air and water systems should not be considered an appropriate quarantine or isolation area. If possible, two or more birds should be quarantined together because of their social needs. If this is not possible, efforts should be made for quarantined birds to have visual or auditory contact with other penguins. Designated keepers should care only for quarantined animals if possible. If keepers must care for both quarantined and resident animals of the same taxa, they should care for the quarantined animals only after caring for the resident animals. Any equipment or enrichment items used for quarantined animals should be used only with these animals. If this is not possible, then all items should be appropriately disinfected, as designated by the veterinarian supervising quarantine, before being used elsewhere. Standard disinfection with quaternary ammonium or bleach is adequate unless a mycobacterial disease is suspected, in which case ammonium-based products are not suitable. Phenolics can be used but can be corrosive. Enrichment items that are not easily cleaned can be thrown out and replaced if needed (infectious disease diagnosed or suspected).

AZA institutions must have zoonotic disease prevention procedures and training protocols established to minimize the risk of transferable diseases (AZA Accreditation Standard 11.1.2) with all animals, including those newly acquired in quarantine. Although transmission of tuberculosis from penguins to humans

AZA Accreditation Standard

(2.7.1) The institution must have holding facilities or procedures for the quarantine of newly arrived animals and isolation facilities or procedures for the treatment of sick/injured animals.

AZA Accreditation Standard

(2.7.3) Quarantine, hospital, and isolation areas should be in compliance with standards/guidelines contained within the *Guidelines for Zoo and Aquarium Veterinary Medical Programs and Veterinary Hospitals* developed by the American Association of Zoo Veterinarians (AAZV), which can be obtained at: http://www.aazv.org/associations/6442/files/veterinary_standards_2009_final.docx.

AZA Accreditation Standard

(2.7.2) Written, formal procedures for quarantine must be available and familiar to all staff working with quarantined animals.

AZA Accreditation Standard

(11.1.2) Training and procedures must be in place regarding zoonotic diseases.
is not of concern, penguins can potentially carry gastrointestinal bacteria that cause disease in people. A separate set of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) should be worn when handling or cleaning quarantined animals. This includes outerwear such as washable or disposable smocks, aprons, overalls or gowns, surgical masks, gloves and a separate set of boots or shoe covers. Recommended minimum quarantine space, pool, and temperature recommendations are listed in space recommendations (Chapter 2). Non-abrasive flooring or matting should be used, if at all possible.

**Quarantine veterinary procedures:** During the quarantine period, a complete physical examination and specific diagnostic tests should be conducted for each animal (see Appendix C). Animals should be permanently identified during quarantine if not already. Animals should be evaluated for ectoparasites and gastrointestinal parasites, and treated accordingly. Blood should be collected, analyzed and the sera banked long-term in either a -70 °C (-94 °F) freezer or short-term in -20 °C (-4 °C) freezer (frost-free or self-defrosting freezer should not be used because of the freeze-thaw cycles) for retrospective evaluation. Vaccinations should be updated as appropriate, and if the vaccination history is not known, the animal should be treated as immunologically naive and given the appropriate series of vaccinations. Detailed medical records for each animal should be maintained and kept easily available.

Release from quarantine should be contingent upon normal results from diagnostic testing, and three negative fecal parasite exams and fecal/cloacal cultures that are spaced a minimum of 1 week apart. If at all possible, radiographs should be taken to establish a baseline reference for each individual and to check for evidence of disease, gastrointestinal foreign bodies, or evidence of previous trauma (fractures).

**Aspergillus prevention:** Aspergillosis is a severe fungal disease and often affects penguins under stress. In addition to receiving anti-fungals prior to shipment (AZA standard 6.3), animals should also receive it for at least two weeks after arrival into quarantine until they are acclimated to their new surroundings.

### 6.5 Preventive Medicine

AZA-accredited institutions should have an extensive veterinary program that must emphasize disease prevention (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.4.1). The American Association of Zoo Veterinarians (AAZV) has developed an outline of an effective preventative veterinary medicine program that should be implemented to ensure proactive veterinary care for all animals:


Depending on the disease and history of the animals, testing protocols for animals may vary from an initial quarantine test to yearly repetitions of diagnostic tests as determined by the veterinarian. Animals that are taken off zoo/aquarium grounds for any purpose have the potential to be exposed to infectious agents that could spread to the rest of the institution’s healthy population. AZA-accredited institutions must have adequate protocols in place to avoid this (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.5.5). To minimize risk, some institutions have separate program animals that used solely for that purpose and that are housed separately from the main collection. If this is not possible, then penguins taken off grounds for any reason, whether for educational programs or diagnostic testing, should not come into contact with other birds or areas where other birds have been, if not adequately disinfected.

**Routine physical exams:** Physical exam frequency for penguins can depend on the situation of the institution. Some institutions will perform medical assessments of the birds more frequently, especially if they are screening regularly for diseases or parasites, or specifically after treatments to assess effectiveness. For smaller flocks, monthly weights are recommended—penguins can be trained to step onto a platform scale to facilitate weighing. Blood samples may be collected from penguins weekly or biweekly in a flock of birds with malaria problems. It is recommended that a physical exam should be performed at least annually, and include blood sampling, weighing, and general health assessments, if staffing and resources permit. If possible, radiographs should be performed on birds where the possibility of ingestion of foreign objects exists. During annual exams, and whenever birds are caught up for other reasons, the opportunity should be taken to weigh the animal, as well as to check the eyes, feet, and mucous membranes for indicators of any health issues. Routine vaccinations are rarely given to
penguins, but in those collections housed outdoors and exposed to mosquitoes, vaccination against West Nile Virus and against Eastern or Western encephalitis if the diseases are endemic to a location, may be warranted.

**Blood parameters:** Each institution should establish its own set of normal blood parameters for every species maintained, preferably on MedARKS or ZIMS software. Outside laboratories or other institutions will often have different normal values. (See Appendix N for normal blood values for various managed species) Data from free-ranging individuals has been published for several species (Wallace et al., 1995; Wallace et al., 1996; Travis et al., 2006; Karesh et al., 1999.). Blood may be collected from the inter-digital, medial tarsometatarsal, flipper, and jugular veins. It appears that more institutions are utilizing the jugular because of the speed and ease of acquisition of large quantities of blood. One institution collects blood from a venous sinus located on the dorsal aspect of the vertebral column at the base of the tail. The amount of blood that may be removed depends on the size of the individual, but generally follows normal avian standards (no more than 1% body weight). Complete blood counts (CBCs) are usually done by hand (using either the eosinophil method or Natt and Herricks method); estimates from a smear are considered less accurate. The Celldyne shows promise in accurately counting white blood cells. Chemistry profiles should include assays for glucose, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), asparagine aminotransferase (AST), calcium, urea, uric acid and bile acids. Increases in cholesterol, calcium, phosphorus, and occasionally alkaline phosphatase are often seen in reproductively active females beginning about a month prior to egg laying and persisting until shortly after the egg(s) is laid (Wallace, unpublished data).

**Medical management of molt:** Molt is physiologically stressful for penguins. Regeneration of new feathers requires a large amount of energy. Penguins usually molt once a year after the breeding season, but some species (e.g., Galapagos penguins) molt before breeding (Boersma, 1977; 1978). The onset of molt occurs as the days begin to shorten, and is thought to be initiated by a decrease in daylight, especially in the polar species. Some species, such as the African penguins, molt over a longer period of time. African penguins at one zoological institution have molted in every month of the year, but the majority of molts occur between March and August (Bennett, 1991). At another zoological institution, Humboldt penguins have typically molted during August, September, and October, while the rockhoppers and gentoos housed indoors on a Southern hemisphere light cycle typically molt in January to March, and March to April respectively. In Europe, most *Spheniscus* species molt in July and August. It is important that institutions are familiar with their normal birds molting times and plan management appropriately.

Prior to molt there is a significant increase in appetite that corresponds with a visible gain in weight. Once penguins begin to molt their appetites decrease dramatically. Some birds refuse food altogether. This corresponds to behavior in the wild, where molting occurs on land and birds do not have access to food, resulting in a fasting period lasting as long as three weeks. For wild African penguins, Cooper (1978) reported a 31% weight gain in pre-molt birds, with a subsequent loss of 41% of their peak body mass during molt. For Humboldt penguins housed at one zoological institution, it is not unusual for them to gain and lose 25% of their body weight.

During molt, the birds lose all their feathers in a short period of time. Bennett (1991) reported that the average molt length is 16.75 days in African penguins. Other penguins have similar molting periods. In zoo and aquarium environments, this large loss of feathers can cause problems for some filtration systems, and it may be necessary to remove birds from the exhibit during this time. If birds are to be moved off-exhibit, it is recommended that they are moved before they drop their feathers. Shed tail shafts have been reported to be ingested by some penguins in the wild, and the ingestion of some feathers by penguins should be considered normal (D. Boersma, personal communication). Another consideration during molt is the potential need to change flipper bands. The swelling that occurs during molt can cause the bands to constrict around the flippers. Bands may need to be removed and replaced with looser bands during molt; birds can then be re-banded after molt is completed. If the band is not removed, it is important that the birds are closely observed to ensure that the bands do not impede circulation.

Sometimes birds will either not go into or not complete their molt. In zoos and aquariums, this condition appears to occur most frequently in chinstrap penguins. Abnormal, inconsistent, or incomplete molts have been noted in various species under different circumstances. Birds from the wild, or those recently acquired from another institution, may skip a molt for the first season at a new location. Molt may also be affected by illness in an individual. Factors that may be linked to molt problems include improper light cycle, improper light intensity (i.e., coverage throughout exhibit), improper light spectrum (UV, type,
spectrum of artificial light), nutrition (i.e., body condition, weight gain, vitamins, and protein components), levels of fatty acids, and humidity.

One zoological park has tried several different methods to stimulate molt including hormonal treatments, increased day length, and natural sunlight, with varying success. The potential role of circulating thyroid and hormone levels in molt problems has also been investigated. Treatment with medroxyprogesterone compounds has been shown to induce or speed up molting, though there is some concern that this is symptomatic relief rather than a true cure. Timing of its use should coincide with the peak portion of the light cycle used in the exhibit (Reidarson et al., 1999). Fatal complications with this treatment have occurred, as has obesity with associated fatty liver syndrome. This treatment, or other types of hormonal therapy, should be used only when environmental factors (e.g., light) have been thoroughly investigated, and when all other changes in husbandry techniques and remedies have failed. There have been cases of arrested molt at varying zoos that have not responded to any treatment, resulting in penguins that are almost devoid of feathers. For these individuals, hypothermia is a concern and management adjustments should be made.

6.6 Capture, Restraint, and Immobilization

The need for capturing, restraining and/or immobilizing penguins for normal or emergency husbandry procedures may be required. All capture equipment must be in good working order and available to authorized and trained animal care staff at all times (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.3.1).

Manual restraint: Penguins are hardy animals and can normally tolerate routine handling for nail and beak trimming, banding, and weighing. The individual to be captured should be separated from the colony. There are several different methods for capturing the animal; initial restraint is done by grabbing the back of the head or very high on the neck and lifted from behind. Penguins should not be grabbed by the flippers; several institutions have reported broken flippers during handling. Two people should work together when capturing and restraining king and emperor penguins. The people capturing the birds should wear eye protection to avoid injury from a bird’s beak, especially when restraining king penguins. Once the bird has been secured, a black bag can be placed over its head with the beak and nares exposed so the birds can breathe easily. Covering the eyes will immediately calm the bird (D. Boersma, personal communication).

Once captured, there are a variety of restraint techniques for penguins. Non-invasive procedures may necessitate only minimal restraint. However, medical procedures, such as blood collection, which require the bird to be immobile, dictate stronger restraint. One method used successfully involves placing the penguin between the handler’s legs so that the flippers are held secure. In this way, the handler’s hands are free to restrain and position the head and neck to facilitate procedures such as blood collection and re-banding. With king and emperor penguins, a second person may be needed to avoid injury to the bird and/or handler. Other methods of restraint include using large diameter PVC pipe or traffic cones to hold the bird secure. If a penguin needs to be moved a short distance, it is recommended that the handler carry the bird close to his/her body with the head at their side facing their back. If the bird needs to be moved to a different location, such as the hospital or a different holding area, it can be placed in an appropriate container such as an air kenell or large tub.

Immobilization: Animals should be fasted 18–24 hours prior to anesthesia to prevent regurgitation and aspiration of gastric content. Isoflurane is still the most commonly used gas anesthetic, although many institutions are now successfully using sevoflurane. Induction may be accomplished by use of a facemask or cone with subsequent intubation.

It should be noted that the trachea bifurcates at different levels in some species. Therefore, use of a standard length endotracheal tube may result in unilateral intubation if the clinician is not careful. Because of the extensive pulmonary/air sac system, unilateral intubation does not lead to the severe problems of hypoventilation/hypoxegenation seen in mammals. If the tracheal size diminishes distal to the bifurcation, however, tracheal trauma may occur if an inappropriately sized tube is used. If a clinician is unsure where the trachea bifurcates, radiographs may be helpful as a double trachea may frequently be seen.

Maintenance of anesthesia may be complicated by shallow breathing in the patient, resulting in a chronic excitement phase indicated by swimming like behavior. A smoother plane of anesthesia may be
achieved by assisting ventilation two to three times per minute. Ketamine has also been used, although recovery can be prolonged when compared to isoflurane. One institution recommends ketamine/valium or just ketamine given IM for induction over isoflurane for Little Blue penguins because of the fragile nature of this species and its tendency to traumatize itself during anesthetic induction with isoflurane. Once the ketamine takes effect, anesthesia may be maintained with isoflurane. If cold climate penguin species are immobilized for extended periods, some institutions use ice, ice packs, or other methods to prevent hyperthermia during the immobilization procedure. For minor procedures that just require sedation, or to reduce the stress of handling, birds may be given midazolam intranasally or intramuscularly. Sedation may then be reversed with flumazenil if needed once the procedure is finished.

6.7 Management of Diseases, Disorders, Injuries and/or Isolation

AZA-accredited institutions should have an extensive veterinary program that manages animal diseases, disorders, or injuries and has the ability to isolate these animals in a hospital setting for treatment if necessary. Penguin keepers should be trained for meeting the animal’s dietary, husbandry, and enrichment needs, as well as in restraint techniques, and recognizing behavioral indicators animals may display if their health becomes compromised (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.4.2). Protocols should be established for reporting these observations to the veterinary department. Penguin hospital facilities should have radiographic equipment or access to radiographic services (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.3.2), contain appropriate equipment and supplies on hand for treatment of diseases, disorders or injuries, and have staff available that are trained to address health issues, manage short and long term medical treatments and control for zoonotic disease transmission.

Aspergillosis: Aspergillosis is one of the most commonly reported illnesses in penguins. It is a fungal infection caused by aspergillus organisms. The organism is ubiquitous in the outdoor environment and is often found in various areas of indoor exhibits. It can exist in low numbers without causing problems if the birds are healthy and well adapted to their exhibit and social group. Disease may occur in stressed or debilitated animals. Stressors that have been associated with the occurrence of aspergillosis include: substandard air quality, poor ventilation, elevated ammonia levels; social incompatibility; introduction to a new social group; inappropriate, prolonged or stressful relocation; introduction of new aspergillus species via new substrate or nesting material; change in location, which may expose birds to new fungal species; and excessive environmental heat or cold. High standards in exhibit air quality are an important consideration in prevention of the disease.

Early clinical signs of Aspergillosis can be subtle, and missed by keepers and veterinarians unfamiliar with the course of this infection in penguins. Signs may include open-mouth breathing, coughing, an inability to vocalize, and mucus may be evident at the glottis (opening to the trachea). Other common signs that are frequently but not always exhibited include inappetance, lethargy, weight loss, isolation, and lying down. These signs are often nonspecific and early diagnosis is difficult. Auscultation of the lungs and air sacs are commonly unremarkable. A complete blood count (CBC) may show an increase in the white blood cell count with a monocytosis, but early in the course of the disease may not show changes. Fungal cultures may be taken of the throat, trachea, or air sacs. Radiographs are helpful in looking for pulmonary or air sac granulomas or general cloudiness to air sac or lung fields. Fluoroscopy, if available, is also useful to detect granulomas. Serologic titers to aspergillus may be helpful, but it is often difficult to differentiate an acute infection from previous exposure. Changes in the plasma (heparinized) protein electrophoretic pattern compatible with chronic inflammation may be present. While there is some variation in the electrophoretic pattern among different penguin species, the inflammatory response elicited by aspergillosis typically results in elevated beta and gamma levels, and a notably depressed albumin : globulin ratio. However, these findings are nonspecific indicators of inflammation, and so can be found with other inflammatory conditions such as malaria, intestinal obstruction, and non-fungal coelomitis. Standard serum or plasma analysis for albumin and globulin values, and hence the ratio between the two, are not accurate in penguins and cannot be used in lieu of electrophoresis as a diagnostic aid.
The method and success of treatment depends on the stage and severity of disease when diagnosed. The veterinarian may often tailor the type of drug used and other therapy modalities. It is important to consult with veterinarians experienced in the treatment of this disease in penguins. Antifungal drugs may be given systemically (oral or intravenous), by nebulization, or intratracheally. Fluids may also be given orally by tube, subcutaneously or intravenously. Force-feeding fish gruel by tube can be used for short-term nutritional support, and any weight loss should be closely monitored. Drugs utilized with some measure of success include (see Appendix J):

- Voriconazole
- Terbenafine
- Itraconazole
- Clotrimazole: (nebulized)
- Amphoter cin: (nebulized, intra-tracheal, intravenous)
- Enilconazole: nebulized (very thick, needs dilution)
- Antibacterials (for concurrent bacterial infections)

Commercial formulations of itraconazole should be used. Compounded formulations have been shown to have poorer absorption and may not reach therapeutic levels (Smith et al., 2010). Itraconazole appears to be losing its efficacy in some collections. In those cases where itraconazole is not effective, treatment with voriconazole is recommended, although this drug currently is very expensive and might be cost prohibitive for some institutions.

Treatment is typically long-term, frustrating, and often unsuccessful if begun in the latter stages of disease. Early intervention may yield a better survival rate in aspergillosis cases. It has been observed that during serious outbreaks, mortality of acutely affected birds follow a “bell-shaped curve”, with sporadic deaths initially, a central period of increased deaths followed by another period of sporadic deaths. Loss of acutely affected birds is often followed by another rise in mortalities in birds that have been chronically affected. Prevention of the disease is best. Historically, many major outbreaks of aspergillosis have occurred after major environmental changes. Environmental stressors should be kept to a minimum, especially those involved with social factors (e.g., overcrowding). Prophylactic antifungal drugs, typically oral itraconazole) should be administered when shipping, relocating, or introducing new birds to an exhibit, and it is important not to ship or relocate birds during molt period (including pre- and post-molt periods). Although a fungal vaccination exists, it is not commercially available, and its efficacy is not proven. Maintaining high standards in exhibit air quality is crucial to prevention for species housed indoors. Regular fungal air cultures should be taken from the exhibit area to monitor levels of aspergillus. If it is necessary to shut down the air filtration system in a penguin exhibit, it is recommended to run the system for at least a week after it is restarted to clear the system before putting penguins back into the exhibit. Air cultures and disinfection for aspergillus spp. should be taken at this time. Construction in the surrounding areas may affect the air quality inside the exhibit, and should be carefully monitored. Precautions should be taken prior to the start of any construction.

**Malaria:** Malaria is a blood parasite carried by mosquitoes and/or biting flies. The causative agent is a *Plasmodium* organism, usually *Plasmodium relictum* or occasionally *P. elongatum*. Most cases of penguin malaria occur in animals that are currently or have historically been housed outside. Although penguins of all ages can be clinically affected, those particularly susceptible include chicks and juvenile birds, naïve adults previously housed indoors, or those that have been transported from areas with low mosquito/malaria problems. Clinical signs for malaria may vary, and range from acute death with no signs, sudden onset of respiratory difficulty with death rapidly following, to lethargy, inappetence, pale mucous membranes (from anemia), and behavioral separation from the group (Graczyk et al., 1995). Signs in more chronic courses are similar to heavy metal toxicity. Diagnostic tests for malaria include a CBC with blood smears (although this test to detect malarial organisms is not very sensitive), postmortem smear of blood, or splenic impression. A serologic test has been validated for black-footed penguins (*Spheniscus demersus*), and may be useful for other sphenscid species, but is not commercially available (Graczyk et al., 1995a; Hoogestyn & Cunningham, 1996). Research is currently underway to try to detect
malarial organisms in blood using PCR techniques, but accurate tests have yet to be developed. In penguins, the mortality rate from malaria infection is high, therefore, regular screening of birds housed outside can be attempted. All birds considered high risk can have blood collected every two weeks, and stained smears of the blood checked for the presence of malaria organisms. Even though it is not a very sensitive test, it may be helpful. Death can often be acute, with malarial protozoa visible only after the onset of severe clinical signs or during necropsy.

Treatment of malaria involves the use of Primaquine with Chloroquine, or if primiquine is not available, mefloquine (Tavernier et al. 2005, Willette et al., 2009) can be used. Prophylaxis can be attained using mefloquine, primiquine or using the following drug regimen: A compounded capsule containing 125 mg sulfadiazine, 4 mg Daraprim (pyrimethamine) and 0.4 mg folic acid can be formulated. One capsule should be given orally for 3–5 kg (6.6–11 lb.) penguins every other day throughout the mosquito season. However, as Daraprim is a folic acid inhibitor and is teratogenic (i.e., causes birth defects), it should not be used in laying females. Administration of either prophylactic treatment is risky in parent birds that are feeding chicks, as the parent may regurgitate the medication to a small chick. Institutions may want to discontinue treatment for a week or two while the chick is small, and then restart treatment first in the parent that is less involved in feeding the chick. If using the every other day therapy, treat the parents on alternate days so that the chick does not receive two doses in a day. Doxycycline is used in humans for both malaria treatment and prevention, and should hold promise for treatment in birds, but to date no studies have been published indicating dose or efficacy.

Mosquito control is paramount to reducing exposure to malaria if penguins are housed outdoors. This includes minimizing standing water or removing standing water on a weekly basis, larvicide application to standing water that cannot be routinely removed (including in any drains in the penguins indoor and outdoor enclosures), and minimizing foliage near animal exhibits. Exposure to adult mosquitoes can be reduced by bringing the penguins in during peak mosquito hours (e.g., dusk to dawn), ensuring door sweeps and screens are in good condition, placing screens over intake fans, and providing fans wherever possible to keep the air moving, which may discourage mosquitoes.

Viral encephalitides: There are a number of viruses that can cause encephalitis in birds. Disease spread is typically by the bite of an infected mosquito, and wild birds can act as a reservoir for, and amplify, the virus. There has been some evidence that bird-to-bird transmission may also occur via semen and other infected bodily fluids. Diseases relevant to penguins include eastern equine encephalitis (EEE), western equine encephalitis (WEE), and West Nile fever, caused by the West Nile virus (WNV). Both EEE and WNV have been reported in Spheniscid penguins, and these penguins can have high rates of morbidity and mortality in response to these diseases.

West Nile virus: This disease is caused by a flavivirus. West Nile virus was first reported in the United States of America in 1999 after being discovered in a dead crow found on the grounds of the Wildlife Conservation Center (formerly the Bronx Zoo) in New York City. The virus spread rapidly across the US over the course of the next few years, and now has been reported in all 48 contiguous states. Species susceptibility to severe morbidity and mortality varies widely, with Spheniscid penguins being one of the more highly susceptible avian groups. Birds that survive infections with this disease have some latent immunity to reinfection, but it is not known how long this immunity lasts.

Acute death can occur with few premonitory signs, or death may occur within 3–4 days. With supportive care, the course of the disease may be protracted, with death occurring after a couple weeks. Recovery can be prolonged in those animals that do not die, with weakness and decreased appetite lasting for several weeks. When clinical signs are seen, they usually include anorexia, weakness (lying down frequently), and vomiting, with the inability to retain even small amounts of water or oral electrolyte solutions. Bile-stained diarrhea may occur. Dyspnea from excessive mucoid tracheal/pulmonary secretion may also occur, secondary to myocardial involvement. In Humboldt penguins, neurologic abnormalities are not a common sign and tend to occur only in those animals that survive longer before succumbing (R. Wallace, personal communication, 2007).

There is no specific treatment for this disease, and therapy is limited to supportive care. Supplemental fluids given subcutaneously, intravenously, and orally may be necessary for adequate hydration. Antifungal or antibacterial therapy can be given as needed for secondary infections. Oral supplementation of fluids or gruel is not recommended until a penguin’s condition has stabilized, or signs begin to resolve, as there is a tendency for these birds to vomit (R. Wallace, personal communication). The oral cavity and glottis should be carefully suctioned if excess mucus is obstructing the airway, and
supplemental oxygen may also be necessary. The zoonotic potential of infected penguins for the keeper staff is unknown. However, virus can be shed in the respiratory secretions, and possibly urates/feces. In addition, horizontal transmission of the virus to humans from other avian species has been documented. Therefore, appropriate protective clothing should be worn when handling or working around infected birds. This should include N-95 masks if there is a chance for inhalation of aerosolized matter (cleaning).

As with malaria, adequate mosquito control is paramount in the prevention of this disease, especially if penguins are housed outdoors. Vaccination is recommended for susceptible species. Currently, there are no commercially available vaccines produced specifically for birds. Two vaccines developed for horses are commercially available (Innovator™ and Recombitek™). Innovator™ is a killed, inactivated vaccine produced by Fort-Dodge. Recommended doses are 1 mL IM given 3–4 weeks apart for three doses, and given to naïve animals prior to mosquito season, followed by annual boosters prior to mosquito season. The efficacy of this vaccine, as measured by serologic titers, differs in different avian species. Recombitek™ is a recombinant canary pox vaccine produced by Merial. There are anecdotal reports of this being used, but efficacy and safety in birds is unknown at this point. Birds known to have had and recovered from the disease are most likely immune, and may not need to be vaccinated, but more information is required to determine the extent of this immunity.

**Eastern equine encephalitis (EEE):** Eastern equine encephalitis is caused by an alphavirus. The virus was first reported in a group of African penguins (S. demersus) housed outdoors at an aquarium (Tuttle et al., 2005). Approximately 60% of the colony had noticeable clinical signs. Common clinical signs include acute anorexia, lethargy, and intermittent vomiting, along with penguins showing antisocial (isolation) behavior. Bile-stained diarrhea may occur. Ataxia can develop after 3–4 days, and with signs progressing to recumbency and seizures in about 25% of affected penguins. Signs in less severely affected penguins began to resolve in 6–9 days, but only after 14 days in more severely affected penguins. Stress-induced secondary infections such as aspergillosis may occur.

Standard complete blood cell count and serum chemistry diagnostic tests show non-specific changes such as an increased white blood cell count with a heterophilia, mild anemia, and a mild increase in glucose and sodium. Serologic testing using a hemagglutinin-inhibition test for titers to the EEE virus is performed by the USDA National Veterinary Services Laboratory, and can confirm exposure to the disease. Reference limits for penguins have not been established, although a high titer suggests either exposure or disease, and a rising titer taken 2–4 weeks apart suggests active disease.

As with West Nile infections, there is no specific treatment, and any therapy is limited to supportive care. Supplemental fluids given subcutaneously, intravenously, and orally may be necessary for adequate hydration. Anticonvulsants (diazepam) may be needed to control seizures. Antifungal or antibacterial therapy should be provided as needed for secondary infections. As with WNV and malaria, adequate mosquito control is key for effective prevention of this disease, particularly if penguins are housed outdoors. A killed vaccine against EEE is available for horses and has been used, but the dose required and efficacy for penguins has not been determined.

**Chlamydia psittaci:** C. psittaci is thought to be a pathogen primarily in psittacines and columbiformes. However, C. psittaci has caused outbreaks of disease in penguins (F. Dunker, personal communication). Signs include poor appetite, lethargy, and lime-green stools/urates. Bloodwork typically shows an elevated WBC with a heterophilia/lymphopenia with toxic changes. The total protein is elevated with increases in the beta- and gamma-globulins.

Post-mortem lesions seen include splenic and hepatic enlargement, with pulmonary congestion. Necrotizing splenitis, hepatitis, interstitial pneumonia and nephritis may be seen histologically. Gimenez stain shows elementary bodies in affected tissues. The organism can be confirmed using a C. psittaci PCR (DNA) probe and tissues, or by culture (Jencek et al., 2006)

**Diagnostic tests:** The general confusion surrounding the testing methods for C. psittaci, and interpretation of test results to determine if a bird’s illness is due to an active infection complicates the diagnosis in live birds. Tests are offered by many labs and veterinary diagnostic laboratories. The veterinary clinician is urged to thoroughly investigate the latest diagnostic techniques, and to have a good understanding of what each test result signifies. Some available tests are listed below.

- **PCR (DNA) probe of C. psittaci (feces, choanal/cloacal swabs, fresh tissue):** This test is useful in the diagnosis of infected birds and helps determine shedding, as well as if therapy is working.
• PCR (DNA) probe for *C. psittaci* (blood): False negatives can be seen in birds begin treated with enrofloxacin. This test is of questionable value as known infected birds in one outbreak tested negative.

• Complement fixation (CF) (blood): This test measures IgG antibodies. It is useful to ascertain exposure to chlamydia. However, its value as a diagnostic aid for current infections or as an indicator for cleared infections is still uncertain. It is unknown how long titers remain elevated in affected or recovered penguins.

• Elementary body agglutination (EBA) (blood): This test measures IgM antibodies, indicating current infection. The value of this test in penguins is still unknown.

**Treatment:**

• Doxycycline is the drug of choice. Either oral doxycycline (Vibramycin) 25–50 mg/kg orally once a day for 45 days (if possible) or parenteral doxycycline (Vibrovenos) 50–75 mg/kg IM once weekly for 6–7 weeks (preferably). Both of these drugs can cause inappetance and possible photophobia.

• Enrofloxacin 15 mg/kg orally once or twice a day. In one outbreak, the Baytril treatment resolved clinical signs but the blood picture did not change. Therefore, it may not be an effective treatment to resolve infection.

• Other supportive care measures such as fluids should be given to ill birds.

*C. psittaci* is a zoonotic disease, and risk of transmission to the public or animal care staff is real. Public Health officials should be notified if chlamydia infection is confirmed. Affected birds or flocks should be quarantined to protect other collection birds as well as animal keepers. Protective clothing, including N-95 masks, should be worn by persons working with the birds. If birds are kept on display, the area should be hosed with a disinfectant prior to public hours.

**Avian pox:** Avian pox infection has been observed in both managed and wild penguin populations (Kane et al., 2012). Based on phylogenetic structure of the virus, it was determined that infection was transmitted from wild birds. Transmission is via arthropod vectors or contact of mucosal membranes, broken or abraded skin with infected individuals or their secretions. Pox virus can live a long time in the scabs shed by infected individuals. Infection can be manifested by both the wet and dry forms. There currently is no treatment, and supportive care should be provided while the disease runs its course, usually in 2–3 weeks. Because the virus can survive in the scabs or other dried infected lesions, meticulous disinfection should be performed in any areas where ill animals were housed to prevent infection of other individuals.

**Toxoplasmosis:** Deaths from toxoplasmosis have occurred in black-footed penguin chicks exposed to cat feces. Signs were primarily neurologic, with death occurring within 24 hours. (Ploeg et al., 2011) At necropsy, peritonitis, pneumonia, hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, and renomegaly were evident. Aside from the direct threat of predation that cats can pose to penguins, toxoplasma oocysts transmitted from infected cat feces can pose a risk; therefore penguin exhibits should be secured to prevent entry by domestic cats.

**Pododermatitis (bumblefoot):** Penguins, like other birds, may be predisposed to pododermatitis by the following factors: change in normal activity patterns (e.g., decreased swimming, increase in sedentary behaviors), and prolonged standing on hard, abrasive surfaces or surfaces with excessive moisture or fecal contamination. Prevention can be attempted by encouraging penguins to swim on a daily basis. The original lesion may be the result of a bacterial infection from a puncture wound or soft tissue damage caused by pressure necrosis. Once the epithelium is compromised, secondary bacterial invasions may occur, resulting in deep soft tissue infections. If left untreated, severe complications can occur, including mineralized soft tissue, deep granulomas, and osteomyelitis. Examination for pododermatitis should involve an evaluation of the behavior and posture of the penguins. Indicators include:

- Abnormal stance
- Increased lying down
- Abnormal gait (limp)
- Footpad ulceration; scab formation, epithelial thinning, laceration or puncture; drainage; swelling; increased redness; and discomfort on palpation
- Soft tissue mineralization or osteomyelitis seen radiographically
Thermography may be useful both as a diagnostic technique and for monitoring response to therapy. Therapy should be aimed at protecting the foot from further damage, instituting local and systematic treatment of the current lesion, and changing conditions to prevent future occurrences (e.g., improving hygiene and changing to an appropriate substrate or flooring). Treatments that have been used include systemic antibiotics; local antibiotics with or without dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO); surgical debridement; cryotherapy; and chronic bandaging in conjunction with various salves and ointments (chronic exposure to DMSO within a bandage can cause severe skin irritation) accompanied by intermittent debridement of devitalized tissue.

While there is often initial improvement with many of the techniques listed above, there is a tendency for reoccurrence once therapy is discontinued. Since most treatments involve wrapping the affected feet, it is helpful to provide padding to minimize pressure on the wound site. If the wound site is not surgically closed, the area should be kept moist to encourage granulation. Gauze, GORE-TEX® cast padding, ointment, Vetrap bandaging tape, and waterproof tape or booties made from soft material have all been used (Reidarson et al., 1999a). Booties can be made from old wet suits and Velcro or are commercially available in various sizes. Healing efficiency can also be improved with proper debridement and the use of hydroactive dressings, which may retain moisture better than gauze and ointment. Environmental temperature may affect healing rates. There is some evidence that allowing birds with bandages to swim in salt water during therapy may promote healing, as the saltwater may help in drying out the tissue. Prevention of bumblefoot is a priority, as treatment is typically long-term and frustrating. Prevention should be geared toward encouraging swimming and avoiding hard, rough, wet surfaces that retain contaminated water.

**Preen gland infections**: Diagnosis is based on the presence of an enlarged, swollen gland containing purulent or caseous material. Early diagnosis and treatment may prevent impaction. The specific etiology of preen gland infections is unknown, but there may be many potential factors, including sedentary birds with decreased swimming patterns, poor plumage, non-preening birds who do not molt regularly, and nutritional deficiencies. Encouraging swimming and making birds stay in the water for longer periods may also reduce this problem, as penguins are more likely to preen when they come out of the water. Once a bird has preen glans problems, they are more susceptible to future episodes. Preen gland infections have not been seen in penguins in the wild (D. Boersma, personal communication).

Cultures of preen gland fluid have contained numerous bacteria. *Candida* is commonly cultured, even following antifungal therapy. Histologic examination of the gland suggests the possibility of vitamin A deficiency, although supplementation of vitamin A has not resolved the condition. While a limited number of birds may respond to symptomatic therapy, such as flushing the gland or infusing it with a proteolytic enzyme ointment, surgical removal may be needed to avoid eventual rupture and secondary septicemia (MacCoy & Campbell, 1991). It is important to encourage birds, particularly those that are nesting, to swim regularly as a preventative measure. For birds that are nesting, if one of the pair voluntarily leaves the nest to feed, it should be encouraged to swim before returning to the nest. If, for medical reasons, birds are housed without a pool, daily showers can be given to stimulate preening activity.

**Pulmonary disease**: While aspergillosis is usually the most common disease involving the respiratory system, there are other respiratory problems that are primarily related to bacterial pathogens. In some cases, it is difficult to distinguish between primary or secondary aspergillosis involvement. Upper respiratory diseases also include disease of the sinuses, and dyspnea can occur from plugged nares. Antibiotic therapy should be based on culture and sensitivity results whenever possible.

**General bacterial disease**: Penguins as with other animals can acquire bacterial infections. Trauma, stress, egg-yolk retention, age, and poor food quality can all predispose an animal to infection with a variety of bacteria, including mycobacteria (Boerner et al., 1994; Fisher et al., 2008). Good husbandry and management help reduce the incidence of bacterial disease.

**Renal disease**: The diagnosis of severe renal disease by serum chemistries is difficult in penguins. In some cases, the uric acid levels are elevated. However, normal increases in uric acid concentrations that occur after a meal should be differentiated from increases reflecting renal disease. A blood urea nitrogen (BUN) greater than 5 mg/dl may indicate dehydration. Fluid supplementation given orally, subcutaneously, or intravenously may be helpful, although systemic or visceral gout may result in rapid death with very few prior symptoms. On postmortem, there may be bright white flecks of uric acid.
deposits in the muscle, air sac, or serosa of organs. Uric acid crystals can be visualized under polarized light. For histologic verification, tissues should be placed in alcohol, since formalin will dissolve the deposits. Articular gout (gout in the joints) occasionally occurs in penguins. Lameness is the primary clinical sign. Nephritis (renal infection) or amyloidosis may be present without clinical signs of gout.

**Foreign object ingestion:** Penguins are curious animals, and young penguins in particular will investigate small and novel items within their enclosures. When manipulating these objects they may ingest them. Ingestion of foreign objects can cause medical problems and even death (Perpiñan & Curro, 2009). Some of the items that have been reported being ingested include nesting material (e.g., sticks and stones), bristles from brushes used for cleaning (the use of nylon scrub brushes that easily lose their bristles should be avoided), coins, fence clips, lead pellets from dive belt weights, and even molted tail feather shafts. Zinc, lead, and other heavy metal toxicities are always possible when metal objects are ingested. Initial symptoms may mimic malaria. Therefore, radiographs should be performed to detect metallic foreign objects. Some institutions regularly radiograph their penguins to ensure that they are not retaining such items. Some zoos and aquariums use commercial metal scanners on their birds. Although penguins regurgitate easily, foreign objects are not always present in the regurgitated material. These objects frequently remain in the stomach, and do not move further down the gastrointestinal tract. If attempts to get the penguin to regurgitate are unsuccessful, treatment is usually by endoscopic removal. Penguins have large stomachs. When foreign objects settle in the distal aspect of the stomach, radiographically they often appear to be in the distal intestine near the cloaca. This frequently leads clinicians to believe that the object is about to pass through on its own. But most likely it is still in the stomach. When performing endoscopy for foreign body retrieval, it is necessary to examine all the way to the most distal aspect of the stomach to locate the object.

**Nervous system disorders:** Incoordination and “stargazing” are occasionally reported as clinical symptoms. Thiamine deficiency has been implicated as a cause when fish quality is compromised (Griner, 1983). Differential diagnoses for non-specific signs of central nervous system involvement should include disease problems seen in other species, including viral or bacterial encephalitis, fungal granuloma, sepsis, nutritional deficiencies, and tumors. Domoic acid poisoning was reported to cause the total loss of a rockhopper penguin collection (Broadbent, 2009). Exposure to the toxin came from eating fish contaminated by the algal toxin. Consideration should be given regarding the source of fish fed to penguins (caught in shallow vs. deep water).

**Neoplasia:** A variety of neoplasias have been reported in penguin species including adenocarcinomas, melanoma, and lymphoma (Cho et al., 1998; Yonemaru et al., 2004; Rambaud et al., 2003; Ferrell et al., 2006).

**Egg-related health issues:** Pathology of the reproductive system is uncommon in penguins, although salpingitis, egg binding, and cloacal prolapse have been reported. Treatment for egg binding is similar to that of other avian species. Manual extraction of the egg is preferable. If that is not possible, surgical removal of the egg may be required. Removal of the entire oviduct may be necessary if egg retention leads to oviductal rupture or necrosis. Problem birds should have their calcium level checked periodically. Like other avian species, these birds may benefit from calcium supplementation.

**Fluid administration:** Fluid may be given to penguins by stomach tube, subcutaneously, intraperitoneally, or intravenously. Intravenous catheters for administration of fluids and therapeutic agents have been successfully placed and maintained in the flipper vein (brachial or medial) of several species of penguin (if the penguin is kept out of water). Penguin bones are not pneumatic and are much denser than those of other species of birds, therefore, intraosseous administration of fluids is quite difficult.

**Surgery:** Surgery to assess air sacs, reproductive, and gastrointestinal tracts has been successfully performed in a variety of penguin species. It is important to remember to keep Antarctic and sub-Antarctic species cool during surgery. Standard surgical technique may be employed. Intubation, standard patient monitoring (i.e., ECG, oxygen saturation), and fluid administration are generally easy to perform. Birds should be kept out of the water until the skin incision has healed.

Most institutions find that it is easy and less damaging to the patient’s skin if the feathers are shaved in preparation for surgery, not plucked. The feather shafts will fall out and normal feathers will grow in
during the next molt. Surgical scrubbing may be gentler and avoid skin trauma. Where feathers are plucked, alcohol may cause excessive damage and impede skin healing.

**Blood transfusions:** Transfusions may be performed when birds are severely anemic from malaria (blood phase), blood loss, or clotting disorders, and they can stabilize a bird until a diagnosis can be made and treatment initiated. It is indicated when the hematocrit (HCT) or packed cell volume (PCV) drops rapidly into the teens or less and does not stabilize. If the HCT is stable and the cause of the anemia is removed, penguins generally have a good bone marrow response (if not old or debilitated by concurrent disease), and generally respond well to supportive care alone (i.e., fluids, oral or injectable iron supplementation, oxygen and B-vitamins). In birds with malaria with a stable hematocrit in the teens, it has been reported that a transfusion appears to shorten the convalescent time while the treatment with chloroquine/primaquine takes effect.

**Blood transfusion procedure:** Approximately 1–1.5% of the donor's weight in blood volume can be safely collected (60 mL from a 4–5 kg/8.8–11 lb. bird). Acid citrate dextrose (ACD) solution (available from Metrix Co. Dubuque IA) is used as the anticoagulant at 0.15 mL ACD/ml blood collected. The blood is then collected slowly over 10–15 minutes using a butterfly catheter from the jugular or metatarsal vein while the bird is under anesthesia. IV fluids up to, or equal to the blood volume collected can be given using the same butterfly catheter used to collect blood. The donor bird is given supportive care post-blood collection in the form of subcutaneous fluids (50 mL/kg), B-vitamins (0.5 mL in fluids or IM), and iron dextran (10 mg/kg IM).

Prior to the administration of blood, a partial cross match should be performed on the recipient using the donor blood and recipient bird serum. Absence of hemolysis or agglutination will suggest compatibility. The recipient bird is given dexamethasone sodium phosphate (0.25–1.0 mg/kg IM/IV). The blood is administered through intravenous or intraosseous routes (difficult) using either an IV with either a disposable blood filter or an inline filter, both of which can be attached directly to a 60 mL syringe. It is advisable to administer 60 mL of blood over 45–60 minutes, while constantly rocking the blood in the syringe while monitor the recipient's heart and respiratory rates closely. If either increases, slow or stop the transfusion until parameters have returned to normal, then resume at a slower rate.

With 60 mL of blood (for one 4–5 kg/8.8–11 lb. penguin), one should expect an increase in pre-transfusion HCT by 25–50%. Homologous (same species) transfusions are preferred since the blood cells probably remain in the recipient's circulation longer.

AZA-accredited zoos and aquariums provide superior daily care and husbandry routines, high quality diets, and regular veterinary care to support penguin longevity. In the occurrence of death however, information obtained from necropsies is added to a database of information that assists researchers and veterinarians in zoos and aquariums to enhance the lives of penguin both in their care and in the wild. As stated in Chapter 6.4, necropsies should be conducted on deceased penguin to determine their cause of death, and the subsequent disposal of the body must be done in accordance with local, state, or federal laws (AZA Accreditation Standard 2.5.1). Necropsies should include a detailed external and internal gross morphological examination and representative tissue samples form the body organs should be submitted for histopathologic examination. Many institutions utilize private labs, partner with Universities or have their own in-house pathology department to analyze these samples. The AZA and American Association of Zoo Veterinarians (AAZV) websites should be checked for any AZA Penguin SSP Program approved active research requests that could be filled from a necropsy.

**Euthanasia:** The AZA Penguin TAG does not have specific recommended protocols for penguin euthanasia within zoos and aquariums. Veterinarians at each institution are encouraged to contact the AZA Penguin TAG veterinary advisors for more specific information or advice on the most effective, safe, and humane approaches to utilize. Each institution housing penguins should have a euthanasia protocol in place, developed by the veterinary team, in case euthanasia becomes necessary in a particular situation. The AZA Animal Welfare Committee also encourages each institution to develop a process to determine when elective euthanasia might be appropriate from a quality of life perspective, taking into account behavioral, health, social, nutritional, and animal caretaker perspectives. Examples of approaches used by institutions are available from the AZA Animal Welfare Committee. If a penguin's
quality of life has diminished to the point where euthanasia is the humane option, anesthesia followed by injection of an approved euthanasia solution (chemical euthanasia) should be performed.

**Egg euthanasia:** The American Association of Zoo Veterinarians (AAZV) states that the neural tube of avian embryos has developed sufficiently for pain perception by 50% gestation, and so any bird embryos that have reached this stage or beyond should be euthanized using methods appropriate for hatched birds (i.e., chemical euthanasia).

**Necropsy:** Post-mortem examination is an important component of any comprehensive veterinary medical program. Thorough necropsies include detailed external and internal gross morphological examinations and findings should be documented. Eggs that did not hatch should be opened and checked for fertility and age of embryonic death. Bacterial cultures should be taken of the yolk/albumin or embryo to identify bacterial infection as a cause of embryonic death. Representative tissue samples form the body organs should be submitted for histopathologic examination. Thorough necropsy examination and records will aid assessment of the overall health, and causes of morbidity and mortality in penguin collections. In turn this should lead to better husbandry, management and treatment of the collection. The full Humboldt penguin and egg necropsy protocols can be found in Appendix O. These may be used as a guideline for other penguin species. Further copies and updates may be found at either the AAZV or AZA website under necropsy protocols that can be used as a guideline for other penguin species. Copies of final reports should be sent to the Penguin TAG veterinary pathology advisor and then to the SSP veterinary advisors.
Chapter 7. Reproduction

7.1 Reproductive Physiology and Behavior

It is important to have a comprehensive understanding of the reproductive physiology and behaviors of the animals in our care. This knowledge facilitates all aspects of reproduction, artificial insemination, birthing, rearing, and even contraception efforts that AZA-accredited zoos and aquariums strive to achieve.

The exact age of sexual maturity is difficult to determine for some zoo-housed species. The sex ratio and age distribution of the colony will have an impact on the sexual behavior of the younger penguins. Young males generally will not compete with older males for mates. They will, however, engage in courtship behavior at an early age (1–2 years). The approximate ages of sexual maturity are shown for wild penguins in Table 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Age at sexual maturity (male / female if available)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emperor</td>
<td>5 yrs. / 6 yrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King</td>
<td>5–7 yrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adélie</td>
<td>3–8 yrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentoo</td>
<td>2–3 yrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinstrap</td>
<td>3 yrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macaroni</td>
<td>6 yrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockhopper</td>
<td>4 yrs. (likely)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td>4 yrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt</td>
<td>3–4 yrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magellanic</td>
<td>4–5 yrs. / 5–6 yrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Blue</td>
<td>2–3 yrs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Williams, 1995; Garcia & Boersma, 2012).

On a yearly cycle, penguins show some predictable changes in sociality related to breeding. Penguins can be seen in large social groups on land during molting and breeding season. They are generally antisocial during molting, although they remain in close proximity. Courtship behaviors can be seen at the beginning of breeding season. The breeding season can be defined in terms of four major phases: courtship, incubation, chick-rearing and fledging. In zoo and aquarium conditions, some behaviors, such as mutual displays, observed during the early phases of the breeding season may be seen year-round, albeit less intensely. In one study, Adélie penguin pairs were observed to occupy their nest sites year-round, even during periods when nesting materials were not available (Ellis-Joseph, 1988). Adélie penguins that pair and lay their eggs earlier in the season were also reported to be significantly more likely to fledge chicks (Ellis-Joseph, 1988; 1992).

The onset of the breeding season, which varies between species, may create a flurry of activity similar to what is reported for wild penguins (Sladen, 1958; Penney, 1968; Ainley et al., 1983). In the wild, the onset of the breeding season takes place when birds return to the colony (Pygoscelis spp., Eudyptes spp., and Aptenodytes spp.) or to the nesting territory (Spheniscus spp.). In general, behaviors associated with pairing are observed more intensively 3–4 weeks prior to egg-laying. Depending on the species and exhibit, initiation of courtship can be enhanced by manipulation of artificial lighting (photoperiod, refer also to Chapter 1.2) or introduction of nesting materials.

Aggressive behavior in penguins is most pronounced during courtship and pairing and again once chicks are hatched. Although it is a natural part of the reproductive cycle, staff should monitor aggression closely during the breeding season to ensure that reproduction is not deterred because of excess aggression or competition. Some institutions report mate “stealing” in exhibits with skewed sex ratios. Emperor and king penguins, for example, may require the construction of removable barriers to allow isolation of pairs or individuals, as unpaired birds may attempt to “steal” eggs or chicks from conspecifics that may be incubating or brooding. Some institutions report that penguins attack, and may kill birds that are weak or ill. There is also a need to closely monitor birds that have been isolated and subsequently
returned to the group. Harassment by groups is not common in penguins. Most aggressive exchanges take place between individual birds or pairs (Williams, 1995).

Agonistic displays increase during the breeding season as birds begin to reclaim and defend nest territory, or compete for prime nest locations (Renison et al., 2002; 2003). Overall rates of vocalization and display may increase throughout the exhibit during breeding. It is important to note that injuries from disputes (such as jab wounds in king penguins and corneal abrasions in Spheniscus, Eudyptes, and Pygoscelis species) may occur more frequently, particularly in multi-species exhibits with a high density of penguins. For Adélie penguins, aggression is lowest during incubation and at highest levels once chicks are hatched (Ellis-Joseph, 1988).

Mating and mate selection: Penguins are usually housed in colonies large enough that birds can select their own mates. Atypical pairing behaviors have been noted in zoos and aquariums. For example, same-sex pairing has been reported for emperor, king, gentoo, Humboldt, Magellanic, and African penguins. One zoological institution reported a male/male pair to which eggs were successfully cross-fostered for two breeding seasons. Other unusual behaviors include: copulations in which the traditionally effective male on top/female on the bottom position is switched; extra-pair copulations; or polyandrous or polygynous trios. In wild Adélie penguins, Muller-Schwarze (1984) described two types of pairing: trial pairing, which is temporary, and true pairing, which results in a clutch and a season-long bond. Such pairings have not been observed in Adélie penguins in zoos and aquariums, possibly because there is no seasonal emigration from the colony and subsequently no advantage to trial pairing. Occasionally, it may be necessary to selectively pair adults when undesirable pair bonding takes place (e.g., sibling, polygynous, polyandrous, same-sex bonds, or non-recommended program pairs). In Spheniscus spp., a successful pair bond may be encouraged by isolating the desired pair through egg-laying and incubation. It is desirable to use the male’s territory for this isolation.

Approximately 3–4 weeks from onset, courtship and nest building are complete. Copulations, which usually occur at the nest site, may be observed within one week of the onset of the breeding cycle. In Spheniscus spp., copulations may be noted frequently during courtship and nest building. Copulations for Eudyptes and Pygoscelis are generally observed within days of occupation of the rookery. In Aptenodytes, particularly emperor penguins, copulation is rarely observed. It is important to note that emperor penguins in zoos and aquariums appear to be much heavier than their wild counterparts, which may hamper copulation and thus adversely affect reproduction.

Hormone tracking: Currently, no hormonal tracking methods are used to assess reproductive condition in penguins. Normal hormonal values have not been established for these taxa. This is an area that may be better understood with future investigation into reproductive technology. All reproductive physiological information can be found in Chapter 7.3.

7.2 Assisted Reproductive Technology

The practical use of artificial insemination (AI) with animals was developed during the early 1900s to replicate desirable livestock characteristics to more progeny. Over the last decade or so, AZA-accredited zoos and aquariums have begun using AI processes more often with many of the animals residing in their care. AZA Studbooks are designed to help manage animal populations by providing detailed genetic and demographic analyses to promote genetic diversity with breeding pair decisions within and between our institutions. While these decisions are based upon sound biological reasoning, the efforts needed to ensure that transports and introductions are done properly to facilitate breeding between the animals are often quite complex, exhaustive, and expensive, and conception is not guaranteed.

AI has become an increasingly popular technology that is being used to meet the needs identified in the AZA Studbooks without having to re-locate animals. Males are trained to voluntarily produce semen samples and females are being trained for voluntary insemination and pregnancy monitoring procedures such as blood and urine hormone measurements and ultrasound evaluations. Techniques used to preserve and freeze semen have been achieved with a variety, but not all, taxa and should be investigated further.

Semen preservation and AI have the potential to enhance natural breeding programs of penguins by reducing or eliminating reproductive problems associated with inbreeding, behavioral compatibility, bird transport, human-imprinting of hand-raised birds and disease transmission. Costs of establishing an assisted reproductive program may initially be greater than relative costs of animal transport, and substantial research on basic reproductive biology is still needed for each penguin species to successfully
apply AI, but such costs would be outweighed in the long-term through benefits resulting from improved genetic and reproductive management.

Artificial insemination has been developed in the Magellanic penguin using fresh, chilled semen. Table 10 outlines the methodologies that have been used in some species in the area of semen collection, characterization, and preservation. Females can be conditioned for insemination using similar training methods described for semen collection, except that females are conditioned to accept manipulation of the cloaca and insertion of a 1 mL syringe and catheter. Alternatively, females can be anesthetized for the artificial insemination procedure (O’Brien, 2013). Candling observations are used to monitor egg fertility status and embryonic development.

Table 10. Assisted reproductive techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assisted reproductive technology</th>
<th>Penguin species</th>
<th>Methodologies</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semen collection</td>
<td><em>Spheniscus magellanicus</em></td>
<td>Voluntary semen collection method (n=1 male)</td>
<td>O’Brien et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Eudyptes chrysocome</em></td>
<td>Voluntary semen collection method (n=6-14 males)</td>
<td>Waldoch et al., 2007, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Aptenodytes patagonicus</em></td>
<td>Voluntary semen collection method (n=1 male)</td>
<td>O’Brien &amp; Robeck, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Spheniscus demersus</em></td>
<td>Method (number of males not specified)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semen characterization &amp; preservation</td>
<td><em>Spheniscus magellanicus</em></td>
<td>Short-term chilled storage, long-term cryostorage (n=1 male)</td>
<td>(O’Brien et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Spheniscus magellanicus</em></td>
<td>Short-term chilled storage, long-term cryostorage (n=7 males)</td>
<td>2012–2013 unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Eudyptes chrysocome</em></td>
<td>Semen characterization only (n=14 males)</td>
<td>Waldoch et al., 2007, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Aptenodytes patagonicus</em></td>
<td>Short-term chilled storage, long-term cryostorage (directional freezing method)</td>
<td>O’Brien &amp; Robeck, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial insemination</td>
<td><em>Spheniscus magellanicus</em></td>
<td>Artificial insemination using fresh, chilled semen (n=4 chicks derived from AI, as confirmed by genetic analysis)</td>
<td>O’Brien, 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Research into all areas associated with the development of AI using chilled and cryopreserved semen is still required in penguins, in particular, the characterization of female reproductive hormones and temporal relationships of such hormones with physiological events such as ovulation.

7.3 Pregnancy & Egg-laying

It is extremely important to understand the physiological and behavioral changes that occur throughout an animal’s pregnancy.

**Egg-laying and incubation:** Table 11 shows the most commonly reported timing of laying of first clutches for various penguin species in North American facilities. In conjunction with breeding and egg-laying, appetite often increases and distinctive food preferences may be exhibited. Females may increase their weight by as much as 20–25%, and in some cases females may become inappetent 1–2 days before laying. In *Aptenodytes* species, incubation of rocks or ice may indicate that egg-laying is imminent. Gentooos and *Eudypteds* will lie in the nest and dig with their feet. After a frenzied period of nest construction, *Spheniscids* will stop digging and gathering nesting material. Within a month of egg lay females will show changes to blood parameters as outlined in Chapter 6.7: Egg Related Health Issues. Estrogen, progesterone, and prolactin all interact to facilitate brood patch formation in both sexes (Hutchison et al., 1967).
Following a period of ritualized courtship, penguins normally lay 1–2 eggs, depending on the species (refer to Table 12 for clutch size and other egg-laying data). With the exception of emperor and king penguins, both parents take part in nest construction, incubation and chick rearing. For *Pygoscelis* spp., courtship behaviors such as rock presentation and nest building continue throughout egg lay and incubation. On rare occasions, king penguins have laid a replacement clutch when their only egg has been lost early in the term (J. Jozwiak, personal communication). In *Eudyptes* spp., the first laid eggs are much smaller than the second eggs and hatch much later. Table 13 shows expected egg measurements for various species.

### Table 11. Timing of first clutch egg-laying (Henry & Sirpenski, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>JAN</th>
<th>FEB</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>APR</th>
<th>MAY</th>
<th>JUN</th>
<th>JUL</th>
<th>AUG</th>
<th>SEP</th>
<th>OCT</th>
<th>NOV</th>
<th>DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emperor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adélie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentoo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinstrap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macaroni</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockhopper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magellanic</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Blue</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A = Austral lighting schedule (30° S Latitude–77° S Latitude)
N = Northern Hemisphere or natural lighting conditions

### Table 12. Egg-laying intervals and incubation data (Henry & Sirpenski, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Clutch size</th>
<th>Egg lay interval</th>
<th>Mean incubation period</th>
<th>Incubation period range</th>
<th>Pip-to-hatch</th>
<th>Multiple clutches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emperor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67 days</td>
<td>64–73 days</td>
<td>48–72 hrs.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56 days</td>
<td>53–62 days</td>
<td>48–72 hrs.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adélie</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3–4 days</td>
<td>36 days</td>
<td>34–42 days</td>
<td>24–48 hrs.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentoo</td>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>3–5 days</td>
<td>38 days</td>
<td>36–44 days</td>
<td>36–48 hrs.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinstrap</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3–4 days</td>
<td>37 days</td>
<td>35–39 days</td>
<td>36–48 hrs.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macaroni</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4–5 days</td>
<td>36 days</td>
<td>36–42 days</td>
<td>24–48 hrs.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockhopper</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3–5 days</td>
<td>35 days</td>
<td>32–36 days</td>
<td>24–48 hrs.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3–4 days</td>
<td>38 days</td>
<td>36–42 days</td>
<td>24–48 hrs.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2–4 days</td>
<td>42 days</td>
<td>40–46 days</td>
<td>24–48 hrs.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magellanic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3–4 days</td>
<td>42 days</td>
<td>38–48 days</td>
<td>24–48 hrs.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Blue</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1–4 days</td>
<td>36 days</td>
<td>33–37 days</td>
<td>48–56 hrs.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 13. Egg measurements (includes *ex situ* and *in situ* * laid eggs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Mean length x width (mm)</th>
<th>Range length (mm)</th>
<th>Range width (mm)</th>
<th>Range weight (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emperor *</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>121 x 82</td>
<td>100–130</td>
<td>78–86</td>
<td>350–502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>106 x 76</td>
<td>90–122</td>
<td>65–82</td>
<td>100–391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adélie *</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>69 x 53</td>
<td>60–79</td>
<td>42–60</td>
<td>64–119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinstrap</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>66 x 52</td>
<td>61–71</td>
<td>48–56</td>
<td>72–113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentoo</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>70 x 58</td>
<td>61–78</td>
<td>53–61</td>
<td>93–145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macaroni &quot;A&quot; egg</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>77 x 52</td>
<td>71–85</td>
<td>46–54</td>
<td>93–136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macaroni &quot;B&quot; egg</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>78 x 60</td>
<td>75–90</td>
<td>52–61</td>
<td>138–184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockhopper &quot;A&quot; egg</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>63 x 49</td>
<td>56–67</td>
<td>41–52</td>
<td>47–88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockhopper &quot;B&quot; egg</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>70 x 54</td>
<td>64–80</td>
<td>50–57</td>
<td>83–123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt *</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>73 x 52</td>
<td>62–85</td>
<td>46–56</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magellanic</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>73 x 55</td>
<td>68–82</td>
<td>50–60</td>
<td>94–134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African *</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>65 x 49</td>
<td>62–72</td>
<td>44–60</td>
<td>72–98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt *</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>65 x 49</td>
<td>59–84</td>
<td>40–70</td>
<td>50–117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little blue</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>37–40</td>
<td>53–58</td>
<td>36–42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nest management: It is important to be familiar with the breeding history of a pair during nest establishment and before an egg is produced. Nest sites should be evaluated for prior success or failure, neighboring aggression and level of rookery traffic. Natural barriers such as large rocks or logs can be placed between neighboring nests to discourage intrusion and decrease aggression. An ample supply of nesting materials will decrease resources competition and may help contribute to nesting success. Familiarity with each species natural history can help determine normal versus irregular behavior. Macaroni penguins frequently practice obligate brood reduction and eject their alpha egg from the nest in preparation for the arrival of the beta egg (St. Clair et al., 1995). One zoological institution routinely collects alpha eggs from all pairs of this species at lay for artificial incubation. Any pair with a history of poor incubation, crushing eggs, or ejecting eggs should be evaluated for assisted rearing options.

The health of each parent should be taken into consideration before the onset of egg-laying. Some common antibiotics and malaria preventatives may pose threats to embryonic development, and should be discontinued in advance of egg development. For example, Daraprim (pyrimethamine) is a folic acid inhibitor and is teratogenic (i.e., causes birth defects); Daraprim should not be used in laying females (see Chapter 6.7).

When a female is preparing to lay, she will occupy the nest continuously for a period of 1–2 days while the male stands nearby. It is sensible during this time period to minimize disturbance around the nest and avoid handling of the female. Behavioral changes associated with impending egg lay include, lethargy, dehydration, and a sleepy-eyed appearance. Females may frequently show fluffed contour feathers and sometimes labored respirations. Soon after the egg is produced, the male should provide nest relief, which allows the female to leave the rookery to bathe and feed. The pair should be observed for several days after the clutch is completed to ensure they are sharing incubation duties and performing them adequately. If one parent is left with the sole responsibility of egg care supplemental feedings at the nest can be provided to ensure parental health, or the egg(s) can be removed for assisted rearing or fostering. A decision to supplement any parent at the nest during incubation should include an assessment of the likelihood for adverse impacts on incubation and/or disruption of adjacent pairs on nests.

Gravid females should be monitored for proper egg delivery. Hens who have never laid before are more likely to experience cloacal tearing and associated cloacal bleeding post egg-lay. Depending on rookery cleanliness and individual bird behavior the hen can be placed in the pool for a swim to help clean the vent. Veterinary exam will indicate whether a course of antibiotics is necessary. Females with histories of thin shelled eggs, egg binding, or cloacal prolapse should be watched closely so any difficulties can be addressed early in the process. A bird that has had difficulty with egg lay in the past may be at increased risk to continue the pattern in successive seasons. A female who is showing visible discomfort, seen straining, tail pumping, or has a noticeably distended cloaca for more than 12–18 hours without production of an egg may be experiencing complications and should be examined by a veterinarian.

Complications may include egg binding or an inability to pass an egg that has been broken before or during delivery. If a gravid female has experienced external trauma (e.g., during competition for a mate or a nest site) this could cause an unlaid egg to break in the canal. Thin-shelled eggs may also be broken during delivery due to their fragility. Shell fragments left behind could result in lacerations and fecal matter introduced into the bloodstream might lead to septicemia. Again, veterinary treatment is recommended and may include manually flushing the cloaca to remove the egg fragments. Cloacal prolapse is a serious and life threatening condition that requires immediate veterinary attention. The female should be removed quickly from the rookery to an isolated area with a heat source to await veterinary care (See Chapter 6.7).

Eggs in the nest should be checked visually for damage. Any egg found to have cracks or holes can be repaired. Many zoos have had success repairing eggs with Tegaderm®, or paper towels and white glue. After repair, the egg may be returned to the nest (depending on the extent of the repair) or can be placed in the incubator for careful monitoring for appropriate weight loss and development through incubation. See Chapter 7.5 for details on incubation.

It is recommended that emperor pairs with eggs be separated from the main colony as soon as an egg is detected. One zoological institution utilizes a removable Plexiglas® barrier that physically separates incubating birds within the exhibit without adversely affecting visual and vocal stimuli from the group. Emperors with eggs are slowly walked to the barrier entrance. Initially, pairs are moved inside the barrier together. The female can be released back into the colony as soon as she transfers the egg to the male and begins to pace the enclosed area. Like wild emperors, females do not incubate. In the wild, food
requirements of chicks greater than 40 days of age require both parents to forage simultaneously, leaving chicks alone on the ice to congregate with other chicks in crèches. Crèching is not observed in zoos and aquariums, presumably because of constant food sources, lack of predators, and environmental conditions. In these conditions, emperor penguins continuously brood chicks for approximately 4–6 weeks, and parent-reared chicks fledge at approximately 4–6 months. Huddling for thermoregulation is not generally observed in zoos and aquariums because of constant environmental conditions.

Like emperor penguins, king penguins build no nest, but defend a small nest “territory.” For facilities housing king penguins, it is advisable to provide one area suitable for a nesting territory. Although gentoo penguins housed in the same exhibit may attempt to utilize this area for off-season nest-building, king penguins dominate the site during their breeding cycle (S. Branch, personal communication).

Emperor penguins generally eat from keepers’ hands without difficulty during incubation. Pygoscelis spp., Eudyptes spp., and Spheniscus spp. penguins can be offered food on the nest as long as it does not cause unnecessary stress for the birds. These species may be aggressive and reluctant to accept food. King penguins may show inappetence at the time of incubation; more commonly, they are too aggressive to eat while on the egg. But keepers familiar with incubation exchanges can locate the bird for feeding when it is off the egg. Some facilities continue to feed the chick-rearing parent the normal morning vitamin fish, and some others prefer to wait until the chick is old enough to take vitamins in their own diet. It is extremely important to remove all dropped fish if parents of smaller species are fed on the nest. The ease with which fish can be removed should be considered when the decision is made to offer food at the nest. If feeding or removal of fish elicits excessive aggression from the parents, an alternative to feeding on the nest should be considered.

If nesting birds are in an off-exhibit area or do not have access to water, it is prudent to give the non-incubating partner the opportunity to swim sometime during the day. Most penguins quickly catch on to this routine and are willing to leave the nesting area for short periods.

7.4 Birthing/Hatching Facilities

As parturition approaches, animal care staff should ensure that the mother is comfortable in the area where the birth will take place, and that this area is “baby-proofed.”

Penguins are highly social, colonially-nesting birds. Evidence supports that reproduction in penguins is socially facilitated, and that adequate stimulation by conspecifics is essential for successful reproduction in managed populations (Berger, 1981; Setiawan et al., 2007). Depending on the species, penguins incubate and hatch their eggs either on the nest or in a nest area, and then rear their chicks on or proximal to the nest or nest area. Many institutions provide a nesting area within the main exhibit or provide a designated rookery or nursery in close proximity to, but separate from, the main exhibit space. In either case, provisions for nesting area should be in addition to the recommended land space parameters described in Chapter 2. Some species or individuals may benefit from either a partial or complete separation from the colony due to intra-specific or inter-specific competition and aggression. It may be necessary to partition select nests to prevent aggression or wandering chicks. A partial separation can be achieved by utilizing a barrier such as a Plexiglas® bin, gated and fenced area or a log.

It is important to provide more nesting sites than needed to alleviate competition. The safety and well-being of parents and chicks should always be of the utmost consideration when choosing a nesting area. If the nesting area is located within the exhibit space, areas of high activity, such as proximal to a feeding station, should be avoided. The nesting area should also be located far enough away from pool access to avoid accidental drowning of chicks. Nesting areas should be ventilated well, have good drainage, and be easy to clean, disinfect, and monitor.

It is not recommended to move penguins between facilities during the breeding season. Moving females during egg-formation, laying and post-laying intervals should be avoided due to possible internal egg breakage from handling, and an increased risk of secondary infection from aspergillosis (refer to Transport Protocols, Chapter 3.2). Seasonally, penguins exhibit a great degree of nest site fidelity. With the exception of Aptenodytes spp., it is not advisable to relocate a breeding pair once the nest has been established.

Nests and nesting materials: Timing of the addition of nest materials should correlate with other reproductive stimuli that should be approximated to the natural cycle (e.g., artificial lighting and photoperiod), and are generally offered at the onset of breeding season. While nest materials are not necessary for the comfort of the chicks, the collection of nesting material seems to be a strong
component in pair bonding. It is important to provide adequate amounts of suitable nesting material to avoid competition.

**Burrows:** Nests for penguin species that burrow (*Spheniscus* spp. and *Eudyptula* spp.) can be permanent or seasonal structures, either indoors or outdoors depending on time of egg-laying. Typical burrows for wild *Spheniscus* penguins are fairly wide at the entrance (approximately 40–58 cm [16–23 in.]), narrow slightly, and then widen again in the egg-laying chamber. The dimensions may range from 14–39.9 cm (5.5–5.7 in.) in height by 59.9 cm (23.6 in.) in length (Boersma, 1991). *Ex situ*, burrows can be in the form of natural-excavated scrapes or holes, human-made caves, covers or boxes, or airline kennels (Macha & Sirpenski, 2011; Martir, 2012; Sarro & Kottyan, 2012). When using airline kennels (Vari-Kennel® brand, large, or Sky-Kennel® brand # 300), many facilities recommend removing the door. Some institutions find that using only the top portion of the airline kennel facilitates better monitoring and management due to ease of lifting and moving. Small nest boxes with only enough room so the pair is touching each other when lying down with less than 5.1 cm (2 in.) behind them encourages the penguins to defecate outside the nest which keeps the nest site cleaner than kennels with more room. Burrow nest sites should be at least 1.9 m (6.6 ft.) apart for temperate penguins (Henry & Sirpenski, 2005). The key components to consider are: burrow opening size; adequate air circulation and drainage; ease of cleaning and disinfecting; and adequate number of burrows. *Spheniscus* spp. penguins will utilize almost any nesting option provided. Conservation programs designed to improve *in situ* nesting options have even used 120 L refuse bins, divided in half longitudinally, as artificial burrows (Simeone, 2011).

Artificial burrows may be constructed from wood, providing they are painted or sealed in order to seal out moisture. A burrow of this material should be refurbished or replaced at the conclusion of the breeding season. It may be advisable to consider synthetic wood options such as Trex®, due to the difficulty in adequately sealing and disinfecting wood and for improved durability. All nest boxes should allow the keepers access without unnecessary disruption of the nest. One type of artificial burrow uses 91.4 cm (36 in.) sections of cement pipe, open at both ends. One aquarium uses a similar design made from expanded PVC pipe (45.7 cm [18 in.] long with a 45.7 cm [18 in.] opening) (Macha & Sirpenski, 2011). At another zoological institution, Humboldt penguins are housed in an outdoor exhibit where birds excavate burrows into the natural substrate. Excavation is augmented with a painted plywood tent, box, or fiberglass cover. Most recently a vinyl clad-wire-and-shade-cloth constructed cover has been used successfully (Martir, 2012). In exhibits where birds are allowed to burrow, the soil mixture should be at least 20% clay to prevent nest cave-ins (Beall & Branch, 2005).

Adequate air circulation and drainage are important from the standpoint of humidity and disease control. Proper air circulation is essential in a humid environment; this is especially true if birds are coming from the water and going directly into the nest. Holes or vents can be placed along the sides of the nest box. In exhibits where burrow flooding may occur (due to rain or an overflowing pool) a small drain inside the nest can expedite recovery of the burrow.

The degree of daily maintenance of nest boxes or burrows seems to vary among facilities. Some institutions clean nests daily while others do not clean the nest until the parents abandon it following chick removal. Daily cleaning of nest boxes does not appear to be necessary and may be disruptive. Many institutions remove nest boxes from the exhibit entirely at the close of the breeding cycle. It is recommended that nest boxes be removed for annual disinfection and maintenance (L. Henry, personal communication).

**Burrow substrate and nesting material:** The substrate used beneath the nesting material should be absorbent, and provide good drainage and ventilation. Nest box substrates that have been safely used include dust-free, non-clumping clay litter, sand or rounded stones (that are too large to swallow) and artificial grass. Materials that have been reported to produce fungal spores (e.g., crushed corncob, peanut shell, potting soil, and shredded newspaper—or bedding made from it) should be avoided. Nesting material might include rounded stones (indoors or outdoors), grasses (e.g., pampas grass), dried heater, and thick leaves like mangrove, evergreens, or dried kelp. Although used successfully by some institutions, managers should be aware of the danger of introducing fungal spores through the use of fresh vegetation as nesting material. When used, it is recommended that vegetation be used outdoors only. Vegetation should be changed frequently if possible. Pencil-sized, dry sticks are an example of a nesting material that should be avoided, as mortality has been reported in adults from eating sticks. Additionally, sticks could be dangerous for young chicks that may be impaled or become trapped under...
them. One aquarium uses semi-flexible tubing that is easy to clean and disinfect. The tubing is heated at each end to seal the end closed and to prevent bacteria from getting inside (Macha & Sirpenski, 2011).

**Above ground nests:** Nests for species that nest above ground (*Pygoscelis* spp. and *Eudyptes* spp.) are built to varying degrees. Wild *Pygoscelis* spp. and *Eudyptes* spp. penguins nest in the open or among vegetation. They commonly make a shallow scrape and utilize small rocks as the primary nest material. Feathers or even vegetation may also be incorporated depending on locale. *Ex situ* nests can consist of depressions built into artificial rockwork, forms made from large rocks or pavers, or rubber tubs. Nests should have good drainage and can be cleaned by carefully using a hose to “flush-out” any debris. This procedure should be discontinued prior to egg-laying, and throughout egg incubation and chick rearing on the nest.

One zoological institution reports that they add beach pebble and river rock to a depth of 10.2–12.7 cm (4–5 in.) on the rookery area to provide an adequate base and a good rock source. Care should be taken to provide rocks large enough to preclude ingestion by chicks. It is unknown whether rock eating is dangerous, since wild penguins are known to eat rocks as well. However, given the need to optimize success in the *ex situ* environment, managers would be well advised to avoid smaller sized rocks.

*Aptenodytes* spp. do not build nests, but defend a small nest “territory,” and therefore, do not require the addition of nesting material. The nesting area should be relatively flat and have good drainage. Substrate used in the nesting area can include Dri-dek® mats or a layer of river rock. For both king and emperor penguins, it may be advantageous to separate incubating and chick-rearing pairs from the colony to avoid aggression and egg or chick stealing by conspecifics. Emperor penguins do not generally occupy a single area for nesting; after an egg is produced it is recommended to move the pair to a separate area to avoid disturbance by conspecifics (L. Henry, personal communication). See Chapter 7.3 for more on nest management.

**Assisted hatching:** Penguin chicks normally hatch without assistance from the parents. Depending on the species, it takes approximately 12–72 hours for penguin chicks to emerge from the shell (refer to Table 12 in Chapter 7.3). Occasionally, hatching chicks become lethargic or malpositioned within the egg, and may need assistance with hatching. Managers should be familiar with the parental breeding history, the pip-to hatch interval for the species, and the normal appearance of a newly hatched chick. Hatching eggs should be monitored frequently throughout the day. Some general indicators of hatching difficulty (either artificial or parent-incubated eggs) include: an internal or external pip that has failed to progress for 12–15 hours or is well beyond the expected incubation period; the chick has rotated away from the pip site such that the bill is no longer visible at the pip hole; a change in parental behavior (e.g., *Aptenodytes* spp. will lift the brood pouch and bow more frequently); a change in chick sounds coming from the egg (high pitched and frequent suggest stress; too few sounds may indicate lethargy).

Good observations and recordkeeping are essential to determine if intervention is needed. It is recommended that institutions with a penguin breeding program invest in the equipment and training necessary to complete egg-incubation, hatching, hand-rearing and supportive care in the event that intervention is required. Equipment and protocols should be in place prior to the start of the breeding season. For more information on incubator and hatcher recommendations, and incubation and hatching parameters, see Artificial Incubation Protocol in Chapter 7.5.

Once it has been determined that a chick is having hatching difficulty, the egg should be removed from under the parent. When performing an assisted hatch, care should be taken not to introduce bacteria to the chick. Hands should be washed and gloved, and all instruments should be sterilized. The egg should be carefully examined and the problem evaluated before attempting an assisted hatch. A candler can be used to assess the pip site (externally and internally), vascularization, and the position and respiration rate of the chick. A penlight can then be used to look inside of the pip hole for unabsorbed yolk, residual albumen, and for further vessel assessment. In some cases, radiographs may be useful for determining the position of the chick (e.g., when an internal pip has not occurred). If the chick has failed to internally and/or externally pip, a manual internal or external pip may be required.

If an external pip has occurred, forceps can be used to remove small pieces of shell from the pip site. As the pip area becomes further exposed, the membrane should be moistened with warm, sterile water (using a sterile swab) to check for active vessels. If the vessels have receded, the membrane can be peeled back to expose the chick. It is important that the temperature of the egg/chick be monitored during assisted hatching to avoid chilling. Be sure to keep the nares clear of membrane during assistance. Depending on chick vitality and the availability of a hatcher, hatching assistance may be accomplished
over a few hours in a step-wise process. The first goal should be to open the pip hole and create more space for the chick. Assistance over time allows chicks to better absorb their yolk. Some chicks will be able to complete hatching on their own with only minor help. In the case of “sticky chicks” (chicks with a lot of residual albumen) it is best to fully assist the chick to hatch. The chick should then be carefully extracted from the shell, preferably head first. For more information on common problems associated with pipping and hatching eggs, and their solutions, please refer to the Penguin Husbandry Manual (Henry & Sirpenski, 2005).

If a chick is to be parent-reared, it should be carefully assessed for any other problems, and then returned to the nest as soon as possible. Chicks that are “sticky” or have protruding yolk sacs should be considered for hand-rearing. Chicks that are returned for parent-rearing should be closely monitored. In general, a healthy chick will vocalize as it solicits food from the parents. If a problem is suspected or to ensure that the chick is being fed sufficiently, chicks can be carefully removed from the nest, examined, and weighed. Weight gain within the first 5–7 days should be substantial. To check for adequate hydration, pinch the skin (usually on the back of the neck) and assess resilience. The chick is dehydrated if the skin “tents” (stays in the pinched position). The eyes should be moist and the feet plump; the lungs should sound clear. Other ways to determine whether a chick is being adequately fed include checking for the presence of regurgitated fish in the nest, establishing keeper observation times and the use of video cameras.

Staff should be prepared to provide assistance to chicks that are small or malnourished. If a chick appears dehydrated, a supplemental feeding of 2–4 cc of Pedialyte® can be beneficial for sustaining very young chicks until the parents are adequately providing food. Small or dehydrated chicks should be monitored closely for complications.

**Weaning/fledging procedure:** Penguins raised ex situ do not crèche like those raised in the wild. The age of fledging, or independence from parents, varies among penguin species. Penguins usually achieve their peak weight just prior to fledging (refer to Chapter 4 Table 7 for the age of fledging and peak fledging weight for each species). Keeper staff should begin transitioning chicks to hand-feeding at this time. At this stage, parents tend to leave chicks unattended for longer periods. It is good practice to hand-feed chicks when parents are away from the nest to avoid aggression by parents. Once chicks are readily hand-feeding, it may be beneficial to separate chicks from the parents and the main exhibit for controlled introductions. Monitored visits to the social group and colony should then occur. It is best to introduce chicks in pairs or groups if possible. Food consumption, weight and acclimation should be closely monitored during this time and for several weeks post-fledging.

Smaller species of penguins can be given access to water when their abdomen and back are completely molted of down. Larger species may not venture near the water until near completion of the molt. Efforts should be made to ensure that chicks gain experience with entering and exiting the pool prior to being left in the exhibit unattended. Inexperienced chicks should be monitored at all times while swimming and entering or exiting the pool to avoid accidental drowning. For more information on chick removal, weaning and habitation, and introductions see also Partial Rearing in Chapter 7.5 and Chapter 4.3.

### 7.5 Assisted Rearing

Although mothers may successfully give birth, there are times when they are not able to properly care for their offspring, both in the wild and in ex situ populations. Fortunately, animal care staff in AZA-accredited institutions are able to assist with the rearing of these offspring if necessary.

**Artificial incubation:** Institutions should be familiar with expected incubation behavior for a given species, in order to properly manage eggs on the nest. Many times eggs are removed from a pair based on an assumption of inadequate incubation when, in fact, incubation had not yet begun. Eggs removed from the parents because of improper incubation may be returned to the nest at or before pip for parent rearing if the pair has continued to incubate a dummy egg during the period the egg was in the incubator.

**Artificial incubation protocol:** It is recommended that institutions undertaking to artificially incubate penguin eggs be familiar with proper hatchery setup, sanitation and maintenance.
Good record keeping is important to egg management. It is recommended that all eggs be documented with a unique egg log identification number and that all eggs laid are recorded along with their outcomes. As eggs come into the incubator, the egg log identification number should be written on the small end of the egg for continuity of identification (see Chapter 6.1: Reproductive Recordkeeping).

A variety of incubators may be suitable for penguin egg incubation. Factors to consider when choosing an incubator include: an automatic-turning mechanism sufficient to accept the larger size and weight of penguin eggs; the ability to maintain a stable temperature and humidity, especially if manual turning is required; and a size sufficient to hold the likely number of eggs to be brought into care. Some types that have been used successfully but are no longer manufactured (though still available) include Petersime Models 1 and 4 and Humidaire models 20 and 50. Other types include Grumbach, Roll-X, Brinsea® and R-Com (Standard Model). Most institutions have reported using Grumbach incubators.

Artificial incubation temperatures reported by 23 institutions vary from 35.2–37.5 °C (95.5–99.5 °F) on the dry bulb and 26.6–30 °C (80–86 °F) on the wet bulb. The most commonly used dry bulb temperature is 35.8 °C (96.5 °F). The wet bulb temperature should range from 27.7–28.8 °C (81–84 °F). Depending on geographic locale and rainfall, this may necessitate more or less frequent additions of water to the incubator reservoir. Type of incubator and the number of eggs being held at one time will affect overall humidity. Monitoring eggs through egg weight loss measurements is well described in the literature, and can assist managers in establishing humidity requirements for their egg incubation (Lomholt, 1976; Anderson-Brown, 1979; Johnson, 1984; and Hoffman, 1987).

Eggs should be set flat, not on end, in the incubator. The majority of institutions that have attempted artificial incubation have reported mechanical turning of the eggs every 1–2 hours. In addition to mechanical turning, some institutions also perform a 180° manual turn of the egg. This practice facilitates a more even development of the vascularization in the egg (Jordan, 1989). For incubators without automatic turning capability, manual turning can be done five or seven times (an uneven number of turns) within a 12-hour day. Eggs should be turned slowly to avoid rupture of developing blood vessels in the egg.

A penguin egg is ready to move to the hatcher following external pip. Turning of the egg is no longer necessary at this time but hatching eggs should be checked 4–5 times per day. Problems have been reported when moving Pygoscelis spp. eggs to the hatcher prior to the chipping of the shell by the chick. Some institutions use playback recordings of the colony to stimulate the chick during hatching.

At the time of pip, humidity should be increased by 1–2 °C (2–3 °F) on the wet bulb. This can best be accomplished in a hatcher separate from the incubator. Shell membranes may become dry during hatching. This can be alleviated by adding a small reservoir of warm-water (35.8 °C [95.5 °F]) to the hatcher (away from chick access) to temporarily increase humidity, by rolling a moistened, sterile cotton-tipped applicator over the membrane or by lightly misting the egg. Water for misting should be kept in the hatcher so that the temperature is the same as the hatcher. For more information on common problems associated with pipping/hatching eggs, and their solutions, please refer to the Penguin Husbandry Manual (Henry & Sirpenski, 2005).

Once chicks hatch, they should remain in the hatcher for 12–24 hours to allow for their down to dry before transfer to a chick brooder. Check for yolk sac absorption and closure of the umbilicus (seal). Be extremely careful handling the chick if the yolk is not properly absorbed and/or the umbilical opening is not properly sealed. Swab the umbilicus with a dilute, iodine-based disinfectant (such as Betadine®) or a sterile PDI® Iodine Duo-Swab® Prep and Scrub SwabStick can be rolled gently over the area. For more information on the medical management of neonates, see Chapter 6.5. If two or more chicks are hatching simultaneously in the same hatcher, measures should be taken to separate eggs/chicks in order to maintain individual identification (hatching egg to hatched chick).

Hand-rearing: It is advisable for all institutions managing penguins to gain experience in hand-rearing. A separate hand rearing area is recommended with provision for good air movement, temperature commensurate with the species and reduced humidity.

Important factors to consider before deciding to remove eggs or chicks from the nest should include the age of the pair, their reproductive experience, environmental and social conditions, and the goals of the reproductive program. Prior to undertaking hand-rearing of penguin chicks, managers should consider the time and cost involved in hand-rearing penguins, because this is a labor-intensive undertaking. Staff hours required to tend to the chicks along with the cost of the necessary equipment (brooder, formula, etc.) may have an impact on the decision whether or not to hand-rear chicks.
As with most species, parental rearing is always preferred to hand-rearing. It may be necessary to remove an egg or chick for hand-rearing in the event of the death of a parent or the failure of a chick to thrive in the nest. Sticky chicks (those with residual albumen) or chicks with protruding yolk sacs should be considered for hand-rearing. Success with hand-rearing chicks can be as high as 90% once a well-defined protocol has been established (Cheney, 1990). Hand-rearing may be used to maximize founder representation within a colony, particularly if underrepresented birds do not exhibit successful parental behavior. Hand-rearing can also be used to increase productivity, as some species will often breed again within one season if chicks or eggs are removed. Hand-reared chicks seem to be more tolerant of handling than parent-reared chicks. Depending on the routine husbandry practices of the facility, this may or may not be important. It should also be stressed that penguins are social animals and need to be in the company of conspecifics or congeners, even at a very young age, if they are to develop socially and not imprint. Therefore, if possible, chicks of similar age should be hand-reared together.

Occasionally, when birds are hand-reared, they develop a preference for human companionship over that of conspecifics. Depending on the species, highly imprinted birds may or may not eventually reproduce. Imprinted hand-reared Pygoscelid penguins, for example, may not breed. Highly imprinted Spheniscus spp. penguins, however, have been reported to breed and may make very good parents. Imprinted birds can be disruptive in penguin colonies, wandering over other birds’ nesting territories. Social dysfunction sometimes can be overcome in imprinted birds, especially if they pair with a non-imprinted bird. In general, it is advisable to discourage staff from reinforcing attention from imprinted birds. As with most species, the best strategy is the avoidance of imprinting during rearing.

Introduction of hand-reared chicks into exhibits requires close monitoring and is likely to be most successful if a gradual introduction procedure is followed (see Chapter 4.3). Hand-reared Spheniscus chicks can be introduced into the colony when they are nearly fledged (approximately 80 days). It is best to introduce chicks in a group or in pairs if possible. It is advisable to supervise the interactions of the newly introduced birds during the initial visit to the colony. Chicks can be left unattended after a few days provided they are able to emerge from the water without trouble and are not being harassed by other birds. Juveniles tend to congregate together and will fight to establish a hierarchy of their own (Gailey-Phipps, 1978).

Chicks should be encouraged to join the other birds at the feeding station rather than be provided with special treatment. It may be a few weeks before they are regularly feeding with the others. Some institutions find it advantageous to use an off-site area to introduce the chicks to members of the colony. A Plexiglas® barrier can also be used at first introduction in the exhibit. If chicks have not yet lost their entire down, adult birds may attempt to brood fledglings. Emperor penguins in zoos and aquariums, for example, have been observed to compete aggressively to brood newly introduced hand-reared Pygoscelid chicks. Once chicks are hatched and have been allowed to dry in the hatcher for 12–24 hours they can be moved to a brooder.

Brooder: Penguin chicks require low humidity and good air circulation, which is best achieved in an open-topped brooder style. Some institutions have successfully used closed baby incubators, or AICU, but managers should be vigilant in order to avoid high humidity and the resultant increased risk for aspergillosis. Brooders should be chosen based on adequate air circulation, ease of cleaning and disinfection, and size and temperature gradient. Brooders can be constructed of a wood alternative (such as Trex®), an ice-chest type plastic cooler or a plastic storage container without a lid; one institution uses a Plexiglas® Acrylic Sheet frame with an open top. Some facilities have successfully used a cooler-type brooder (such as The Original Cooler Brooder); it is important to keep the top open for sufficient air circulation. Typical early brooder dimensions might be 40 cm x 83 cm x 38 cm (12 in. x 33 in. x 15 in.) to accommodate one to four chicks of smaller species (e.g., Spheniscus spp., Pygoscelis spp., Eudyptes spp.) or one to two chicks of larger species (e.g., Aptenodytes spp.). Chicks should not be overcrowded. The brooder surfaces may be cleaned and disinfected at least twice per day or more frequently depending on the number of chicks, their age and fecal load. As chicks grow and their needs change, older birds can be housed in a larger area such as in a contained floor area or in an elevated bin.

Substrate: The substrate used by most institutions in the brooder is clean toweling without holes or frays (that might catch a chick’s toenails). Some facilities include a non-adhesive and non-slip type of shelf liner (such as Cont-Tact® Grip Ultra Shelf Liner) to provide traction for the chick (on top of the base toweling). Dri-Dek® can also be placed under the toweling to provide a better grip for the chicks’ developing legs. Other facilities put a few rocks under the towel to improve the gripping surface. The toweling can be
changed as fecal load dictates. Chicks under 7 days old may tend to wander away from the heat source so a rolled towel can be fashioned to contain the chick(s) in the early brooding period. Older chicks can be moved to an area that provides a substrate for proper foot health such as rocks (similar to that described in 7.1 for nesting), matting (e.g., AstroTurf® roll mat) or Dri-dek®. As chicks approach fledging it may be advantageous to consider providing housing in the exhibit. Chicks can be separated from the colony but still in visual and vocal contact, at a similar temperature and on a similar substrate as their conspecifics, which may facilitate later introductions to the group.

Temperature: The brooder should have a heat source (such as a 250-watt infrared heat lamp). Temperature gradients within the brooder will be increasingly important as the chick grows in its second down toward the end of the guard stage. Gradients allow chicks to find a comfortable temperature within the brooder. Generally, chicks at 1–7 days should be maintained at about 26.7–32.2 °C (80–90 °F); 8–14 day old chicks are usually ready for a slightly reduced temperature of about 21.1–26.7 °C (70–80 °F). These temperatures are dependent on the species and individual chicks’ needs. Temperature requirements will change for chicks greater than 14–21 days. Sub-Antarctic and high latitude species will require less or no heat, and may even need reduced temperatures closer to exhibit temperatures. Downy Spheniscus chicks may do well at 18.3–21.1 °C (65–70 °F) but should still be monitored for overheating.

A common problem in penguin chick rearing is over- or under-heating chicks. Under-heating is most often seen in chicks less than 14 days old. Under-heated chicks may shiver, huddle against the side of the brooder, have feet and flippers drawn in and/or be cold to the touch. Under-heated chicks are often slow to respond to a feeding stimulus. As chicks get older overheating is a more common concern. Overheating can lead to illness in penguin chicks. Overheating may be indicated by any one or a combination of the following signs and symptoms: chick’s posture is spread out, feet and flippers are extended and/or very warm to the touch, panting, lethargy, dehydration, and disinterest in food. Many of these symptoms are also indicators of illness in a chick. Measures should be taken to discern if under- or overheating is indicated and veterinary intervention should be sought for a chick that does not respond to adjustments in temperature.

Record keeping: Complete records for each chick are extremely important. Records should include the daily morning weight of the chick, the type and volume or weight of the food fed, assessments of the chick’s health and vitality including fecal output, temperature adjustments, and any notable milestones such as when eyes open, downing stages, etc. Such records will help monitor proper health and determine if chicks are developing consistent with documented growth rates. Fecal output is an important measure of a chick’s response to hand feeding regimes. Feces should be slightly runny and squirt out a good distance during defecation. Color may vary but in general an orange/brown fecal is often reported as normal. Older chicks receiving fish pieces will have a slightly thicker fecal but it will still be quite soft. Feces should not be pasty, dry or pellet-like, excessively green (green is normal in 1–2 day old chicks), black or yellow, or contain blood (orange or red oily spots in the fecal will be normal if krill is part of the diet).

Feeding: Detailed feeding guidelines for penguin chicks (Spheniscus spp., Pygoscelis spp., Eudyptes spp., and Aptenodytes spp.) are well described in the Penguin Husbandry Manual (Henry & Sirpenski, 2005). Safe food practices should be followed for fish handling and in the preparation of all diets. Feeding apparatus will include syringes (3 cc, 6 cc, 12 cc, and later 35 cc) sometimes with a short (2.3 cm [1 in.]) portion of a 14-fr catheter tube (such as Kendall Sovereign® Feeding Tube and Urethral Catheter) securely glued to the hub end. A small extension on the syringe can help facilitate the delivery of formula to the chick.

It is important to continue to monitor the absorption of the yolk after the chick is moved to the brooder and feeding begins; slow absorption or a tight distention of the abdomen might be an indicator of a yolk sac infection. Yolk sac infections commonly occur through 14–17 days of age and require a veterinary exam and treatment. The seal should continue to be swabbed once daily (as described above for newly hatched chicks) until the seal is fully closed, usually within a few days following hatching.

In general, young penguin chicks of all species are started on a mixture of fish, krill (if available), water, and vitamins (Penguin Chick Hand Rearing Diet see Appendix L), ground in a blender and fed by syringe five times per day at 3-hour intervals. The very first feeding might be water only in order to determine the vitality of the chick and to introduce it to syringe feeding. Chicks are fed by eliciting a feeding response by extending the first and second fingers in an inverted “V”-shape over the chick’s bill,
then wiggling the fingers. The chick should respond by opening its bill and pushing up into the fingers. At this time, the syringe should be placed in the mouth and the formula fed. The amount of food to feed penguin chicks is based on their morning weight. After a few days of initial introduction to feeding, where volumes might be less, chicks can be given a food amount equivalent to 10% of their morning weight at each feeding. It is important not to over-feed penguin chicks.

As the chick grows, fish pieces (usually without skin and bone), and later whole fishes can be introduced. The timing of when to introduce fish, reduce temperature in the brooder, and then later reduce the relative ratio of fish and formula in the diet is all based on weight milestones rather than age. An exception is made for the *Aptenodytes* where fish might be introduced starting at 7–10 days of age. Weight (or age) milestones can serve as a guideline for when to introduce various changes to diet and brooding temperature but hand rearing should always be based on the individual bird’s responses. As the smaller species of chicks grow toward about 500 g (18 oz.) the feeding interval should be evaluated and lengthened to 4 hours with feedings reduced to four times per day; the weight milestone here will be different for *Aptenodytes*, This change in feeding interval is in response to the increased amount of food fed per feeding as well as the change in the relative ratio of formula to fish (which is usually 50:50 by this time). Once a maximum of about 30 mL of formula (40 mL for *Aptenodytes*) is being given per feeding, this amount can remain stable with the balance of food making up the feeding coming from fish fillets, fish pieces or whole fishes. In this way, as chicks grow, they are gradually weaned off formula to a whole fish diet. By about 1000 g (35 oz.), most of the smaller species of penguin chicks may start to refuse syringe feeding in favor of fish, need a reduced temperature environment and larger brooder area, and reduce to three feedings per day. As before, timing for this change will be at a different weight target for *Aptenodytes*. As chicks begin to fledge they can be fed consistent with the feeding times they will encounter once they are introduced to the social group.

It is important to note that as chicks (species *Spheniscus* spp., *Pygoscelis* spp., *Eudyptes* spp.) approach 1000–1500 g (35–53 oz.) and beyond they may not eat all the food offered per feeding (i.e., the 10% threshold). At this time, it may be difficult to discern whether the chick is exhibiting normal behavior or whether the behaviors are suggestive of a subclinical illness. Over feeding and overheating are common problems encountered at all stages in penguin rearing, but particularly at this age and stage. *Spheniscus* spp. may also become “head shy” at about 1000 grams (35 ounces) or about 30 days of age, which may be accompanied by a reluctance to give a feeding response. This behavior is normal and roughly correlates to when these chicks would be starting to investigate outside the burrow. However, all chicks exhibiting a reluctance to eat should be assessed for overheating, whether they have been overfed (and/or need a reduction in feeding interval or amount) and monitored for early signs of illness. Dehydration is one good indicator of both overfeeding and overheating. Foul smelling fecal matter should be addressed immediately with a veterinary exam.

**Vitamin supplementation:** Refer to Chapter 5.1 and the Penguin Husbandry Manual (Henry & Sirpenski, 2005). The preceding is a summary of feeding and rearing procedures. More details are available in the Penguin Husbandry Manual (Henry & Sirpenski, 2005). Penguin managers rearing penguins should consider consulting other institutions with penguin hand-rearing experience before or during the hand rearing process. The preceding is a summary of feeding and rearing procedures. More detailed guidelines for hand-rearing penguins can be found in Appendix M.

**Partial rearing:** Eggs removed for fostering to another pair can be taken at any point during incubation. Options at this time include placing the egg in an incubator until the target (foster) pair is ready to receive the egg, or transferring the egg immediately to the target pair. The target or surrogate pair should always be incubating an egg or dummy egg prior to replacement with a viable fostered egg.

The fostering of eggs to a surrogate pair for chick rearing is an option used by many facilities to maximize chick survivability and reduce the need for hand rearing. In managing eggs, once viable eggs are identified, one egg from a fertile clutch can be fostered to pairs with infertile eggs. In cases where two chicks could be produced from a pair, this arrangement allows the parents to rear only one chick while a pair that is known to be successful at rearing cares for the second chick. The timing of egg-laying for both pairs should be within two weeks of each other. The eggs of the surrogate pair should be replaced with dummy eggs immediately. The egg(s) to be fostered can be placed under the surrogate pair a few days prior to the expected hatch date or at the time of pipping. Some facilities allow the first egg to hatch successfully before fostering the other egg. Fostering eggs can also be used to give younger or less experienced pairs, or even same sex pairs, an opportunity to rear a chick.
Chicks should be monitored at the nest to assure proper growth and vitality by recording feeding observations. Pairs rearing chicks should be fed frequently and *ad libitum*. It may be advisable to feed smaller, more digestible fishes (such as capelin or silversides) for the first parental feeding of the day so that chicks can be fed quickly. Parents with soliciting chicks have been reported trying to feed chicks too soon after eating larger fishes (such as herring) resulting in large chunks that young chicks cannot accept. Feeding smaller fishes or smaller meals allows for better digestion before it is fed to the chick. Chicks can also be removed from the nest for periodic weights and physical assessments. Chick weights can then be compared with published data for the same age and species to assure adequate growth. It is worth noting that parent-reared chicks should demonstrate a steeper growth rate than that for hand-reared chicks; most available growth rate data will be for hand-reared birds. If a chick requires medical treatment unrelated to parental care, treatments may be accomplished without removing the chick for hand-rearing but instead removing the chick only for needed procedures then returning it to the nest for continued parental care. Some institutions have reported supplementing parents or chicks with vitamins at the nest (see Chapter 5.1 for chicks’ nutritional requirements). When chicks are older and able to accept whole fish, they may take fish from hand offered at the nest.

Many facilities remove chicks from parents prior to fledging to habituate the birds to hand feeding. Age at removal varies from 21–50 days depending on the facility and the species. Removing chicks allows for improved monitoring of chicks’ growth and development, especially if there are two chicks in a nest, as the second chick may be out-competed by the first chick. Other institutions remove chicks at the end of the guard stage if a pool is nearby and there is concern for chicks’ access and welfare. Additionally, chicks weaned in this way are reported to accept routine handling better, are much more relaxed in the colony, and accept hand-feeding better than parent-reared and fledged birds. Chicks removed from parental care can be housed with hand-raised birds of similar age and size. Introduction into the colony follows a similar course as outlined for hand-reared birds’ introductions. In rare cases, juveniles may return to the parents or nest area and continue to be fed by one or both of the parents. This does not usually result in adverse outcomes. However, if a parent continues to feed a chick for a prolonged post-fledging time period, a second separation of the chick from the parent should be considered.

### 7.6 Contraception

Many animals cared for in AZA-accredited institutions breed so successfully that contraception techniques are implemented to ensure that the population remains at a healthy size. The use of invasive contraceptive methods with penguins has not been described. Penguins, as with other birds, provide easy contraception management via the removal of eggs immediately at lay. Dummy eggs may be needed to prevent double-clutching. Should the need arise to cull an egg that has undergone some development, the egg should be refrigerated at 4.4 °C (40 °F) for at least 3 days. This will humanely stop development (Leary, 2013).
Chapter 8. Behavior Management

8.1 Animal Training

Classical and operant conditioning techniques have been used to train animals for over a century. Classical conditioning is a form of associative learning demonstrated by Ivan Pavlov. Classical conditioning involves the presentation of a neutral stimulus that will be conditioned (CS) along with an unconditioned stimulus that evokes an innate, often reflexive, response (US). If the CS and the US are repeatedly paired, eventually the two stimuli become associated and the animal will begin to produce a conditioned behavioral response to the CS.

Operant conditioning uses the consequences of a behavior to modify the occurrence and form of that behavior. Reinforcement and punishment are the core tools of operant conditioning. Positive reinforcement occurs when a behavior is followed by a favorable stimulus to increase the frequency of that behavior. Negative reinforcement occurs when a behavior is followed by the removal of an aversive stimulus to also increase the frequency of that behavior. Positive punishment occurs when a behavior is followed by an aversive stimulus to decrease the frequency of that behavior. Negative punishment occurs when a behavior is followed by the removal of a favorable stimulus also to decrease the frequency of that behavior.

AZA-accredited institutions are expected to utilize reinforcing conditioning techniques to facilitate husbandry procedures and behavioral research investigations. A structured training program that utilizes operant conditioning of natural behaviors, a structured desensitization program to reduce aversive stimuli within the zoo and aquarium environment, and classical conditioning have been effective with penguins. Penguins are relatively easy to condition as they respond well to consistent routines. As a tool for operant conditioning purposes, bridges or markers such as clickers, whistles, and verbal stimuli have all been successfully trained. Food reinforcement is most commonly used, but tactile stimulation, novel objects, and social interaction have also been utilized. Penguins have successfully been scale trained, trained for restraint during physical exams, voluntary blood collection, semen collection, foot exams, shifting and recall. Common recall signals are verbal or mechanical such as a whistle. These behaviors have also been utilized for research purposes.

8.2 Environmental Enrichment

Environmental enrichment, also called behavioral enrichment, refers to the practice of providing a variety of stimuli to the animal's environment, or changing the environment itself to increase physical activity, stimulate cognition, and promote natural behaviors. Stimuli, including natural and artificial objects, scents, and sounds are presented in a safe way for the penguins to interact with. Some suggestions include providing food in a variety of ways (i.e., frozen in ice or in a manner that requires an animal to solve simple puzzles to obtain it), using the presence or scent/sounds of other animals of the same or different species, and incorporating an animal training (husbandry or behavioral research) regime in the daily schedule.

Enrichment programs for penguins should take into account the natural history of the species, individual needs of the animals, and facility constraints. The penguin enrichment plan should include the following elements: goal setting, planning and approval process, implementation, documentation/record-keeping, evaluation, and subsequent program refinement. The penguin enrichment program should ensure that all environmental enrichment devices (EEDs) are "penguin" safe and are presented on a variable schedule to prevent habituation AZA-accredited institutions must have a formal written enrichment program that promotes penguin-appropriate behavioral opportunities (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.6.1).

Penguin enrichment programs should be integrated with veterinary care, nutrition, and animal training programs to maximize the effectiveness and quality of animal care provided. AZA-accredited institutions must have specific staff members assigned to oversee, implement, train, and coordinate interdepartmental enrichment programs (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.6.2).
Utilizing the natural, individual, and facility information, goals should be set to address either specific behaviors or to provide a stimulating environment. Due to the colonial nature of penguins, enrichment will most often be presented to the entire flock, but can be utilized for individuals as needed. A specific staff person and/or a committee should determine appropriate procedures for setting goals, documentation, and how to determine whether the enrichment is meeting the goals both before and after use. Routine screening of devices for wear as well as determining their “enrichment value” should be conducted on a regular basis. Safety should always be a primary concern and should be in the forefront of any program.

Behavioral enrichment for penguins can easily be achieved by creating a complex water habitat where small fish can hide and survive. Foraging is an important natural behavior and penguins will spend time hunting and capturing these fish, which keeps them swimming and on display. Beyond normal stimuli in a zoo and aquarium environment, such as snow, water, and conspecifics, penguins generally tend to respond with curiosity to novel objects and increase their exploratory behavior. Enrichment does not require elaborate or costly apparatus. One zoological institution reports good success with brightly-colored rubber balls, sprinklers, and also with blocks of frozen fish placed into pools. Having variety in the water by manipulating water currents or using wave machines can stimulate penguins. Sawhorses with securely affixed strips of fabric under which the birds can run is an example of a novel device. Underwater visual barriers may also provide enrichment. Some facilities report good success with the use of different feeding strategies, such as multiple feedings, extended feedings, and scatter feedings.

Enrichment areas should always be built into exhibit rockwork to provide slides, covered areas, burrows, and different sized pathways and land areas. The ability to alter the “furniture” is a benefit. There should be places where it is easy to retrieve devices from the water. By incorporating these types of elements into exhibits natural behaviors such as locomotion, foraging, courtship and breeding are facilitated. Enrichment devices should be provided on a variable schedule. This can be accomplished by varying time of day and duration of presentation. Catalogs and calendars for enrichment initiatives can also be created to allow a variable schedule of enrichment delivery to be developed. It is important to consider sub-aquatic landscape or furbishing in order to promote the surface and underwater activity. This will allow for an increase in natural behaviors that include foraging and exploration. Enrichment devices can be utilized to mitigate stereotypic or aggressive/fearful behaviors as well as facilitate introductions.

Participation in training programs and in behavioral research programs can be enriching as they allow the bird to have differing cognitive stimulations from the normal zoo or aquarium experience. Interaction and mental stimulation are important aspects of training and are essentially enriching. Training reinforcers can include items that the birds find enriching such as novel foods or favorite devices. Training and enrichment can also be utilized to address issues such as veterinary or nutritional needs. Lack of activity can be addressed by enrichment and offering different food choices and presentations can be used to deal with nutritional requirements. Training can make necessary interactions more cooperative and create an environment of choice and control.

As with all taxa, safety is of utmost concern with environmental enrichment devices. Carefully examine all devices for small, ingestible pieces, parts that could easily be broken off, entanglement issues and so on. New devices should always be monitored after presentation to assure that they are safe. Food enrichment should be appropriate for the species and follow the institutional approval process prior to offering. It is also important to be sure that the devices do not cause undue stress on the animals. All devices should be examined on a regular basis to assure that there has been no degradation and if there has been they should be disposed of. An example schedule of penguin enrichment can be found in Appendix P.

**Browse:** If browse plants are used for enrichment or nesting materials, all plants need to be identified and assessed for safety. The responsibility for approval of plants and oversight of the program should be assigned to at least one qualified individual. The program should identify if the plants have been treated with any chemicals or near any point sources of pollution and if the plants are safe for the species. If animals have access to plants in and around their exhibits, there should be a staff member responsible for ensuring that toxic plants are not available.

### 8.3 Staff and Animal Interactions

Animal training and environmental enrichment protocols and techniques should be based on interactions that promote safety for all involved. Penguins adapt to humans quickly (Walker et al., 2005; 2006). When
animal caretakers are present within an exhibit with the birds during visitor hours, it is recommended that some interpretation be provided so that the public can learn more about the role of the caretakers, and that their actions are acceptable. Common keeper-penguin activities include feeding, training, handling, herding the birds into the water, and tactile interactions. Interpretation can be achieved through graphics, keeper explanations, volunteers, pool attendants, etc. At a minimum, interpretation efforts should explain what the keeper is doing, and why it is important.

Facilities should be designed to take advantage of training opportunities. Off exhibit holding should be designed to accommodate scales and have sufficient room to allow for training of individuals. This space should have a flat, non-slip surface that is large enough for more than one staff person. Shifts should be large enough to accommodate more than one bird at a time, but easily opened/shut to be able to separate birds. Penguins do not require protected contact, but care should always be used when working in close proximity. They have extremely strong flippers and beaks, and they are capable of causing serious injury. Eye protection may be necessary, depending upon the bird and circumstance.

Program animals: In contact and behind the scenes programs, the keeper has an opportunity to explain more thoroughly the contact that keepers have with the birds. The keeper should explain about the benefits of training, how there are proper ways to handle and desensitize a bird, and that a lot of time is taken to get the birds used to the keepers so they can feel comfortable being handled. Natural history and conservation topics should also be discussed; and it should be made clear that wild birds would not react this way. Finally, the visitors should be told what to expect from their visit, whether they can touch the bird, proper techniques to use, and how the bird might react. See Chapter 9 for additional information on conservation/education program animals.

8.4 Staff Skills and Training

Penguin staff members should be trained in all areas of penguin behavior management. Funding should be provided for AZA continuing education courses, related meetings, conference participation, and other professional opportunities. A reference library appropriate to the size and complexity of the institution should be available to all staff and volunteers to provide them with accurate information on the behavioral needs of the animals with which they work. The following skills are important for all animal caretakers involved in the management of penguins:

- Knowledge of basic husbandry.
- Knowledge of natural history, and the ability to apply this knowledge in the design of effective exhibits.
- Knowledge of exhibit history and collection history.
- General knowledge of life support systems involved with the exhibit.
- Knowledge of incubation and rearing practices.
- General knowledge of morbidities, avian triage, and diseases associated with penguins in zoos and aquariums.
- SCUBA certification, if applicable.
- Ability to lift, shovel, and scrub.
- Ability to safely restrain a penguin.
- Knowledge of operant conditioning techniques prior to training animals.
- General enrichment knowledge that includes an understanding of enrichment that promotes natural behavior, safe enrichment, the importance of varied schedules of enrichment delivery, as well as the ability to recognize that certain types of enrichment can be used for reinforcement.
- Knowledge of in-house policies and procedures, approval processes and safety issues.
Chapter 9. Program Animals

9.1 Program Animal Policy

AZA recognizes many public education and, ultimately, conservation benefits from program animal presentations. AZA’s Conservation Education Committee’s Program Animal Position Statement (Appendix D) summarizes the value of program animal presentations.

For the purpose of this policy, a program animal is described as an animal presented either within or outside of its normal exhibit or holding area that is intended to have regular proximity to or physical contact with trainers, handlers, the public, or will be part of an ongoing conservation education/outreach program.

Program animal presentations bring a host of responsibilities, including the welfare of the animals involved, the safety of the animal handler and public, and accountability for the take-home, educational messages received by the audience. Therefore, AZA requires all accredited institutions that give program animal presentations to develop an institutional program animal policy that clearly identifies and justifies those species and individuals approved as program animals and details their long-term management plan and educational program objectives.

AZA’s accreditation standards require that the conditions and treatment of animals in education programs must meet standards set for the remainder of the animal collection, including species-appropriate shelter, exercise, sound and environmental enrichment, access to veterinary care, nutrition, and other related standards (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.5.4). In addition, providing program animals with options to choose among a variety of conditions within their environment is essential to ensuring effective care, welfare, and management. Some of these requirements can be met outside of the primary exhibit enclosure while the animal is involved in a program or is being transported. For example, housing may be reduced in size compared to a primary enclosure as long as the animal’s physical and psychological needs are being met during the program; upon return to the facility the animal should be returned to its species-appropriate housing as described above.

Penguins, in general, can be used as program animals. Program penguins can be held in a colony situation or in separate dedicated housing. Penguins are not a significant zoonotic risk and specific housing or shelter options do not lessen this risk. An animal care program with dedicated clothing and latex gloves will limit disease transfer from penguins to human and other animals in the facility.

The physical needs of penguins as program animals are virtually the same as penguins as exhibit animals. The TAG does suggest colony management of program penguins but that does not mean that off-exhibit holding pens are inadequate. The floor and water requirements are exactly the same and allow for adequate swimming and ambulatory exercise. The TAG recommends that penguins be housed with a minimum of six individuals, which is the same for colonies. Penguins can be trained to enter a “transport crate” to go to educational programming events, although they are also easily placed into these crates manually. Generally, penguins are easy to monitor for medical concerns through animal care staff observations and records keeping, and program animals may more easily allow tactile medical inspection due to their familiarity with people.

Penguin psychological needs are not very extensive. Penguins thrive with other penguins for social interactions but often also engage in social behaviors with their caretakers and visitors. Providing unique or novel enrichment, such as floating balls and/or sinking balls with flag ends, may momentarily enrich a penguin’s daily routine but that interest is short-lived. Utilization of laser pointers on a wall or floor has been used with some success as well. Adding live fish to an exhibit may provide interest but there are other considerations with this form of enrichment.

In contact and behind the scenes programs, the keeper has an opportunity to explain more thoroughly the contact that keepers have with the birds. The keeper should explain about the benefits of training, how there are proper ways to handle and desensitize a bird, and that a lot of time is taken to get the birds used to the keepers so they can feel comfortable being handled. Natural history and
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conservation topics should also be discussed; and it should be made clear that wild birds would not react this way. Finally, the visitors should be told what to expect from their visit, whether they can touch the bird, proper techniques to use, and how the bird might react.

9.2 Institutional Program Animal Plans

AZA's policy on the presentation of animals is as follows: AZA is dedicated to excellence in animal care and welfare, conservation, education, research, and the presentation of animals in ways that inspire respect for wildlife and nature. AZA's position is that animals should always be presented in adherence to the following core principles:

- Animal and human health, safety, and welfare are never compromised.
- Education and a meaningful conservation message are integral components of the presentation.
- The individual animals involved are consistently maintained in a manner that meets their social, physical, behavioral, and nutritional needs.

AZA-accredited institutions that have designated program animals are required to develop their own Institutional Program Animal Policy that articulates and evaluates the program benefits (see Appendix E for recommendations). Program animals should be consistently maintained in a manner that meets their social, physical, behavioral, and nutritional needs. Education and conservation messaging must be an integral component of any program animal demonstration (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.5.3).

Penguins are flagships for numerous conservation messages. The list includes human overpopulation impacts, over-fishing concerns, oil-spills, global warming, pollution, invasives species impacts, and predator-prey dynamics, to name a few. Certain species of penguins lend themselves to different types of educational programming. The Sphenscid species (African, Humboldt, and Magellanic) and rockhoppers are commonly used for off-site outreach programs, as they are tolerant of a wide range of temperatures. This does not exclude cold-weather species from outreaches but adds an additional layer to the logistics. For programs that are held on-site, either close to the exhibit/holding pen or in the exhibit, many more of the species may be utilized within the confines of the facility's policies.

Penguins, by nature, are social animals and thrive with interaction with others. Program penguins, and even exhibit animals, often will court and socially interact with their caretakers. The TAG recommends that program penguins be kept in a colony situation although separate accommodations for program birds are acceptable as long as spatial considerations and population numbers are appropriate. Penguin nutrition, daily consumption, and vitamin supplements should be monitored and records kept.

Animal care and education staff should be trained in program animal-specific handling protocols, conservation and education messaging techniques, and public interaction procedures. These staff members should be competent in recognizing stress or discomfort behaviors exhibited by the program animals and be able to address any safety issues that arise. Both exhibit animal and program animal locations require the land and water space formula delineated in this document. Penguins do not pose a large zoonotic risk to the handlers other than occasional bites from beaks and/or impacts from flippers.

The TAG recommends that each institution create their program animal handling policy that conforms to AZA guidelines as well as any local legislation. In general, penguins make good program animals and are usually displayed on a stage, floor or table, with constant monitoring of the handlers. Penguins may try to bite/poke guests, or even handlers, at any time during a program and it are suggested that handlers know the personality of the program birds before utilizing them. Handlers should always be aware of the bird's demeanor and the location of visitors. It is imperative that the penguins be kept away from human faces.

The TAG recommends that the handler of program penguins be aware of visitor interaction at all times. Food and beverage consumption for the handlers should be limited to non-animal areas always. Monitoring of the visitors requires ever-present vigilance. Penguins often poke at people that are within beak-range. Monitoring close approaches of visitors and knowing the personality of the penguin will help ensure a positive interaction for the guests.

Penguin stress, including heat stress and over stimulation, may manifest its presence in a number of ways. Some of the signs of stress are: reduced appetite, abnormally aggressive behavior, agitated attitude, lying down, attempts to get away from the presentation area, and heavy/open mouthed
breathing. If the animal is showing heat stress, check feet for warmth and isolate the bird in a cool dark area or return it as soon as possible to its exhibit or pen. For stress that appears to be from over stimulation, remove the bird from the presentation and kennel it in a quiet area. Later, gauge if the animal will be able to continue with its performance by judging its attitude. Do not continue if the penguin shows continued stress. The animal should be returned it to its exhibit or pen as soon as possible and supervisory staff should be alerted of the situation. Medical staff can also be contacted, if warranted.

The Penguin TAG recommends that when injuries occur to animals, they receive medical attention as soon as possible. The injury may not seem significant but to ensure continued health, seek medical counsel. Before an injury to a visitor or handler occurs, consult your Human Resource Department to determine the proper protocol if an injury should occur. Follow the protocol and contact HR as soon as possible.

Penguins are used in presentations often. The entire program including birds, programs and handlers should be reviewed annually. At this time, handler competency may be evaluated as well as during periodic institutional performance reviews. Any concerns with training performance may be addressed at this time and re-training or additional lessons may be instituted.

Program animals that are taken off zoo or aquarium grounds for any purpose have the potential to be exposed to infectious agents that could spread to the rest of the institution’s healthy population. AZA-accredited institutions must have adequate protocols in place to avoid this (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.5.5).

Disease risk is inherent in all environments and it is impossible to eradicate this risk totally. It is best to review each program event and look at potential risks and try to minimize them. The TAG suggests that all outreach events with penguins ensure that only their facility has birds at the event. Additionally, at all events, indoor or outdoor, it is recommended that the program birds have dedicated kennels which will hold the birds any time they are not needed for a presentation and these kennels are kept away from visitors, other animals, and disturbance.

The TAG recommends using hand-washing stations, wipes and/or gels to limit disease transfer and contamination for all staff involved with program animals. All transport kennels should be cleaned thoroughly with facility-approved cleansers and disinfectants to help prevent disease after each use.

The use of chemical sanitation is important for all transport kennels, presentation surfaces and maintenance tools. There are a variety of sanitation chemicals available for proper hygiene. Consult with your animal management team and/or medical staff to identify the best chemical compounds for your situation.

Careful consideration must be given to the design and size of all program animal enclosures, including exhibit, off-exhibit holding, hospital, quarantine, and isolation areas, such that the physical, social, behavioral, and psychological needs of the species are met and species-appropriate behaviors are facilitated (AZA Accreditation Standard 10.3.3; AZA Accreditation Standard 1.5.2).

Similar consideration needs to be given to the means in which an animal will be transported both within the Institution’s grounds, and to/from an off-grounds program. Animal transportation must be conducted in a manner that is lawful, safe, well planned, and coordinated, and minimizes risk to the animal(s), employees, and general public (AZA Accreditation Standard 1.5.11).
There are two basic methods to removing a penguin from an exhibit: train the bird to enter a kennel and then remove the kennel, or manually pick up/restrain the bird where upon it can be placed into an open kennel for transport or walked being hand-held to the desired location. It is not recommended to allow a penguin free-run of a van or other transport vehicle. The penguin will usually walk out of the open kennel once it has arrived at the desired location. When transporting a program bird from one location to the next, it is suggested that the penguin remain in the kennel for the duration of the transport. Crating suggestions are delineated in the above text.

The temperature restrictions for penguins depend upon the species that are being used in programs, the destination of the program and the policy of the institution’s animal management team. With weather tolerant species such as African, Humboldt, or Magellanic penguins, extremes in temperature should be avoided. Be cautious having the penguin exposed to temperatures above 26 °C (80 °F) and below 4.4 °C (40 °F). Monitor behavior closely if rising temperatures or direct sunlight exposure is present. If a cold-weather species is to do a program in a situation where it is not climate controlled, please discuss the logistics with your animal management team to discuss the risks. There may be times when the physical environment can be modified to accommodate these species to maintain them in a safe and healthy manner.

As with all program animals, penguins will need breaks from being "on-stage." The TAG suggests a 30-minute on, 10-minute rest schedule for a penguin that is working in a program. The TAG does acknowledge that some programs may run somewhat longer and certain individual penguins can handle a longer "stage performance." Handlers that know their program animals well, how they react to stress, and are able to watch for signs is the key. Many penguins handle travel very well and overnight outreaches are acceptable as long as the animal’s basic husbandry needs are addressed and a medical protocol is in place in case of concerns.

9.3 Program Evaluation
AZA-accredited institutions that have Institutional Program Animal Plan are required to evaluate the efficacy of the plan routinely (see Appendix E for recommendations). Education and conservation messaging content retention, animal health and well-being, guest responses, policy effectiveness, and accountability and ramifications of policy violations should be assessed and revised as needed.

The TAG suggests an annual review of all program animal plans. The supervisory staff of the program animals should monitor accountability. Biting issues with visitors, behavioral changes and/or reproductive concerns should be reported to the management in a timely manner. These concerns should be written on accident reporting forms, in daily reports or some other appropriate formal documentation.

The TAG does not mandate any specific disciplinary action in the event of mistakes or violations of policy in a program animal protocol. The TAG will suggest that violations be viewed as serious in nature with re-training, close review of handling privileges, additional supervisory monitoring and probationary implementation as possible actions items. Expectation surveys and other measurement techniques are on the market that may provide insight into the program’s effectiveness. There are many facilities that have proven, in-house development/marketing department plans that address measurement and success of programs.

The TAG recommends an annual review of all animal programs as well as the formation and utilization of an Animal Welfare Policy that may address any and all staff concerns in a written and formal method. The TAG suggest that some type of program evaluation form be associated with penguin outreaches. A simple check-off form will often provide valuable information on the effectiveness of the success of a program and give additional insight into how to modify it to include conservation messages, natural history details, and other educational messaging in an engaging, highly palatable form.
Chapter 10. Research

10.1 Research Methods

AZA believes that contemporary animal management, husbandry, veterinary care and conservation practices should be based in science, and that a commitment to both basic and applied, scientific research, is a trademark of the modern zoological park and aquarium. An AZA accredited institution must demonstrate a commitment to scientific research that is in proportion to the size and scope of its facilities, staff and animal collections. AZA accredited institutions have the invaluable opportunity, to conduct or facilitate research both in *in situ* and *ex situ* settings with the goal of maximizing the scientific knowledge of the animals in our care and enhancing the conservation of wild populations. This might be achieved by participating in AZA Penguin TAG sponsored research, conducting original research projects, affiliating with local universities or conservation organizations, and/or employing staff with scientific credentials (AZA Accreditation Standard 5.3).

Research, whether observational, behavioral, physiological, or genetically based, should have a clear scientific purpose with the reasonable expectation that it will increase our understanding of the species being investigated and may provide results which benefit animals in wild populations. Many AZA accredited institutions incorporate superior positive reinforcement training programs into their routine schedules to facilitate sensory, cognitive, and physiological research and these efforts are strongly encouraged by the AZA.

As with all taxa, thorough understanding of natural history, behavior, physiology, and other aspects of organismal biology are critical to providing the highest possible quality of husbandry. Penguins are among the taxa most closely managed on the individual level in AZA bird collections, with a large proportion of animals interacting directly with animal care staff on a daily basis. This makes penguins, as a group, easily accessible for many types of research. Many wild penguin populations have been intensively studied over the past 40 years, and therefore data exists for wild populations. Few avian taxa have such a superb interface of zoo and wild animal population research. As populations decline in the wild, and *ex situ* populations experience concerns surrounding sustainability, research in both managed and wild settings are of increasing and complimentary importance.

AZA-accredited institutions are required to have a clearly written research policy that identifies the types of research being conducted, methods used, staff involved, evaluations of the projects, the animals included, and guidelines for the reporting or publication of any findings (AZA Accreditation Standard 5.2). Institutions must designate a qualified individual to oversee and direct its research program (AZA Accreditation Standard 5.1). If institutions are not able to conduct in-house research investigations, they are strongly encouraged to provide financial, personnel, logistical, and other support for priority research and conservation initiatives identified by Taxon Advisory Groups (TAGs) or Species Survival Plans® (SSP) Programs.

10.2 Future Research

This Animal Care Manual is a dynamic document that will need to be updated as new information is acquired. Knowledge gaps have been identified throughout the manual and are included in this section to promote future research investigations. Any knowledge gained will help maximize AZA accredited institutions’ capacity for excellence in animal care and welfare as well as advance conservation initiatives for the species.

**Lighting:** Artificial lighting in relation to the management of penguins in zoos and aquariums is an area that merits further research. Seasonal variation in light cycle, intensity and spectrum are essential for proper breeding and molting cycles. Some zoos and aquariums have reported enhanced reproductive
success with appropriate changes in day length and light intensity. Variations in molt have also been correlated with lighting schedules.

**Diet:** The mineral requirements of penguins have not been determined. Research may be helpful to determine if vitamin C can be synthesized by penguin tissues, and whether vitamin C deficiencies are relevant to penguin health. Definitive studies on the water requirements of penguins in zoo and aquariums have also not yet been conducted, and may be beneficial.

**Mosquito control:** The use of high velocity fans that are strategically placed within outdoor penguin enclosures to generate air currents in the hopes of creating an environment undesirable to mosquitoes warrants further consideration and testing. Further research on the success of this approach and other mosquito abatement research is needed.

**West Nile virus:** Penguins known to have had and recovered from this disease are believed to have some immunity against the virus, and may not need further vaccination. However, more information is required to determine the extent and duration of this immunity.

**Irregular and incomplete molting patterns:** Abnormal molting in some penguin species is a fairly common occurrence. Research is needed to determine the extent of the problem and to find ways to prevent and treatment this condition. Several pharmacological agents have been documented to induce molt in penguins with abnormal or arrested molts but further testing is needed.

**Pharmacokinetic studies:** Antibiotic and antifungal drugs are frequently administered to penguins empirically without actually knowing whether the amount or frequency of administration is adequate to reach and sustain effective levels. Pharmacokinetic studies of commonly used antimicrobial drugs are needed. Studies, even on an opportunistic basis, should be considered in managed penguins, or penguins in rehabilitation centers. Drug metabolism frequently varies among species, therefore these studies should occur across penguin species.

**Field research:** There are numerous opportunities to conduct or support field studies on species population size, dispersal patterns, migration, fishery use, artificial nest use, changing climate, and other factors that are affecting penguin populations and distribution. The use of geolocators and other technologies have created opportunities for additional areas of research. The Penguin TAG encourages institutions to support field programs and researchers.

The Global Penguin Society ([www.globalpenguinsociety.org](http://www.globalpenguinsociety.org)) is a non-profit conservation and research organization that “is dedicated to the survival and protection of the world’s penguin species, fostering integrated ocean conservation through science, management and community education.” The Penguin TAG supports the initiatives of GPS and supports its goals.
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Appendix A: Accreditation Standards by Chapter

The following specific standards of care relevant to penguins are taken from the AZA Accreditation Standards and Related Policies (AZA, 2011) and are referenced fully within the chapters of this animal care manual:

General Information

(1.1.1) The institution must comply with all relevant local, state, and federal laws and regulations, including those specific to wildlife. It is understood that, in some cases, AZA accreditation standards are more stringent than existing laws and regulations. In these cases the AZA standard must be met.

Chapter 1

(1.5.7) The animals must be protected from weather, and any adverse environmental conditions.

(10.2.1) Critical life-support systems for the animals, including but not limited to plumbing, heating, cooling, aeration, and filtration, must be equipped with a warning mechanism, and emergency backup systems must be available. All mechanical equipment must be kept in working order and should be under a preventative maintenance program as evidenced through a recordkeeping system. Special equipment should be maintained under a maintenance agreement, or a training record should show that staff members are trained for specified maintenance of special equipment.

(1.5.9) The institution must have a regular program of monitoring water quality for fish, pinnipeds, cetaceans, and other aquatic animals. A written record must be maintained to document long-term water quality results and chemical additions.

Chapter 2

(1.5.1) Animals should be presented in a manner reflecting modern zoological practices in exhibit design, balancing animals’ functional welfare requirements with aesthetic and educational considerations.

(1.5.2) All animals must be housed in enclosures and in appropriate groupings which meet their physical, psychological, and social needs. Wherever possible and appropriate, animals should be provided the opportunity to choose among a variety of conditions within their environment. Display of single animals should be avoided unless biologically correct for the species.

(10.3.3) All animal enclosures (exhibits, holding areas, hospital, and quarantine/isolation) must be of a size and complexity sufficient to provide for the animal’s physical, social, and psychological well-being; and exhibit enclosures must include provisions for the behavioral enrichment of the animals. AZA housing guidelines outlined in the Animal Care Manuals should be followed.

(10.3.4) When sunlight is likely to cause overheating of or discomfort to the animals, sufficient shade (in addition to shelter structures) must be provided by natural or artificial means to allow all animals kept outdoors to protect themselves from direct sunlight.

(11.3.3) Special attention must be given to free-ranging animals so that no undue threat is posed to either the institution’s animals, the free-ranging animals, or the visiting public. Animals maintained where they will be in contact with the visiting public must be carefully monitored, and treated humanely at all times.

(11.3.1) All animal exhibits and holding areas must be secured to prevent unintentional animal egress.

(2.8.1) Pest control management programs must be administered in such a manner that the animals, staff, and public are not threatened by the pests, contamination from pests, or the control methods used.

(11.3.6) In areas where the public is not intended to have contact with animals, some means of deterring public contact with animals (e.g., guardrails/barriers) must be in place.

(11.2.4) All emergency procedures must be written and provided to staff and, where appropriate, to volunteers. Appropriate emergency procedures must be readily available for reference in the event of an actual emergency.

(11.2.5) Live-action emergency drills must be conducted at least once annually for each of the four basic types of emergency (fire; weather/environment appropriate to the region; injury to staff or a visitor;...
animal escape). Four separate drills are required. These drills must be recorded and evaluated to
determine that procedures are being followed, that staff training is effective, and that what is learned
is used to correct and/or improve the emergency procedures. Records of these drills must be
maintained and improvements in the procedures documented whenever such are identified.

(11.6.2) Security personnel, whether staff of the institution, or a provided and/or contracted service, must
be trained to handle all emergencies in full accordance with the policies and procedures of the
institution. In some cases, it is recognized that Security personnel may be in charge of the respective
emergency (i.e. shooting teams).

(11.2.6) The institution must have a communication system that can be quickly accessed in case of an
emergency.

(11.2.7) A written protocol should be developed involving local police or other emergency agencies and
include response times to emergencies.

(11.5.3) Institutions maintaining potentially dangerous animals (e.g. large carnivores, large reptiles,
medium to large primates, large hoofstock, killer whales, sharks, venomous animals, and others, etc.)
must have appropriate safety procedures in place to prevent attacks and injuries by these animals.
Appropriate response procedures must also be in place to deal with an attack resulting in an injury.
These procedures must be practiced routinely per the emergency drill requirements contained in
these standards. Whenever injuries result from these incidents, a written account outlining the cause
of the incident, how the injury was handled, and a description of any resulting changes to either the
safety procedures or the physical facility must be prepared and maintained for five years from the
date of the incident.

(11.5.2) All areas housing venomous animals, or animals which pose a serious threat of catastrophic
injury and/or death (e.g. large carnivores, large reptiles, medium to large primates, large hoofstock,
killer whales, sharks, venomous animals, and others, etc.) must be equipped with appropriate alarm
systems, and/or have protocols and procedures in place which will notify staff in the event of a bite
injury, attack, or escape from the enclosure. These systems and/or protocols and procedures must be
routinely checked to insure proper functionality, and periodic drills must be conducted to insure that
appropriate staff members are notified.

(11.5.1) Institutions maintaining venomous animals must have appropriate antivenin readily available, and
its location must be known by all staff members working in those areas. An individual must be
responsible for inventory, disposal/replacement, and storage of antivenin.

Chapter 3

(1.5.11) Animal transportation must be conducted in a manner that is safe, well-planned and coordinated,
and minimizes risk to the animal(s), employees, and general public. All applicable laws and/or
regulations must be adhered to. Planning and coordination for animal transport requires good
communication among all involved parties, plans for a variety of emergencies and contingencies that
may arise, and timely execution of the transport. At no time should the animal(s) or people be
subjected to unnecessary risk or danger.

(1.5.10) Temporary, seasonal and traveling live animal exhibits (regardless of ownership or contractual
arrangements) must meet the same accreditation standards as the institution’s permanent resident
animals.

Chapter 5

(2.6.2) The institution should have a written nutrition program that meets the behavioral and nutritional
needs of all species, individuals, and colonies/groups in the institution. Animal diets must be of a
quality and quantity suitable for each animal’s nutritional and psychological needs.

(2.6.1) Animal food preparations must meet all applicable laws and regulations.

(2.6.3) The institution should assign at least one person to oversee appropriate browse material for the
collection.
(2.1.1) A full-time staff veterinarian is recommended. In cases where such is not practical, a consulting/part-time veterinarian must be under written contract to make at least twice monthly inspections of the animals and to respond as soon as possible to any emergencies.

(2.1.2) So that indications of disease, injury, or stress may be dealt with promptly, veterinary coverage must be available to the animal collection 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

(2.2.1) Written, formal procedures must be available to the animal care staff for the use of animal drugs for veterinary purposes, and appropriate security of the drugs must be provided.

(1.4.6) A staff member must be designated as being responsible for the institution's animal record-keeping system. That person must be charged with establishing and maintaining the institution's animal records, as well as with keeping all animal care staff members apprised of relevant laws and regulations regarding the institution's animals.

(1.4.7) Animal records must be kept current, and data must be logged daily.

(1.4.5) At least one set of the institution's historical animal records must be stored and protected. Those records should include permits, titles, declaration forms, and other pertinent information.

(1.4.4) Animal records, whether in electronic or paper form, including health records, must be duplicated and stored in a separate location.

(1.4.3) Animals must be identifiable, whenever practical, and have corresponding ID numbers. For animals maintained in colonies/groups or other animals not considered readily identifiable, the institution must provide a statement explaining how record keeping is maintained.

(1.4.1) An animal inventory must be compiled at least once a year and include data regarding acquisitions and dispositions at the institution.

(1.4.2) All species owned by the institution must be listed on the inventory, including those animals on loan to and from the institution. In both cases, notations should be made on the inventory.

(2.7.1) The institution must have holding facilities or procedures for the quarantine of newly arrived animals and isolation facilities or procedures for the treatment of sick/injured animals.

(2.7.3) Quarantine, hospital, and isolation areas should be in compliance with standards/guidelines contained within the Guidelines for Zoo and Aquarium Veterinary Medical Programs and Veterinary Hospitals developed by the American Association of Zoo Veterinarians (AAZV), which can be obtained at: http://www.aazv.org/associations/6442/files/veterinary_standards_2009_final.docx.

(2.7.2) Written, formal procedures for quarantine must be available and familiar to all staff working with quarantined animals.

(11.1.2) Training and procedures must be in place regarding zoonotic diseases.

(11.1.3) A tuberculin (TB) testing/surveillance program must be established for appropriate staff in order to ensure the health of both the employees and the animals. Each institution must have an employee occupational health and safety program.

(2.5.1) Deceased animals should be necropsied to determine the cause of death. Cadavers must be stored in a dedicated storage area. Disposal after necropsy must be done in accordance with local/federal laws.

(2.4.1) The veterinary care program must emphasize disease prevention.

(1.5.5) For animals used in offsite programs and for educational purposes, the institution must have adequate protocols in place to protect the rest of the animals at the institution from exposure to infectious agents.

(2.3.1) Capture equipment must be in good working order and available to authorized, trained personnel at all times.

(2.4.2) Keepers should be trained to recognize abnormal behavior and clinical signs of illness and have knowledge of the diets, husbandry (including enrichment items and strategies), and restraint procedures required for the animals under their care. However, keepers should not diagnose illnesses nor prescribe treatment.
Institution facilities should have radiographic equipment or have access to radiographic services.

The institution must develop a clear process for identifying, communicating, and addressing animal welfare concerns within the institution in a timely manner, and without retribution.

Chapter 8

The institution must have a formal written enrichment and training program that promotes species-appropriate behavioral opportunities.

The institution must have specific staff member(s) or committee assigned for enrichment program oversight, implementation, training, and interdepartmental coordination of enrichment efforts.

Chapter 9

A written policy on the use of live animals in programs must be on file. Animals in education programs must be maintained and cared for by trained staff, and housing conditions must meet standards set for the remainder of the animals in the institution, including species-appropriate shelter, exercise, social and environmental enrichment, access to veterinary care, nutrition, etc. Since some of these requirements can be met outside of the primary enclosure, for example, enclosures may be reduced in size provided that the animal's physical and psychological needs are being met.

If animal demonstrations are part of the institution's programs, an educational/conservation message must be an integral component.

For animals used in offsite programs and for educational purposes, the institution must have adequate protocols in place to protect the rest of the animals at the institution from exposure to infectious agents.

All animal enclosures (exhibits, holding areas, hospital, and quarantine/isolation) must be of a size and complexity sufficient to provide for the animal's physical, social, and psychological well-being; and exhibit enclosures must include provisions for the behavioral enrichment of the animals. AZA housing guidelines outlined in the Animal Care Manuals should be followed.

All animals must be housed in enclosures and in appropriate groupings which meet their physical, psychological, and social needs. Wherever possible and appropriate, animals should be provided the opportunity to choose among a variety of conditions within their environment. Display of single animals should be avoided unless biologically correct for the species.

Animal transportation must be conducted in a manner that is safe, well-planned and coordinated, and minimizes risk to the animal(s), employees, and general public. All applicable laws and/or regulations must be adhered to. Planning and coordination for animal transport requires good communication among all involved parties, plans for a variety of emergencies and contingencies that may arise, and timely execution of the transport. At no time should the animal(s) or people be subjected to unnecessary risk or danger.

Chapter 10

The institution should maximize the generation of scientific knowledge gained from the animals. This might be achieved by participating in AZA TAG/SSP sponsored research when applicable, conducting original research projects, affiliating with local universities, and/or employing staff with scientific credentials.

Institutions must have a written policy that outlines the type of research that it conducts, methods, staff involvement, evaluations, animals to be involved, and guidelines for publication of findings.

Research activities must be under the direction of a person qualified to make informed decisions regarding research.
Appendix B: AZA Policy on Responsible Population Management: Acquisitions, Transfers and Transitions by Zoos & Aquariums

PREAMBLE

The Association of Zoos & Aquariums (AZA) was established, among other reasons, “…to foster continued improvement of the zoological park and aquarium profession through the development and regulation of high standards of ethics, conduct, education and scholarly attainments.” The stringent requirements for AZA accreditation and high standards of professional conduct are unmatched by similar organizations and also far surpass the United States Department of Agriculture’s Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service’s requirements for licensed animal exhibitors. Every AZA member must abide by a Code of Professional Ethics (https://www.aza.org/Ethics/). In order to continue these high standards, AZA-accredited institutions and certified related facilities should make it a priority, when possible, to acquire animals from and transfer them to other AZA member institutions or other regional zoo associations and their members.

AZA-accredited institutions and certified related facilities cannot fulfill their important missions of conservation, education, and science without living animals. Responsible management and the long-term sustainability of living animal populations necessitates that some individuals be acquired and that others be transferred or transitioned at certain times. Furthermore, priority for acquisition and transfer activities should be the long-term sustainability of living animal populations among AZA-accredited and certified related facilities, and between AZA member institutions and non-AZA entities with animal care and welfare standards aligned with AZA. AZA member institutions that acquire animals from the wild, directly or through commercial vendors, should perform due diligence to ensure that zoos/aquariums are not creating a commercial market that promotes the taking of those animals from nature and/or that is detrimental to the survival of species in the wild. Animals should only be solicited and acquired from non-AZA entities that are known to operate legally and conduct their business in a manner that reflects and/or supports the spirit and intent of the AZA Code of Professional Ethics as well as this Policy.

I. INTRODUCTION

The AZA Acquisition, Transfer and Transition Policy was created to help (1) guide and support AZA-accredited and certified related facilities in their animal acquisition and transfer/transition decisions, and (2) make certain that all acquisitions and transfers/transitions are compatible with the Association’s stated commitment to save and protect the wonders of the living natural world. This AZA Acquisition, Transfer and Transition Policy applies to individual animals, groups/colonies, and specimens (animal parts, materials, and products). More specifically, the AZA Acquisition, Transfer and Transition Policy provides guidance to AZA members to:

1. assure that the health and welfare of individual animals is considered during acquisition and transfer/transition activities,
2. assure that the health and conservation of populations, species, and ecosystems are carefully considered during acquisition and transfer/transition activities,

3. maintain a proper standard of conduct for AZA members during acquisition and transfer/transition activities, including adherence to all applicable laws and regulations,

4. assure that animals from AZA member institutions and certified related facilities are not transferred to individuals or organizations that lack the appropriate expertise or facilities to care for them [see taxa specific appendices (in development)], and

5. support the goals of AZA’s cooperatively managed populations and associated Animal Programs [Species Survival Plans® (SSPs), Studbooks, and Taxon Advisory Groups (TAGs)].

This AZA Acquisition, Transfer and Transition Policy will serve as the default policy for AZA member institutions. Institutions may develop their own Acquisition, Transfer and Transition Policy in order to address specific local concerns. Any institutional policy must incorporate and not conflict with the AZA acquisition and transfer/transition standards.

II. LAWS, AUTHORITY, RECORD-KEEPING, IDENTIFICATION AND DOCUMENTATION

The following must be considered with regard to the acquisition or transfer/transition of all living animals and specimens (their living and non-living parts, materials, and/or products):

1. Any acquisitions, transfers, and transitions must meet the requirements of all applicable local, state, federal and international laws and regulations. Ownership and any applicable chain-of-custody must be documented. If such information does not exist, an explanation must be provided regarding such animals and specimens. Any acquisition of free-ranging animals must be done in accordance with all local, state, federal, and international laws and regulations and must not be detrimental to the long-term viability of the species in the wild.

2. The Director/Chief Executive Officer of the institution must have final authority for all acquisitions and transfers/transitions.

3. Acquisitions or transfers/transitions must be documented through institutional record keeping systems. The ability to identify which animal is being transferred is very important and the method of identifying the animal should be documented. Any existing documentation must accompany all transfers. To standardize institutional animal records data, records guidelines have been developed for certain species (https://www.aza.org/AnimalCare/detail.aspx?id=3150).

4. For some colonial, group-living, or prolific species, it may be impossible or highly impractical to identify individual animals when these individuals are maintained in a group. When considered as a group, these species are therefore maintained, acquired, transferred, and transitioned as a group or colony, or as part of a group or colony.

Examples of specimens include animal parts, materials and products including bodily fluids, cell lines, clones, digestive content, DNA, feces, marine invertebrate (coral) fragments (*frags*), germplasm, and tissues.

Attempts by members to circumvent AZA Animal Programs in the acquisition of animals can be detrimental to the Association and its Animal Programs. Such action may also be detrimental to the species involved and may be a violation of the Association’s Code of Professional Ethics.

AZA’s scientifically-managed Animal Programs, including SSPs, have successfully bred and reintroduced critically endangered species for the benefit of humankind. To accomplish these critical conservation goals, populations must be managed within “carrying capacity” limits. At times, the number of individual animals in a population exceeds carrying capacity, and while meaning no disrespect for these individual animals, we refer to these individual animals as “extra” within the managed population.

Examples of colonial, group-living, or prolific species include and are not limited to certain terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates, fish, sharks/rays, amphibians, reptiles, birds, rodents, bats, big herds, and other mammals.
5. If the intended use of specimens is to create live animal(s), their acquisition and transfer should follow the same guidelines. If germplasm is acquired or transferred with the intention of creating live animal(s), ownership of the offspring must be clearly defined in transaction documents (e.g., breeding loan agreements).

Institutions acquiring, transferring, transitioning or disposing of specimens should consider current and possible future uses as new technologies become available. All specimens from which nuclear DNA could be recovered should be carefully considered as these basic DNA extraction technologies already exist.

6. AZA member institutions must maintain transaction documents (e.g., confirmation forms, breeding agreements) which provide the terms and conditions of animal acquisitions, transfers and loans, including documentation for animal parts, products and materials. These documents should require the potential recipient or provider to adhere to the AZA Acquisition, Transfer and Transition Policy, all relevant AZA and member policies, procedures and guidelines, and the AZA Code of Professional Ethics, and must require compliance with the applicable laws and regulations of local, state, federal, and international authorities.

7. In the case of animals (living or non-living) and their parts, materials, or products (living or non-living) held on loan, the owner’s written permission should be obtained prior to any transfer and should be documented in the institutional records.

8. AZA SSP and TAG necropsy and sampling protocols should be accommodated.

9. Some governments maintain ownership of the species found within their borders. It is therefore incumbent on institutions to determine whether animals they are acquiring or transferring are owned by a government entity, foreign or domestic, and act accordingly by reviewing the government ownership policies available on the AZA website. In the case of government owned animals, proposals for and/or notifications of transfers must be sent to the species manager for the government owned species.

III. ACQUISITION REQUIREMENTS

A. General Acquisitions

1. Acquisitions must be consistent with the mission of the institution, as reflected in its Institutional Collection Plan, by addressing its exhibition/education, conservation, and/or scientific goals.

2. Animals (wild, feral, and domestic) may be held temporarily for reasons such as assisting governmental agencies or other institutions, rescue and/or rehabilitation, research, propagation or headstarting for reintroduction, or special exhibits.

3. Any receiving institution must have the necessary expertise and resources to support and provide for the professional care and management of the species, so that the physical, psychological, and social needs of individual animals and species are met.
4. If the acquisition involves a species managed by an AZA Animal Program, the institution should communicate with the Animal Program Leader and, in the case of Green SSP Programs, must adhere to the AZA Full Participation Policy (http://www.aza.org/full-participation-in-ssp-program-policy).

5. AZA member institutions should consult AZA Wildlife Conservation and Management Committee (WCMC)-approved TAG Regional Collection Plans (RCPs), Animal Program Leaders, and AZA Animal Care Manuals (ACMs) when making acquisition decisions.

6. AZA member institutions that work with commercial vendors that acquire animals from the wild, must perform due diligence to assure the vendors’ collection of animals is legal. Commercial vendors should have conservation and animal welfare goals similar to those of AZA institutions.

7. AZA member institutions may acquire animals through public donations and other non-AZA entities when it is in the best interest of the animal and/or species.

B. Acquisitions from the Wild

Saving species and wild animal populations for education and wildlife conservation purposes is a unique responsibility of AZA member zoos and aquariums. The AZA recognizes that there are circumstances where acquisitions from the wild are needed in order to maintain healthy, diverse animal populations and to support the objectives of managed species programs, in which case acquisitions from the wild may be a preferable choice to breeding in human care.

Acquiring animals from the wild can result in socioeconomic benefit and environmental protection and therefore the AZA encourages environmentally sustainable/beneficial acquisition from the wild when conservation is a positive outcome.

1. Before acquiring animals from the wild, institutions are encouraged to examine alternative sources including other AZA institutions and other regional zoological associations or other non-AZA entities.

2. When acquiring animals from the wild, both the long-term health and welfare impacts on the wild population as well as on individual animals must be considered. In crisis situations, when the survival of a population is at risk, rescue decisions will be made on a case-by-case basis by the appropriate agency and institution.

3. Institutions should only accept animals from the wild after a risk assessment determines the zoo/aquarium can mitigate any potential adverse impacts on the health, care and maintenance of the permanently housed animals, and the animals being acquired.

IV. TRANSFER AND TRANSITION REQUIREMENTS

A. Living Animals

Successful conservation and animal management relies on the cooperation of many entities, both AZA and non-AZA. While preference is given to placing animals with AZA-accredited institutions or certified related facilities, it is important to foster a cooperative culture among those who share AZA’s mission of saving species.

The Lacey Act prohibits the importation, exportation, transportation, sale, receipt, acquisition or purchase of wildlife taken or possessed in violation of any law, treaty or regulation of the United States or any Indian tribal law of wildlife law.

In cases when there is no documentation accompanying an acquisition, the animal(s) may not be transferred across state lines. If the animal was illegally acquired at any time then any movement across state or international borders would be a violation of the Lacey Act.

Attempts by members to circumvent AZA Animal Programs in the transfer or transition of animals may be detrimental to the Association and its Animal Programs (unless the animal or animals are deemed extra in the Animal Program population by the Animal Program Coordinator). Such action may be detrimental to the species involved and may be a violation of the Association’s Code of Professional Ethics.
1. Any transfer must abide by the Mandatory Standards and General Advisories of the AZA Code of Professional Ethics which indicates that AZA members should assure that all animals in their care are transferred and transitioned in a manner that meets the standards of the Association, and that animals are not transferred or transitioned to those not qualified to care for them properly.

2. If the transfer of animals or their specimens (parts, materials, and products) involves a species managed by an AZA Animal Program, the institution should communicate with that Animal Program Leader and, in the case of Green SSP Programs must adhere to the AZA Full Participation Policy (http://www.aza.org/full-participation-in-ssp-program-policy/).

3. AZA member institutions should consult WCMC-approved TAG Regional Collection Plans, Animal Program Leaders, and Animal Care Manuals when making transfer decisions.

4. Animals acquired as animal feed are not typically accessioned into the collection. There may be occasions, however, when it is appropriate to use accessioned animals that exceed population carrying capacity as feeder animals to support other animals. In some cases, accessioned animals may be transitioned to “feeder animal” status by the local institution as part of their program for long-term sustained population management of the species.

5. In transfers to non-AZA entities, AZA members must perform due diligence and should have documented validation, such as a letter of reference, that the recipient has the expertise and resources required to properly care for and maintain the animals. Supporting documentation must be kept at the AZA member institution.

6. Domestic animals should be transferred in accordance with locally acceptable farm practices, including auctions, and subject to all relevant laws and regulations.

7. AZA members must not send any non-domestic animal to auction or to any organization or individual that may display or sell the animal at an animal auction. See certain taxa-specific appendices to this Policy (in development) for information regarding exceptions.

8. Animals must not be sent to organizations or individuals that allow the hunting of these individual animals; that is, no animal from an AZA institution may be hunted. For purposes of maintaining sustainable zoo and aquarium populations, AZA-accredited institutions and certified related facilities may send animals to non-AZA organizations or individuals. These non-AZA entities (for instance, ranching operations) should follow appropriate ranch management practices and other conservation minded practices to support population sustainability.

9. Every loaning institution must annually monitor and document the conditions of any loaned specimen(s) and the ability of the recipient(s) to provide proper care. If the conditions and care of animals are in violation of the loan agreement, the loaning institution must recall the animal or assure prompt correction of the situation. Furthermore, an institution’s loaning policy must not be in conflict with this AZA Acquisition, Transfer and Transition Policy.

10. If living animals are sent to a non-AZA entity for research purposes, it must be a registered research facility by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and accredited by the Association for the Assessment & Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care, International (AAALAC), if eligible. For international transactions, the receiving facility must be registered by that country’s equivalent body having enforcement over animal welfare. In cases where research is conducted, but governmental oversight is not required, institutions should do due diligence to assure the welfare of the animals during the research.
11. Transition: reintroductions and release to the wild. The reintroduction of animals must meet all applicable local, state, and international laws and regulations. Reintroductions may be a part of a recovery program and must be compatible with the IUCN Reintroduction Specialist Group’s Reintroduction Guidelines (http://www.iucnsscrg.org/index.php).

12. Transition: humane euthanasia. Humane euthanasia may be employed for medical reasons to address quality of life issues for animals or to prevent the transmission of disease. AZA also recognizes that humane euthanasia may be employed for managing the demographics, genetics, and diversity of animal populations. Humane euthanasia must be performed in accordance with the established euthanasia policy of the institution and follow the recommendations of current AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals (2013 Edition https://www.avma.org/KB/Policies/Documents/euthanasia.pdf) or the AAZV’s Guidelines on the Euthanasia of Non-Domestic Animals.

B. Non-Living Animals and Specimens

AZA members should optimize the use and recovery of animal remains. All transfers must meet the requirements of all applicable laws and regulations.

1. Optimal recovery may include performing a complete necropsy including, if possible, histologic evaluation of tissues which should be a key component of optimal recovery before specimens’ use in education/exhibits. AZA SSP and TAG necropsy and sampling protocols should be accommodated. This information should be available to SSP Programs for population management.

2. The educational use of non-living animals, parts, materials, and products should be maximized, and their use in Animal Program sponsored projects and other scientific projects that provide data for species management and/or conservation must be considered.

3. Non-living animals, if handled properly to protect the health of the recipient animals, may be utilized as feeder animals to support other animals as deemed appropriate by the institution.

4. AZA members should consult with AZA Animal Program Leaders prior to transferring or disposing of remains/samples to determine if existing projects or protocols are in place to optimize use.

5. AZA member institutions should develop agreements for the transfer or donation of non-living animals, parts, materials, products, and specimens and associated documentation, to non-AZA entities such as universities and museums. These agreements should be made with entities that have appropriate long term curation/collections capacity and research protocols, or needs for educational programs and/or exhibits.
Appendix C: Recommended Quarantine Procedures

Quarantine facility: A separate quarantine facility, with the ability to accommodate mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, and fish should exist. If a specific quarantine facility is not present, then newly acquired animals should be isolated from the established collection in such a manner as to prohibit physical contact, to prevent disease transmission, and to avoid aerosol and drainage contamination.

Such separation should be obligatory for primates, small mammals, birds, and reptiles, and attempted wherever possible with larger mammals such as large ungulates and carnivores, marine mammals, and cetaceans. If the receiving institution lacks appropriate facilities for isolation of large primates, pre-shipment quarantine at an AZA or American Association for Laboratory Animal Science (AALAS) accredited institution may be applied to the receiving institution’s protocol. In such a case, shipment must take place in isolation from other primates. More stringent local, state, or federal regulations take precedence over these recommendations.

Quarantine length: Quarantine for all species should be under the supervision of a veterinarian and consist of a minimum of 30 days (unless otherwise directed by the staff veterinarian). Mammals: If during the 30-day quarantine period, additional mammals of the same order are introduced into a designated quarantine area, the 30-day period must begin over again. However, the addition of mammals of a different order to those already in quarantine will not have an adverse impact on the originally quarantined mammals. Birds, Reptiles, Amphibians, or Fish: The 30-day quarantine period must be closed for each of the above Classes. Therefore, the addition of any new birds into a bird quarantine area requires that the 30-day quarantine period begin again on the date of the addition of the new birds. The same applies for reptiles, amphibians, or fish.

Quarantine personnel: A keeper should be designated to care only for quarantined animals or a keeper should attend quarantined animals only after fulfilling responsibilities for resident species. Equipment used to feed and clean animals in quarantine should be used only with these animals. If this is not possible, then equipment must be cleaned with an appropriate disinfectant (as designated by the veterinarian supervising quarantine) before use with post-quarantine animals.

Institutions must take precautions to minimize the risk of exposure of animal care personnel to zoonotic diseases that may be present in newly acquired animals. These precautions should include the use of disinfectant foot baths, wearing of appropriate protective clothing and masks in some cases, and minimizing physical exposure in some species; e.g., primates, by the use of chemical rather than physical restraint. A tuberculin testing/surveillance program must be established for zoo/aquarium employees in order to ensure the health of both the employees and the animal collection.

Quarantine protocol: During this period, certain prophylactic measures should be instituted. Individual fecal samples or representative samples from large numbers of individuals housed in a limited area (e.g., birds of the same species in an aviary or frogs in a terrarium) should be collected at least twice and examined for gastrointestinal parasites. Treatment should be prescribed by the attending veterinarian. Ideally, release from quarantine should be dependent on obtaining two negative fecal results spaced a minimum of two weeks apart either initially or after parasiticide treatment. In addition, all animals should be evaluated for ectoparasites and treated accordingly.

Vaccinations should be updated as appropriate for each species. If the animal arrives without a vaccination history, it should be treated as an immunologically naive animal and given an appropriate series of vaccinations. Whenever possible, blood should be collected and sera banked. Either a 70 °C (-94 °F) frost-free freezer or a 20 °C (-4 °F) freezer that is not frost-free should be available to save sera. Such sera could provide an important resource for retrospective disease evaluation.

The quarantine period also represents an opportunity to, where possible, permanently identify all unmarked animals when anesthetized or restrained (e.g., tattoo, ear notch, ear tag, etc.). Also, whenever animals are restrained or immobilized, a complete physical, including a dental examination, should be performed. Complete medical records should be maintained and available for all animals during the quarantine period. Animals that die during quarantine should have a necropsy performed under the supervision of a veterinarian and representative tissues submitted for histopathologic examination.
Quarantine procedures: Penguins should be quarantine for a minimum of 30 days unless otherwise directed by the staff veterinarian. It may be extended problems are diagnosed. It can be shortened if examination has shown no problems and it is behaviorally necessary for the well-being of the animals.

If additional birds are introduced during the quarantine period, the quarantine must begin again. However, the addition of animals besides birds may not require the re-initiation of the quarantine period. If the new additions do not show signs of infectious disease, the first set of animals may clear quarantine without re-examination.

Separate facilities are recommended to accommodate newly acquired birds, or birds that must be separated from the group for health-related reasons. This area should have air and water systems separate from the main exhibit. It can serve as an isolation area if not in use for quarantine. Any area without separate air and water systems should not be considered an appropriate quarantine or isolation area. If possible, two or more birds should be quarantined together because of their social needs. If this is not possible, efforts should be made for quarantined birds to have visual or auditory contact with other penguins. Designated keepers should care only for quarantined animals if possible. If keepers must care for both quarantined and resident animals of the same taxa, they should care for the quarantined animals only after caring for the resident animals. Any equipment or enrichment items used for quarantined animals should be used only with these animals. If this is not possible, then all items must be appropriately disinfected, as designated by the veterinarian supervising quarantine, before being use elsewhere. Standard disinfection with quaternary ammonium or bleach is adequate unless a mycobacterial disease is suspected, in which case ammonium-based products are not suitable. Phenolics can be used but can be corrosive. Enrichment items that are not easily cleaned can be thrown out and replaced if needed (infectious disease diagnosed or suspected).

AZA institutions must have zoonotic disease prevention procedures and training protocols established to minimize the risk of transferable diseases (AZA Accreditation Standard 11.1.2) with all animals, including those newly acquired in quarantine. Although transmission of tuberculosis from penguins to humans is not of concern, penguins can potentially carry gastrointestinal bacteria that cause disease in people. A separate set of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) should be worn when handling or cleaning quarantined animals. This includes outerwear such as washable or disposable smocks, aprons, overalls or gowns, surgical masks, gloves and a separate set of boots or shoe covers.

Recommended minimum quarantine space, pool, and temperature recommendations are listed in space recommendations (Chapter 2). Use non-abrasive flooring or matting if at all possible.

Quarantine veterinary procedures: During the quarantine period, a complete physical examination and specific diagnostic tests should be conducted for each animal (see Appendix C). Animals should be permanently identified during quarantine if not already. Animals should be evaluated for ectoparasites and gastrointestinal parasites, and treated accordingly. Blood should be collected, analyzed and the sera banked long-term in either a -70 °C freezer or short-term in -20 °C freezer (frost-free or self-defrosting freezer should not be used because of the freeze-thaw cycles) for retrospective evaluation. Vaccinations should be updated as appropriate, and if the vaccination history is not known, the animal should be treated as immunologically naive and given the appropriate series of vaccinations. Detailed medical records for each animal should be maintained and easily available.

Release from quarantine should be contingent upon normal results from diagnostic testing, and three negative fecal parasite exams and fecal/cloacal cultures that are spaced a minimum of 1 week apart. If at all possible, radiographs should be taken to establish a baseline reference for each individual and to check for evidence of disease, gastrointestinal foreign bodies, or evidence of previous trauma (fractures).

Aspergillus prevention: Aspergillosis is a severe fungal disease and often affects penguins under stress. In addition to receiving anti-fungals prior to shipment (AZA standard 6.3), animals should also receive it for at least 2 weeks after arrival into quarantine until they are acclimated to their new surroundings. The following are recommendations and suggestions for appropriate quarantine procedures for penguins:

Penguin (Spheniscidae):

Required:
1. Direct and floatation fecals
2. Vaccinate as appropriate
Strongly recommended:
1. CBC/sera profile
2. Urinalysis
3. Appropriate serology (FIP, FeLV, FIV)
4. Heartworm testing in appropriate species
Appendix D: Program Animal Policy and Position Statement

Program Animal Policy

Originally approved by the AZA Board of Directors—2003
Updated and approved by the Board—July 2008 & June 2011

The Association of Zoos & Aquariums (AZA) recognizes many benefits for public education and, ultimately, for conservation in program animal presentations. AZA’s Conservation Education Committee’s Program Animal Position Statement summarizes the value of program animal presentations (see pages 42–44).

For the purpose of this policy, a Program Animal is defined as “an animal whose role includes handling and/or training by staff or volunteers for interaction with the public and in support of institutional education and conservation goals.” Some animals are designated as Program Animals on a full-time basis, while others are designated as such only occasionally. Program Animal-related Accreditation Standards are applicable to all animals during the times that they are designated as Program Animals.

There are three main categories of Program Animal interactions:

1. On Grounds with the Program Animal Inside the Exhibit/Enclosure:
   a. Public access outside the exhibit/enclosure. Public may interact with animals from outside the exhibit/enclosure (e.g., giraffe feeding, touch tanks).
   b. Public access inside the exhibit/enclosure. Public may interact with animals from inside the exhibit/enclosure (e.g., lorikeet feedings, ‘swim with’ programs, camel/pony rides).

2. On Grounds with the Program Animal Outside the Exhibit/Enclosure:
   a. Minimal handling and training techniques are used to present Program Animals to the public. Public has minimal or no opportunity to directly interact with Program Animals when they are outside the exhibit/enclosure (e.g., raptors on the glove, reptiles held “presentation style”).
   b. Moderate handling and training techniques are used to present Program Animals to the public. Public may be in close proximity to, or have direct contact with, Program Animals when they’re outside the exhibit/enclosure (e.g., media, fund raising, photo, and/or touch opportunities).
   c. Significant handling and training techniques are used to present Program Animals to the public. Public may have direct contact with Program Animals or simply observe the in-depth presentations when they’re outside the exhibit/enclosure (e.g., wildlife education shows).

3. Off Grounds:
   a. Handling and training techniques are used to present Program Animals to the public outside of the zoo/aquarium grounds. Public may have minimal contact or be in close proximity to and have direct contact with Program Animals (e.g., animals transported to schools, media, fund raising events).

These categories assist staff and accreditation inspectors in determining when animals are designated as Program Animals and the periods during which the Program Animal-related Accreditation Standards are applicable. In addition, these Program Animal categories establish a framework for understanding increasing degrees of an animal’s involvement in Program Animal activities.

Program animal presentations bring a host of responsibilities, including the safety and welfare of the animals involved, the safety of the animal handler and public, and accountability for the take-home, educational messages received by the audience. Therefore, AZA requires all accredited institutions that make program animal presentations to develop an institutional program animal policy that clearly identifies and justifies those species and individuals approved as program animals and details their long-term management plan and educational program objectives.

AZA’s accreditation standards require that education and conservation messages must be an integral component of all program animal presentations. In addition, the accreditation standards require that the
conditions and treatment of animals in education programs must meet standards set for the remainder of
the animal collection, including species-appropriate shelter, exercise, appropriate environmental
enrichment, access to veterinary care, nutrition, and other related standards. In addition, providing
program animals with options to choose among a variety of conditions within their environment is
essential to ensuring effective care, welfare, and management. Some of these requirements can be met
outside of the primary exhibit enclosure while the animal is involved in a program or is being transported.
For example, free-flight birds may receive appropriate exercise during regular programs, reducing the
need for additional exercise. However, the institution must ensure that in such cases, the animals
participate in programs on a basis sufficient to meet these needs or provide for their needs in their home
enclosures; upon return to the facility the animal should be returned to its species-appropriate housing as
described above.

Program Animal Position Statement
Last revision 1/28/03
Re-authorized by the Board June 2011

The Conservation Education Committee (CEC) of the Association of Zoos and Aquariums supports the
appropriate use of program animals as an important and powerful educational tool that provides a variety
of benefits to zoo and aquarium educators seeking to convey cognitive and affective (emotional)
messages about conservation, wildlife and animal welfare. Utilizing these animals allows educators to strongly engage audiences. As discussed below, the use of
program animals has been demonstrated to result in lengthened learning periods, increased knowledge
acquisition and retention, enhanced environmental attitudes, and the creation of positive perceptions
concerning zoo and aquarium animals.

Audience Engagement
Zoos and aquariums are ideal venues for developing emotional ties to wildlife and fostering an
appreciation for the natural world. However, developing and delivering effective educational messages in
the free-choice learning environments of zoos and aquariums is a difficult task. Zoo and aquarium educators are constantly challenged to develop methods for engaging and teaching
visitors who often view a trip to the zoo as a social or recreational experience (Morgan & Hodgkinson,
1999). The use of program animals can provide the compelling experience necessary to attract and
maintain personal connections with visitors of all motivations, thus preparing them for learning and
reflection on their own relationships with nature.

Program animals are powerful catalysts for learning for a variety of reasons. They are generally
active, easily viewed, and usually presented in close proximity to the public. These factors have proven to
contribute to increasing the length of time that people spend watching animals in zoo exhibits (Bitgood,

In addition, the provocative nature of a handled animal likely plays an important role in captivating a
visitor. In two studies (Povey, 2002; Povey & Rios, 2001), visitors viewed animals three and four times
longer while they were being presented in demonstrations outside of their enclosure with an educator
than while they were on exhibit. Clearly, the use of program animals in shows or informal presentations
can be effective in lengthening the potential time period for learning and overall impact.

Program animals also provide the opportunity to personalize the learning experience, tailoring the
Teaching session to what interests the visitors. Traditional graphics offer little opportunity for this level of
personalization of information delivery and are frequently not read by visitors (Churchman, 1985;
Johnston, 1998). For example, Povey (2001) found that only 25% of visitors to an animal exhibit read the
accompanying graphic; whereas, 45% of visitors watching the same animal handled in an educational
presentation asked at least one question and some asked as many as seven questions. Having an animal
accompany the educator allowed the visitors to make specific inquiries about topics in which they were
interested.

Knowledge Acquisition
Improving our visitors’ knowledge and understanding regarding wildlife and wildlife conservation is a
fundamental goal for many zoo educators using program animals. A growing body of evidence supports
the validity of using program animals to enhance delivery of these cognitive messages as well.
• MacMillen (1994) found that the use of live animals in a zoomobile outreach program significantly enhanced cognitive learning in a vertebrate classification unit for sixth grade students.

• Sherwood and his colleagues (1989) compared the use of live horseshoe crabs and sea stars to the use of dried specimens in an aquarium education program and demonstrated that students made the greatest cognitive gains when exposed to programs utilizing the live animals.

• Povey and Rios (2002) noted that in response to an open-ended survey question (“Before I saw this animal, I never realized that . . .”), visitors watching a presentation utilizing a program animal provided 69% cognitive responses (i.e., something they learned) versus 9% made by visitors viewing the same animal in its exhibit (who primarily responded with observations).

• Povey (2002) recorded a marked difference in learning between visitors observing animals on exhibit versus being handled during informal presentations. Visitors to demonstrations utilizing a raven and radiated tortoises were able to answer questions correctly at a rate as much as eleven times higher than visitors to the exhibits.

Enhanced Environmental Attitudes
Program animals have been clearly demonstrated to increase affective learning and attitudinal change.

• Studies by Yerke and Burns (1991), and Davison and her colleagues (1993) evaluated the effect live animal shows had on visitor attitudes. Both found their shows successfully influenced attitudes about conservation and stewardship.

• Yerke and Burns (1993) also evaluated a live bird outreach program presented to Oregon fifth-graders and recorded a significant increase in students' environmental attitudes after the presentations.

• Sherwood and his colleagues (1989) found that students who handled live invertebrates in an education program demonstrated both short and long-term attitudinal changes as compared to those who only had exposure to dried specimens.

• Povey and Rios (2002) examined the role program animals play in helping visitors develop positive feelings about the care and well-being of zoo animals.

• As observed by Wool and Tymitz (1981), zoo visitors are deeply concerned with the welfare of zoo animals and desire evidence that they receive personalized care.

Conclusion
Creating positive impressions of aquarium and zoo animals, and wildlife in general, is crucial to the fundamental mission of zoological institutions. Although additional research will help us delve further into this area, the existing research supports the conclusion that program animals are an important tool for conveying both cognitive and affective messages regarding animals and the need to conserve wildlife and wild places.
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Appendix E: Developing an Institutional Program Animal Policy

Last revision 2003
Re-authorized by the Board, June 2011

Rationale
Membership in AZA requires that an institution meet the AZA Accreditation Standards collectively developed by our professional colleagues. Standards guide all aspects of an institution's operations; however, the accreditation commission has asserted that ensuring that member institutions demonstrate the highest standards of animal care is a top priority. Another fundamental AZA criterion for membership is that education be affirmed as core to an institution's mission. All accredited public institutions are expected to develop a written education plan and to regularly evaluate program effectiveness.

The inclusion of animals (native, exotic, and domestic) in educational presentations, when done correctly, is a powerful tool. CEC's Program Animal Position Statement describes the research underpinning the appropriate use of program animals as an important and powerful educational tool that provides a variety of benefits to zoo and aquarium educators seeking to convey cognitive and affective messages about conservation and wildlife.

Ongoing research, such as AZA's Multi-Institutional Research Project (MIRP) and research conducted by individual AZA institutions will help zoo educators to determine whether the use of program animals conveys intended and/or conflicting messages and to modify and improve programs accordingly and to ensure that all program animals have the best possible welfare.

When utilizing program animals our responsibility is to meet both our high standards of animal care and our educational goals. Additionally, as animal management professionals, we must critically address both the species' conservation needs and the welfare of the individual animal. Because "wild creatures differ endlessly," in their forms, needs, behavior, limitations and abilities (Conway, 1995), AZA, through its Animal Welfare Committee, has recently given the responsibility to develop taxon- and species-specific animal welfare standards and guidelines to the Taxon Advisory Groups (TAG) and Species Survival Plan® Program (SSP). Experts within each TAG or SSP, along with their education advisors, are charged with assessing all aspects of the taxons' and/or species' biological and social needs and developing Animal Care Manuals (ACMs) that include specifications concerning their use as program animals.

However, even the most exacting standards cannot address the individual choices faced by each AZA institution. Therefore, each institution is required to develop a program animal policy that articulates and evaluates program benefits. The following recommendations are offered to assist each institution in formulating its own Institutional Program Animal Policy, which incorporates the AZA Program Animal Policy and addresses the following matters.

The Policy Development Process
Within each institution, key stakeholders should be included in the development of that institution's policy, including, but not limited to representatives from:
- The Education Department
- The Animal Husbandry Department
- The Veterinary and Animal Health Department
- The Conservation & Science Department
- The Behavioral Husbandry Department
- Any animal show staff (if in a separate department)
- Departments that frequently request special program animal situations (e.g., special events, development, marketing, zoo or aquarium society, administration)

Additionally, staff from all levels of the organization should be involved in this development (e.g., curators, keepers, education managers, interpreters, volunteer coordinators).

To develop a comprehensive Program Animal Policy, we recommend that the following components be included:

I. Philosophy
In general, the position of the AZA is that the use of animals in up close and personal settings, including animal contact, can be extremely positive and powerful, as long as:

1. The use and setting is appropriate.
2. Animal and human welfare is considered at all times.
3. The animal is used in a respectful, safe manner and in a manner that does not misrepresent or degrade the animal.
4. A meaningful conservation message is an integral component. Read the AZA Board-approved Conservation Messages.
5. Suitable species and individual specimens are used.

Institutional program animal policies should include a philosophical statement addressing the above, and should relate the use of program animals to the institution's overall mission statement.

II. Appropriate Settings
The Program Animal Policy should include a listing of all settings both on and off site, where program animal use is permitted. This will clearly vary among institutions. Each institution's policy should include a comprehensive list of settings specific to that institution. Some institutions may have separate policies for each setting; others may address the various settings within the same policy. Examples of settings include:

1. On-site programming
   a. Informal and non-registrants:
      i. On-grounds programming with animals being brought out (demonstrations, lectures, parties, special events, and media)
      ii. Children's zoos and contact yards
      iii. Behind-the-scenes open houses
      iv. Shows
      v. Touch pools
   b. Formal (registration involved) and controlled settings
      i. School group programs
      ii. Summer camps
      iii. Overnights
      iv. Birthday parties
      v. Animal rides
      vi. Public animal feeding programs
   c. Offsite and outreach
      i. PR events (TV, radio)
      ii. Fundraising events
      iii. Field programs involving the public
      iv. School visits
      v. Library visits
      vi. Nursing home visits (therapy)
      vii. Hospital visits
      viii. Senior centers
      ix. Civic group events

In some cases, policies will differ from setting to setting (e.g., on-site and off-site use with media). These settings should be addressed separately, and should reflect specific animal health issues, assessment of distress in these situations, limitations, and restrictions.

III. Compliance with Regulations
All AZA institutions housing mammals are regulated by the USDA's Animal Welfare Act. Other federal regulations, such as the Marine Mammal Protection Act, may apply. Additionally, many states, and some cities, have regulations that apply to animal contact situations. Similarly, all accredited institutions are bound by the AZA Code of Professional Ethics. It is expected that the Institution Program Animal Policy address compliance with appropriate regulations and AZA Accreditation Standards.

IV. Collection Planning
AZA accredited institutions should have a collection planning process in place. Program animals are part of an institution's overall collection and must be included in the overall collection planning process. The AZA Guide to Accreditation contains specific requirements for the institution collection plan. For more information about collection planning in general, please see the Collection Management pages in the Members Only section.

The following recommendations apply to program animals:

1. Listing of approved program animals (to be periodically amended as collection changes). Justification of each species should be based upon criteria such as:
   a. Temperament and suitability for program use
   b. Husbandry requirements
   c. Husbandry expertise
   d. Veterinary issues and concerns
   e. Ease and means of acquisition / disposition according to the AZA code of ethics
   f. Educational value and intended conservation message
   g. Conservation Status
   h. Compliance with TAG and SSP guidelines and policies

2. General guidelines as to how each species (and, where necessary, for each individual) will be presented to the public, and in what settings

3. The collection planning section should reference the institution's acquisition and disposition policies.

V. Conservation Education Message
As noted in the AZA Accreditation Standards, if animal demonstrations are part of an institution's programs, an educational and conservation message must be an integral component. The Program Animal Policy should address the specific messages related to the use of program animals, as well as the need to be cautious about hidden or conflicting messages (e.g., “petting” an animal while stating verbally that it makes a poor pet). This section may include or reference the AZA Conservation Messages. Although education value and messages should be part of the general collection planning process, this aspect is so critical to the use of program animals that it deserves additional attention. In addition, it is highly recommended to encourage the use of biofacts in addition to or in place of the live animals. Whenever possible, evaluation of the effectiveness of presenting program animals should be built into education programs.

VI. Human Health and Safety
The safety of our staff and the public is one of the greatest concerns in working with program animals. Although extremely valuable as educational and affective experiences, contact with animals poses certain risks to the handler and the public. Therefore, the human health and safety section of the policy should address:

1. Minimization of the possibility of disease transfer from non-human animals to humans, and vice-versa (e.g., hand washing stations, no touch policies, use of hand sanitizer).
2. Safety issues related to handlers’ personal attire and behavior (e.g., discourage or prohibit use of long earrings, perfume and cologne, not eating or drinking around animals, smoking, etc.).

AZA's Animal Contact Policy provides guidelines in this area; these guidelines were incorporated into accreditation standards in 1998.

VII. Animal Health and Welfare
Animal health and welfare are the highest priority of AZA accredited institutions. As a result, the Institutional Program Animal Policy should make a strong statement on the importance of animal welfare. The policy should address:

1. General housing, husbandry, and animal health concerns (e.g. that the housing and husbandry for program animals meets or exceeds general AZA standards and that the physical, social and psychological needs of the individual animal, such as adequate rest periods, provision of enrichment, visual cover, contact with conspecifics as appropriate, etc., are accommodated).
2. Where ever possible provide a choice for animal program participation, e.g., retreat areas for touch tanks or contact yards, evaluation of willingness/readiness to participate by handler, etc.)
3. The empowerment of handlers to make decisions related to animal health and welfare; such as withdrawing animals from a situation if safety or health is in danger of being compromised.

4. Requirements for supervision of contact areas and touch tanks by trained staff and volunteers.

5. Frequent evaluation of human / animal interactions to assess safety, health, welfare, etc.

6. Ensure that the level of health care for the program animals is consistent with that of other animals in the collection.

7. Whenever possible have a “cradle to grave” plan for each program animal to ensure that the animal can be taken care of properly when not used as a program animal anymore.

8. If lengthy “down” times in program animal use occur, staff should ensure that animals accustomed to regular human interactions can still maintain such contact and receive the same level of care when not used in programs.

VIII. Taxon Specific Protocols

We encourage institutions to provide taxonomically specific protocols, either at the genus or species level, or the specimen, or individual, level. Some taxon-specific guidelines may affect the use of program animals. To develop these, institutions refer to the Conservation Programs Database.

Taxon and species-specific protocols should address:

1. How to remove the individual animal from and return it to its permanent enclosure, including suggestions for operant conditioning training.
2. How to crate and transport animals.

Situation specific handling protocols (e.g., whether or not animal is allowed to be touched by the public, and how to handle in such situations):

1. Guidelines for disinfecting surfaces, transport carriers, enclosures, etc. using environmentally safe chemicals and cleaners where possible.
3. Limitations and restrictions regarding ambient temperatures and or weather conditions.
4. Time limitations (including animal rotation and rest periods, as appropriate, duration of time each animal can participate, and restrictions on travel distances).
5. The number of trained personnel required to ensure the health and welfare of the animals, handlers and public.
6. The level of training and experience required for handling this species
8. The use of hand lotions by program participants that might touch the animals

IX. Logistics: Managing the Program

The Institutional Policy should address a number of logistical issues related to program animals, including:

1. Where and how the program animal collection will be housed, including any quarantine and separation for animals used off-site.
2. Procedures for requesting animals, including the approval process and decision-making process.
3. Accurate documentation and availability of records, including procedures for documenting animal usage, animal behavior, and any other concerns that arise.

X. Staff Training

Thorough training for all handling staff (keepers, educators, and volunteers, and docents) is clearly critical. Staff training is such a large issue that many institutions may have separate training protocols and procedures. Specific training protocols can be included in the Institutional Program Animal Policy or reference can be made that a separate training protocol exists.

It is recommended that the training section of the policy address:

1. Personnel authorized to handle and present animals.
2. Handling protocol during quarantine.
3. The process for training, qualifying and assessing handlers including who is authorized to train handlers.
4. The frequency of required re-training sessions for handlers.
5. Personnel authorized to train animals and training protocols.
6. The process for addressing substandard performance and noncompliance with established procedures.
7. Medical testing and vaccinations required for handlers (e.g., TB testing, tetanus shots, rabies vaccinations, routine fecal cultures, physical exams, etc.).
8. Training content (e.g., taxonomically specific protocols, natural history, relevant conservation education messages, presentation techniques, interpretive techniques, etc.).
9. Protocols to reduce disease transmission (e.g., zoonotic disease transmission, proper hygiene and hand washing requirements, as noted in AZA’s Animal Contact Policy).
10. Procedures for reporting injuries to the animals, handling personnel or public.
11. Visitor management (e.g., ensuring visitors interact appropriately with animals, do not eat or drink around the animal, etc.).

X. Review of Institutional Policies
All policies should be reviewed regularly. Accountability and ramifications of policy violations should be addressed as well (e.g., retraining, revocation of handling privileges, etc.). Institutional policies should address how frequently the Program Animal Policy will be reviewed and revised, and how accountability will be maintained.

XII. TAG and SSP Recommendations
Following development of taxon-specific recommendations from each TAG and SSP, the institution policy should include a statement regarding compliance with these recommendations. If the institution chooses not to follow these specific recommendations, a brief statement providing rationale is recommended.
### Appendix F: Nutrient Composition of Fish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Capelin</th>
<th>Herring</th>
<th>Marine smelt</th>
<th>Freshwater smelt</th>
<th>Rainbow trout</th>
<th>Krill</th>
<th>Squid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dry Matter (%)</strong></td>
<td>19.9 ± 1.02</td>
<td>27.8 ± 3.51</td>
<td>23.9 ± 4.40</td>
<td>19.3 ± 3.70</td>
<td>27.5 ± 1.80</td>
<td>14.0 ± 6.58</td>
<td>22.9 ± 2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy (kcal/g)</strong></td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.29</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.38</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.73</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.22</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.25</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.79</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crude Protein (%)</strong></td>
<td>65.7 ± 5.03</td>
<td>56.6 ± 5.00</td>
<td>62.7 ± 6.40</td>
<td>66.9 ± 5.00</td>
<td>55.2 ± 2.95</td>
<td>54.6 ± 12.18</td>
<td>66.8 ± 2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crude Fat (%)</strong></td>
<td>15.3 ± 4.01</td>
<td>30.6 ± 7.04</td>
<td>19.4 ± 10.3</td>
<td>15.2 ± 4.30</td>
<td>29.6 ± 6.60</td>
<td>25.1 ± 5.66</td>
<td>13.7 ± 7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calcium (%)</strong></td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.23</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.42</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.43</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.96</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.31</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phosphorus (%)</strong></td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.28</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.98</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.61</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Magnesium (%)</strong></td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Potassium (%)</strong></td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.50</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.28</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.37</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sodium (%)</strong></td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.53</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.46</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.64</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Iron (ppm)</strong></td>
<td>46.5 ± 13.65</td>
<td>67.0 ± 11.44</td>
<td>57.9 ± 29.97</td>
<td>29.8 ± 11.14</td>
<td>50.5 ± 22.4</td>
<td>58.9 ± 22.50</td>
<td>77.7 ± 69.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Copper (ppm)</strong></td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.13</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.32</td>
<td>4.0 ± 3.55</td>
<td>6.1 ± 2.42</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.46</td>
<td>82.8 ± 28.23</td>
<td>133.5 ± 45.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zinc (ppm)</strong></td>
<td>59.2 ± 17.4</td>
<td>57.1 ± 11.85</td>
<td>109.1 ± 50.94</td>
<td>83.8 ± 24.40</td>
<td>109.3 ± 45.3</td>
<td>63.1 ± 28.23</td>
<td>89.6 ± 22.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Manganese (ppm)</strong></td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.51</td>
<td>6.01 ± 1.46</td>
<td>6.4 ± 2.93</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.58</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.25</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vitamin A (IU/g)</strong></td>
<td>29.3 ± 3.50</td>
<td>19.6 ± 4.56</td>
<td>68.3 ± 16.16</td>
<td>44.5 ± 15.12</td>
<td>62.1 ± 22.14</td>
<td>45.3 ± 35.6</td>
<td>45.7 ± 35.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vitamin E (IU/g)</strong></td>
<td>17.5 ± 1.45</td>
<td>10.8 ± 1.46</td>
<td>21.5 ± 6.05</td>
<td>44.0 ± 8.08</td>
<td>32.1 ± 6.18</td>
<td>79.3 ± 36.4</td>
<td>79.2 ± 38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total wt FA (g/kg)</strong></td>
<td>14.6 ± 5.13</td>
<td>22.7 ± 8.46</td>
<td>17.8 ± 7.82</td>
<td>14.3 ± 5.49</td>
<td>20.9 ± 7.49</td>
<td>17.8 ± 8.79</td>
<td>12.8 ± 4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Saturated (% of FA)</strong></td>
<td>16.9 ± 2.26</td>
<td>23.5 ± 4.17</td>
<td>24.4 ± 2.85</td>
<td>22.4 ± 0.87</td>
<td>24.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>22.9 ± 3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MUFA (% of FA)</strong></td>
<td>34.8 ± 3.33</td>
<td>37.9 ± 4.49</td>
<td>36.8 ± 6.92</td>
<td>25.8 ± 3.45</td>
<td>31.3 ± 4.2</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>19.8 ± 4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PUFA (% of FA)</strong></td>
<td>19.8 ± 4.38</td>
<td>18.2 ± 5.94</td>
<td>23.6 ± 6.02</td>
<td>35.9 ± 3.38</td>
<td>29.8 ± 2.25</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>40.6 ± 4.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total ω-6 (% of FA)</strong></td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.45</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.47</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.80</td>
<td>8.3 ± 2.05</td>
<td>7.4 ± 1.49</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total ω-3 (% of FA)</strong></td>
<td>18.4 ± 4.14</td>
<td>16.3 ± 5.55</td>
<td>21.3 ± 5.54</td>
<td>27.6 ± 1.74</td>
<td>22.7 ± 2.67</td>
<td>12.35</td>
<td>38.4 ± 4.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:2 ω-6 (% of FA)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.42</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.16</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.39</td>
<td>6.0 ± 1.13</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:4 ω-6 (% of FA)</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.37</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.56</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.93</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.38</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:3 ω-3 (% of FA)</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.32</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.83</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:5 ω-3 (% of FA)</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.83</td>
<td>7.4 ± 2.65</td>
<td>7.5 ± 2.69</td>
<td>8.2 ± 1.44</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.28</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>12.5 ± 2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:6 ω-3 (% of FA)</td>
<td>8.7 ± 2.42</td>
<td>7.2 ± 3.10</td>
<td>9.6 ± 3.35</td>
<td>11.2 ± 1.80</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.97</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>24.5 ± 2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from McClements (2007) except krill
### Appendix G: Sample Maintenance Diets for Various Penguin Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penguin species</th>
<th>King</th>
<th>Rockhopper</th>
<th>Gentoo</th>
<th>Humboldt</th>
<th>African</th>
<th>Magellanic</th>
<th>Little blue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est. Amt/day/bird (g)</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fish type by percentage:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fish</th>
<th>King</th>
<th>Rockhopper</th>
<th>Gentoo</th>
<th>Humboldt</th>
<th>African</th>
<th>Magellanic</th>
<th>Little blue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capelin</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herring</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trout</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krill</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silversides</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sardines</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Smelt</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>King</th>
<th>Rockhopper</th>
<th>Gentoo</th>
<th>Humboldt</th>
<th>African</th>
<th>Magellanic</th>
<th>Little blue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplements/bird/day:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplement</th>
<th>King</th>
<th>Rockhopper</th>
<th>Gentoo</th>
<th>Humboldt</th>
<th>African</th>
<th>Magellanic</th>
<th>Little blue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mazuri Vita-Zu 5TLB1</td>
<td>1 tab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mazuri Vita-Zu 5M23 (with Vit A)</td>
<td>1 tab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mazuri Vita Zu 5TLC</td>
<td>1 tab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mazuri Vita Zu 5M25 (with Vit A)</td>
<td>1 tab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamin E Paste</td>
<td>0.8 ml</td>
<td>0.6 ml</td>
<td>100 IU</td>
<td>3x/week</td>
<td>100 IU</td>
<td>1x/week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50 mg</td>
<td>3x/week</td>
<td>50 mg</td>
<td>3x/week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 tab</td>
<td>1x/week</td>
<td>0.25 tab</td>
<td>2x/week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO3</td>
<td>1.4 g</td>
<td>1.1 g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BZ Penguin vit 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 tab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The AZA Penguin TAG does not endorse any products mentioned.*

1PMI Nutrition International. Brentwood, MO 63144
2CVS Corporation. Woonsocket, RI 02895
3Manufactured by Bomac Vets Plus, Inc. Knapp, WI 54749
### Appendix H: Nutrient Composition of Sample Diets (Dry Matter Basis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>King</th>
<th>Rockhopper</th>
<th>Gentoo</th>
<th>Humboldt</th>
<th>African</th>
<th>Magellanic</th>
<th>Little Blue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kcal/g)</td>
<td>22.05</td>
<td>24.30</td>
<td>24.52</td>
<td>23.81</td>
<td>21.99</td>
<td>27.14</td>
<td>27.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude Protein (%)</td>
<td>57.97</td>
<td>60.45</td>
<td>62.64</td>
<td>61.07</td>
<td>60.66</td>
<td>64.10</td>
<td>64.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude Fat (%)</td>
<td>28.31</td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>18.88</td>
<td>27.52</td>
<td>17.92</td>
<td>20.46</td>
<td>15.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (%)</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus (%)</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (%)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (%)</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (%)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (ppm)</td>
<td>61.38</td>
<td>59.03</td>
<td>58.26</td>
<td>52.42</td>
<td>50.04</td>
<td>50.19</td>
<td>46.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (ppm)</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>25.12</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (ppm)</td>
<td>57.42</td>
<td>85.08</td>
<td>76.18</td>
<td>67.93</td>
<td>62.88</td>
<td>68.00</td>
<td>59.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (ppm)</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo (ppm)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A (IU/g)</td>
<td>20.81</td>
<td>51.90</td>
<td>40.39</td>
<td>68.67</td>
<td>37.24</td>
<td>31.44</td>
<td>46.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E (IU/g)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamin (mg/g)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated (g/kg)</td>
<td>50.20</td>
<td>40.25</td>
<td>37.14</td>
<td>39.03</td>
<td>47.04</td>
<td>32.05</td>
<td>24.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUFA (g/kg)</td>
<td>82.20</td>
<td>59.41</td>
<td>59.60</td>
<td>63.84</td>
<td>64.23</td>
<td>59.20</td>
<td>51.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUFA (g/kg)</td>
<td>69.98</td>
<td>48.35</td>
<td>41.12</td>
<td>40.93</td>
<td>52.60</td>
<td>32.61</td>
<td>29.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ω-6 (g/kg)</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>10.72</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ω-3 (g/kg)</td>
<td>35.92</td>
<td>38.87</td>
<td>34.98</td>
<td>34.49</td>
<td>32.61</td>
<td>29.93</td>
<td>35.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18: 2 ω-6 (g/kg)</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20: 4 ω-6 (g/kg)</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18: 3 ω-3 (g/kg)</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20: 5 ω-3 (g/kg)</td>
<td>16.45</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>14.11</td>
<td>14.78</td>
<td>13.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Appendix I: Institutions for Aspergillus Testing

University of Miami
Division of Comparative Pathology
1550 NW 10th Avenue, Room 105
Miami, Florida 33136
Phone: (305) 243-6927 or 800-596-7390
Fax: (305) 243-5662
Questions: Dr. Carolyn Cray

Elisa tests for both antibodies and galactomannan. Optional protein electrophoresis to aid diagnosis. Call for submission forms and shipping instructions.

Zoologix Inc.: www.zoologix.com
9811 Owensmouth Avenue
Suite 4
Chatsworth CA 91311-3800info@zoologix.com
Phone: (818) 717-8880
Fax: (818) 717-8881

Qualitative real-time PCR test for Aspergillus fumigatus. Recommended samples: throat or cloacal swab. Call to confirm specimen acceptability and shipping instructions.

Research Associates Laboratory
14556 Midway Rd.
Dallas, TX 75224
Phone: (972)-960-2221
Fax: (972)-960-1997

DNA-based real-time PCR for detection of Aspergillus fumigatus infection. Samples recommended: swab of trachea, air sac granuloma,

Sex Determination

Avian Biotech
1336 Timberlane Road ·
Tallahassee, FL 32312-1766
Phone: (850) 386-1145 or (800) 514-9672 (Office)
Fax: (850) 386-1146

Zoogen DNA Services
P.O. Box 1157
1046 Olive Drive, Ste. A
Davis, CA 95616
Phone: (530) 750-5757
Toll Free Tel: (800) 995-2473
Fax: (530) 750-5758
Email: zoogenservices@yahoo.com

Loyola Medical Center
2160 South First Avenue
Bldg. #101, RM #2718
Maywood, IL 60153
Phone: (708) 216-2341
Email: jeandubach@gmail.com

Sexing now can be done on feather shafts and eggshell membrane as well as whole blood.
# Appendix J: Drugs Commonly Used in Penguin Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terbinafine</td>
<td>Antifungal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clotrimazole</td>
<td>Antifungal—nebulize</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voriconazole</td>
<td>Antifungal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itraconazole **</td>
<td>Antifungal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>Antibacterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalosporins</td>
<td>Antibacterial (may cause regurgitation in higher doses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivermectin</td>
<td>Parasiticide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrantel pamoate</td>
<td>Parasiticide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenbendazole</td>
<td>Parasiticide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medroxyprogesterone</td>
<td>Molt Induction, suppression of egg-laying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen</td>
<td>Pain reliever (use with care because of renal toxicity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meloxicam</td>
<td>Pain relief (use with care because of renal toxicity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium EDTA</td>
<td>Chelation for heavy metal toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>Malaria treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primaquine</td>
<td>Malaria treatment or prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mefloquine</td>
<td>Malaria treatment or prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daraprim/sulfadiazine</td>
<td>Malaria prevention (compounded formulation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pharmacokinetic studies have not been done for most of these drugs in any of the penguin species. Therefore dosage and dosing interval for many of the drugs are empirical. Consult a formulary that includes avian species (Veterinary Drug Handbook by Dr. Donald Plumb, or the Exotic Animal Formulary by Dr. James Carpenter). Some dose and treatment regimens for certain species of penguins may be listed in the references.

** Commercial formulations of itraconazole should be used. Compounded formulations have been shown to have poorer absorption and may not reach therapeutic levels (Smith et al., 2010).
Appendix K: Product Information


6. R-com Incubators, Autoelex Co., Ltd., 612, Deokam-ri, Juchon-myeon, Grimhae city, Gyeongsangnam-do, Korea. [www.autoelex.com](http://www.autoelex.com) (For USA distributor see also Lyon Technologies).


8. PDI® Iodine Duo-Swab® Prep and Scrub SwabStick, PDI, Two Nice-Pak Park, Orangeburg, NY 10954 USA. [http://www.pdipdi.com](http://www.pdipdi.com)


10. The Original Cooler Brooder, Avey Incubator, PO Box 279, Hugo, CO 80821 USA. [www.aveyincubator.com](http://www.aveyincubator.com)


12. Con-Tact® Grip Ultra Shelf Liner, Kittrich Corporation, La Mirada, CA. Con-Tact shelf liner is widely available at kitchen and home stores.

13. Kendall Sovereign® Feeding Tube and Urethral Catheter, Tyco Healthcare Group LP, Mansfield, MA 02048 USA. Size 14 Fr (4.7 mm), length 16 in (41 cm). [www.tycohealthcare.com](http://www.tycohealthcare.com)

14. Hi-Intensity Egg Candler (Special Zoo Model), Lyon Technologies, Inc., 1690 Brandywine Avenue, Chula Vista, CA 91911 USA. [www.lyonusa.com](http://www.lyonusa.com)

15. Animal Intensive Care Unit, Lyon Technologies, Inc., 1690 Brandywine Avenue, Chula Vista, CA 91911 USA. [www.lyonusa.com](http://www.lyonusa.com)

16. Pedialyte®, Abbott Laboratories, 3300 Stelzer Road, Columbus, OH 43219-3034 USA. [http://pedialyte.com](http://pedialyte.com)

17. Mazuri® Vita-Zu Bird Tablet w/o Vitamin A, Land O’ Lakes, PO Box 64101, Saint Paul, MN 55164-0101 USA. [www.mazuri.com](http://www.mazuri.com)

18. Enfamil® Poly-vi-sol® Infant drops with iron, Mead Johnson Global Headquarters, 2701 Patriot Boulevard, Fourth Floor, Glenview, IL 60026 USA. [www.enfamil.com](http://www.enfamil.com)

19. Tegaderm®, Tegaderm Brand Products, 3M Corporate Headquarters, 3M Center, St. Paul, MN 55144-1000 USA.
Appendix L: Penguin Chick Hand-rearing Diet (Formula)

Fish handling and preparation: Fish to be used for the making of Penguin Chick Hand Rearing Diet should be prepared in accordance with safe food handling procedures. Fish should be pulled in a semi-frozen condition straight from the air-thawed fish block. Similar preparation is recommended for krill. This assures the best fish quality for young chicks with naïve immune systems. The goal is to use the least thawed, more frozen fish, from the air-thawed blocks to avoid excessive warming of the food items during preparation. All fish items should be maintained at or below 4.4 °C (40 °F) during preparation.

**Full Batch: Average volume pre-strain approximately 1.5 liters**

440 g 5–7 in. long whole herring (with head, tail, fins & skin removed)
440 gm Krill (squeeze water out after defrosting & before measuring)
600 ml Filtered water
8 each 7.5 grain Brewer’s yeast tablets
550 mg B1
1 each 5 lb Mazuri® Vita-Zu Bird tab w/o Vitamin A
4 each 10 grain calcium carbonate tablets
1200 IU Vitamin E
2 cc Poly-vi-sol® with iron

Blend ingredients thoroughly. Strain through a large colander. Keep refrigerated. Mark with date and time; use within 24 hours.

Prior to feeding, warm the diet using a reservoir of warm water to heat the formula to 35 °C (95 °F) just before feeding; if formula exceeds 37.8 °C (100 °F) during the heating process, discard and do not feed. It is recommended to stir in a pinch of ground B1 (thiamine) powder to the diet prior to feeding. The powder can be made by grinding 100 mg B1 tablets; mix one pinch per 30 cc warmed formula.

If a smaller volume of formula is needed within a 24-hour period a half portion can be prepared. Due to the vitamin formulation it is not recommended to make batches smaller than an half batch.

**Half Batch: Average volume pre-strain approximately 850 cc**

220 gm 5–7 in. whole herring (with head, tail, fins & skin removed)
220 gm Krill (squeeze water out after defrosting & before measuring)
300 ml Filtered tap water
4 each 7.5 gr. Brewer’s yeast tablets
275 mg B1
1 each 2.5 lb Mazuri® Vita-Zu Bird tab w/o Vitamin A
2 each 10 grain calcium carbonate tablets
600 IU Vitamin E
1 cc Poly-vi-sol® with iron

Prepare as for Full Batch.
Appendix M: Penguin Chick Hand-rearing Protocols

The guidelines can be used for the Aptenodytes, but modifications must be made for the larger size of these chicks at each stage. The information contained is intended as a guideline only. It is recommended to review this entire document before undertaking to hand rear penguins. Depending on the physical plant, availability of products and materials, and the individual needs of chicks, modifications to these guidelines may be necessary.

Feeding: A note about fish preparation: Before preparing any other fish for the day, fish to be used in preparing the hand-rearing diet or to be used to feed chicks directly should be removed from the air-thawed blocks of fish in a semi-frozen state. Be vigilant for foreign objects often found in frozen fish. The fish should be placed in an appropriate container, topped with ice immediately and stored in the refrigerator. Krill should be prepared in the same way, so that it too is removed straight from the air-thawed block, placed in a separate container and topped with ice. When storing in the refrigerator, do not mix the krill with the fish. If, in the course of feeding during the day, additional food items are needed, it should be pulled from freshly thawing blocks of fish or krill. No fish should be used that has been prepared longer than 12 hours. Such preparation of the fish for use in formula or for feeding assures the best fish quality for young chicks whose guts are more sensitive. The goal is to use fish as freshly thawed as possible to avoid excessive warming of the constituent food items before use in formula or used for direct feeding. Proper fish handling is the foundation of good animal husbandry.

A note about formula storage and preparation: Prepared penguin formula should be stored in the refrigerator until use and will remain fresh for approximately 24 hours from the time it is made. The formula to be fed is heated prior to feeding. The recommended manner of heating formula is by setting the container of formula in hot (not boiling) water until the temperature reaches approximately 35 °C (95 °F). (For very young or finicky chicks, formula may need to be heated to 36.7 °C [98 °F]). Formula should be stirred continually during the heating process to prevent curdling. If curdling occurs, dispose of that formula. Do not boil. Do not reheat. Do not heat in microwave. The unused portion of heated formula should be discarded. When feeding several chicks, the formula container is placed in a warm water bath to maintain temperature for the duration of the feeding bout.

General intake guidelines: Feeding is based on a calculated percentage of the first daily or morning weight of the chick measured before the first feeding (e.g., if chick weighs 100 grams (3.5 oz.), the chick should be fed no more than 10 grams (0.35 oz.) per feeding. Chicks that are 3 days and under are generally fed much less than the calculated 10% because they are still using yolk and learning to eat). Treat chicks individually; the range in amounts listed for the first 3 days is due to the wide range in chicks’ weights during this time, depending on species, from 60–120 g (2.1–4.2 oz.).

Figure 12. Syringes with both catheter tip and applied portion of short feeding tube along with baby food jar containing formula and dishes with pre-measured fish amounts.
Photo courtesy of Linda Henry.
**Initial days of feeding**

**Day 1:** 50:50 formula: water: 1–5 g (cc), but not to exceed the calculated 10% of the first daily weight total intake per feeding. (1 g formula=1 cc formula.) **Note:** Day 1 here is defined as the first day of feeding; this may differ from the chick’s age where day 1 equals day of hatch. In these early days, the chick may still be absorbing yolk sac. This is an important factor in judging intake for young chicks—it is wise to be conservative.

**Day 2:** 75:25 ration of formula to water: 4–8 g (cc) total intake per feeding, not to exceed 10% of chick’s first daily weight. (If not well accepted, go back to a 75:25 ratio of formula: to water.)

**Day 3:** Introduce straight formula: 4–10 g (cc) total intake per feeding, not to exceed 10% of chick’s first daily weight. (If not well accepted, go back to a 75:25 ratio of formula: to water.)

**Day 4 through Day 6:** Try 10% of first daily weight total intake per feeding of straight formula - do not exceed. Use 10% of morning weight as a guide for each feeding’s total intake. When the chick reaches 7 days of age, but not before reaching 100 g (3.5 oz.) first daily/morning weight, begin evaluating the chick for the ability to accept fish in the diet as described below.

**7 days of age until chick achieves 500 grams first daily weight:** At or about 7 days of age, but not before 100 g, first daily weight of the chick, evaluate adding fish to the diet. This evaluation should include the following: chick has been tolerating 100% (or full-strength) formula for three days; hydration is good; chick is bright, active and alert; fecal output is normal for chick’s age; chick is thermoregulating appropriately for its age. Fish is most often introduced using herring filets cut into 2.5–3.8 cm (1–1.5 in.) x 0.6 cm (0.25 in.) pieces. Dip the fish or fish pieces in warm water just prior to feeding—this hydrates fish, warms it a little, and makes it easier for the chick to swallow. Gentooos usually begin fish at slightly greater than 100 g morning weight (approximately 110–115 g [3.9–4.1 oz.] morning weight) due to their larger hatch weights. Their first day on fish should not be any earlier than 7 days of age. Humboldts may also begin fish at greater than 100 g morning weight (between 100–200 g [3.5–7.1 oz.] first daily weight) because Humboldt penguins often have a longer readiness period to accept fish.

**The guidelines for the amount of fish to be fed are as follows:**

- **7 days of age:** Evaluate for fish introduction. If ready, give 3 g (0.1 oz.) fish once a day (SID) for the first day at the second feeding; fish is given in combination with formula to equal, but not exceed, 10% of the first daily weight.
- **2nd day on fish:** 3–5 g (0.1–0.2 oz.) maximum fish given twice a day (BID)—at second and fourth feedings—in combination with formula to equal, but not exceed, 10% of first daily weight
- **3rd day on fish:** 3–5 g (0.1–0.2 oz.) maximum fish given every other feeding in combination with formula to equal, but not exceed, 10% of first daily weight.
- **4th day on fish:** 3–5 g (0.1–0.2 oz.) maximum fish given every feeding in combination with formula to equal, but not exceed, 10% of first daily weight.
- **5th day on fish:** 5–7 g (0.2–0.25 oz.) maximum fish given every feeding in combination with formula to equal, but not exceed, 10% of first daily weight.
- **6th day on fish:** 7–10 g (0.25–0.35 oz.) maximum fish given every feeding in combination with formula to equal, but not exceed, 10% of first daily weight.

After 6 days of transitioning fish into the diet, fish amounts can be determined using the first daily weight as a guide:

- **300 g (10.5 oz.):** 10–15 g (0.35–0.5 oz.) fish every feeding maximum with formula to equal, but not exceed, 10% of first daily weight.
- **400 g (14 oz.):** Fish is 50% of total intake every feeding maximum in proportion with formula, not to exceed 10% of morning weight per feeding. Consider adding vitamin supplements at this time. **Note:** Heating formula to the full 35 °C (95 °F) becomes less critical as chick is consuming a higher percentage of cold fish. 32 °C (90 °F) is an acceptable formula temperature at this time.
- **500 g (18 oz.):** Decrease the number of feedings to 4 per day (QID), every 4 hours, at approximately 500 g (18 oz.) first morning weight. Let the chick’s appetite guide you.

After the chick reaches approximately 600 g (21 oz.) or greater, and has been doing well on a 50:50 fish to formula diet ratio, then the feeding schedule may be altered to increase the percentage of fish in the diet.
Maintain the formula amount given at 30 cc, and then adding fish to make the feeding intake total equal to 10% of first daily weight. Water may be given as needed. The size of fish given can usually be increased at this time to include cut up herring and capelin chunks, including entrails. Fish size can progress gradually to whole capelin as chicks are able to accept it; herring is a dense-fleshed fish and may be difficult for younger birds to digest when given whole so use herring chunks a little longer before offering whole herring fish. Maintain formula at 30 cc of formula per feeding so that a natural transition occurs from formula to fish. As the chick grows the percentage of fish in the diet, relative to formula, will increase with increasing daily weights.

**When chick is 1000 g (35 oz.) or greater at the first morning weight:** Chicks may start to "wean" themselves from formula by refusing to feed from a syringe. Formula may be reduced to 15 cc four times per day. Formula is eventually reduced to 30 cc once a day and given at the first feeding when chick is most hungry. Formula will eventually be eliminated from the diet altogether. Fish fed to chicks that are not receiving formula should be dipped in water or hydrated by injecting water into the fish just prior to feeding. If this is not enough to hydrate chicks, an electrolyte replacement solution should be used.

Although chicks may be on four feedings per day, they may not eat the full amount of fish offered at each of those feedings, especially the fourth feeding of the day. Feeders should be thinking in terms of the total daily intake for each individual chick and whether chicks are maintaining proper weight gains. Be vigilant for early signs of illness or overheating at this time, which also will adversely affect a chick’s appetite.

An additional reduction of numbers of feedings per day may also be indicated at around 1500 g (53 oz.). Chicks that are not hungry at the second feeding for several days are probably ready for three feedings per day, given about every 6 hours.

When chicks go to three times a day (TID) feedings monitor weight gains; birds may be reaching their asymptotic weight at this time. Chicks should still be eager to eat at each feeding. As chicks start to moult, they may not eat the full amount offered. Once chicks have completed moult and have reached a good, stable weight, fish may be fed on "demand" (or on the same schedule as the other birds in the primary penguin exhibit).

**Note:** As chicks progress through various feeding stages, they will respond differently. Sometimes chicks will not eat all food items offered at all feedings. Never force a chick to eat. Evaluate each chick individually and then determine the cause for inappetence. Information contained in the Chapters 6 Veterinary Care and 7 Reproduction have details on assessing chick health and vitality relative to hand rearing regimes.

There are typically two stages at which many chicks become finicky, at 500 g (18 oz.) for a day or two, and at 1,000 g (35 oz.) for several days (this often corresponds to head-shyness in *Spheniscus* at 30 days of age). Chicks may refuse food at one feeding or not eat full amounts at each feeding. Check for overheating. Evaluate the environment. If low appetite continues for more than one or two feedings, a veterinary exam should be scheduled. The chick may be ill. Once chicks molt into juvenile plumage and fledge they can be introduced to the primary colony. After birds are stable and well-integrated into the colony, vitamin supplementation can be consistent with adult maintenance vitamins.
Figure 13. Two Plexiglas® brooder boxes set on top of brooder bases with heat lamps secured. Towels are draped over one or both sides to control airflow. Note the fans in the upper left corner; these provide cooling and good air movement. It is important that the room be cooled to offset the production of heat by the heat lamps. Lighting is provided by dimmable full-spectrum 40W fluorescent light bulbs. Photoperiod during the neonatal period is set to match exhibit parameters. Photo courtesy of Linda Henry.

Figure 14. A closer view of Plexiglas® brooder boxes on brooder stands. Note arrangement of toweling inside. Digital readouts are mounted on each vertical pole with temperature probes extending into brooders. Photo courtesy of Linda Henry.
Penguin (Spheniscidae) Care Manual

Figure 15. An Adélie chick in the brooder with a towel to prevent the young chick from wandering away from the heat source. A temperature probe and an Onset HOBO® temperature data logger have been placed in the brooder to record temperature variations. Photo courtesy of Linda Henry.

Figure 16. Left: A brooder bin in the corner; note how it is elevated on legs above the floor. In this instance a heat lamp has been provided on a portable stand; such provision of heat may be needed for some chicks during the initial transition to the bin following the end of the guard stage. Right: Gentoo chicks in one side of the divided bin with toweling over the rock substrate. Photos courtesy of Linda Henry.

**Penguin hand-rearing vitamin regimen:** Recommended for small species (*Spheniscus magellanicus, S. humboldti, Pygoscelis adeliae, P. papua, P. antarctica, Eudyptes chrysolophus.*)

**Early Vitamins:** Provided in three ways in the formula: Poly-vi-sol® infant multi-vitamin, oral B-Comp, and oral B-1 tablets. See as follows:

- Just prior to feeding formula, stir in one pinch of ground 100 mg. B-1 and one pinch of ground B-Complex (B-50) per 100 cc formula prepared. Do this starting with the introduction of full strength formula until chick is 400 gm. at the first daily weight.
- 25 mg B-1 BID and 1/8 of a B-comp –BID beginning at 400 g first daily weight (or when the amount of fish fed is equal to or greater than the amount of formula fed) until 1000 g first daily weight.
- Poly-vi-sol® infant multivitamin drops (without iron) starting at 4 days of age through 1000 g first daily weight as outlined:
4 days of age:

- 250 g / 8.8 oz. (a.m. weight): 0.10 cc Poly-vi-sol® drops SID
- 251–500 g / 8.8–18 oz. (a.m. weight): 0.15 cc Poly-vi-sol® drops SID
- 501–750 g / 18–26 oz. (a.m. weight): 0.20 cc Poly-vi-sol® drops SID
- 751–1000 g / 26–35 oz. (a.m. weight): 0.25 cc Poly-vi-sol® drops SID

First daily weight = 1000 g (or when chick receives BID formula)

| AM | 1/2 children's multi-vitamin |
|    | 1/8 tablet 10 grain Calcium carbonate 50 mg. B-1 |
| PM | 100 I.U. Vitamin E EOD |
|    | 25 mg. B-Complex (1/2 tablet B-50) |
|    | 1/8 tablet 10 grain Calcium carbonate |

First daily weight = 2000 g (or greater)

| AM | 1 children's multi-vitamin |
|    | 1/8 tablet 10 grain Calcium carbonate 50 mg. B-1 |
| PM | 100 I.U. Vitamin E EOD |
|    | 25 mg. B-Complex (1/2 tablet B-50) |
|    | 1/8 tablet 10 grain Calcium carbonate |

Vitamins may be inserted into the gills of the fish before feeding, or fed to the chicks with a feeding response followed by the fish fillets if no whole fish is being fed.

Children's poly-vitamin drops: One zoological institution has used Enfamil® Poly-vi-sol® Infant Drops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vitamin</th>
<th>Amount per 1 mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A</td>
<td>1500 IU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C</td>
<td>35 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D</td>
<td>400 IU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td>5 IU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B1</td>
<td>0.5 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niacin</td>
<td>8 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B6</td>
<td>0.4 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B12</td>
<td>2 mcg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Children's poly-vitamin drops with iron: One zoological institution has used Enfamil® Poly-vi-sol Infant Drops with Iron

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vitamin</th>
<th>Amount per 1 mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A</td>
<td>1500 IU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C</td>
<td>35 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D (cholicalciferol)</td>
<td>400 IU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E (d-alpha-tocopheryl succinate)</td>
<td>5 IU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamin (as thiamin HCl)</td>
<td>0.5 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niacin (as niacinamide)</td>
<td>8 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B6 (as pyridoxine HCl)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (as ferrous sulfate)</td>
<td>10 mg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Children's Multi-vitamin: One zoological institution uses My First Flintstones™

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vitamin</th>
<th>Amount per tablet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A</td>
<td>1998 IU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C</td>
<td>60 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D (D3)</td>
<td>400 IU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td>15 IU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thiamin (B₁) 1.05 mg
Riboflavin (B₂) 1.2 mg
Niacin 10 mg
B₆ 1.05 mg
Folic Acid 300 mcg
Vitamin B₁₂ 4.5 mcg
Sodium 10 mg

Contents of Mazuri® Vita-Zu Bird Tablet w/o Vitamin A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Each ½ lb. tablet (STLC) supplies:</th>
<th>Each 5 lb. tablet (STLB) supplies:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A, I.U.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E, I.U.</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C, mg</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamin Mononitrate, mg</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboflavin, mg</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridoxine</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pantothenic Acid, mg</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>8.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotin, mcg</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folic Acid, mg</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium, mg</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Juvenile Penguin Vitamin Supplementation Schedule

Begin supplementation at completion of first molt until 4 months post fledge

**Gentoo, Humboldt, Magellanic:**
1 each 2.5 lb. Mazuri Tab without Vitamin A once daily
½ each 50 mg B-complex once daily
100 IU Vitamin E twice weekly

**Macaroni, chinstrap, Adélie:**
2 each ½ lb. Mazuri Tab without Vitamin A once daily
½ each 50 mg B-complex once daily
100 IU Vitamin E twice weekly

Mazuri® Vita-Zu Bird Tablet w/o Vitamin A (See table above for contents)

My First Flintstones (See table above for contents)

Enfamil® Poly-vi-sol® Infant drops (See table above for contents)

Onset HOBO® Pendant temp/light datalogger

www.mazuri.com
www.bayercare.com
www.enfamil.com
www.onsetcomp.com/products/data-loggers/ua-002-64
### Physiological Reference Intervals for Eudyptula minor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Reference Interval</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Low Sample(^a)</th>
<th>High Sample(^b)</th>
<th>Sample Size(^c)</th>
<th>Animals(^d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Blood Cell Count</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>2.93 - 34.60</td>
<td>13.39</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>39.40</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Blood Cell Count</td>
<td>*10^6 cells/µL</td>
<td>1.19 - 3.05</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>29.4 - 57.8</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV</td>
<td>fL</td>
<td>123.0 - 362.3</td>
<td>222.1</td>
<td>214.2</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>437.5</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterophils</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>0.55 - 19.83</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>24.80</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>1.02 - 16.19</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>48 - 2095</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2340</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>0 - 460</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>0 - 1014</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>51 - 328</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric Acid</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>0.6 - 38.4</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>8.4 - 13.2</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>1.3 - 11.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca/Phos ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0 - 6.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>142 - 163</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>1.6 - 6.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/K ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.1 - 66.7</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Protein</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>3.9 - 8.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>1.1 - 3.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globulin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>0.5 - 6.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>Lower Limit</td>
<td>Upper Limit</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline Phosphatase</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 - 500</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate Dehydrogenase</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 - 1002</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1553</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate Aminotransferase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>110 - 587</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>233</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine Kinase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>28 - 874</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1096</td>
<td>221</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>0 - 8466</td>
<td>2879</td>
<td>2850</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6420</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>102 - 384</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- a Lowest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.
- b Highest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.
- c Number of samples used to calculate the reference interval.
- d Number of different individuals contributing to the reference interval.
- * Sample size is insufficient to produce a valid reference interval.
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Sample Selection Criteria:
- No selection by gender
- All ages combined
- Animal was classified as healthy at the time of sample collection
- Sample was not deteriorated

### Physiological Reference Intervals for Pygoscelis antarcticus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Reference Interval</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Low Sample&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>High Sample&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Sample Size&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Animals&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Blood Cell Count</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>0.00 - 16.22</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>23.40</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>36.3 - 54.0</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterophils</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>0.00 - 10.50</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>16.40</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>0.00 - 5.80</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1596</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric Acid</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Protein</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globulin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline Phosphatase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate Aminotransferase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine Aminotransferase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine Kinase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Lowest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.  
<sup>b</sup> Highest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.  
<sup>c</sup> Number of samples used to calculate the reference interval.  
<sup>d</sup> Number of different individuals contributing to the reference interval.  

* Sample size is insufficient to produce a valid reference interval.
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Sample Selection Criteria:
- No selection by gender.
- All ages combined
- Animal was classified as healthy at the time of sample collection
- Sample was not deteriorated

Physiological Reference Intervals for *Pygoscelis papua*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Reference Interval</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Low Sample</th>
<th>High Sample</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Animals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Blood Cell Count</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>3.63 - 22.38</td>
<td>11.3 6</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Blood Cell Count</td>
<td>*10^6 cells/µL</td>
<td>1.34 - 3.21</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>4.9 - 30.7</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>36.3 - 57.7</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV</td>
<td>fl</td>
<td>148.3 - 308.5</td>
<td>227.8</td>
<td>228.4</td>
<td>150.2</td>
<td>306.3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCHC</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>27.0 - 45.4</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>2.41 - 16.31</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>60 - 1378</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1620</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>0 - 528</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>0 - 295</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>147 - 298</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Urea Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>0 - 7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>0.0 - 0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric Acid</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>2.3 - 20.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>7.8 - 12.4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>1.3 - 8.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca/Phos ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 - 5.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>145 - 164</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>1.4 - 6.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/K ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.9 - 112.8</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>147.3</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>101 - 123</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Protein</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>3.8 - 7.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>1.4 - 3.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Globulin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>344</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Alkaline Phosphatase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>454</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lactate Dehydrogenase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>453</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Aspartate Aminotransferase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>706</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>372</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Alanine Aminotransferase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Creatine Kinase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>279</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>266</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Amylase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>1302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>702</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>716</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1529</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cholesterol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>232</td>
<td>417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>451</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

- a Lowest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.
- b Highest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.
- c Number of samples used to calculate the reference interval.
- d Number of different individuals contributing to the reference interval.
- * Sample size is insufficient to produce a valid reference interval.
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## Sample Selection Criteria:
- No selection by gender
- All ages combined
- Animal was classified as healthy at the time of sample collection
- Sample was not deteriorated

## Physiological Reference Intervals for *Spheniscus humboldti*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Reference Interval</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Low Sample</th>
<th>High Sample</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Animals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Blood Cell Count</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>6.16 - 49.88</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>21.99</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>74.50</td>
<td>2191</td>
<td>468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Blood Cell Count</td>
<td>*10^6 cells/µL</td>
<td>1.27 - 3.16</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>9.5 - 21.5</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>28.9 - 60.0</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>2589</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV</td>
<td>fL</td>
<td>135.3 - 342.5</td>
<td>226.1</td>
<td>225.1</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>389.3</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH</td>
<td>pg</td>
<td>52.3 - 114.8</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>146.5</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCHC</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>26.3 - 45.8</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>884</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterophils</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>3.33 - 30.22</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>13.26</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>42.40</td>
<td>2183</td>
<td>468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>1.17 - 21.47</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>28.10</td>
<td>2176</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>103 - 4200</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5550</td>
<td>1709</td>
<td>435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>84 - 1495</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>99 - 1786</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2387</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>154 - 326</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>2276</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Urea Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>1 - 7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>0.1 - 0.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1028</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric Acid</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>2.3 - 22.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>2473</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>8.7 - 12.8</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>2183</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>1.2 - 8.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>2171</td>
<td>409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca/Phos ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3 - 7.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>2156</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>140 - 164</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>2204</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>2.1 - 6.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>2142</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/K ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.7 - 74.1</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>2149</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>100 - 124</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>1781</td>
<td>349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>Reference Range</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median Absolute Deviation</td>
<td>25 %</td>
<td>75 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Protein</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>3.7 - 6.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>2274</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>1.0 - 2.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2109</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globulin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>0.6 - 5.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>2080</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline Phosphatase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>36 - 387</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate Dehydrogenase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>79 - 654</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>1171</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate Aminotransferase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>83 - 435</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>2454</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine Aminotransferase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>11 - 105</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>1431</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine Kinase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>56 - 849</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1065</td>
<td>1617</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma-glutamyltransferase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>0 - 18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>718 - 3288</td>
<td>1665</td>
<td>1545</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4502</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>0 - 50</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Bilirubin</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>0.0 - 1.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Bilirubin</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>0.0 - 0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Bilirubin</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>0.0 - 2.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>131 - 380</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1679</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>20 - 138</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicarbonate</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>17.9 - 34.3</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>1.46 - 3.23</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>µg/dL</td>
<td>32 - 258</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Dioxide</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>15.6 - 39.0</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Lowest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.  
*b* Highest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.  
*c* Number of samples used to calculate the reference interval.  
*d* Number of different individuals contributing to the reference interval.
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Physiological Reference Intervals for *Spheniscus demersus*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Reference Interval</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Low Sample&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>High Sample&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Sample Size&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Animals&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Blood Cell Count</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>4.11 - 39.01</td>
<td>15.34</td>
<td>13.53</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>50.40</td>
<td>2105</td>
<td>626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Blood Cell Count</td>
<td>*10^6 cells/µL</td>
<td>0.97 - 3.30</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>4.7 - 19.9</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>1066</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>27.6 - 57.2</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>2884</td>
<td>788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV</td>
<td>fL</td>
<td>97.8 - 356.4</td>
<td>238.0</td>
<td>245.4</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>457.1</td>
<td>1153</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH</td>
<td>pg</td>
<td>17.7 - 125.9</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>195.2</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCHC</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>15.1 - 43.2</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterophils</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>1.77 - 21.50</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>28.70</td>
<td>2084</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>0.64 - 16.78</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>22.20</td>
<td>2078</td>
<td>623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>78 - 2099</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>1593</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>73 - 1508</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1894</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>59 - 1080</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1428</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>137 - 290</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>2320</td>
<td>736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Urea Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>2 - 10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>0.2 - 1.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric Acid</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>2.3 - 23.0</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>2384</td>
<td>726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>8.5 - 13.4</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2267</td>
<td>732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>1.1 - 8.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>2033</td>
<td>664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca/Phos ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3 - 7.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>142 - 168</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>2.7 - 7.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td>617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/K ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.7 - 55.5</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>103 - 129</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Protein</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>3.7 - 7.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>2378</td>
<td>736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>1.0 - 3.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2241</td>
<td>699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globulin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>0.6 - 5.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2118</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Alkaline Phosphatase
- **IU/L**: 22 - 459
- **Values**: 141, 100, 0, 550, 1315, 461

### Lactate Dehydrogenase
- **IU/L**: 80 - 1908
- **Values**: 581, 436, 30, 2581, 995, 364

### Aspartate Aminotransferase
- **IU/L**: 58 - 378
- **Values**: 164, 146, 2, 489, 2413, 748

### Alanine Aminotransferase
- **IU/L**: 21 - 268
- **Values**: 101, 88, 2, 353, 646, 300

### Creatine Kinase
- **IU/L**: 77 - 1052
- **Values**: 362, 290, 0, 1296, 2065, 668

### Gamma-glutamyltransferase
- **IU/L**: 0 - 10
- **Values**: 3, 2, 0, 13, 358, 168

### Amylase
- **IU/L**: 1247 - 6866
- **Values**: 3277, 2793, 3, 7987, 609, 206

### Total Bilirubin
- **mg/dL**: 0.1 - 0.8
- **Values**: 0.2, 0.2, 0.0, 1.0, 340, 189

### Cholesterol
- **mg/dL**: 153 - 437
- **Values**: 273, 267, 24, 536, 1722, 560

### Triglyceride
- **mg/dL**: 44 - 269
- **Values**: 128, 126, 39, 350, 133, 92

### Bicarbonate
- **mEq/L**: 13.8 - 32.5
- **Values**: 23.1, 23.1, 10.0, 34.0, 86, 54

### Carbon Dioxide
- **mEq/L**: 15.6 - 34.0
- **Values**: 25.0, 25.5, 10.0, 36.0, 207, 62

### Body Temperature
- **F**: 100.4, 101.3, 94.3, 104.0, 35, 32

---

*a* Lowest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.

*b* Highest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.

*c* Number of samples used to calculate the reference interval.

*d* Number of different individuals contributing to the reference interval.

*Suggested citation format:*

King Penguin (*Aptenodytes patagonicus*)

Samples contributed by 11 institutions.

© 2013 - International Species Information System

(Citation Format)

Sample Selection Criteria:
- No selection by gender
- All ages combined
- Animal was classified as healthy at the time of sample collection
- Sample was not deteriorated

### Physiological Reference Intervals for *Aptenodytes patagonicus*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Reference Interval</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Low Sample&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>High Sample&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Sample Size&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Animals&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Blood Cell Count</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>2.89 - 22.49</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>29.80</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Blood Cell Count</td>
<td>*10^6 cells/µL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>12.5 - 20.9</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>33.0 - 58.5</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV</td>
<td>fl</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>237.9</td>
<td>242.1</td>
<td>144.6</td>
<td>310.0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCHC</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>29.7 - 38.5</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterophils</td>
<td>*10^6 cells/µL</td>
<td>0.95 - 9.74</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>*10^6 cells/µL</td>
<td>0.55 - 11.37</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>56 - 1527</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1856</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>0 - 453</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>0 - 1672</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2415</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>147 - 321</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Urea Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>0.0 - 0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric Acid</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>2.6 - 23.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>8.1 - 12.4</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>1.6 - 8.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca/Phos ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 - 6.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>141 - 170</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>1.4 - 6.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/K ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.3 - 103.1</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>108.0</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>99 - 127</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Protein</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>2.5 - 6.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>1.0 - 3.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globulin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>0.5 - 4.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline Phosphatase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>0 - 224</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate Dehydrogenase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>0 - 550</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Penguin (Spheniscidae) Care Manual

#### Aspartate Aminotransferase
- **IU/L**: 91 - 366
- **Values**: 202, 191, 54, 419, 190, 77

#### Alanine Aminotransferase
- **IU/L**: 1 - 121
- **Values**: 64, 61, 13, 149, 69, 38

#### Creatine Kinase
- **IU/L**: 66 - 891
- **Values**: 312, 272, 4, 968, 132, 66

#### Total Bilirubin
- **mg/dL**: 0.0 - 0.7
- **Values**: 0.2, 0.1, 0.0, 1.2, 42, 19

#### Cholesterol
- **mg/dL**: 134 - 513
- **Values**: 318, 317, 46, 573, 120, 59

---

- *a* Lowest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.
- *b* Highest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.
- *c* Number of samples used to calculate the reference interval.
- *d* Number of different individuals contributing to the reference interval.
- *Sample size is insufficient to produce a valid reference interval.*

---

**Suggested citation format:**
Macaroni Penguin (*Eudyptes chrysolophus*)

Samples contributed by 3 institutions.

© 2013 - International Species Information System

(Citation Format)

Sample Selection Criteria:
- No selection by gender
- All ages combined
- Animal was classified as healthy at the time of sample collection
- Sample was not deteriorated

Physiological Reference Intervals for *Eudyptes chrysolophus*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Reference Interval</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Low Sample</th>
<th>High Sample</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Animals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Blood Cell Count</td>
<td><em>10³</em> cells/µL</td>
<td>3.16 – 19.54</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Blood Cell Count</td>
<td><em>10⁶</em> cells/µL</td>
<td>1.73 – 3.50</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>13.3 – 20.9</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>31.6 – 60.4</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV</td>
<td>fL</td>
<td>123.7 – 275.2</td>
<td>196.0</td>
<td>199.4</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>290.0</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH</td>
<td>pg</td>
<td>44.6 – 88.5</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCHC</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>24.6 – 38.1</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterophils</td>
<td><em>10³</em> cells/µL</td>
<td>1.30 – 9.70</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td><em>10³</em> cells/µL</td>
<td>0.50 – 12.45</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>0 – 904</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1511</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>0 – 624</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>0 – 543</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>146 – 276</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric Acid</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>2.2 – 27.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>7.7 – 13.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>0.0 – 5.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca/Phos ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0 – 8.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>142 – 165</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>2.1 – 5.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/K ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.0 – 57.3</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>101 – 128</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Protein</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>3.4 – 7.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>1.3 – 4.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Globulin
- **Unit:** g/dL
- **Reference Range:** 0.2 – 3.8
- **Results:**
  - 1.4
  - 0.9
  - 0.2
  - 5.3
  - 127
  - 34

### Alkaline Phosphatase
- **Unit:** IU/L
- **Reference Range:** 4 – 201
- **Results:**
  - 107
  - 103
  - 24
  - 205
  - 42
  - 30

### Lactate Dehydrogenase
- **Unit:** IU/L
- **Reference Range:** 0 – 391
- **Results:**
  - 204
  - 188
  - 62
  - 548
  - 49
  - 29

### Aspartate Aminotransferase
- **Unit:** IU/L
- **Reference Range:** 126 – 401
- **Results:**
  - 247
  - 243
  - 52
  - 471
  - 192
  - 45

### Alanine Aminotransferase
- **Unit:** IU/L
- **Reference Range:**
- **Results:**
  - *
  - 47
  - 43
  - 14
  - 131
  - 38
  - 27

### Creatine Kinase
- **Unit:** IU/L
- **Reference Range:** 72 – 730
- **Results:**
  - 242
  - 193
  - 63
  - 813
  - 121
  - 42

### Cholesterol
- **Unit:** mg/dL
- **Reference Range:** 176 – 438
- **Results:**
  - 309
  - 307
  - 142
  - 476
  - 98
  - 39

### Bicarbonate
- **Unit:** mEq/L
- **Reference Range:**
- **Results:**
  - *
  - 25.8
  - 26.0
  - 17.0
  - 35.0
  - 31
  - 27

**Notes:**
- a Lowest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.
- b Highest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.
- c Number of samples used to calculate the reference interval.
- d Number of different individuals contributing to the reference interval.

* Sample size is insufficient to produce a valid reference interval.

---

**International Species Information System**
Suite 1040
7900 International Drive
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**Suggested citation format:**
Magellanic Penguin (*Spheniscus magellanicus*)

Samples contributed by 12 institutions.

© 2013 - International Species Information System

(Citation Format)

Sample Selection Criteria:
- No selection by gender
- All ages combined
- Animal was classified as healthy at the time of sample collection
- Sample was not deteriorated

Physiological Reference Intervals for *Spheniscus magellanicus*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Reference Interval</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Low Sample&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>High Sample&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Animals&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Blood Cell Count</td>
<td><em>10^3</em> cells/µL</td>
<td>4.79 - 37.51</td>
<td>15.07</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>44.60</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Blood Cell Count</td>
<td><em>10^6</em> cells/µL</td>
<td>0.87 - 3.41</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>10.7 - 21.8</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>27.7 - 58.9</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV</td>
<td>fL</td>
<td>117.8 - 441.1</td>
<td>241.7</td>
<td>231.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>536.4</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH</td>
<td>pg</td>
<td>36.2 - 106.5</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCHC</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>25.1 - 41.3</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterophils</td>
<td><em>10^3</em> cells/µL</td>
<td>1.70 - 20.05</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td><em>10^3</em> cells/µL</td>
<td>1.04 - 18.12</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>23.70</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>66 - 1673</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2045</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>63 - 1306</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>64 - 696</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>149 - 283</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Urea Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>0 - 9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>0.0 - 0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric Acid</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>1.9 - 26.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>8.6 - 12.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>1.1 - 8.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca/Phos ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1 - 8.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>141 - 165</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>2.1 - 8.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/K ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.9 - 75.3</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>94 - 126</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Protein</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>3.7 - 8.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>Reference Interval</td>
<td>Suggested Range</td>
<td>Lower Bound</td>
<td>Upper Bound</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>0.3 - 3.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>619</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globulin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>0.1 - 5.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>626</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline Phosphatase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>29 - 388</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>475</td>
<td>191</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate Dehydrogenase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>65 - 1033</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1406</td>
<td>295</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>152</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate Aminotransferase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>59 - 538</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>628</td>
<td>767</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>239</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine Aminotransferase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>0 - 191</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>312</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine Kinase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>56 - 1121</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1315</td>
<td>658</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>222</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>558 - 7001</td>
<td>3838</td>
<td>4022</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7426</td>
<td>187</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Bilirubin</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>165 - 463</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>580</td>
<td>458</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Lowest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.

*b* Highest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.

*c* Number of samples used to calculate the reference interval.

*d* Number of different individuals contributing to the reference interval.

* Sample size is insufficient to produce a valid reference interval.
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#### Sample Selection Criteria:
- No selection by gender
- All ages combined
- Animal was classified as healthy at the time of sample collection
- Sample was not deteriorated

#### Physiological Reference Intervals for Eudyptes chrysocome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Reference Interval</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Low Sample^a</th>
<th>High Sample^b</th>
<th>Sample Size^c</th>
<th>Animals^d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Blood Cell Count</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>2.45 - 19.51</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>24.20</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Blood Cell Count</td>
<td>*10^6 cells/µL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>33.1 - 59.9</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV</td>
<td>fL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>223.3</td>
<td>226.5</td>
<td>129.6</td>
<td>293.7</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCHC</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterophils</td>
<td>*10^3 cells/µL</td>
<td>1.07 - 9.65</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>*10^5 cells/µL</td>
<td>0.39 - 8.49</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>45 - 959</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1275</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>44 - 1378</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1629</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>cells/µL</td>
<td>35 - 952</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1278</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>167 - 319</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Urea Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>1 - 6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>0.0 - 0.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric Acid</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>2.5 - 24.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>7.8 - 11.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>0.4 - 6.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca/Phos ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3 - 14.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>141 - 163</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>2.0 - 7.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/K ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.9 - 76.4</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>106 - 122</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Protein</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>3.1 - 6.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>1.1 - 3.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globulin</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
<td>1.0 - 4.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline Phosphatase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>1 - 289</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate Dehydrogenase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>48 - 368</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate Aminotransferase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>123 - 445</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Penguin (Spheniscidae) Care Manual

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>(N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alanine Aminotransferase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>0 - 101</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine Kinase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>91 - 1145</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>1338</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma-glutamyltransferase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>0 - 9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylase</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>1392 - 8877</td>
<td>5001</td>
<td>5135</td>
<td>7962</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Bilirubin</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>0.0 - 0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>194 - 497</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Dioxide</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>15.7 - 41.0</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Lowest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.

*b* Highest sample value used to calculate the reference interval.

*c* Number of samples used to calculate the reference interval.

*d* Number of different individuals contributing to the reference interval.

* Sample size is insufficient to produce a valid reference interval.

---
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Milwaukee County Zoo  
Scientific name: _Eudyptes pachyrhynchus_  
Common Name: Fiordland penguin

**ISIS Values**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>(N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>*10^3/UL</td>
<td>9.440</td>
<td>3.319</td>
<td>5.720</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>*10^6/UL</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCT</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV</td>
<td>fl</td>
<td>223.8</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>196.2</td>
<td>241.2</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HETEROPHILS</td>
<td>*10^3/UL</td>
<td>3.607</td>
<td>3.540</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>7.630</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LYMPHOCYTES</td>
<td>*10^3/UL</td>
<td>5.307</td>
<td>2.169</td>
<td>3.990</td>
<td>7.810</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONOCYTES</td>
<td>*10^3/UL</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOSINOPHILS</td>
<td>*10^3/UL</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASOPHILS</td>
<td>*10^3/UL</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLUCOSE</td>
<td>MG/DL</td>
<td>188 +</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CREAT.</td>
<td>MG/DL</td>
<td>1.2 +</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URIC ACID</td>
<td>MG/DL</td>
<td>26.0 +</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (SGOT)</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>715 +</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPK</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>721 +</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Milwaukee County Zoo
Scientific name: *Pygoscelis adeliae*
Common Name: Adelie penguin

### ISIS Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>*10^3/UL</td>
<td>9.186 +</td>
<td>2.265</td>
<td>5.400</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>*10^6/UL</td>
<td>3.00 +</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGB</td>
<td>GM/DL</td>
<td>16.4 +</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCT</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>49.4 +</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCHC</td>
<td>uug</td>
<td>35.1 +</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV</td>
<td>fL</td>
<td>184.6 +</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>144.0</td>
<td>225.1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HETEROPHILS</td>
<td>*10^3/UL</td>
<td>5.301 +</td>
<td>1.972</td>
<td>2.970</td>
<td>8.900</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LYMPHOCYTES</td>
<td>*10^3/UL</td>
<td>3.316 +</td>
<td>2.038</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>5.430</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONOCYTES</td>
<td>*10^3/UL</td>
<td>0.327 +</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOSINOPHILS</td>
<td>*10^3/UL</td>
<td>0.255 +</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASOPHILS</td>
<td>*10^3/UL</td>
<td>0.245 +</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLUCOSE</td>
<td>MG/DL</td>
<td>284 +</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN</td>
<td>MG/DL</td>
<td>3 +</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CREAT.</td>
<td>MG/DL</td>
<td>0.3 +</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URIC ACID</td>
<td>MG/DL</td>
<td>8.6 +</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>MG/DL</td>
<td>10.9 +</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHOS</td>
<td>MG/DL</td>
<td>2.6 +</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>MEQ/L</td>
<td>153 +</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>MEQ/L</td>
<td>2.9 +</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>MEQ/L</td>
<td>114 +</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHOL</td>
<td>MG/DL</td>
<td>304 +</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. PROT. (C)</td>
<td>GM/DL</td>
<td>4.9 +</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALBUMIN (C)</td>
<td>GM/DL</td>
<td>2.1 +</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLOBULIN (C)</td>
<td>GM/DL</td>
<td>2.7 +</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (SGOT)</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>155 +</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (SGPT)</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>25 +</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. BILI</td>
<td>MG/DL</td>
<td>0.3 +</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALK.PHOS.</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>64 +</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>415 +</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPK</td>
<td>IU/L</td>
<td>147 +</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPHA-1 GLOB</td>
<td>GM/DL</td>
<td>0.3 +</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPHA-2 GLOB</td>
<td>GM/DL</td>
<td>0.4 +</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BETA GLOB.</td>
<td>GM/DL</td>
<td>0.5 +</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>MMOL/L</td>
<td>21.0 +</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix O: AZA Recommended Penguin Egg, Chick & Adult Bird Necropsy Protocols

Egg Necropsy:

1. Refrigerate the egg if there will be a delay before necropsy. Do not freeze eggs or embryos unless the primary goal is virus isolation or bacterial culture, rather than histologic evaluation.

2. Weigh and measure the egg as soon as possible after the embryo is confirmed dead.
   a. Record weight in grams.
   b. Measure length and greatest diameter of egg in centimeters.

3. Describe egg shell characteristics (abnormal shape, shell thickness, presence of cracks, degree of fecal staining, external calcium deposits, etc.).

4. Open the egg by carefully removing the shell overlying the aircell. This can be accomplished with a pair of sharp-blunt scissors, or by gently cracking the shell and removing fragments with forceps.
   a. Examine the aircell membrane for integrity, thickenings, hemorrhages, etc.

5. For small (early stage) embryos, obtain separate swabs of yolk and albumen for culture and cytology. Skip to step 7 for larger embryos.
   a. Peel back the aircell membrane and insert a swab to obtain the albumen culture. Note: if the fluid is watery, it is likely allantoic fluid rather than albumen.
   b. The egg contents may have to be dumped out in order to obtain the yolk cultures.
   c. A second swab of yolk (not a culture swab) may then be taken and rolled onto three microscope slides. The smears should be as thin as possible. NOTE: Avoid vigorous swabbing of the internal aspect of the yolk sac; hematopoietic cells which reside there may be dislodged and give a false impression that there is inflammation in the yolk sac. Recommended stains include Wright-Giemsa (or Diff-Quik) and gram. Save the third slide for additional stains, if needed.

6. For larger (late stage) embryos, remove enough egg shell to expose the embryo. Note the position of the head relative to other body parts, and in relation to the aircell. The normal position for embryos ready to pip is head under the right wing, with the tip of the beak pointing up toward the aircell.
   a. If the yolk sac is still external (has not retracted into the body cavity), and is accessible, puncture the wall with a sterile scalpel and obtain a culture. If the yolk sac is inaccessible, skip to step 8.
   b. Obtain a second swab of yolk for cytology as described above.
   c. Save the yolk sac (in formalin) for histopathology.
   d. Record the color and consistency (relative thickness or viscosity) of the yolk.

7. Remove the embryo and membranes from the shell by gently dumping the contents into a clean shallow container.
   a. If swabs of yolk for culture and cytology have not yet been collected, obtain them now (as described under step 6). Record the color and consistency (relative thickness or viscosity) of the yolk.
   b. Weigh the embryo with and without the yolk sac (if external).
   c. Measure the length of the embryo and if possible estimate the stage of development using The Normal Stages of The Chick as a guideline.
   d. Note any external abnormalities, such as musculoskeletal deformities, abnormal skin color, skin hemorrhages, edema, dryness, residual albumen, etc. If possible photograph any abnormalities.
   e. Record the degree of internalization (retraction) of the yolk sac.
   f. Examine the pipping muscle at the back of the neck for edema or hemorrhages.
   g. Note the contents of the mouth, nares, and gizzard.

8. Small embryos along with yolk sac and fetal membranes may be immersed whole in formalin. The volume of formalin should be at least ten times the total volume of the tissues.

9. If the embryo is large enough, conduct a mini-necropsy, retaining representative samples of all organs and tissues for histopathology.
a. Open the coelomic cavity by making a ventral midline incision with a scalpel or scissors, being careful to avoid tearing the yolk sac if it is internalized. Proceed with yolk sac cultures and cytology as described under steps 6 and 7 above.

b. Save the yolk sac (in formalin) for histopathology along with the embryo and membranes. The volume of formalin should be at least ten times the total volume of the tissues.

10. Send a copy of the final pathology report and a recut set of H&E stained slides to Dr. Judy St. Leger, SeaWorld San Diego, 500 SeaWorld Drive, San Diego, CA 92109-7904. Ph: 619-222-6363.

Chick and Adult Necropsy:

1. Refrigerate the body if there will be a delay before necropsy. Do not freeze the body unless the primary goal is virus isolation or bacterial culture, rather than histologic evaluation.
2. Record all relevant historical information as indicated on the necropsy form.
3. Weigh the bird as soon as possible after death.

EXTERNAL EXAMINATION:

4. For chicks, note condition of the umbilicus or seal, particularly whether it dry and completely closed.
5. Note any musculoskeletal abnormalities, ectoparasites, evidence of trauma, proliferative skin lesions, etc.
6. Examine the feet carefully for evidence of pododermatitis (bumblefoot).
7. Examine body orifices for patency, exudates, fecal staining around cloaca, etc.
8. Make an evaluation of nutritional condition based on fat stores and relative muscle mass.

INTERNAL EXAMINATION:

9. Make a ventral midline skin incision from the mandible to the cloaca with a sharp scalpel or scissors, being careful to avoid rupturing the yolk sac in young birds.
   a. If the yolk sac ruptures, immediately obtain a yolk culture as the yolk spills out and prepare smears for cytology.
   b. Note the size of the yolk sac and, if sufficient yolk remains, obtain separate swabs for culture and cytology.
10. Remove the keel to expose the thoracic organs.
   a. Note any accumulations of fluid or exudate in the body cavity and obtain a swab for bacterial and/or fungal culture if appropriate.
11. Obtain blood for smears and bacterial culture by direct heart puncture using a 1 to 3 cc syringe with a 20 to 22 gauge needle.
   a. Prepare at least two blood smears for hemoparasite screening (only a few drops of blood are needed).
   b. If enough blood was obtained, bacterial cultures should be submitted on young birds to rule out septicemia.
   c. If no blood can be obtained from the heart by syringe, smears can be prepared by dabbing the cut surface of the lung or liver onto two or three microscope slides.
12. Collect the thyroids (with parathyroids), thymus, and spleen for histopathology.
   a. Determine gender by examining the gonads prior to removal.
13. Remove the internal organs and examine each systematically.
   a. Obtain samples for histopathology using the tissue list below as a guide. Save samples of all lesions.
   b. Note especially the quantity and nature of the ingesta throughout the GI tract.
   c. The bursa of Fabricius lies dorsal to the cloaca, close to the cloacal orifice (vent). Make sure the bursa does not remain attached to the body when the GI tract is removed.

Tissue Checklist
All of the following tissues may be placed together in a single container of 10% neutral buffered formalin. THE VOLUME OF FORMALIN SHOULD BE 10 TIMES THE VOLUME OF ALL TISSUES COLLECTED. The tissues should be no thicker than 0.5cm to ensure proper fixation.

- Skin Muscle (pectoral and thigh)
• Sciatic nerve (with thigh muscle)
• Tongue
• Esophagus
• Crop
• Proventriculus
• Gizzard
• Duodenum
• Jejunum
• Ileum
• Cecum
• Colon
• Cloaca with Bursa of Fabricius
• Liver with gallbladder
• Pancreas
• Spleen
• Kidney with Gonad
• Oviduct
• Adrenal (with kidney)
• Thyroid and Parathyroid Thymus
• Trachea
• Lung
• Heart
• Aorta
• Pituitary
• Eye
• Brain
• Femoral Bone Marrow

FREEZE PORTIONS OF THE FOLLOWING IF POSSIBLE FOR FURTHER TESTING:
• Liver
• Spleen
• Lung
• Brain
• Heart
• Skeletal Muscle

Freeze each tissue separately by wrapping in foil and placing in separate plastic bags (at least 10 grams of each tissue if large enough. These tissues can be valuable for ancillary diagnostics. They may be discarded after a definitive diagnosis is established, but if possible, should be saved for future research purposes.

Send a copy of the pathology report and a recut set of H&E slides to Dr. Judy St. Leger, Pathology Department SeaWorld San Diego, 500 SeaWorld Dr. San Diego CA 92109-7904. Ph:619-222-6363.
# Appendix P: Sample Enrichment Schedules for Penguins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sunday</th>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
<th>Friday</th>
<th>Saturday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Puzzle ball w/fish & ice cubes
- Guests in habitat
- Relocate pm pans
- Wading area on east side w/sunken fish
- Keeper’s choice of toy w/interaction
- Boomer ball
- Radio or penguin sounds CD
- Keeper play in habitat
- Bubbles
- Ice cubes on west & north sides
- Sprinkler on east side
- Relocate pm pans
- Keeper’s choice of toy w/interaction
- Ice cubes throughout habitat (iphone)
- "Keeper play" outside habitat
- Radio or penguin sounds CD
- Relocate pm pans
- Wading area on east side w/boomer ball
- Ice cubes throughout habitat
- Multiple boomer balls, interact with for 10 minutes
- Keeper’s choice of toy w/interaction
- Puzzle ball w/fish & ice cubes
- Bubbles
- Keeper play in habitat
- Sprinkler / mister
- Relocate pm pans
- Ice cubes throughout habitat
- Keeper play outside habitat
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week of:</th>
<th>Sunday</th>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
<th>Friday</th>
<th>Saturday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic cones, car mats, painting</td>
<td>Fish from heaven, seasonings, kelp with fish</td>
<td>Hula hoop chain, jingle bells</td>
<td>Mandatory swim, pool float</td>
<td>Showers, pool noodles, small colored plates</td>
<td>Mirror-in exhibit or at underwater viewing, jumbo tennis balls</td>
<td>Balloon freezes, open house encounter room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mandatory swim, hanging ball from feed hook</td>
<td>Water sprinkler, dog toys, kazooos</td>
<td>Bubbles, cauldrons, puzzle mats</td>
<td>Turtle pool &amp;/or top, kayak</td>
<td>Trash can lids, baby bath, xylophone</td>
<td>Hose pieces, ice sculpture, baby mobile</td>
<td>Kelp, flashlight in exhibit or at underwater viewing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ice treats, bells, frisbees</td>
<td>Boogie board, roll ball at underwater viewing</td>
<td>Smiley toy, open house HR and HP</td>
<td>Tv at UWV, fish inside octoballs</td>
<td>Water feed from heaven, mandatory swim, buoys</td>
<td>Snow cones, chalk drawings, painting</td>
<td>Tent, boogie board, extracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Color-changing ball, yoga mats</td>
<td>Mandatory swim, penguin soccer</td>
<td>Big red ball, wind chimes, large ice floe</td>
<td>Music, water feed from side door of exhibit</td>
<td>Kiddie pool, small balls, small colored mats</td>
<td>Water sprinkler, fire hose pieces</td>
<td>Ice alone or with fish/fish juice/extracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bubbles, plastic box toys, plastic bowling pins</td>
<td>Pinwheels, window clings, piano mat</td>
<td>Wheelbarrow with ice and fish/ juice/extract, beans in a can interactive</td>
<td>Yellow surf board, in water fountain/light show</td>
<td>Hula hoops, singing and dancing penguin</td>
<td>Ice alone or with fish/fish juice/extracts, mega blocks towers or loose</td>
<td>Towels &amp; mandatory swim</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Photograph 1: Depicts one side of the exterior wall surrounding the penguin enclosure.

Photograph 2: Depicts another view of the exterior wall surrounding the penguin enclosure.
Photograph 3: Depicts another view of the exterior wall surrounding the penguin enclosure.

Photograph 4: Depicts another view of the exterior wall surrounding the penguin enclosure.
Photograph 5: Depicts locked gate to the entrance of the penguin pen.
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DISCLAIMER

This National Zoo Biosecurity Manual is not intended to be prescriptive and is not a set of standards requiring compliance by zoo industry members. This Manual has been specifically designed as an industry resource to raise awareness of best practice in zoo biosecurity. The information and guidelines within the Manual should not be used for any other purpose, nor interpreted outside this context.

DEVELOPMENT AND REVIEW PROCESS

This Manual has been developed as a cooperative initiative between the Zoo and Aquarium Association (the Association), the Australian Wildlife Health Network (AWHN) and the Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF), on behalf of the Australian Zoo Industry. The Zoo Animal Health Reference Group, the Board of the Zoo and Aquarium Association and Australia’s Chief Veterinary Officer have endorsed this Manual for use by the zoo industry. The Manual is published as a working draft for 12 months from May 2011 to May 2012. During this period the Association welcomes feedback on the Manual from Association members and other stakeholders (send to NZBMreview@zooaquarium.org.au). Significant updates or changes to this document will be indicated by a change in Edition number and date of publication.

CONTEXT

The National Zoo Biosecurity Manual (NZBM) has been developed by veterinary leaders and advisors within the Australian zoo industry to document best practice biosecurity measures currently being adopted by the zoo industry. The Manual can be tailored by zoos to suit their individual circumstances and can also be utilised as a training tool, to assist zoos in educating staff on biosecurity measures. The Manual acknowledges the wide range of circumstances under which zoos within Australia operate in terms of geographic location, species and numbers of animals held, work practices and available resources. All zoos are encouraged to use the information in this Manual to assess their own biosecurity risks, and to develop and maintain an appropriate level of biosecurity for their premises.
INTRODUCTION

Biosecurity is the set of precautions taken to minimise the risk of introducing an infectious disease into an animal (or human) population.

Each zoo’s unique characteristics will influence its biosecurity requirements. This Manual identifies areas of common risk to all zoos and outlines appropriate measures to minimise these risks. Individual zoos are encouraged to develop their own site-specific Biosecurity Plan.

Biosecurity is important for all zoos. Good biosecurity practices help to:

- Keep zoo animals safe and healthy
- Keep zoo staff and visitors safe and healthy
- Minimise costs associated with treating disease in zoo animals
- Keep zoos open and running if an infectious disease outbreak occurs within, or near a zoo
- Promote the good reputation of the individual zoo and the zoo industry as a whole
- Assista zoo in acquiring and managing exotic species.

The National Zoo Biosecurity Manual (NZBM) is intended to be used by individual zoos, including fauna parks, sanctuaries, aquaria and marine parks, holding native and/or exotic species, as a tool to help them to gauge their own biosecurity requirements and to assist them to develop a biosecurity plan suitable for their particular circumstances. It is not expected that every zoo will have a need to, nor be in a position to, implement all of the guidelines in daily practice.

Zoo Biosecurity includes but is not limited to:

- Appropriately constructed and maintained facilities
- Management of stray and pest species
- Management of drainage and waste products
- Good hygiene and work practices
- A preventative medicine program
- Appropriate quarantine of newly arrived and sick animals and
- Veterinary diagnosis and treatment of sick animals.

These guidelines complement and support the Australian Animal Welfare Standards and Guidelines: Exhibited Animals (Zoos) (AAWS), developed as part of the Australian Animal Welfare Strategy and should be read in conjunction with that document. Relevant standards from the draft AAWS document (November 2010, Version 7.1) are referenced throughout this Manual. Association member zoos should also refer to the ZAA Accreditation Standards http://www.zooaquarium.org.au/Accreditation/default.aspx.

Zoos must also comply with the legislation of relevant agencies and jurisdictions (local, federal and state/territory).
The development of Zoo-specific practices and institutional-specific Biosecurity Plans is fundamental to the success of improved biosecurity for the entire zoo industry. It is acknowledged that each zoo will have differing biosecurity challenges and operating environments, which should be addressed based upon the objectives identified within this Manual.

Each institution is encouraged to develop its own Zoo specific Plan to guide their biosecurity activities. An institution which does not develop a Zoo specific Plan can achieve best practice by meeting the guidelines within the National Zoo Biosecurity Manual.

A biosecurity self audit checklist for ongoing assessment and improvement is available, in electronic format, as a supplement to this Manual. The checklist can be downloaded from www.zooaquarium.org.au and adapted as needed by each institution.

**Guidelines** (numbered sequentially for each section) outline the recommended practices to achieve best practice zoo biosecurity outcomes.

The Manual outlines both **basic guidelines** and **higher level guidelines** for all zoos. Most guidelines are considered to be basic guidelines which will be relevant to most zoos in most circumstances. Some guidelines, marked **higher level guidelines** may not be applicable in all situations or in all zoos, but may be implemented in individual zoos according to their needs or in all zoos during periods of higher biosecurity risk.

**Definitions** and **abbreviations** are found at the end of this document and are mostly drawn from the AAWS document. Some defined words in this document are capitalised. Some important definitions are included in the main body of the document for clarity.
PRINCIPLES OF ZOO BIOSECURITY

Good biosecurity is integral to the successful management of all zoos.

GOOD ZOO BIOSECURITY AIMS TO:

- prevent the introduction of infectious disease and contaminants to zoo animals
- prevent the spread of disease from an infected area to an uninfected area within the zoo
- prevent the spread of infectious disease from zoo animals to animals outside the zoo
- prevent the spread of infectious disease from animals to humans or humans to animals.

Biosecurity is important for all zoos, regardless of size. Historically, Australia’s larger zoos have been expected to maintain strong biosecurity practices, due to the perceived higher risks associated with importing and holding exotic species. With today’s growing focus on biosecurity management, it is important that zoo biosecurity focuses on all risks, not just those arising from exotic species. All zoos (including smaller zoos and fauna parks holding few or no exotic species) need to be aware of, and address the biosecurity risks relevant to their circumstances. All zoo staff need to be aware of the principles of biosecurity and how this applies to their work at the zoo.

Biosecurity is the responsibility of everyone at the zoo.

Biosecurity is concerned with minimising the negative consequences of infectious disease introduction and spread. Infectious disease within the zoo collection impacts on individual health and welfare, and can have long term impacts on reproduction, longevity, behaviours and population and species viability. Subclinical and chronic diseases can exert their effects for years and even decades. Ill health, death and reproductive failure in collection animals leads to greater costs (husbandry, veterinary, acquisition) and reduces the financial viability of the zoo as a business. Infectious disease spread to humans or domestic animals can have serious social, economic and ethical costs. A zoo’s ability to protect itself from a disease outbreak will be greatly improved if it has appropriate biosecurity arrangements.

Biosecurity is an insurance policy against disease outbreak and its consequences. Biosecurity is a prudent and necessary investment.

Biosecurity is concerned with recognising and managing risk. This Manual identifies areas of risk common to most zoos and appropriate measures to minimise those risks. Individual zoos can
achieve best practice by conducting an institution-specific biosecurity risk assessment to establish the level of risk that exists in each area of its operations, and by using this Manual as a guide to identifying and implementing appropriate control measures for their circumstances. Zoos are encouraged to develop their own institution-specific Biosecurity Plans.

It is important to consider all factors that may impact on zoo biosecurity, including:

- species, origin and number of collection animals
- location and layout of the zoo
- source of water supply
- source of food supply
- method of waste management
- disease status of collection animals
- disease status and proximity to animals in the surrounding area
- presence and type of wildlife and pest species
- zoonotic disease potential
- animal movements and transactions
- movement of staff, visitors, contractors and deliveries.

**TYPICAL ZOO BIOSECURITY MANAGEMENT PRACTICES INCLUDE:**

- a preventative medicine program for all zoo animals
- inspection, testing and quarantine of incoming animals, including species bred for release as part of a sanctioned recovery program
- isolation and treatment of sick animals
- veterinary investigation of illness and death in collection animals
- control of wild, stray and pest animals
- hygiene procedures for staff and visitors
- appropriately constructed and maintained facilities
- controlling drainage and waste disposal and
- ensuring food, water, equipment or work practices do not introduce or spread pests or disease.
MAJOR ROUTES FOR DISEASE AND PATHOGEN TRANSMISSION

An understanding of the major routes for disease and pathogen movement from, or into, a zoo is essential for assessing and managing risk and creating effective work practices. Managing risk is the key to good biosecurity.

Diseases and pathogens may enter or exit the zoo via many routes. Any animal, human or product entering or leaving the zoo should be seen as a possible route or vehicle for disease transmission. The management of inputs and outputs is discussed in greater detail in the relevant sections of this Manual (see Routine Biosecurity Procedures).

Inputs

Inputs refer to any human, animal, biological or non-biological product which enters the zoo. Inputs into zoos vary depending on the type of facility. Each input into the zoo should be assessed for its biosecurity risk. This Biosecurity Manual deals primarily with the recognition and management of the risks associated with these inputs. Each section is covered in greater detail in the document, but the following general principles apply:

I. ANIMALS

Animal inputs include: animals introduced from other institutions either from within Australia or imported from overseas; animals imported from commercial properties, including animals used as food items; sick, injured or orphaned wildlife brought in by members of the public, wildlife care groups or wildlife officers; animals confiscated by customs/quarantine officers; native animals caught from the wild for captive breeding purposes; free-ranging animals, (either native, feral or stray, including birds, rodents, cats and dogs) from adjacent areas; pet animals brought into the zoo grounds and disability animals accompanying visitors. It also includes insects and other invertebrates which may carry, or mechanically transmit, infectious diseases. Any animal input may pose a biosecurity risk.

II. FEED

Feed inputs include dry feed (concentrates, hay, pellets, seed) and wet feed (fresh fruit, poultry, fish, meat, vegetables, browse and pasture silage). Feed may carry pathogens, and may be contaminated by the raw materials used, post-production, during transport and storage or by exposure to rodents, birds, other pests, insects and other free-ranging species on or off the property. Bacteria and mould in poor quality or damaged feed may be a biosecurity concern.
III. BIOLOGICAL SPECIMENS

Biological specimens may be brought to zoos by researchers, wildlife officers, customs and quarantine officers or others. Wildlife carcasses may be brought to the zoo for post mortem investigation. Semen, embryos and other biological specimens may be brought to the zoo for reproductive or laboratory work. These inputs can pose a risk of disease and pathogen transmission.

IV. VEHICLES, MACHINERY, TOOLS AND OTHER EQUIPMENT

Vehicles moving into the zoo may transfer infectious agents, especially on contaminated tyres. Other equipment entering the facility includes tools, materials used for animal housing (straw, litter, mulch, sand and gravel), equipment used during the transportation of animals (hay, sawdust and crates), medicines and other veterinary products. Animal waste products may enter the facility with imported or transferred animals.

V. PEOPLE

Zoo staff, including volunteers and students, enter the premises for normal work purposes and may have contact with other animals (domestic pets/ rehabilitating or “pet” wildlife/ livestock or feral species) outside of work hours. Zoo personnel and family members may live on-site. Local and international visitors pass through the premises on a daily basis and may have close contact with zoo animals. Contractors, maintenance personnel and service people also visit the site regularly. Researchers, wildlife rehabilitators and wildlife officers may also visit the facility, often bringing animals with them. Disease agents can be transmitted from people to animals, for example, via hands, boots, clothing or equipment. Humans can transmit diseases from other animals they have been in contact with outside the zoo, or can transmit human diseases such as influenza, common colds and other zoonoses to zoo animals.

VI. AIR

Some disease agents can be transmitted on air-borne particles, including dust, aerosolised water and aerosolised faeces.

VII. WATER SUPPLY

Water supplies used for drinking, bathing and cleaning may carry pathogens to, or from, animals. Water may become contaminated with waste products or animal faeces, for example from feral or wild birds, rodents or native mammals which poses a risk to both animals and staff.
Outputs

Outputs refer to any human, animal, biological or non-biological product which leaves the zoo. Outputs will vary on the type of facility. Each output from the zoo should be assessed for its biosecurity risk. This Biosecurity Manual deals primarily with the recognition and management of the risks associated with both inputs and outputs. Each section is covered in greater detail in the document, but the following general principles apply:

I. ANIMALS

Animal outputs include: animals leaving the zoo for other institutions either within Australia or overseas; sick, injured or orphaned wildlife being moved to rehabilitation facilities or released into the wild; confiscated animals returned to owners or other authorities; captive-bred animals for release to the wild as part of a sanctioned recovery program; free-ranging animals (either native, feral or stray, including birds, rodents, cats and dogs) moving out from zoo properties. Any animal output may pose a biosecurity risk to humans, livestock and the environment.

II. WASTE PRODUCTS INCLUDING Faeces, Urine, Water, Biological Products, Carcases

Waste outputs including waste food products, faeces, animal bedding and biological products such as zoo animal carcases often leave the zoo property for disposal at a remote site. Some of these waste products can transmit disease and pathogens. Waste management both on and off property is important for good zoo biosecurity.

III. BIOLOGICAL SPECIMENS

Biological specimens may leave the zoo for diagnostic or research purposes. Dead animals may go to independent facilities for post mortem investigation, research, taxidermy or skeletal preparation for study or display. These outputs can pose a risk of disease and pathogen transmission.

IV. VEHICLES, MACHINERY, TOOLS AND OTHER EQUIPMENT

Vehicles moving from the zoo may transfer infectious agents, especially on contaminated tyres. Other equipment leaving the facility includes tools, materials used for animal housing (straw, litter, mulch, sand and gravel), equipment used during the transportation of animals (hay, sawdust, crates), medicines and other veterinary products. Animal waste products may leave the facility with exported or transferred animals.
V. PEOPLE

Zoo staff, including volunteers and students, leave the zoo premises and return to the community each day, where they may have contact with other animals (domestic pets/ rehabilitating or “pet” wildlife/ livestock or feral species) outside of work hours. Researchers, wildlife rehabilitators and wildlife officers may have contact with non-zoo animals after visiting the facility. Disease agents can be transmitted from people to animals, for example, via hands, boots, clothing or equipment. Humans can transmit diseases to other animals they are in contact with outside the zoo.

LEVELS OF BIOSECURITY

ROUTINE BIOSECURITY PROCEDURES

The majority of biosecurity measures outlined in this document will be applied on a routine or daily basis by most zoos in most circumstances. Maintaining these levels of routine biosecurity will give a high assurance that disease agents are not carried into animal enclosures and will reduce the risk of disease transmission between enclosures.

HIGHER LEVEL BIOSECURITY PROCEDURES

Some biosecurity measures may not be a necessary part of routine practice in zoos, but may be implemented in situations or circumstances outside the normal. Higher level biosecurity procedures may be adopted by individual zoos, according to Zoo-specific circumstance and risk. Some higher level biosecurity guidelines are included in this document and may be adopted as needed, within the Zoo’s individual Biosecurity Plan.

In the event of an increased disease risk (e.g. infectious disease event in one enclosure, changed health status of individuals), an increased level of biosecurity should be implemented as determined by the circumstances.

EMERGENCY BIOSECURITY RESPONSE PLANS

In the case of an emergency animal disease and where applicable, standard operating procedures (SOPs) will be implemented in line with the relevant AUSVETPLAN disease strategy (see www.animalhealthaustralia.com.au). Zoos should also develop a Zoo-specific Emergency Biosecurity Response Plan, to increase biosecurity protection in the event of a suspected outbreak of an emergency disease or serious endemic disease.
ROUTINE BIOSECURITY PROCEDURES

1. Record keeping, animal identification, staff training and documentation

RECORD KEEPING

Objective

To record all the necessary and appropriate information essential for good biosecurity practices.

Records include individual animal or group identification, date and place of birth, medical history of individual, including preventative medicine program, breeding history and movements of animals both externally and within the facility. This information will allow tracing of movements and events.

Tracing, either forward or back, allows the pathway of disease introduction and spread to be identified in the event of a disease outbreak or a breakdown in biosecurity. Tracing facilitates risk identification and management.

RELEVANT AAWS STANDARDS

Section 12: Animal identification and records
S12.1, S12.4, S12.5, S12.6, S12.7, S12.8, S12.9, S12.10, S12.11

Guidelines

G1.1 Records should be permanently maintained for veterinary and husbandry activities concerning individual collection animals, including acquisition and disposition of animals to and from the collection.

G1.2 When a Zoo is managed with different Biosecurity Zones, records should be maintained of movement of animals from one biosecurity zone to another (see Section 2 Property management – Biosecurity zones and compartmentalisation).

G1.3 Records should be kept of all significant animal illness and all collection animal deaths. (see Section 7 Management of sick animals and Section 8 Animal deaths, post mortem examination and carcase disposal).
G1.4  A **minimum** set of information should be recorded for each significant animal illness and all collection animal deaths:

a. date  

b. location  

c. species  

d. clinical signs/ circumstance and/or syndrome  

e. tests performed and results  

f. diagnosis (definitive or suspected)  

g. response - any associated actions put in place as a result including reporting.

**ANIMAL IDENTIFICATION**

**RELEVANT AAWS STANDARD**  
Section 12: Animal identification and records  
S12.1

**Guidelines**

G1.5 Whenever possible, individual animals should be permanently identified. Identification methods such as microchip or tattoo are recommended over other methods such as ear tags and leg bands (although these may be used in addition to microchips or tattoos).

G1.6 Permanent identification should be verified whenever possible (i.e. confirm tattoo or microchip present, functional, with both site and number verified).

**STAFF TRAINING AND DOCUMENTATION**

**RELEVANT AAWS STANDARDS**  
Section 1: Responsibilities  
S1.1, S1.2, S1.3, S1.4, S1.7

**Objective**

To ensure all zoo staff are aware of the significance of biosecurity issues.

To ensure zoo management and staff working with animals have a good understanding of the major routes for disease and pathogen movement both from, and into, the zoo.
To ensure zoo management and staff working with animals have a good understanding of work practices which minimise the risk of disease and pathogen movement.

All personnel involved with the operations of the zoo require a basic understanding of biosecurity and biosecurity risks. **Staff working with animals**, in animal enclosures, or with animal products are expected to have a high level of knowledge of biosecurity as relevant to their work practices. Every staff member, including volunteers and students assisting in work practices, has a responsibility for zoo biosecurity. The biosecurity environment in Australia is rapidly changing. National, linked information networks allow rapid access to biosecurity information and new developments.

**Definition:** **Staff** - all persons who have been given a level of responsibility associated with the zoo and includes, but is not limited to, keepers, volunteers, researchers, students and contractors.

**Definition:** **Staff working with animals** – any staff member involved in work activities within the zoo, which involves direct or close contact with animals, animal enclosures or animal products.

**Guidelines**

**G1.7** Each zoo should keep a copy of the National Zoo Biosecurity Manual and a copy of a more detailed, site-specific document (the Institution’s Biosecurity Plan) that encompasses the National Zoo Biosecurity Manual. These documents should be readily accessible to all staff.

**G1.8** All zoo staff should have an appropriate awareness of biosecurity and its importance to the zoo and to Australia.

**G1.9** Staff working with animals and other staff with biosecurity responsibilities should have an appropriate level of understanding of biosecurity risks and management procedures relevant to their work responsibilities.

**G1.10** Staff should receive regular training in the relevant aspects of the National Zoo Biosecurity Manual and the Zoo’s site-specific Biosecurity Plan. Records of training should be maintained for the duration of the employment of the staff member.
2. Property management

Objective

To minimise the risk of spread of disease or contaminants into, from or within the zoo collection through effective use of daily zoo management practices and protocols.

INPUTS AND OUTPUTS

Objective

Inputs and outputs are managed to reduce biosecurity risks.

An understanding of the major routes for disease and contaminant movement from, or into, a zoo is essential for assessing and minimising biosecurity risk (see Major routes for disease and pathogen transmission).

Guidelines

G2.1 Zoo management and staff working with animals should have a good understanding of the major routes for disease and contaminant movement into, from and within, the zoo.

G2.2 Zoo management and staff working with animals should have a good understanding of work practices which minimise the risk of disease and pathogen movement.

G2.3 Inputs and outputs should be assessed for potential biosecurity risks.

G2.4 If the zoo runs a domestic animal ("petting zoo"), these animals should be sourced from low biosecurity risk facilities; risk assessment should occur and they should be housed and managed to minimise the biosecurity risk to other zoo animals.

See also Section 6 Quarantine.

PERIMETER AND ANIMAL ENCLOSURE SECURITY

Objective

To limit and control unauthorised access by people to zoo grounds and animal enclosures.

To prevent or minimise access by wild, feral, stray pet and other animals to zoo grounds and animal enclosures.

To ensure all zoo animals are safely and appropriately secured within their enclosures.

To have emergency response plans established in the event of animal escape or other emergency.
Guidelines

G2.5  The property should have a secure perimeter fence or otherwise well-defined boundary, establishing a clearly defined biosecurity zone.

G2.6  Entrances to the property should be able to be closed and locked to vehicle and foot traffic. Entrances should be locked during all non-visitor hours.

G2.7  All animal enclosures should be appropriately constructed and secured to prevent animal escape.

G2.8  Each enclosure should be individually and permanently identified with a unique name, number or alphanumeric code for identification purposes.

G2.9  Zoos should ensure that all animals are housed in appropriate enclosures with a suitable level of enclosure security for the species, including all species listed as either Extreme or Serious Threat species under the Vertebrate Pests Committee Guidelines (http://www.feral.org.au/guidelines-for-the-import-movement-and-keeping-of-exotic-vertebrates-in-australia/).

G2.10 Zoos should have a written management action plan in the event of an escape or theft of an animal from the institution, including for all species listed as either Extreme or Serious Threat species under the Vertebrate Pests Committee Guidelines.

AN INSTITUTION-SPECIFIC BIOSECURITY PLAN

Objective

To develop and maintain an institution-specific, documented, Biosecurity Plan

The development of Zoo-specific practices and Zoo-specific Biosecurity Plans is fundamental to the success of improved biosecurity for the entire zoo industry. Because each zoo will have differing biosecurity challenges and operating environments, a site-specific Plan is the most effective way to achieve excellent biosecurity for each zoo.
Guidelines

G2.11 Each zoo should develop and implement an effective, documented institution-specific Biosecurity Plan. Those zoos which chose not to develop their own Biosecurity Plan should implement the relevant guidelines within the National Zoo Biosecurity Manual.

G2.12 Each zoo should have an up-to-date map of the property, showing identified enclosures, service buildings, veterinary and quarantine facilities, food sheds, access roads and gates.

See also Section 10 A Zoo-specific Biosecurity Plan.

BIOSECURITY ZONES AND COMPARTMENTALISATION

Objective

To identify and document different areas of the zoo, based on biosecurity risk.

Dividing a property into distinct biosecurity zones, based on differing levels of biosecurity risk, allows for more effective risk management and planning. For example, areas to which the public have access may require a different level of biosecurity management compared to working areas which are not accessible to the public. Biosecurity zones may also vary depending on the species held, their origin and differences in zoonotic disease transmission potential (see also Section 4 Prevention of transmission of disease between animals and people). Some typical biosecurity zones include: quarantine area; main zoo collection; domestic animal enclosures; public-animal interaction areas; mobile zoo; hospitalised zoo animals; wildlife hospital; confiscated animals and endangered species bred for release.

Higher Level Guidelines

G2.13 Each zoo property should be divided into distinct biosecurity zones based on differing levels of biosecurity risk.

G2.14 There should be an up-to-date map of the property showing the different biosecurity zones, and a written plan, which documents the biosecurity requirements of each zone.

G2.15 If animals of lower biosecurity risk are housed with animals of higher biosecurity risk, they should be assumed to have a similarly high biosecurity risk profile.

ENCLOSURE AND GROUND MAINTENANCE

Objective

To minimise the introduction and spread of disease agents and contaminants in the zoo grounds and enclosures and reduce the attraction of pest species which may transmit disease.

Good hygiene and sanitation are vital components of a biosecurity plan.
Guidelines

G2.16 Enclosures should be maintained at an appropriate level of cleanliness for the species, with the aim of minimising biosecurity risk.

G2.17 Zoo grounds (including maintenance and holding areas) should be maintained at a suitable level of cleanliness.

G2.18 Enclosure equipment and furnishings (including enrichment items) should be managed, using practices aimed at minimising disease and contaminant transmission, so as to minimise biosecurity risk.

G2.19 All enclosures and furnishings should be cleaned regularly to maintain a level of hygiene appropriate for the species involved.

G2.20 Enclosures should be adequately drained to prevent accumulation and stagnation of water.

G2.21 Enclosures and zoo grounds should be designed and maintained in a manner which actively reduces access and attractiveness to pest species (see also Management of Pest and Stray Animals).

G2.22 Water used for cleaning enclosures and waste products including faeces and urine should not drain into adjacent enclosures, other areas with animal access or waterways (see also Drainage and waste disposal and Water quality and supply).

G2.23 Equipment, furnishings and enrichment items should be dedicated to one enclosure or management area. If equipment, furnishings and enrichment have to be moved to different enclosures, they should be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected before use in the new area, or appropriate consideration and management of biosecurity risks should occur prior to movement (e.g. use of faeces of one species for behavioural enrichment of another species).

DRAINAGE AND WASTE DISPOSAL

Objective

To minimise the risk of spread of disease or contaminants, through drainage and waste disposal.

Disposal of waste water, waste food and biological products including faeces and urine presents potential biosecurity risks. Waste products may need to be disinfected prior to disposal. Waste products may also need to be transported off-site for disposal. Waste management practices should follow biosecurity guidelines to minimise risks. Containment, transport and disposal of waste products and water must also comply with local, state/territory and federal requirements.
Guidelines

G2.24 Zoo management should have a knowledge of drainage routes. Preferably, the zoo should maintain a map showing drainage routes.

G2.25 Water and waste draining from enclosures and holding areas should be assessed for biosecurity risks.

G2.26 Drainage from enclosures should not enter other enclosures or management areas or waterways.

G2.27 Enclosures should be adequately drained to prevent accumulation and stagnation of water likely to attract wild birds, especially in the areas around collection waterfowl.

G2.28 Substrate should be removed and replaced as needed to maintain good enclosure hygiene.

G2.29 Waste products including substrate, food matter, faeces and other biological products should be assessed for biosecurity risks before disposal or subsequent use (e.g. zoo animal faeces composted and used within zoo or made available outside the zoo as a commercial product such as “Zoo Poo”).

G2.30 Waste products should be disposed in a manner appropriate to the biosecurity risks of the product, species, enclosure and individual.

G2.31 Containment, transport and disposal of waste products and water leaving the property should minimise disease transmission risks.

G2.32 If necessary, waste products should be disinfected or destroyed, using methods such as:

a) composting
b) autoclaving
c) chemical sterilisation
d) radiant sterilisation (UV, gamma irradiation)
e) incineration.

See also Section 8 Animal deaths and carcase disposal.
FOOD QUALITY AND SUPPLY

Objective

To ensure that animal food is procured, stored, prepared and presented to minimise biosecurity risk.

All food products entering the zoo have the potential to bring in disease and contamination and may pose a biosecurity risk. Food brought into the zoo should be assessed for biosecurity risks.

RELEVANT AAWS STANDARD
Section 4: Dietary and water requirements
S4.4

Guidelines

G2.33 Food offered to zoo animals should be free from known disease risks and should at a minimum meet health and hygiene levels applicable to livestock or equivalent domestic animals.

G2.34 Food storage, preparation and presentation practices, particularly those concerning food of animal origin, should consider and minimise the risks of introduction and spread of infectious disease and contaminants. Food should be stored under conditions (correct temperature and humidity) that minimise spoilage and contamination.

G2.35 Feed offered to zoo animals should be procured, stored, prepared and presented in a manner to minimise or prevent accessibility by pest species.

G2.36 Food that has been damaged by pest species or has obvious contamination from pests (e.g. rodent faeces) should not be fed out.

G2.37 Staff should be trained in appropriate hygiene, including personal hygiene procedures, to ensure that hygiene in food preparation areas is maintained at an appropriate level.

G2.38 Animal food storage and preparation areas should be physically separated from other functions such as the animal hospital, animal holding and staff and visitor food preparation areas.

G2.39 Food should be sourced from reliable suppliers with good biosecurity practices, including appropriate pest management.

G2.40 Written records should be maintained of food sources and delivery dates, or of the sources and delivery dates of food which may pose a biosecurity risk.

G2.41 If the zoo offers whole animal carcases as food items to zoo animals, the carcases should undergo a regular assessment process for possible biosecurity risk. If necessary, the carcases should be scrutinised by the zoo’s veterinary service.

G2.42 Collection, pest or stray animals which die within the zoo grounds (other than animals specifically culled for feeding out) should not be fed out to collection animals.
WATER QUALITY AND SUPPLY

Objective

To ensure that water used in enclosures for drinking, bathing, cooling and cleaning, is of a suitable standard for zoo animals and is of low biosecurity risk.

The use of a suitably treated water supply is critical to the maintenance of good biosecurity. Untreated water can spread infectious disease or contaminants and may be a risk to both animals and humans. Effective treatment of surface water to reduce pathogens and contamination is complex and a detailed discussion on water quality and water treatment is outside the scope of this document. It may be necessary to seek expert advice to ensure a safe water supply. A detailed document on water biosecurity for poultry farms, with information applicable to zoo animals can be found at www.daff.gov.au/birds and www.farmbiosecurity.com.au/toolkit.cfm.

RELEVANT AAWS STANDARDS

Section 4: Dietary and water requirements and Section 3: Enclosures
S4.5, S3.24

Guidelines

G2.43 Fresh, clean drinking water should be supplied to all zoo animals.

G2.44 Bowls and equipment used to provide drinking water to animals should be easily and regularly cleaned. They should be positioned so that the risk of contamination and soiling by animals (including pest species) and vegetation is minimised.

G2.45 If drinking water for animals is from a source other than town water, the water should be tested on a regular basis for disease agents and contaminants and treated as appropriate to meet standards suitable for equivalent livestock consumption. The water source itself (e.g. dam, tank or river) should be inspected regularly for contamination such as carcasses, rubbish, algal blooms etc. Details of Australian and New Zealand guidelines for fresh and marine water quality (2000); primary industries, and livestock drinking water quality can be found at http://www.mincos.gov.au/publications/australian_and_new_zealand_guidelines_for_fresh_and_marine_water_quality and http://www.mincos.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0020/316127/wqg-ch4.pdf

G2.46 Water used for cleaning and bathing of zoo animals should be clean and should not contain pathogens or contaminants which pose a health or biosecurity risk to the animals.

G2.47 Where in-house water treatment is being used, the effectiveness of the treatment system should be validated before use. The water treatment system should be maintained and serviced on a regular, scheduled basis, with written records of the service and inspection history.
Where in-house water treatment is used, there should be a regular program of testing and recording water quality to demonstrate the effectiveness of the treatment system. Microbiological validation of the efficacy of the treatment system should be conducted regularly.

Aquatic and semi-aquatic zoo animals often have very specific water quality requirements. Zoos should be aware of the necessary water quality standards for all species in their care. Regular monitoring and recording of water quality should be performed and water quality should be maintained to appropriate levels. Water quality standards and guidelines for aquatic exhibited animals exist for several Australian states. As an example, water quality standards for captive seals can be found at https://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0011/278075/standards-for-exhibiting-seals-in-nsw.pdf.

If humans are in direct contact with water bodies used by animals (e.g. interaction with aquatic animals), water quality should be closely monitored and maintained.

**MANAGEMENT OF PEST SPECIES**

**Objective**

To minimise the potential for introduction or spread of disease and contaminants by pest animals.

Pest species include insects, feral rats, mice, cats, foxes, dogs and some bird species. In some situations native animals such as possums may become pests. Any pest species may introduce or spread disease or contamination.

**RELEVANT AAWS STANDARDS**

Section 4: Dietary and water requirements and Section 5: Health and wellbeing

S4.4, S5.9

**Guidelines**

G2.51 All zoo enclosures, facilities, waste and rubbish containers should be designed and maintained to limit access by pest species.

G2.52 Feed storage areas should be pest-proof. Feed spills should be cleaned up immediately.

G2.53 Rubbish should be collected and rubbish bins should be emptied frequently, and in an appropriate manner to minimise attraction of pest species.

G2.54 Food presented to zoo animals should be offered in a manner to discourage non-target consumption by pest and other species.

G2.55 Materials within the property that may harbour pest species should be cleaned up on a regular basis and should not be allowed to accumulate.
G2.56 A safe and effective program for the control of pest species should be developed and maintained.

G2.57 A safe and effective trapping and/or baiting program for rodents should be developed and maintained, if necessary.

G2.58 A staff member should be nominated as responsible for pest management (prevention and control) within the zoo. Regular training should be provided.

See also Food quality and supply.

**MANAGEMENT OF STRAY AND DOMESTIC ANIMALS**

**Objective**

*To minimise the potential for introduction or spread of disease and contaminants by stray animals, including domestic species which are not a part of the zoo collection.*

Zoo animals are susceptible to a number of diseases that also affect domestic and production animals. Stray domestic animals roaming on zoo grounds may directly or indirectly transmit disease to or from zoo animals.

**Guidelines**

G2.59 Domestic animals should not have access to zoo grounds unless:

a. they are a part of the zoo collection
b. they are part of public education programs
c. they are disability animals (e.g. seeing-eye dogs accompanying their owners).

G2.60 If domestic animals are brought to the zoo for rescue or rehabilitation purposes, they should be maintained in isolation from zoo collection animals (see also Section 6 Quarantine).

G2.61 Perimeter fencing and security measures should be constructed and maintained so as to minimise the opportunity for stray animals to gain access to zoo grounds.

G2.62 The zoo should have a documented procedure for the management of stray animals on zoo grounds.

G2.63 Pets living with staff within the zoo should be confined to the immediate vicinity of the zoo accommodation and should not have access to zoo grounds.

G2.64 Each zoo should have a documented protocol for managing disability animals within the zoo. This may require disability animals to be housed in a designated area within the zoo facility, or may allow disability animals to accompany owners into the zoo grounds, if a risk assessment indicates this poses minimal biosecurity risk.
ANIMAL MOVEMENTS WITHIN ZOO GROUNDS

Objective

To minimise the risk of introduction or spread of disease through animal movements within the zoo and outside the zoo.

Zoo animals may need to be moved from one enclosure to another for management reasons. Some zoo animals (e.g. elephants, domestic equids, camels, canids and felids) are routinely “walked” within the zoo grounds, outside designated enclosures, for purposes of exercise and interaction. Non-collection animals (e.g. rehabilitation wildlife) may need to be moved around the zoo and between different holding areas. The biosecurity risks associated with these movements should be assessed and managed.

RELEVANT AAWS STANDARDS
Section 2: Security
S2.4, S2.6

Guidelines

G2.65 Managers and animal staff should consider and manage biosecurity risks before moving animals between enclosures.

G2.66 Enclosures should be cleaned, treated, or left empty for designated periods, if necessary, to minimise biosecurity risks when moving animals between enclosures.

G2.67 Rehabilitation wildlife and other non-collection animals (e.g. confiscation cases) entering or leaving the zoo should be physically separated from collection animals at all times. Appropriate quarantine procedures should be undertaken before such animals are permitted to enter the zoo collection (see Section 6 Quarantine).

G2.68 Biosecurity risks associated with walking animals outside of enclosures should be considered. Risk management procedures (e.g. choice of times and routes) should be documented.

Details of animal transfers between zoos are discussed in Section 9 Management of animals, vehicles and equipment during animal transport.
ZOO ANIMAL FACILITY DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

Objective

To ensure that zoo animal facilities are designed and constructed to minimise the risks of introduction or transmission of disease and contaminants and to facilitate biosecurity risk management.

Appropriately designed and constructed zoo facilities, and in particular animal facilities, will greatly aid the prevention and management of biosecurity risks. Well designed and constructed facilities will help zoos to meet many of the guidelines in this Manual. For example, well designed catch-up facilities allow implementation of preventative medicine programs and facilitate investigation, monitoring and treatment of zoo animals. Well designed drainage facilities allow waste products to be managed in a manner which minimises biosecurity risks. Animal facilities must comply with relevant local, state/territory and federal government regulations with respect to hygiene, sanitation and biosecurity.

RELEVANT AAWS STANDARDS
Section 3: Enclosures; Section 5: Health and wellbeing; Section 8: Capture and restraint and Section 4: Dietary and water requirements
S3.1, S3.3, S3.11, S5.5, S8.2, S4.5

Guidelines

G2.69 The design and construction of zoo facilities should incorporate features that allow for the prevention and management of biosecurity risks.

G2.70 Animal enclosures should be designed and constructed to:
   a. prevent animal escape
   b. prevent unauthorised access
   c. allow adequate staff and vehicle access (for cleaning, removal of substrates, waste, furnishings and animals both alive and dead).

G2.71 Animal facilities, structures and furnishings should be designed and constructed to allow thorough cleaning and disinfection. Attention should be given to areas such as surfaces and drainage.

G2.72 Drainage from enclosures or holding areas should not enter other enclosures or areas that can be accessed by other animals (see Drainage and waste disposal).

G2.73 All zoo facilities, enclosures, food storage and preparation areas, waste and rubbish containers should be designed and constructed to prevent access and attractiveness to pest species.
G2.74 Animal feed containers and dispensers should be designed to prevent access by pest species.

G2.75 Appropriate substrates should be chosen that do not harbour or allow the accumulation or growth of disease agents or contaminants and that can be readily cleaned, disinfected or changed as required.

G2.76 Enclosures should be adequately drained to prevent accumulation and stagnation of water likely to attract wild birds, especially in the areas around collection waterfowl.

G2.77 Property and enclosure perimeters should be designed and constructed with the intent to prevent access by stray and pest animals and prevent escape of zoo animals.

G2.78 Facilities used for quarantine, hospital, post mortem examination, isolation and holding should be appropriately designed and constructed.

G2.79 Animal facilities should have appropriate provision for safe capture and restraint.

G2.80 Water filtration and sanitation systems should be capable of minimising contamination, accumulation and transmission of disease agents and contaminants.

G2.81 Animal food storage and preparation areas should be designed and constructed to facilitate appropriate levels of hygiene.

G2.82 Zoo facility design and construction should include the appropriate provision of hand washing or sanitising facilities for visitors and staff.

G2.83 Wash bays for vehicles and equipment should be incorporated into animal facility design and construction, as appropriate.

G2.84 Moats and water bodies should be designed and constructed to allow adequate cleaning, disinfection, drainage and avoid stagnation or accumulation of contaminants.

G2.85 Display and holding facilities for animals should be designed and constructed with physical and/or spatial barriers, as appropriate, to manage risk of disease transmission between animals and people and vice versa.
3. Work and hygiene procedures for staff and visitors

Objective

To minimise, through hygiene practices, the risk of introducing or spreading disease or contaminants via movement of staff, volunteers, contractors and visitors.

Definition: Staff working with animals – any staff member involved in work activities within the zoo which involves direct or close contact with zoo animals, animal enclosures or animal products.

An understanding of routes of disease transmission is necessary to ensure that work practices minimise biosecurity risk. There is a risk of disease spread to, from, or between zoo animals through the movement of people, and particularly through the transfer of contaminants via footwear, clothing or equipment. All people who work in direct or close contact with zoo animals, animal products or animal enclosures (whether employed staff, volunteers, researchers or students) have the potential to transfer disease or contaminants between animals. These people may also be at risk of exposure to zoonotic disease from zoo animals or wildlife (see Section 4 Zoonotic disease risk management).

Much of the risk of disease transfer through movement of people can be minimised by using good work and hygiene practices. For example, removing organic material and thoroughly cleaning footwear and equipment between one enclosure and another will greatly reduce contaminant transfer, and hence reduce the risk of disease spread.

It is important that staff working with animals have a good understanding of biosecurity risk and management and the routes of disease transmission. Appropriate training, supervision, and written biosecurity guidelines help to ensure good work and hygiene practices. Records of both normal work practices and out-of-ordinary procedures or movements can facilitate tracing if disease concerns develop.

The biosecurity risks associated with movement of people and work equipment between animal enclosures, and outside the zoo will vary depending on the specific circumstances of the zoo and the enclosure. The species, husbandry practices, health status and geographic region, for example, will all influence the biosecurity risks, and will in turn influence the necessary biosecurity management practices. Each zoo is best placed to determine its own biosecurity needs. The guidelines below suggest best practice in work and hygiene protocols. It is not expected that all zoos will need to follow all these guidelines on a routine basis, however each zoo should have an awareness of biosecurity management practices, and should actively determine which practices are most appropriate for their unique workplace.

Variations in a staff member’s individual circumstances will also influence the associated biosecurity risks. For example, a staff member who has regular contact with domestic animals or wildlife outside of the zoo may have a greater chance of transferring disease or contaminants into and from the zoo. These staff should be aware of these risks and alter their work practices.
appropriately (e.g. change of clothing, footwear, excellent personal hygiene before arrival and departure from the workplace).

During times of increased biosecurity risk (e.g. a highly infectious disease situation), work and hygiene practices may need to be altered to manage associated risks. For example, work practices may require full protective clothing which is laundered or disposed on-site, and/or on-site showering before leaving the premises (See also Section 11 Emergency biosecurity response plan).

Zoos may also need to consider that different areas of the zoo, or species held may pose differing biosecurity risks and that work and hygiene procedures are best tailored to suit the circumstances of each situation. For example, keepers caring for macaques will likely wear greater personal protective equipment, and practice more rigorous hygiene practices than those caring for macropods in a walk-through exhibit.

RELEVANT AAWS STANDARD
Section 1: Responsibilities
S1.7

ZOO STAFF AND ALL PERSONNEL WORKING WITH ANIMALS

Objective

To minimise the risk of introducing or spreading disease or contaminants by zoo staff and other personnel who have contact with zoo animals.

Guidelines

G3.1 Staff working with animals should be aware of the risk of disease transmission from their person, their clothing and their footwear to animals and humans.

G3.2 Staff working with animals should wear a uniform, or other dedicated work clothing while at work in the zoo and should change out of their uniform or work clothes prior to contact with other animals outside of the zoo.

G3.3 Staff working with animals should wear only dedicated footwear whilst on zoo grounds. Best practice is for footwear to be removed and remain at the zoo site at the end of each work day. Acceptable alternatives are that footwear is thoroughly cleaned and disinfected prior to leaving and on re-entering the zoo grounds. Dedicated work footwear should not be worn whilst working with or when in contact with domestic animals outside the zoo. If zoos allow staff working with animals to wear work footwear outside the zoo grounds, they should be aware of the biosecurity risks associated with these practices and should have measures in place to strengthen footwear biosecurity practices as needed. An acceptable alternative in some institutions will be to designate specific areas or species as “higher biosecurity footwear or practice” areas.
G3.4 If staff work with zoo or wild animals off-site (e.g. animal shipment, *in situ* field work) their uniforms should be laundered and boots should be cleaned and disinfected prior to leaving and returning to the zoo.

G3.5 Zoos should have documented protocols for minimising biosecurity risks associated with staff and other non-zoo personnel working in close or direct contact with zoo animals, enclosures or animal products.

G3.6 Zoo managers should be aware of all personnel, including staff, volunteers, students, researchers and others who have regular close or direct contact with zoo animals, animal products and animal enclosures.

G3.7 Staff working with animals should be trained and, if necessary, supervised to minimise risk of disease or contaminant transmission.

G3.8 Volunteers, students, researchers and other personnel assisting zoo staff with work practices should be instructed and supervised in their work practices to ensure that appropriate biosecurity procedures are followed.

G3.9 Staff working with animals should adopt work practices which minimise transfer of organic material and contaminants into or from enclosures, via their footwear, clothing and equipment. This may include (depending on biosecurity risks) removing organic material from footwear and use of disinfectant footbaths and protective clothing such as gloves, coveralls, dedicated gumboots or disposable footwear covers to minimise the risk of transferring disease and contaminants.

G3.10 Equipment used as part of disease investigations, research or surveys outside of zoo grounds should be cleaned of organic matter and disinfected prior to usage and upon return.

G3.11 Staff working with animals should be aware of biosecurity risks if they have contact with domestic animals or wildlife outside their workplace and should be encouraged to manage these biosecurity risks through appropriate procedures. For example, staff who have contact with animals outside the zoo may need to adopt appropriate hygiene practices, clothing and footwear changes.

G3.12 Staff working with animals should be aware of the biosecurity risks of visiting multiple different enclosures and animals on a single day, and adopt work practices which minimise these risks. For example, work flow through animal enclosures could be made from areas of lower biosecurity risk to those of higher biosecurity risk.

G3.13 Veterinarians should be aware of the biosecurity risks of examining multiple animals (some of which may be diseased) and entering different enclosures on a single day. They should assess each circumstance for its biosecurity risks and implement appropriate precautions, including appropriate personal hygiene and management of clothing and footwear.
G3.14 During time of increased biosecurity risk, work and hygiene practices should be altered to minimise associated risks. Work practices may require full protective clothing which is laundered or disposed of on site, or showering and a full change of clothing when moving from one biosecurity zone to another.

G3.15 During increased biosecurity risk, staff should clean and disinfect footwear when entering or leaving designated management areas.

**CONTRACTORS**

**Objective**

To *minimise the risk of introducing or spreading disease or contaminants by contractors.*

**Definition:** Contractor - any external person contracted to perform work on the zoo grounds.

Contractors such as trades people, maintenance and construction crews and specialist consultants may enter the zoo for work purposes. Because these people often travel between multiple sites, and may not have an understanding of biosecurity and its importance to zoos, they can pose a risk to the zoo’s biosecurity processes. Simple precautions, such as scheduling enclosure maintenance when enclosures are empty of animals, can help to reduce biosecurity risks.

**Guidelines**

G3.16 Zoos should be aware of the biosecurity risks posed by contractors entering enclosures for work related matters and should have documented protocols for minimising biosecurity risks associated with contractors who come into contact with zoo animals, enclosures or animal products.

G3.17 Contractors working within the zoo should not enter enclosures nor have contact with zoo animals, unless it is a necessary part of their work.

G3.18 Zoo managers should be aware of all contractors in the zoo whose work requires close or direct contact with zoo animals, animal products and animal enclosures.

G3.19 Contractors who are in contact with zoo animals, enclosures or animal products should be instructed and, if necessary, supervised in their work practices to ensure that appropriate biosecurity procedures are followed.

G3.20 Enclosure maintenance by contractors should be scheduled, where possible, when enclosures are empty of animals.

G3.21 Any tools used by contractors in animal enclosures should be cleaned and disinfected, if necessary, before and after use at the zoo, and between use in different areas of the zoo.
G3.22 Contractors working in close or direct contact with animals or their products should be briefed on the biosecurity risks they may pose to the zoo, and given assistance by zoo staff to determine appropriate measures to manage biosecurity risks. For example, contractors who have contact with animals outside of the zoo may need to adopt appropriate hygiene and clothing changes prior to their work in the zoo.

G3.23 A standard risk assessment and briefing document should be developed to help manage contractor risk, if these events occur frequently.

G3.24 The zoo should maintain a record of contractors who enter animal enclosures.

G3.25 The entry of delivery personnel into the zoo grounds should be assessed for biosecurity risk.

G3.26 There should be a system in place to allow tracing when delivery personnel enter the grounds (e.g. through delivery dockets and feed company records).

**ZOO VISITORS**

**Objective**

To minimise the risk of introducing or spreading disease or contaminants by zoo visitors, in particular through Contact Areas and Interactive Programs.

**Definitions:**

Visitor - any member of the public visiting the zoo grounds in order to view or interact with zoo animals.

Contact Area - area where there is direct physical contact between zoo animals and the visitor.

Interactive Programs - activities which encourage a visitor to touch, feed and/or have close contact with a zoo animal, either inside or outside the animal’s enclosure.

Mobile Zoo - collection animals taken outside the zoo grounds for educational purposes.

In a traditional zoo visitor experience, a physical distance is maintained between visitors and zoo animals, and visitors do not enter animal enclosures. In this situation, biosecurity risks associated with visitors are minimal.

Many zoos now have Contact Areas and Interactive Programs which allow direct or close contact between visitors and animals, and where visitors may enter animal enclosures. Close contact between visitors and zoo animals may increase the biosecurity risks to the zoo and may also increase the risks of zoonotic disease spread from zoo animals to visitors (see Section 4 Zoonotic disease risk management). These types of programs provide valuable educational experience and in most instances the associated biosecurity risks can be minimised through appropriate risk assessment and management protocols.

It is important that all managers and staff working in Contact Areas and Interactive Programs have a good understanding of general biosecurity risks and risk minimisation practices, as well
as the specific biosecurity risks associated with close contact between visitors, animals and their
cenclosures. The biosecurity risks associated with visitors walking through an open macropod
exhibit will differ significantly from those associated with visitors walking through an open
primate exhibit. For these reasons, risk assessment and management practices should be tailored
to the unique circumstances of each zoo and situation. These guidelines suggest best practice for
typical situations.

**RELEVANT AAWS STANDARDS**

Section 1: Responsibilities and Section 10: Interactive programs
S1.7, S10.2; S10.3; S10.4; S10.5; S10.8, S10.9, S10.10

**Guidelines**

G3.27 Zoo managers should be aware of the biosecurity risks if the visiting public enter animal
cenclosures or have contact with zoo animals.

G3.28 Contact Areas and Interactive Programs should be assessed and managed appropriately for
their specific biosecurity risks.

G3.29 Zoos should have documented protocols for managing the biosecurity risks associated with
visitors (in particular human-animal interaction) in Contact Areas and Interactive Programs.

G3.30 Visitors to Contact Areas and Interactive Programs should be supervised by a staff
member who has been trained in, and has a good understanding of, biosecurity risks and
minimisation practices. The level of biosecurity supervision should be tailored to the risks
of the particular circumstances (e.g. greater supervision with children handling reptiles, or
visitors holding koalas than with public walking through macropod enclosure).

G3.31 All staff working in Contact Areas and Interactive Programs should be trained in and have a
good understanding of zoonotic risk and management.

G3.32 When selecting species and individual animals for use in Interactive Programs, consideration
should be given to minimising biosecurity and zoonotic disease risks.

G3.33 Individual animals used in Interactive Programs should be regularly monitored for biosecurity
and zoonotic disease risk.

G3.34 Staff and visitors participating in Interactive Programs or Mobile Zoos should be discouraged
from eating, drinking or smoking during the interaction.

G3.35 Hand-washing or disinfection facilities should be available to all participants of Interactive
Programs, and they should be made aware of the facilities and encouraged to practice good
personal hygiene.

G3.36 If necessary, visitors who enter enclosures or have close contact with zoo animals should
receive a briefing beforehand on the biosecurity risks associated with the visit.
G3.37 A standard risk assessment and briefing document should be developed to help manage visitor risk if these events occur frequently.

G3.38 If visitors enter animal enclosures, consideration should be given to their need to wear suitable footwear (robust, enclosed shoes) which can be cleaned and disinfected if necessary.

G3.39 Consideration should be given to the need for visitors who have entered animal enclosures to clean their shoes of organic material and disinfect the soles, using a chemical footbath, prior to leaving the area.

See also Section 4 Zoonotic disease risk management.

VEHICLE MOVEMENT WITHIN AND OUTSIDE ZOOS

Objective
To minimise the risk of disease or contaminant spread by vehicle movement.

Vehicles moving into, from and within the zoo can be a route for disease and contaminant transmission. Managing vehicle movements is an important part of ensuring good zoo biosecurity.

RELEVANT AAWS STANDARDS
Section 3: Enclosures
S3.12, S3.13, S3.14, S3.17, S3.18

Guidelines
G3.40 The number of vehicles entering and leaving the zoo grounds should be minimised.

G3.41 Zoos with drive-through enclosures should consider the biosecurity risks of driving vehicles through animal enclosures. These zoos should have a documented protocol for managing and reducing these risks. Documented routes should be established to minimise biosecurity risk.

G3.42 The zoo should have methods to trace all non-zoo vehicles which enter enclosures or transport animals.

G3.43 If vehicles enter animal holding areas, any organic matter or gross contamination, especially on wheels, should be removed prior to entry or exit.

G3.44 If staff or visiting vehicles need to be brought onto zoo grounds, they should only be driven and parked in designated areas, chosen for their low biosecurity risk.

See also Section 9 Management of animals, vehicles and equipment during animal transport.
4. Zoonotic disease risk management

Objective

To minimise the risk of disease transmission between animals and people and vice versa.

Diseases that are spread from animals to humans are called zoonoses. Diseases spread from humans to animals are referred to as anthroopozoonoses. Zoonoses may be spread through direct physical contact with animals and their products, or indirectly by sharing the same air space. Different zoonoses are transmitted in different ways. Faeces, saliva, urine and birth fluids generally pose the greatest risks. Some animals such as reptiles may carry bacterial contamination on their skin (notably *Salmonella* spp.) which can pose serious health threat to humans, and can be easily transferred if good personal hygiene (washing hands) is not practiced after animal handling.

Most zoonotic disease risks can be minimised through appropriate personal hygiene, good work practices, effective quarantine programs and routine disease screening of animals. Many of the work practices outlined in Section 3 Work and hygiene procedures for staff and visitors will greatly minimise the risk of zoonotic disease transmission. Hand washing or the judicious use of hand sanitisers is the single most effective personal protection against zoonotic disease spread for both staff and visitors.

In some circumstances, the risks of zoonotic disease transmission are increased. Some species or taxonomic groups of animals have a greater potential to transmit zoonotic disease (e.g. primates and reptiles). Work practices, such as close contact with animals and their products may increase the risk of acquiring, or transmitting a zoonotic disease.

Zoonotic disease risks will also vary according to the specific health profile of the individual animal and human. Children may be particularly susceptible to zoonotic disease risk due their undeveloped immune system and their generally poor personal hygiene (e.g. a tendency to put their hands in their mouth). They are often in closer proximity to the ground (faeces and urine) and are more likely to touch animals, if given the opportunity. Likewise, the elderly and people with a compromised immune system are at greater risk of zoonotic disease. An individual’s susceptibility to infectious and other diseases will vary according to their individual health status. For instance, diseases such as diabetes, kidney disease, cancer and immunosuppressive diseases such as HIV-AIDS may alter the zoonotic disease risk for an individual (both animal and human). Because of the large number of factors that influence zoonotic risk, and the variability between zoos, it is recommended that each zoo conduct a site-specific zoonotic risk assessment, in conjunction with human health authorities.

It is important that keepers and other staff working with animals or animal products are aware of both general, and specific, zoonotic risks in their workplace and understand the practices necessary to minimise these risks.

In many situations, the risk to the visiting public of contracting zoonotic disease from zoo animals is minimal due to the physical distance maintained between visitors and animals. Contact Areas and Interactive Programs which allow direct or close contact between visitors and animals may
increase the risks of zoonotic disease spread. These programs provide a valuable educational experience and most zoonotic risks can be managed with reasonable precautions. However, it is particularly important that all managers and staff working in Contact Areas and Interactive Programs have a good understanding of zoonotic diseases, the particular zoonotic risks in their situation and the appropriate practices to minimise these risks (See Section 3 Work and hygiene procedures for staff and visitors – Zoo visitors). Detailed Infection Control Guidelines for Animal Contact can be found at www.health.qld.gov.au/ph/documents/cdb/zoo_guidelines.pdf.

**RELEVANT AAWS STANDARDS**
Section 1: Responsibilities and Section 10: Interactive programs
S1.1, S1.7, S10.2, S10.3, S10.4, S10.5, S10.8, S10.9, S10.10

**Guidelines**

G4.1 Zoo managers should be aware of the risks of zoonotic disease spread from zoo animals, enclosures and animal products to staff and visitors.

G4.2 Zoos should develop a documented protocol and management policy (seeking the assistance of human health authorities) for minimising zoonotic disease risks to staff, contractors and visitors. This should address risks associated with direct or close contact with zoo animals, animal enclosures or animal products.

G4.3 Staff working in direct contact with animals and their products should have documented training in zoonotic disease risk management procedures (e.g.: use of personal protective equipment, appropriate hygiene and animal handling) and should have ready access to zoonotic disease minimisation protocols.


G4.5 Zoos should have appropriate hand washing or hand disinfection facilities available for staff and visitors. Visitors coming into direct or close contact with zoo animals and their products should be encouraged to utilise these facilities.

G4.6 Zoonotic disease awareness and risk management should be part of the zoo animal collection preventative medicine program, with the objective of preventing disease transmission from animals to humans and vice versa. These programs should be developed seeking input from a human health professional with knowledge of zoonotic diseases, their prevention and management and should include awareness of anthropozoonotic diseases and their management.
G4.7 Zoos should have a staff health (occupational health and safety) program incorporating appropriate hygiene, education, training and procedures regarding zoonotic diseases. The staff health program should also incorporate, as necessary, pre-employment health and disease screening, vaccination programs and regular ongoing disease screening for staff placed at risk of zoonotic disease due to their work.

G4.8 Suspected or confirmed zoonotic disease in staff or others within the zoo should be reported (through the zoo's occupational health and safety system) to zoo management and/or zoo veterinary staff.

G4.9 If zoo staff are aware, or suspect they have a zoonotic disease, they should advise zoo management.

G4.10 A document detailing the risks of zoonotic disease in a zoo setting should be provided to all staff (and others) who report suspected zoonotic disease, which they can take to their physician.

G4.11 Zoo managers should be aware that the health status of an individual staff member may influence their susceptibility to zoonotic disease. Staff should also be made aware that changes in their health status can alter their risk of zoonotic disease.

G4.12 Staff working with animals should be aware that they may transmit infectious disease to the animals in their care. For example many non-human primates are susceptible to human diseases, including common respiratory tract viruses such as colds and flu. These diseases may be transmitted indirectly through shared air space.

G4.13 Zoo managers and staff should be aware of any taxonomic groups or species in their care with increased zoonotic risk potential. Examples of such taxa include:

a. macaques - Herpes B virus
b. bats - Australian Bat Lyssavirus
c. reptiles - Salmonellosis.
5. Animal health and preventative medicine

Objective

To minimise the risk of introducing or spreading disease or contaminants within a zoo collection, by ensuring good veterinary care, diagnosis, treatment and the development and implementation of an effective preventative medicine program.

A comprehensive animal health and preventative medicine program is a cornerstone of good zoo biosecurity. It minimises the risk of disease entry and spread within the animal collection.

A zoo animal health program consists of both preventative medicine and accurate diagnosis and effective treatment of disease. An effective animal health program is reliant on professional veterinary expertise, excellent animal care and good communication. A preventative medicine and health program requires a detailed understanding of disease, in the zoo setting. Veterinary input is essential for the development and delivery of an effective animal health and preventative medicine program. A comprehensive preventative medicine program will address routine procedures such as appropriate methods of animal identification, quarantine procedures, routine vaccination programs, parasite monitoring and control, nutritional management, reproductive management and contraception, water quality management, pest management, routine testing for selected diseases of concern, health and disease surveillance and investigation of illness or death in all collection animals. Investigation, diagnosis and appropriate treatment of disease requires appropriate veterinary expertise. Significant biosecurity and business risks can occur if lay-staff attempt diagnosis and treatment beyond their capabilities.

Veterinary medicines must, by law, only be prescribed by a registered veterinarian.

RELEVANT AAWS STANDARDS
Section 5: Health and wellbeing; Section 1: Responsibilities and Section 3: enclosures S5.13, S1.1, S1.4, S3.1, S5.4, S5.9

Guidelines

G5.1 Zoos should engage the services of a suitably qualified veterinarian with relevant experience in the species held. There should be an arrangement for regular veterinary attendance at the facility (to administer the preventative medicine program) and the service should have the necessary professional equipment to deal with zoo animals (e.g. administration of chemical restraint) and there should be a veterinarian available for emergency response at all times.

G5.2 All zoos should establish and maintain a documented preventative medicine and health program, under the supervision of the veterinarian.
G5.3 If the institution is a ZAA member the veterinarian should be encouraged to become a member of the ZAA Veterinary Specialist Advisory Group list serve (http://www.zooaquarium.org.au/Veterinary-SAG/default.aspx).

G5.4 The zoo should be encouraged to become a member of a linked network that enables rapid access to biosecurity information, such as the ZAA list serve and the AWHN (www.wildlifehealth.org.au/AWHN/home.aspx).


G5.6 The veterinarian should have knowledge and understanding of the OIE disease list (www.oie.int/animal-health-in-the-world/oie-listed-diseases-2011/), the National and State/Territory Notifiable Disease List and any other diseases considered important to Australia’s biosecurity (www.daff.gov.au/animal-plant-health/pests-diseases-weeds/animal/notifiable).

G5.7 The veterinarian should be aware of their state/territory and national disease notification requirements and have a documented protocol for notification.

G5.8 Animal management staff should be aware of their responsibilities for disease notification and should have protocols for informing the Zoo’s veterinary service.

G5.9 The veterinarian should be involved in developing any biosecurity procedures specific to the zoo they support. They should have a good working knowledge of the National Zoo Biosecurity Manual, the individual zoo’s biosecurity procedures and any relevant local requirements with respect to biosecurity.

See also Section 7 Management of sick animals.
6. Quarantine

Objective

*To minimise the risk or introduction of spread of disease or contaminants within or from a zoo collection, by imposing a period of isolation from other zoo animals for newly arrived animals or those suspected or confirmed as suffering from infectious disease.*

Quarantine is a period of isolation for newly arrived animals and potentially diseased animals for the purpose of detecting and eliminating (where appropriate) disease. Quarantine is an important component of zoo biosecurity. The quarantine period allows an opportunity for acclimatisation, close observation of animals, animal health checks, preventative medicine programs, permanent identification and confirmation of medical history and provenance.

A zoo’s quarantine, hospital, and isolation areas must comply with local, state/territory and federal regulations. Quarantine of internationally imported zoo animals must also comply with Australia’s legislated requirements.

**RELEVANT AAWS STANDARDS**

Section 5: Health and wellbeing; Section 1: Responsibilities and Section 3: Enclosures

S5.13, S1.1, S1.7, S3.1, S5.4, S5.5, S5.9

**GENERAL QUARANTINE PRACTICES**

Objective

*To minimise, through appropriate work practices, the risk of disease introduction or spread during the quarantine period.*

Quarantine practices within zoos are generally applied to animals from four categories:

a) Newly-arrived collection animals  
b) Sick/ injured collection animals  
c) Wildlife rescue cases  
d) Confiscation cases.

Although the principles of quarantine will apply to all four categories, the practical application may differ in each situation. Zoos are encouraged to develop separate, generic quarantine procedures for each of these four categories. Species-appropriate and circumstance-appropriate quarantine procedures can then be developed from these broader procedures.
Barrier keeping procedures (use of work practices which minimise the spread of infectious disease from one animal, group or environment to another) are a vital part of effective quarantine. If carefully utilised, barrier keeping practices can minimise the risks associated with working with different quarantine groups on the same day, or within the same treatment room or facility.

**Guidelines**

G6.1 Species or circumstance-appropriate quarantine procedures should be developed, documented and implemented when required and should address:

a) isolation of newly acquired animals to provide for examination, treatment, monitoring and acclimatisation

b) physical examination of all animals on or soon after arrival, including performance of appropriate clinical and laboratory diagnostic tests as required

c) veterinary treatment for existing illness, disease or injury

d) a defined, appropriate minimum period for quarantine to ensure animals are free from able disease and

e) veterinary care and treatment as necessary to protect against communicable diseases.

G6.2 Each animal arriving at the zoo, whether a newly arrived collection animal, wildlife rescue case or confiscation case should be assessed for biosecurity risk, including zoonotic risk, by the zoo veterinarian or a Competent Keeper with a strong understanding of biosecurity. An appropriate biosecurity management procedure should be developed for each case. Documentation of the procedure is recommended if the risks are high, or the procedures vary significantly from standard quarantine protocols.

G6.3 If animals arrive at the zoo as part of a planned transaction from another zoo, biosecurity risks and management plans should be developed between both zoos, prior to the transaction (see Section 9 Management of animals, vehicles and equipment during animal transport).

G6.4 All biological products arriving at the zoo, such as semen, embryos, feathers and taxonomic preparations, should be assessed for biosecurity risk, including zoonotic risk, by the zoo veterinarian or a Competent Keeper with a strong understanding of biosecurity, and a biosecurity management procedure established for each case.

G6.5 Newly arrived animals or biological products should remain in quarantine until such time as their biosecurity risk has been established and mitigated.

G6.6 There should be adequate and appropriate signage to indicate areas of restricted access and quarantine status.
G6.7 Quarantine work practices should be designed and documented to reduce the risk of cross transmission, introduction, and spread of potential pathogens.

G6.8 All staff working with quarantined animals should be trained and familiar with the zoo’s quarantine management protocols.

G6.9 Staff working in quarantine situations should be trained in the principles and application of barrier keeping. In particular, barrier keeping practices should be applied to ensure effective isolation of rehabilitation wildlife cases and confiscation cases from collection animals.

G6.10 The zoo should have physically separate, dedicated holding facilities for the quarantine of newly-arrived collection animals.

G6.11 Where a biosecurity risk is suspected, sick collection animals and/or their social group should be physically separated from other collection animals. Appropriate facilities should be available for this isolation.

G6.12 If dedicated quarantine or isolation facilities are not available, then protocols for management of newly acquired or sick collection animals should be implemented to ensure there is no direct or indirect contact (e.g. equipment, aerosol or drainage) between these and healthy collection animals.

G6.13 If the receiving zoo lacks appropriate facilities for appropriate quarantine of a particular species, then consideration should be given to quarantine occurring at another institution with suitable facilities.

G6.14 If the zoo accepts wildlife for treatment and rehabilitation, these cases should be housed, in a physically separate, dedicated facility and managed separately from collection animals. If dedicated wildlife rehabilitation facilities are not available, an acceptable alternative in low-risk situations is to ensure effective isolation of wildlife cases through work practices such as barrier keeping.

G6.15 If the zoo receives and holds confiscated exotic or native fauna on behalf of regulatory authorities (often of unknown origin and high biosecurity risk), these animals, and any equipment used to house or care for them, should be maintained and managed in strict isolation from all other animals until an appropriate health assessment and quarantine process has been completed.

G6.16 Keepers designated to care for quarantined, isolated, or confiscated animals should attend to these animals only after fulfilling other responsibilities for collection animals (i.e. work from low biosecurity area to higher biosecurity risk area) and/or should utilise barrier keeping practices.

G6.17 Equipment and tools used in quarantine areas should be dedicated for use only within this area and should be cleaned and disinfected on a regular basis, and at the end of the quarantine period.
G6.18 Footwear protocols within quarantine areas should follow best possible practices. By preference, staff in quarantine areas should wear dedicated footwear which is not worn outside the quarantine area. Alternatively, entry/exit from quarantine areas should only be made through a footbath containing a suitable disinfectant, used in accordance with manufacturer’s instructions, maintained and changed on a regular basis. There should be provision for scraping the soles of footwear before dipping to ensure organic material is removed and the disinfectant makes effective contact with the soles of the footwear. A second alternative involves the use of disposable footwear covers by all staff entering the quarantine area.

G6.19 Facilities for hand sanitation using an appropriate antiseptic should be placed at the entry/exit to each quarantine area. Hands should be thoroughly cleaned on entry/exit from quarantine areas.

G6.20 Species appropriate quarantine procedures, once established, should be documented and readily available to staff at all times.

G6.21 Waste products, including bedding, food, faeces, urine and water should be assessed for their biosecurity risk and managed and disposed of, using strict biosecurity practices, during quarantine.

G6.22 Biological materials leaving the zoo during the period of quarantine (e.g. diagnostic samples) should be assessed and managed for their biosecurity risk.

G6.23 If the zoo runs a domestic animal (“petting zoo”), these animals should be sourced from low biosecurity risk facilities, risk assessment should occur, and they should be housed and managed to minimise the biosecurity risk to other zoo animals.

**VETERINARY CARE AND INVESTIGATION DURING QUARANTINE**

A plan of health assessment and preventative medicine for the quarantine period should be developed and carried out under the supervision of the veterinarian. This generally includes physical examination, faecal testing for endoparasites and other appropriate clinical and laboratory diagnostic tests.

Species or taxon-specific protocols for vaccination and disease investigation may be accessed through the ZAA Vet SAG (for Association members).

**RELEVANT AAWS STANDARDS**

Section 1: Responsibilities; Section 3: Enclosures; Section 5 Health and wellbeing and Section 12: Animal identification

S1.1, S3.1, S5.4, S5.9, S5.13, S12.1
Guidelines

G6.24 Only animals that have undergone appropriate quarantine or disease risk assessment should be allowed to enter the collection.

G6.25 A plan of health assessment and preventative medicine for the quarantine period should be developed and carried out under the supervision of the veterinarian or as described in the quarantine protocol.

G6.26 Complete medical records should be maintained for all newly-arrived collection animals during the quarantine period (see Section 1 Record keeping).

G6.27 All newly-arrived collection animals should be permanently identified during the quarantine period. Any existing identification should be confirmed (see Section 1 Animal identification).

G6.28 Any treatments required should be determined only by the supervising veterinarian, or by a Competent Keeper in consultation with the veterinarian. Veterinary medicines must, by law, only be prescribed by a registered veterinarian.

G6.29 The cause of death of any animal that dies during quarantine should be established wherever possible. Every animal that dies during quarantine should have a post mortem examination performed under the supervision of a veterinarian and representative tissues should be submitted for histopathologic examination and other specific diagnostic tests (see Section 8 Animal deaths and post mortem examination).

G6.30 No zoo animal should be released to the wild, unless deemed as suitable for release as part of rehabilitation or sanctioned recovery programs (with appropriate state/territory or national authority and permits).

G6.31 An animal should not be released from quarantine until all examinations and tests have been completed, the health status of the animal is determined and approval is given by the supervising veterinarian.

Higher level guideline

G6.32 Where possible, blood should be collected and serum banked from animals undergoing quarantine. Either a -70°C freezer or a -20°C freezer (without cyclic defrost) should be available to bank sera. This may provide an important resource for retrospective disease evaluation.
7. Management of sick animals

Objective

To allow the early detection of illness and a prompt response to any potential biosecurity breach.

Appropriate management of sick animals allows timely investigation and diagnosis, which assists in identification of potential biosecurity risks. Early identification of illness allows appropriate, rapid response. Identification of sick animals relies on keeper observation, training and appropriate reporting. Effective management of sick animals relies on experienced veterinary input, with rapid and accurate investigation, diagnosis and treatment. Good record keeping is essential to these processes.

Significant biosecurity and business risks can occur if lay-staff attempt diagnosis and treatment beyond their capabilities.

IDENTIFYING AND REPORTING SICK ANIMALS

RELEVANT AAWS STANDARDS

Section 1: Responsibilities; Section 5: Health and wellbeing and Section 12: Animal identification
S1.5, S5.4, S5.9, S12.4, S12.5, S12.7

Guidelines

G7.1 Animal staff should be trained to recognise signs of ill health in animals held in the zoo’s collection and to report their findings appropriately to zoo management and/or the zoo’s veterinary service.

G7.2 The condition and health of the animals should be assessed daily by the keepers.

G7.3 The zoo should have a documented procedure for reporting and recording, on a daily basis, all signs of injury or ill health in collection animals.

G7.4 There should be a reporting mechanism that allows this information to be presented to the veterinary service in a timely manner and a documented process for requesting veterinary assistance.

G7.5 Any animal showing signs of illness or injury should receive appropriate and timely attention.

G7.6 Keepers and other animal staff should be aware of their limitations in diagnosing and treating disease and should refer to the zoo’s veterinary service for appropriate professional assistance.
G7.7 Keepers and other animal staff should not attempt to interpret signs of illness, reach a diagnosis nor prescribe treatment, beyond the limits acceptable to non-veterinary personnel. The responsibility for diagnosis and prescribing treatment should rest with the zoo’s veterinary service.

G7.8 A veterinarian should be available, including a 24 hour emergency service, to respond to reports of illness or injury in the zoo’s animals.

INVESTIGATION PRIORITIES AND TRIGGER POINTS

Certain events may signal the need for action, investigation or implementation of higher level biosecurity practices. In order to ensure good biosecurity practices, zoo managers and veterinary staff must be aware of priorities for investigation and must understand which events may be considered as trigger points, which require urgent and prioritised investigation.

Each zoo should develop a Zoo-specific document recognising likely disease issues which would require prioritised action and investigation. Facilities and resources will vary between institutions, and if necessary, priority of investigation should be given to:

- a. exotic collection animals, animals in quarantine and animals under extended or lifetime quarantine surveillance (as defined by AQIS)
- b. any sudden or unexpected death
- c. mass illness or mass death (mass morbidity or mass mortality events)
- d. illness with evidence of infectious disease
- e. any unexplained deaths or mass morbidity or mortality event in wildlife within, or in close proximity to, the zoo grounds.

RELEVANT AAWS STANDARDS
Section 1: Responsibilities and Section 12: Animal identification
S1.1, S12.7

Guidelines

G7.9 Unexpected and unexplained illness or death of zoo animals (including deaths suspected to be a result of infectious disease) should be assessed for biosecurity risks to animals and humans, including those outside the zoo. Any recent movements of animals, within or outside the zoo grounds, should be taken into account when assessing biosecurity risk.

G7.10 All signs of illness and all deaths in zoo animals should be reported to zoo management or the zoo’s veterinary service, recorded in the zoo’s official recording system and investigated by zoo veterinarians or other suitably qualified staff.
G7.11 Unexpected or unexplained illness and death in wildlife within the zoo grounds should be reported to zoo management or the zoo’s veterinary service, recorded in the zoo’s official recording system and investigated by zoo veterinarians or other suitably qualified staff.

G7.12 Relevant authorities should be contacted if there is any suspicion of an emergency disease or an unexplained morbidity or mortality event.

G7.13 Any biosecurity risks resulting from a disease incident should be addressed with an Emergency Biosecurity Response Plan (see Section 11).
8. Animal deaths, post mortem examination and carcase disposal

Objective

To investigate and determine the cause of animal deaths, so as to identify and therefore minimise biosecurity risks.

To minimise or eliminate the spread of disease or contamination via animal carcases.

The death of any animal which is under AQIS imposed conditions, such as extended post entry or lifetime quarantine surveillance, must be reported to AQIS as stipulated in their conditions.

The disposal of carcases must comply with local, state/ territory and federal regulations including environmental compliance requirements. The carcases of imported animals under post entry quarantine or extended quarantine surveillance must be disposed of as directed by AQIS.

ANIMAL DEATHS AND POST MORTEM EXAMINATION

Guidelines

G8.1 Each zoo should have a documented procedure for the investigation of collection animal deaths.

G8.2 Each zoo should have a documented procedure for retrieval, storage and disposal of animal carcases, which minimises biosecurity risks.

G8.3 All collection animal deaths should be reported without delay to the appropriate authority (either the Zoo’s veterinary service or Zoo management).

G8.4 All deaths of animals within zoo grounds should be reported on a daily basis to the Zoo’s veterinary service or Zoo management.

G8.5 Dead animals within the zoo grounds should be handled and processed (including post mortem examination and disposal) using methods that minimise the risk of disease transmission to animals and people and also reduce any opportunity for scavenging.

G8.6 Collection, pest or stray animals which die within the zoo grounds should not be fed out to collection animals.

G8.7 Animals culled within the zoo grounds should not be fed out to other collection animals, unless the veterinary service has assessed the risk of transmissible diseases and the implications of state/ territory restrictions on swill feeding to minimise disease transmission.

G8.8 Dead collection animals should be removed from their enclosure as soon as observed (and it is safe to do so). In rare instances there may be a social/ behavioural benefit in allowing the carcase to remain for a period of grieving.
G8.9 Dead pest, stray and wild animals within the zoo grounds should be collected and brought to a designated area for inspection, post mortem examination (if deemed necessary) or disposal as soon as possible.

G8.10 A thorough post-mortem examination should be conducted on all dead collection animals to determine the cause of death.

G8.11 If immediate examination is not possible, dead animals should be stored in a designated cool room or refrigerator until post mortem examination or disposal. If examination is delayed, it may be necessary to freeze the carcase. This should be done only after consultation with the zoo’s veterinarian.

G8.12 Carcases should be placed in leak-proof, labelled plastic bags or containers until post mortem examination or disposal.

G8.13 The refrigerator, cool room or freezer should not be used to store animal or human food stuffs and should be located in an area away from live animal housing, and all food storage and preparation. The storage facility should have sufficient capacity to hold all carcases prior to examination and/or disposal and should be cleaned and disinfected regularly.

G8.14 Post mortem examinations should be performed by a veterinarian whenever possible. Other, appropriately trained staff can perform post mortem examinations, collect samples and record observations. However, interpretation of post mortem examination findings is the responsibility of the veterinarian.

G8.15 If resources are limited, priority of post mortem investigation should be given to animals that fit the categories outlined in Section 7 (Investigation Priorities and Trigger Points). A thorough post mortem examination, by the zoo’s veterinary service, should be conducted in all cases falling into these categories.

**CARCASE DISPOSAL**

**Guidelines**

G8.16 Transport and disposal of carcases should use methods that minimise biosecurity risk and minimise the opportunity for scavenging.

G8.17 If carcases leave the property for disposal, procedures should be followed to ensure that the carcases are suitably contained (e.g. rip proof plastic bags).

G8.18 Carcases should be collected regularly from the property.

G8.19 The vehicle collecting carcases should not enter the area of the zoo which houses collection animals.

G8.20 All containers used for collecting dead and storing dead animals must be washed and disinfected before re-use.
9. Management of animals, vehicles and equipment during animal transport

Objective

To minimise the risk of introduction and spread of disease or contaminants during movement of animals between zoos.

This Manual addresses best practice for transfer of zoo animals within Australia. International transfer of zoo animals is subject to complex requirements which are outside the scope of this document.

Transfer of animals from one zoo to another poses biosecurity risks. Infectious disease may be introduced from another zoo or the animal, vehicle or equipment may be exposed to infectious disease or contaminants during transport. Appropriate biosecurity measures will help to prevent the spread of disease or contamination from one zoo to another. Disease transfer can occur via people, vehicles, equipment and transport crates. Appropriate protocols should be followed at every step of the transfer, by all involved in the operation, in order to minimise biosecurity risks.

In the majority of animal transfers, the sending zoo is responsible for boxing and transport to the receiving zoo. The sending zoo is responsible for ensuring that all involved are fully aware of the biosecurity requirements and that the appropriate protocols are implemented. The receiving zoo is responsible for managing post-arrival biosecurity risks, primarily through appropriate quarantine procedures. Best practice involves both zoos discussing and planning biosecurity management and other aspects of the transfer, well in advance of the event.

RELEVANT AAWS STANDARDS

Section 11: Transportation and Section 12: Animal identification


Guidelines

G9.1 Zoos should have a plan for biosecurity management during animal transfers.

G9.2 All transport crates, equipment and, if necessary, vehicles, used to transfer animals between zoos should be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected before and after use.

G9.3 Staff accompanying animal transfers should employ the highest biosecurity work practices and personal hygiene, at minimum meeting protocols for zoo quarantine management (See Section 6 Quarantine).

G9.4 A Competent Keeper and/ or veterinarian may be required to accompany some animal transfers, to assist in management of biosecurity and other concerns.
G9.5 Zoos should be aware of and comply with relevant state/territory requirements for movement of animals in general and the movement of animals between particular states/territories.

G9.6 Waste products, including bedding, food, faeces and urine should be managed with strict biosecurity practices during transfer. If necessary, these products should be securely bagged until arrival, and disposed of through the receiving zoo’s established biosecurity management processes.

G9.7 If zoo animals are transferred using commercial transportation companies, best practice requires that zoo animals are not transported in vehicles containing other (domestic) animals. If it is necessary to transport zoo animals in the same vehicle as domestic animals, an appropriate biosecurity risk assessment should be undertaken.

See Appendix 1: Roles and responsibilities for sending and receiving zoos in zoo animal transactions.
10. A Zoo-specific Biosecurity Plan

Objective

To provide a detailed framework for each individual zoo that allows rapid identification of biosecurity breaches and minimises the risk of introducing or spreading a disease within the collection,

To provide detailed contingency plans for biosecurity breaches.

Guidelines

G10.1 Individual zoos are encouraged to develop Zoo-specific biosecurity procedures, which should incorporate and build on the guidelines presented in this Manual and clearly demonstrate the biosecurity arrangements in place at the zoo.

G10.2 In developing these Plans, detailed consideration should be given to minimising the risk of disease entering into, spreading within or escaping from a facility.

G10.3 These Plans should align with any National (AUSVETPLAN and AAWS Standards) and local contingency and management plans, including those for zoonoses or incidents that may impact upon human health.

G10.4 Minimum areas for inclusion in a Zoo-specific Biosecurity Plan are:

a. a health program for all animals held at the facility;
b. inspection, testing and quarantining of newly arrived animals;
c. control of pest, wild and stray animals;
d. hygiene procedures for staff and visitors;
e. isolation of sick animals;
f. drainage and waste disposal and;
g. ensuring machinery and equipment does not introduce pests or disease.
11. Emergency Biosecurity Response Plan

Objective

To adopt high risk management procedures and thereby increase biosecurity protection in the event of a suspected outbreak of an emergency disease or serious endemic disease.

During any outbreak of an emergency animal disease, specific operating procedures will be available from Animal Health Australia in accordance with AUSVETPLAN (www.animalhealthaustralia.com.au/programs/eadp/ausvetplan/ausvetplan_home.cfm).

Guidelines

G11.1 Each zoo should establish and document a clear Emergency Biosecurity Response Plan for use if an emergency animal disease alert is raised (e.g. an unusual increase in mortality or illness).

G11.2 The Emergency Biosecurity Response Plan should include protocols for work practices, restriction on animal, staff and visitor movement and should detail the agencies and authorities which need to be informed.
References and other reading
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Australian Wildlife Health Network


Infection Control Guidelines for Animal Contact

National Farm Biosecurity Manual Poultry Production
www.daff.gov.au/birds
and

National Notifiable Disease List
OIE disease list
www.oie.int/animal-health-in-the-world/oie-listed-diseases-2011/

Petting Zoo Guidelines

Vertebrate Pests Committee Guidelines

Water Biosecurity Manual for poultry farms
www.daff.gov.au/birds

Water quality standards for captive seals

ZAA Veterinary Specialist Advisory Group list serve

Abbreviations

AQIS  Australian Quarantine Inspection Service

AUSVETPLAN  Australian Veterinary Emergency Plan, an agreed management plan and set of operational procedures, which would be adopted in the event of an emergency animal disease outbreak in Australia. The procedures are briefly outlined in the Summary Document and details are given in the individual Disease Strategies. The manuals are written with specific reference to certain animal industries where a greater than normal risk of harm could be expected from an emergency disease outbreak. The Enterprise Manual for zoos (zooalogical gardens, circuses and animal theatres) forms part of the Australian Veterinary Emergency Plan, or AUSVETPLAN Edition 2.

AWHN  Australian Wildlife Health Network (“The Network”)

AAWS  Australian Animal Welfare Strategy

CBSG  Conservation Breeding Specialist Group

CVO  Chief Veterinary Officer
The Association (previously known as ARAZPA) was established in 1990 to link zoos and aquariums in Australia, New Zealand and the South Pacific in a cooperative regional network for wildlife conservation. The Association now links over 70 institutions, all working together to protect and conserve the world’s wildlife. Their mission is: “To harness the collective resources of zoos and aquariums to conserve biodiversity in the natural environment”. Association member institutions support the principles outlined in the World Zoo and Aquarium Conservation Strategy, and aim to further develop zoos and aquariums as centres of excellence in wildlife conservation, environmental education and research. The Zoo and Aquarium Association’s Accreditation Program offers a framework for assisting zoos and aquariums to achieve established Association standards of zoo and aquarium operation. The general standards of relevance to all aspects of zoo and aquarium operation include general operations, collection management, animal husbandry, animal health care, education and conservation. Many of these accreditation standards are relevant to zoo biosecurity.

Zoo Animal Health Reference Group is a committee formed by DAFF to represent zoos on Animal Health issues and comprises members from the following institutions: DAFF, the Association, ZAA Veterinary SAG, Australia Zoo, Zoos South Australia, Perth Zoo, Sea World Australia, Taronga Conservation Society Australia and Zoos Victoria. AWHN provides secretariat for this group.

The ZAA Veterinary Specialist Group was established for the promotion of communication and collaboration between veterinarians employed by ZAA member institutions. By this means the group aims to enhance the ability of ZAA institution vets to remain informed of and respond to zoological veterinary issues and to provide a collective voice for consultation with ZAA and other organisations when the need arises. The group is convened as an electronic discussion group which is open to all veterinarians working for ZAA member institutions and has been running since 2001.
Definitions

**Animal staff**: all employees and volunteers coming into contact with zoo animals, includes keepers, veterinarians, veterinary nurses and education staff.

**Animal Transaction**: acquisition or disposal of an Animal by a Facility. Does not include short-term removal from the Facility for temporary purposes such as veterinary treatment or to give a presentation off-site.

**Anthropozoonosis**: a Disease spread from humans to animals.

**Barrier keeping**: use of work practices which minimise the spread of infectious disease from one animal, group or environment to another.

**Biosecurity**: the set of precautions taken to minimise the risk of introducing an infectious disease into an animal (or human) population.

**Biosecurity Plan**: a plan that minimises the risk of Disease or infectious agents, chemical and environmental contaminants entering into, spreading within or escaping from the Facility.

**Biosecurity Zone**: distinct management zone within the zoo, based on differing levels of biosecurity risk. Creating different biosecurity zones allows for more effective risk management and planning.

**Contact Area**: refers to those areas in which there is direct physical contact between animals and people (usually the public).

**Disease**: any condition suffered by an Animal such that normally accepted parameters of health are not met.

**Domestic Animal**: is a species the keeping of which is not restricted in the relevant Australian state or territory.

**Drive-through Enclosure**: an enclosure into which Vehicles containing members of the public may enter.

**Enclosure**: any accommodation or structure in which an Animal is contained or can be contained. Includes the grounds of a Facility surrounded by a Perimeter Fence or contained by a building.

**Exhibit**: any Enclosure or Facility used to display Animals to the public.

**Exhibition Purposes**: public display, conservation, public education and public entertainment or other prescribed purposes.

**Facility**: any premises used for Animal Exhibition Purposes, and includes:

- any land or place (whether or not wholly or partly built upon or covered by water);
- a tent, stall or other structure, whether permanent or temporary; and a Vehicle.
**Furniture:** any structure or thing within an Enclosure that the Animal has access to. This includes perches, shelter, troughs, ropes, pools, Enrichment toys, trees, vegetation and logs.

**Government Authority:** a federal, state or territory regulatory body responsible for pest control, conservation, or regulation of Animal exhibition and/or Animal welfare.

**Health Program:** a preventative and curative program for the care of Animals.

**Individual Permanent Identification:** a marker that allows a specimen to be distinguished from conspecifics by a third party and includes:

a. ear tags, leg bands and micro-chips;
b. drawings or photographs for specimens that have unique, readily distinguishable, permanent markings and/or colourations;
c. physiological traits such as unusual or unique physical traits that are permanent and are not likely to manifest in a conspecific in such a way as to render such identifier ineffectual.

**Interactive Program:** activities supervised by one or more Keepers which encourage a member of the public to touch, feed and/or have close contact with an Animal, either inside or outside the Animal’s normal enclosure. It is not considered to be an Interactive Program when members of the public enter a designated walk-through animal enclosure such as a macropod walk-through or a walk-through aviary.

**Isolation:** the segregation of an Animal from its conspecifics for veterinary, husbandry or introduction purposes.

**Keeper:** a person employed or engaged under the direction of the Operator or the Operator’s appointed agent who has a responsibility towards an Animal or group of Animals.

**Management Area:** A group of enclosures and associated facilities which are managed as one area, with respect to biosecurity risks e.g. feeding, cleaning and waste management procedures.

**Off-exhibit Enclosure:** any Animal Enclosure, other than a Short-term Enclosure, that is not an Exhibit. This includes, but is not limited to, quarantine and Isolation Enclosures, holding areas, Animal health facilities, and breeding Enclosures.

**Operator:** any person or organisation who has overall responsibility for the Facility.

**Perimeter Fence:** a permanent structure that discourages unauthorised entry to a Facility and acts as a barrier for Terrestrial Animals.

**Quarantine:** a period of isolation, for the purpose of detecting and eliminating infectious disease.

**Restraint:** any method, (whether physical, chemical or behavioural) of preventing an Animal from performing an act or movement.
Staff: all persons who have been given a level of responsibility associated with the zoo and includes, but is not limited to, keepers, volunteers, researchers, students and contractors. (NB this definition is drawn from the AAWS definition but differs slightly in wording).

Staff working with animals: any staff member involved in work activities within the zoo, which involves direct or close contact with animals, animal enclosures or animal products.

Substrate: the material that covers the ground or floor, for example bedding or litter placed on the flooring of a cage, box, stall or Enclosure, or the soil or grass covering of an outdoor ground surface.

Vehicle: a means of transport, including, but not limited to, a car, truck, bus, aircraft, boat, trailer, train, and tram.

Veterinarian: a registered veterinarian.

Visitor: any member of the public visiting the zoo grounds in order to view or interact with zoo animals.

Wild Animal: An animal that is free-living and not confined to a Facility by an Enclosure, a leash or by management practices.

Zoo: includes fauna parks, sanctuaries, aquaria and marine parks holding native and/or exotic species.

Zoonosis/ Zoonotic Disease (Plural Zoonoses): Diseases that are transmissible between Animals and humans.

All definitions cover the singular, plural and all variations of the word.
### Appendix 1: Roles and responsibilities for sending and receiving zoos in zoo animal transactions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task/ action</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Staff responsible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-shipment quarantine (where required)</td>
<td>Sending Zoo</td>
<td>Veterinary and/or keeping staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-shipment health checks</td>
<td>Sending Zoo</td>
<td>Veterinary staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning of crates, boxes, bags before use</td>
<td>Sending Zoo</td>
<td>Keeping staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning machinery and equipment, vehicles, trucks, forklifts etc. Before loading/use</td>
<td>Sending zoo</td>
<td>Keeping and/or maintenance staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disinfecting footwear and hands at start and conclusion of work</td>
<td>All involved including contractors</td>
<td>Each person involved in the animal transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated clean clothes and boots</td>
<td>Both zoos</td>
<td>Each person involved in the animal transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post arrival quarantine procedures and preventative medicine programs</td>
<td>Receiving zoo</td>
<td>Veterinary staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post arrival health checks</td>
<td>Receiving zoo</td>
<td>Veterinary staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposal of waste material, food, bedding in animal crates</td>
<td>Receiving zoo</td>
<td>Keeping staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning of crates, boxes, bags after use</td>
<td>Receiving zoo</td>
<td>Keeping staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning machinery and equipment, vehicles, trucks, forklifts etc. after unloading/transport</td>
<td>Receiving zoo</td>
<td>Keeping and/or maintenance staff</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2: An example of the biosecurity self audit checklist.

A biosecurity self audit checklist for continuous improvement, which zoos can download and adapt to their requirements, is available from www.zooaquarium.org.au

An example of one page is inserted here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.0</th>
<th>Property Management</th>
<th>Guideline reference</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>CORRECTIVE ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Perimeter and animal enclosure security</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does the property have a secure perimeter fence or otherwise well defined boundary establishing a clearly defined biosecurity zone?</td>
<td>G2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Are entrances to the property able to be closed and locked to vehicle and foot traffic? Are entrances locked during all non-visitor hours?</td>
<td>G2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Are all animal enclosures appropriately constructed and secured to prevent animal escape?</td>
<td>G2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is each enclosure individually and permanently identified with a unique name, number or alphanumeric code for identification purposes?</td>
<td>G2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ABSTRACT: The subclinical and clinical Plasmodium elongatum and Plasmodium relictum infections of captive-reared African black-footed penguins (Spheniscus demersus) were evaluated in nine adult and 29 juvenile penguins in the Baltimore Zoo (Maryland, USA) during summer 1988 and winter 1989. Two diagnostic methods were used: Giemsa-stained thin blood films, and subinoculation of penguin blood into 1-day-old Peking ducklings. Chloroquine and primaquine treatment was applied to all parasitemic juvenile penguins. Twenty-nine parasite-free, juvenile penguins were monitored for parasitemia by Giemsa-stained thin blood films every two weeks for 26 weeks of their first outdoor exposure. Eighteen of 29 penguins experienced naturally acquired malaria; 14 were infected with P. elongatum, three with P. relictum, and one bird had a mixed P. relictum and P. elongatum infection. Eleven of 18 juveniles became parasitemic again after chloroquine and primaquine treatments. Based on Giemsa-stained thin blood smears and subinoculation of penguin blood into 1-day-old ducklings, performed in a mosquito-free environment in winter, nine adult penguins had no evidence of Plasmodium spp. infection. After dexmethasone-induced immunosuppression, four of six of these nonparasitemic adult penguins were found to be infected with P. relictum by the blood inoculation method.
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INTRODUCTION

Avian malarial parasites cause significant mortality in captive penguins in open-air colonies (Fleischman et al., 1968). Due to the persistence of pre-erythrocytic and exoerythrocytic schizogonies, even after inoculation of infected blood (Garnham, 1966), the pathology and clinical signs of disease in Plasmodium relictum and Plasmodium elongatum infections are associated with the exoerythrocytic infection (Fix et al., 1988). Because of the low parasitemia, the destruction of red blood cells usually does not cause clinical anemia in penguins (Cranfield et al., 1990), and penguins often die without detectable parasitemia (Griner, 1974). In such cases, parasites can be detected by the blood passage from an infected donor to experimental domestic ducklings; this causes the amplification of parasites to detectable levels (Herman et al., 1966).

Cranfield et al. (1990) reported that if a penguin survives the first infection with avian malaria, its immune system appears to be capable of reducing the number of parasites to subpatent levels. The recrudescence and relapses of malarial parasites have been reported by many workers in various species of wild birds (Bennett et al., 1976; Pierce and Mead, 1978); however, causes of these phenomena were not given. There are no studies on recrudescence or relapses of P. elongatum or P. relictum in African black-footed penguins (Spheniscus demersus). Our objective was to determine whether African black-footed penguins (S. demersus) remained subclinically infected with naturally acquired avian malarial parasites after the parasitemia dropped below detection by blood smear.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We conducted three experiments: 29 juvenile, captive-reared African black-footed penguins were selected for Experiment I, nine adult pen-
guins were chosen for Experiment II, and six of nine birds from Experiment II were selected for Experiment III. All birds were marked individually by a metal flipper tag with an attached color-coded plastic tape for identification. Juvenile birds selected for Experiment I were hatched in the Baltimore (Maryland, USA) Zoo's hatchery from September 1987 to April 1988, and were kept in controlled mosquito-free conditions until exposure in the open-air colony in early May 1988. The vector-free conditions were maintained according to the protocol of Graczyk et al. (1994a). The 29 penguins were bled every two weeks from mid-May to late October 1988 (Fig. 1) between 1000 and 1100 hr (Stoskopf and Beier, 1979) according to the protocol of Graczyk et al. (1994b). Collection of blood samples and blood processing protocols (slide preparation, staining, examination, parasite identification) followed the procedures of Graczyk et al. (1993). The first three birds diagnosed as parasitemic were transferred from the outdoor exhibition to the vector-free indoor environment. These penguins received chloroquine and primaquine treatment according to the protocol of Graczyk et al. (1994a). Penguins were returned to the main colony in early November 1988. Although in an indoor environment, the three penguins were bled on the same schedule as the penguins in the open-air colony. The same medical treatment also was applied to all the parasitemic penguins in the outdoor exhibition.

For Experiment II, nine adult penguins (6- to 9-yr-old) were randomly selected from the flock of 30 adult birds exposed in the outdoor colony during all summers, and bled every 2 wk during their first outdoor exposure season. Six of nine selected penguins were diagnosed by Giemsa-stained thin film as parasitemic during their first open-air exposure season. Five birds were infected with *Plasmodium elongatum*, and one bird had a mixed *P. elongatum* and *Plasmodium relictum* infection. In December 1988, we tested for avian malarial infections in these adult penguins by Giemsa-stained thin blood smear, and by multiple blood subinoculations (Herman et al., 1986). The subinoculation method was used only when the thin smear method was negative. Two milliliters of jugular blood from each penguin were injected intravenously into each of two ducklings. Ducklings were housed in controlled mosquito-free conditions. After 7 days, 2.0 ml of blood were drawn from each of the ducklings for a blood smear and for a second blood transfer to a second group of 18 1-day-old ducklings. The second group was bled at day 7 post-inoculation (PI) for preparation of thin blood smears. A thin blood smear also was prepared from the first group of ducklings at this time.

In January 1989, six of nine adult penguins from Experiment II were selected at random for Experiment III. Three of the six penguins were parasitemic, and three birds were not parasitemic as determined by Giemsa-stained blood smear during their first outdoor season. One of the three parasitemic penguins had a mixed *P. relictum* and *P. elongatum* infection; two birds were infected with *P. elongatum* (Table 1). All three birds were injected intramuscularly with dexamethasone 21-phosphate (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Missouri, USA) and 30 mg/animal of amikacin sulphate (Fort Dodge Laboratories, Inc., Fort Dodge, Iowa, USA) for five consecutive days. Three penguins diagnosed as parasitemic during their first outdoor exposition were injected with 1.0 mg/kg body weight of dexamethasone, and the other three birds received 2.0 mg/kg of dexamethasone. Dexamethasone was used because of its properties for induction of immunosuppression in birds (Isobe and Lillehoj, 1992). Amikacin sulphate was administered to reduce the risk of clinical disease resulting from opportunistic bacterial infection (Brummett, 1983) during the immunosuppression. Seven days after initiation of the dexamethasone treatment, 4.0 ml of blood were drawn from each of the six penguins for the preparation of thin blood smear and for inoculation into two 1-day-old ducklings (2 ml/animal). After 7 days, 2.0 ml of blood from each duckling were drawn for thin blood smears and for inoculation of a second group of 12 ducklings. This procedure also was repeated after 7 days for inoculation of a third group of ducklings. If the thin blood smear from the second
TABLE 1. The results of isodiagnostic blood subinoculation method for nonparasitemic and dexamethasone-immunosuppressed, adult African black-footed penguins (Spheniscus demersus).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasite species in penguin*</th>
<th>Dose of dexamethasone (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Blood passage</th>
<th>Parasite species in duckling**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First*</td>
<td>Second*</td>
<td>Third*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. elongatum</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. elongatum</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. elongatum</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. relictum</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Naturally acquired during the first outdoor summer season and diagnosed by Giemsa-stained thin blood film method.
** Two one-day-old Peking ducklings used for each blood transfer.
+ Diagnosed by Giemsa-stained thin blood film method.
- No Plasmodium spp. observed; ND, not done.

RESULTS

In Experiment I, during the 26-wk outdoor period, 18 of 29 juveniles experienced malaria, while 11 of 29 juveniles remained nonparasitemic. All avian malaria episodes occurred from 10 August to 19 October with the peak of malaria cases in mid-September (Fig. 1). Fourteen of 29 juveniles were infected with Plasmodium elongatum, three with Plasmodium relictum, and one with both.

In Experiment I, two of the first three parasitemic penguins which were moved from the outdoor exposition to the mosquito-free environment were infected with Plasmodium elongatum; one bird had a mixed P. elongatum and P. relictum infection. All three penguins, nonparasitemic after administration of chloroquine became parasitemic again with P. elongatum in ≤4 wk. Fifteen of the remaining 26 juveniles in outdoor exhibition had parasitemia (Fig. 1); eight of them became parasitemic again within 4 wk after the initiation of medical treatment. Thus, 11 of 18 juveniles became parasitemic again after chloroquine and primaquine treatments.

In Experiment II, all nine adults were negative for Plasmodium spp. by the thin blood smear method. All nine adults were Plasmodium spp. negative based on two blood passages to the 1-day-old ducklings.

The blood smears from all six penguins injected with dexamethasone (Experiment III) remained negative for 21 days. Also, the first group of ducklings injected with 2.0 ml of blood from six adult penguins were nonparasitemic at day 7 PI, and remained negative through the experiment (Table 1). Three pairs of ducklings in the second blood passage group became parasitemic by day 7 PI with P. relictum, and the blood passage to the third group of ducklings was not done (Table 1); one of the remaining ducklings from this group became parasitemic by day 14 PI with P. relictum (Table 1). Based on the blood subinoculation method, Plasmodium spp. occurred in four of six dexamethasone-treated penguins. Ducklings inoculated with the blood from two penguins which acquired P. elongatum infections during the first outdoor season had only P. relictum parasitemia. The ducklings inoculated with blood from the penguin with mixed P. elongatum and P. relictum infection also had only a P. relictum parasitemia.

DISCUSSION

The recurrent recrudescences and relapses of malarial parasites in birds has
been reported by many researchers (Atkinson and van Riper, 1991). We observed that naturally acquired parasites survived chloroquine and primaquine therapy, and under the corticosteroid stimulus they recrudesced into the erythrocytes. Brown (1969) and Garnham (1970) suggested three hypotheses to explain this phenomenon: 1) erythrocytic stages persist and multiply in deep vascular sites and sub-patent parasite populations may emerge during the decline of nonsterilizing immunity (Sergent and Sergent, 1956) causing parasite relapses; 2) exo-erythrocytic stages continuously release merozoites into the circulating blood, and these allow parasite populations to recover when premunition to them declines (parasite recrudescence); and 3) dormant sporozoites or pre-erythrocytic forms survive in endothelial tissues and later cause parasite recrudescence under a specific stimulus (Griener, 1974). We observed that penguins naturally infected and medically treated for malarial parasites were negative by thin blood smear and blood subinoculation; we observed no parasites after two blood passages to 1-day-old ducklings. However, according to the sensitivity of the Giemsa-stained blood film (Stoskopf and Beier, 1979), and blood subinoculation method (Herman et al., 1966) we should have observed Plasmodium spp. if the second hypothesis was true. Therefore, it seems more likely that infected erythrocytes persisted in deep vascular sites in penguins or dormant sporozoites and pre-erythrocytic forms of malarial parasites survived in the endothelial tissues of African penguins. Upon corticosteroid therapy, the parasites may have recrudesced in the penguin hematopoietic tissue, and multiplied in experimentally infected ducklings. Persistence of pre-erythrocytic (post-sporozoite) forms of Plasmodium relictum in pigeons (Columba livia) has been suggested by Huff (1951).

Based on the positive blood subinoculation results for four of six dexamethasone-treated penguins, we conclude that the invasion of red blood cells is an effect associated with the glucocorticoid-mediated immunosuppression. Dexamethasone-treated birds exhibit a marked decrease in the number of lymphocytes and monocytes (Gross et al., 1979) and decreases of cell-mediated immunity (CMI) (Isobe and Lillehoj, 1992). Rank and Weidanz (1976) demonstrated that immunity to re-infection of chickens with Plasmodium gallinaceum occurred in the absence of detectable B-cell function indicating that nonsterilizing immunity (Sergent and Sergent, 1956) is an antibody-independent phenomenon and requires CMI. Thus the effect of dexamethasone on subclinically infected penguins can be explained by debilitating the processes of CMI controlling the pre-erythrocytic parasites in the endothelial tissues. The results of the present study indicate that African black-footed penguins can be subclinically infected with P. relictum and clinically infected with Plasmodium elongatum.

One striking observation in our study was that penguins which experienced the recrudescence of malarial parasites had been treated for ten days with primaquine. Primaquine inhibits mitochondrial respiration of the primary and secondary liver stages of human malarial parasites (Contacos, 1973). However, pre-erythrocytic and exo-erythrocytic stages of P. relictum and P. elongatum inhabit the endothelial tissue of all organs of penguins (Fleischman et al., 1968). As seen, the parasites may survive the primaquine therapy. Based on our results, we believe that antimalarial therapy based on human infant treatment schedules (Stoskopf and Beier, 1979) is not adequate to eliminate the exo-erythrocytic stages of Plasmodium spp. parasites.
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SUMMARY

Blood parasites are considered some of the most significant pathogens for the conservation of penguins, due to the considerable morbidity and mortality they have been shown to produce in captive and wild populations of these birds. Parasites known to occur in the blood of penguins include haemosporidian protozoans (Plasmodium, Leucocytozoon, Haemoproteus), piroplasmid protozoans (Babesia), kinetoplastid protozoans (Trypanosoma), spirochete bacteria (Borrelia) and nematode microfilariae. This review provides a critical and comprehensive assessment of the current knowledge on these parasites, providing an overview of their biology, host and geographic distribution, epidemiology, pathology and implications for public health and conservation.

Key words: blood parasite, bird, conservation, pathogen, seabird, vector-borne diseases.

INTRODUCTION

Diseases and parasites may adversely affect breeding success and lead to the mortality of penguins, potentially hampering the viability of their populations (Woods et al. 2009). Blood parasites are considered some of the most significant pathogens for the conservation of penguins (Brossy et al. 1999; Jones and Shellam, 1999b; Levin and Parker, 2011).

Since 1926, when Sir Henry Harold Scott first diagnosed avian malaria as the cause of death of a king penguin captive at the Zoological Society of London (Scott, 1927), a considerable body of literature has gradually accumulated on the blood parasites of penguins, with nearly a hundred publications. The concern that blood parasites could be a conservation threat emerges from the observation of the dramatic impacts of avian malaria outbreaks in captive penguins (e.g. Rodhain, 1939; Griner and Sheridan, 1967; Fix et al. 1988; Bueno et al. 2010), along with the existence of Plasmodium sp. infections in wild penguins (Fantham and Porter, 1944; Laird, 1950; Levin et al. 2009) as well as other potentially pathogenic blood parasites (Fallis et al. 1976; Jones and Wochler, 1989; Earlé et al. 1992; Argilla et al. 2013). There are a few documented cases of mortality of wild penguins due to blood parasite infections (Fantham and Porter, 1944; Hill et al. 2010; Argilla et al. 2013; Cannell et al. 2013) and, because there are a number of mass mortality events of wild penguins for which the causes of death cannot be established (Gill and Darby, 1993; Kerry et al. 2009; Woods et al. 2009), the possibility that blood parasites play a role in some of these events cannot be dismissed.

In this review, we will provide a critical and comprehensive assessment of the state-of-the-art of blood parasites known to infect penguins, providing an overview of their biology, host and geographic distribution, epidemiology, pathology and implications for public health and conservation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This review addresses organisms that parasitize blood cells (haemosporidians and piroplasmids), as well as other organisms that can be detected in blood smears (kinetoplastids, spirochetes and nematode microfilariae). All records published in peer-reviewed journals until 01 January 2016 were considered; institutional reports, conference presentations and Ph.D. theses were included when they presented relevant data that could not be found in other peer-reviewed publications. A comprehensive list of the known records of blood parasites of penguins is provided in Appendix 1, and these data are summarized in Table 1. In light of novel evidence and critical consideration of the existing record, a
number of records were revised or were considered inconclusive or questionable; detailed remarks on these cases are provided in Appendix 2. It is worth noting that *Aegyptianella* sp. was considered within the scope of this review, but was not included because it has not yet been conclusively demonstrated to infect penguins (see Appendix 2).

Table 1. Summary of blood parasites recorded in each penguin species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penguin species</th>
<th>Plasmodium</th>
<th>Leucocytozoon</th>
<th>Haemoproteus</th>
<th>Babesia</th>
<th>Trypanosoma</th>
<th>Borrelia</th>
<th>Microfilariae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Aptenodytes forsteri</em> (Emperor)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aptenodytes patagonicus</em> (King)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eudyptes chrysocephalus</em> (Macaroni)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eudyptes moseleyi</em> (Northern rockhopper)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eudyptes pachyrhynchos</em> (Fiordland)</td>
<td>W, R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eudyptes robustus</em> (Snares)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eudyptes schlegeli</em> (Royal)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eudyptes schlegeli</em> (Erect-crested)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eudyptilus minor</em> (Little)</td>
<td>W, C</td>
<td>C, E</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Megadytes antipodes</em> (Yellow-eyed)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pygoscelis adeliae</em> (Adelie)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pygoscelis antarcticus</em> (Chinstrap)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spheniscus humboldti</em> (Humboldt)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spheniscus magellanicus</em> (Magellanic)</td>
<td>R, C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spheniscus mendicus</em> (Galapagos)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Records were classified according to the context in which the diagnosis was established: W, penguins sampled in the wild; R, penguins undergoing rehabilitation; C, penguins captive in zoos; E, penguins infected under experimental conditions.

number of records were revised or were considered inconclusive or questionable; detailed remarks on these cases are provided in Appendix 2. It is worth noting that *Aegyptianella* sp. was considered within the scope of this review, but was not included because it has not yet been conclusively demonstrated to infect penguins (see Appendix 2).

Figure 1 presents a hand-drawn summary of the parasites that can be found in the blood of penguins; these drawings were based on the descriptions and illustrations provided by Fallis et al. (1976), Jones and Woehler (1989), Earle et al. (1993), Merkel et al. (2007), Yabsley et al. (2012), Silveira et al. (2013) and Vanstreels et al. (2014a, 2015a, b). This figure is not meant as an identification plate, but an illustration of the variations in size and shape of each parasite group. *Haemoproteus* sp. was not included in Fig. 1, because it has not yet been observed in blood smears of penguins. It is possible that *Leucocytozoon* spp. form elongated gametocytes when infecting penguin leukocytes, as occurs in other hosts (Valkiūnas, 2005); however, these parasite forms were never documented in penguins and therefore were not represented in Fig. 1.

To evaluate if there are regions where blood parasites could infect penguins but have yet to be recorded, we juxtaposed the distribution of penguin breeding colonies (IUCN, 2015) with that of records of blood parasites in penguins and of their confirmed or suspected invertebrate hosts/vectors (Figs 2 and 3). The distribution of the following invertebrate hosts/vectors is represented: seabird soft ticks (*Argas* spp., *Carios* spp.) (Dietrich et al. 2011), seabird hard ticks (*Amblyomma loculosum*, *Ixodes* spp.) (Barbosa et al. 2011; Dietrich et al. 2011; Muñoz-Leal and González-Acuña, 2015), biting midges (*Culicoides* spp.) (Murray, 1975; Spinelli and Martínez, 1991; Tabachnick, 2004; Aybar et al. 2010; Guichard et al. 2014), black flies (*Austrosimulium* spp., *Cnephia* spp., *Prosimulium* spp., *Simulium* spp.) (Dumbleton, 1963; Hill et al. 2010; Argilla et al.
**Plasmodium (Avian Malaria)**

*Plasmodium* spp. (Haemosporida: Plasmodiidae) are parasites of all tetrapod classes. More than 60 avian-infecting species have been described in five subgenera: *Bennettinia*, *Giovannolaia*, *Haemamoeba*, *Huffia* and *Navyella* (Valkiūnas, 2005; Martinsen and Perkins, 2013). In the avian blood, these parasites can be found in the cytoplasm of erythroblasts and erythrocytes (and occasionally thrombocytes, see Silveira et al. 2009) in the form of trophozoites, erythrocytic meronts or gametocytes (Fig. 1); haemozoin granules are present. In the avian tissues, these parasites will invade endothelial cells and macrophages; megalomeronts are absent (Valkiūnas, 2005).

**Species recorded in penguins**

Five species of *Plasmodium* have been demonstrated to infect penguins through both morphological and

---

Fig. 2. Geographic distribution of records of haemosporidian blood parasites in penguins in relation to their invertebrate hosts. Blue areas represent the breeding distribution of penguins.

*Haemoproteus*  
*Leucocytozoon*  
*Plasmodium*
genetic evidence: *Plasmodium (Haemamoeba) relictum* (Fantham and Porter, 1944), *Plasmodium (Huffia) elongatum* (Huff and Shiroishi, 1962), *Plasmodium (Haemamoeba) tejerai* (Silveira et al. 2013), *Plasmodium (Haemamoeba) cathemerium* and *Plasmodium (Novyella) nucleophilum* (Vanstreels et al. 2015a). Additionally, *Plasmodium (Novyella) unalis* was identified through genetic evidence (Vanstreels et al. 2015a). There is a record of *Plasmodium (Bennettinia) juxtanucleare* infecting penguins (Grim et al. 2003), however that report is problematic (see Appendix 2).

Because a number of studies have not conclusively identified all *Plasmodium* lineages that were detected (see Appendix 1), it is probable that many other species of *Plasmodium* have yet to be recorded in...
penguins. Additionally, concomitant infection by two or more *Plasmodium* lineages is not uncommon (Huff and Shiroishi, 1962; Fleischman et al. 1968a, b; Herman et al. 1974; Sladen et al. 1979; Stoskopf and Beier, 1979; Beier and Stoskopf, 1980; Beier and Trpis, 1981; Vanstreels et al. 2014a, 2015a, in press).

**Distribution among penguin hosts**

Thirteen species have been shown to be susceptible to *Plasmodium* in the wild or in captivity: king (Scott, 1927), Humboldt (Rodhain, 1939), African, northern rockhopper, yellow-eyed (Fantham and Porter, 1944), Snares (Laird, 1950) (see Appendix 2), chinstrap (Rodhain and Andrianne, 1952), little, gentoo (Griner and Sheridan, 1967), Macaroni (Herman et al. 1974), Magellanic (Fix et al. 1988), Galapagos (Levin et al. 2009) and Southern rockhopper penguins (Dinhop et al. 2011). There are only five penguin species in which *Plasmodium* infection was never documented: Adélie, emperor, erect-crested, Fiordland and Royal penguins. These species are inhabitants of remote sub-Antarctic and Antarctic environments, were seldom examined for blood parasites in the wild and were either never maintained in captivity or are generally maintained in vector-free acclimatized enclosures. It is therefore probable that the lack of records in these species is not due to a particular resilience to these parasites, but instead reflects a lack of studies in the wild and/or lack of exposure to environmental conditions that might allow their infection *ex situ*.

**Invertebrate hosts**

It is well established that avian plasmodia are transmitted exclusively by mosquitoes (Culicidae), particularly *Culex* spp., *Mansonina* spp., *Culiseta* spp. and *Aedemomyia* spp. Additionally, *Aedes* spp., *Anopheles* spp. and *Armigera* spp. can also be competent hosts in laboratory experiments (Valkiūnas, 2005; Atkinson, 2008b).

Studies in zoos indicate that *Culex* spp. play a key role in the transmission of *Plasmodium* spp. to captive penguins, particularly *C. pipiens* (Rodhain, 1939; Raether, 1960; Grünberg and Kutzer, 1963; Beier and Trpis, 1981), *Culex quinquefasciatus (= *Culex fatigans*) (Laird and Van Riper, 1981), *Culex tarsalis* (Huff and Shiroishi, 1962), *Culex restuans* (Beier and Trpis, 1981) and *C. (Culex) sp. (Buono et al. 2010). Fantham and Porter (1944) found *Plasmodium* sp. in *C. quinquefasciatus* collected at Saldanha Bay, South Africa, where a wild penguin was found dead with a *P. relictum* infection. The invasive *C. quinquefasciatus* is likely involved in the transmission of *Plasmodium* in New Zealand and in the Galapagos Archipelago (Tomkpins and Gleeson, 2006; Levin et al. 2009; Levin and Parker, 2011). In the Galapagos Archipelago, however, *Aedes taeniorhynchus* still has to be investigated as a potential host since this species has been recorded in Mexico carrying *Plasmodium* lineages closely related to those identified in Galapagos penguins (Levin et al. 2013). In New Zealand, *C. quinquefasciatus* is restricted to the North Island (White, 1989; Holder, 1999) and *Culex perigilans* is suspected to be responsible for the transmission of *Plasmodium* sp. at South Island and other islands (Holder, 1999; Sturrock and Tommkins, 2008). *Ochlerotatus australis* (= *Aedes australis*) is an invasive species in New Zealand (Holder, 1999; Snell, 2005) that could also play a role in the transmission of avian malarial parasites.

**Geographic distribution**

*Plasmodium relictum* was documented in wild penguins in South Africa (Saldanha Bay), New Zealand (Campbell Island, Fouveaux Strait, Tiritiri Matangi Island, Snares Island) and Gough Island (Fantham and Porter, 1944; Laird, 1950), and in captive penguins in Europe (Rodhain, 1939; Fantham and Porter, 1944), North America (Griner and Sheridan, 1967; Stoskopf and Beier, 1979), Hawaii (Laird and Van Riper, 1981), Eastern Asia (Bak et al. 1984), South Africa (Penrith et al. 1994) and at rehabilitation centres in South Africa (Brossy et al. 1999) and Chile (Carvajal and Alvarado, 2009). *Plasmodium elongatum* was documented infecting penguins at zoos in North America (Huff and Shiroishi, 1962; Beier and Stoskopf, 1980), Europe (Dinhop et al. 2011) and rehabilitation centres in Brazil (Vanstreels et al. 2014a, 2015a). *Plasmodium tejerai* was identified in penguins undergoing rehabilitation in Brazil (Silveira et al. 2013; Vanstreels et al. 2014a, 2015a) and Argentina (Vanstreels et al. in press). *Plasmodium catheemerium, P. nucleophilum* and *P. unalis* were reported only in penguins undergoing rehabilitation in Brazil (Vanstreels et al. 2015a). Additionally, unidentified lineages of *Plasmodium* have been detected in wild penguins at the Galapagos Archipelago (Isabela, Fernando, Las Marielas and Bartolome Islands) (Levin et al. 2009, 2013), as well as at zoos in North and South America, Europe and Asia, and at rehabilitation centres in South Africa, Argentina and Brazil (see Appendix 1).

All *ex situ* and most *in situ* records of *Plasmodium* spp. infecting penguins are within the distribution range of *C. pipiens, C. quinquefasciatus* and *C. perigilans* or, in Southern New Zealand, *O. australis* (Fig. 2C). The record of *P. relictum* in a Northern rockhopper penguin at Gough Island (Fantham and Porter, 1944) is a surprising exception, considering this is an extremely remote island where mosquitoes are absent (Gaston et al. 2003); the only
neighbouring archipelago, Tristan da Cunha, is also mosquito-free (Medlock et al. 2010). A possible explanation is that this penguin was exposed to *P. relictum* while being vagrant in South Africa (see Rollinson et al. 2013).

The geographic distribution of *Culex* mosquitoes overlaps with the breeding habitat of penguins in Peru, Chile, Namibia and Australia (Fig. 2C), and it is therefore plausible that wild penguins in these countries may be infected by *Plasmodium* sp. Most sub-Antarctic islands probably do not provide environmental conditions compatible with the transmission of *Plasmodium* spp. Gough, South Georgia, Marion, Macquarie and Tristan da Cunha Islands are reportedly free from mosquitoes (Laird, 1952; Hänel et al. 1998; Medlock et al. 2010) and the climate of South Georgia, South Sandwich, Bouvet, Amsterdam, Saint Paul, Crozet, Kerguelen and Peter I Islands is likely too adverse for mosquitoes (Medlock et al. 2010). It is therefore reasonable to assume that there is no *Plasmodium* sp. transmission in those locations, even if there have been little to no studies on blood parasites of penguins. The Falkland Islands are reportedly free from mosquitoes (Medlock et al. 2010), however DNA from *P. relictum* was detected in the blood of a thin-billed prion (*Pachyptila belcheri*) at New Island; because this a pelagic seabird that only comes to land in the breeding season, it is reasonable to suspect that infection occurred on the island (Quillfeldt et al. 2010). The harsh climate of Antarctica and the South Shetland Islands probably also precludes the occurrence of *Plasmodium* sp., as corroborated by blood parasite studies in the region (e.g. Laird, 1961; Becker and Holloway, 1968; Jones and Shellam, 1999a; González-Acuña et al. 2013; Vanstreels et al. 2014b).

**Epidemiology and pathology**

In wild penguins, the prevalence of *Plasmodium* sp. varies considerably. *Plasmodium* sp. was detected in the blood smears of 0·7% of African penguins at Dyer Island, 3% at Saldanha Bay, 9% at Stony Point, 11% at Robben Island, and 34% at Dassen Island (Fantham and Porter, 1944; Brossy, 1992; Thiart, 2005). In New Zealand, blood smears revealed *P. relictum* infection in 10% of yellow-eyed penguins at Fouéveux Strait, 10·7% of Snares penguins at Snares Island, and one of two yellow-eyed penguins at Campbell Island (Fantham and Porter, 1944; Laird, 1950). Furthermore, one of five Northern rockhopper penguins from Gough Island was blood smear-positive to *P. relictum* (Laird, 1950). Using molecular methods, Levin et al. (2009, 2013) detected *Plasmodium* sp. in the blood of 5·4% of Galapagos penguins, with prevalence varying between 2·1 and 42·9% among islands. None of the wild penguins in which *Plasmodium* sp. was detected had external signs of disease, and parasitaemia was generally low or undetectable in blood smears (Fantham and Porter, 1944; Laird, 1950; Brossy, 1992; Levin et al. 2009). Fantham and Porter (1944) detected *P. relictum* in a deceased wild African penguin; however, because the penguin had multiple traumatic lesions it was not clear to what extent avian malaria may have contributed to its death.

It is well established that avian malaria outbreaks in zoos result from local mosquitoes inoculating penguins with *Plasmodium* sp. acquired from the native birds in the surroundings of the penguin exhibit (Beier and Trpis, 1981; Ejiri et al. 2009; Bueno et al. 2010; Leclerc et al. 2014; Dinhopl et al. 2015). Because mosquito abundance is markedly seasonal, cases of avian malaria in captive penguins tend to concentrate in spring-summer, particularly late summer (Grünberg and Kutzer, 1963; Griner and Sheridan, 1967; Sladen et al. 1979; Beier and Stoskopf, 1980; Vanstreels et al. 2015a). Mosquitoes are most active in penguin enclosures at night (Beier and Trpis, 1981). At zoos that recorded avian malaria outbreaks, the prevalence of *Plasmodium* sp. in mosquitoes near penguin exhibits is generally low (<5%) (Beier and Trpis, 1981; Bueno et al. 2010; Ejiri et al. 2009, 2011), and similar results were obtained in studies at locations where *Plasmodium* sp. was reported in wild penguins (Fantham and Porter, 1944).

Outbreaks of avian malaria in permanently captive penguins usually occur suddenly and/or in successive waves. Mortality might depend on the *Plasmodium* species/lineage involved, whether there was prior exposure to *Plasmodium* sp., and on the administration of drug treatment, with between 10 and 83% penguins dying within a few weeks or months (Fleischman et al. 1968a; Stoskopf and Beier, 1979; Fix et al. 1988; Cranfield et al. 1994; Graczyk et al. 1994a; Bueno et al. 2010). A similar pattern is observed for penguins kept in temporary captivity while receiving rehabilitation care in South America (Carvajal and Alvarado, 2009; Vanstreels et al. 2014a, 2015a). On the other hand, avian malaria is enzootic to African penguins undergoing rehabilitation, with 30–35% of penguins being positive (blood smears) upon admission (Parsons and Underhill, 2005).

Most penguins with avian malaria in captivity are in good body condition and do not present clinical signs, dying suddenly. When clinical signs are present, they are not specific and may include: anorexia, depression, lethargy, weakness, regurgitation, green faeces, hyperthermia, pale mucosae, and dyspnoea (Rodhain, 1939; Griner and Sheridan, 1967; Sladen et al. 1979; Stoskopf and Beier, 1979; Bak et al. 1984; Fix et al. 1988; Bueno et al. 2010; Vanstreels et al. 2014a). Haematology may reveal leucocytosis with lymphocytosis and/or monocytosis (Stoskopf and Beier, 1979; Fix et al. 1988; Graczyk...
et al. 1994a). Infected penguins often have low parasitaemia (<2%); Graczyk et al. 1994a); however, occasionally much higher parasitaemia may be observed, with up to 80% of erythrocytes parasitized and multiple parasites per erythrocyte (Fantham and Porter, 1944; Bueno et al. 2010; Vanstreels et al. 2014a).

Captive penguins deceased due to avian malaria typically present hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, lung congestion and hydropericardium (Rodhain, 1939; Bak et al. 1984; Fix et al. 1988; Graczyk et al. 1994a; Grim et al. 2003; Ko et al. 2008; Carvajal and Alvarado, 2009). Tissue meronts are present in multiple tissues and concentrate especially in the lungs, kidneys, brain, heart, liver and spleen (Rodhain, 1939; Fleischman et al. 1968b; Bak et al. 1984; Fix et al. 1988; Graczyk et al. 1994a; Grim et al. 2003; Ko et al. 2008; Silva et al. 2013; Vanstreels et al. 2014a, 2015a). Concurrent diseases are not uncommon, and asplergillosis is frequently reported in captive penguins that died from avian malaria (Scott, 1927; Rodhain, 1939; Rewell, 1948; Grünberg and Kutzer, 1963; Griner and Sheridan, 1967; Sladen et al. 1979; Fix et al. 1988; Carvajal and Alvarado, 2009; Grilo, 2014; Vanstreels et al. 2015a). Septicaemia (Grünberg and Kutzer, 1963), enteritis/diarrhoea (Scott, 1927; Fix et al. 1988), infestation with gastrointestinal helminthes (Rodhain and Andrianne, 1952; Fix et al. 1988; Vanstreels et al. 2015a), clostridiosis (Penrith et al. 1994), babesiosis (Yabsley et al. 2012), poxvirus and infestation with lung or liver helminthes (Vanstreels et al. 2014a, 2015a) have also been documented concurrently with avian malaria.

Serological studies

Graczyk et al. (1993, 1994b) developed an indirect enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA) that was extensively used to test penguins for antibodies against Plasmodium spp. (Graczyk et al. 1994a, b, c, d, 1995a, b, c, Botes, 2004; Thiart, 2005; McDonald, 2012; Palmer et al. 2013). Seroprevalence for Plasmodium sp. was 29–52% in wild African penguin in South Africa (Graczyk et al. 1995a, b), 33% in Gentoo and 58% in king penguins at Kerguelen and Crozet Islands (Graczyk et al. 1995c), 23–100% in yellow-eyed penguins in New Zealand (Graczyk et al. 1995b, c; McDonald, 2012) and 91–100% in Galapagos penguins at the Galapagos Archipelago (Palmer et al. 2013). No Adélie penguins were seropositive at Ross Island, Antarctica (Graczyk et al. 1995c).

Penguins in captivity or undergoing rehabilitation have also been tested for antibodies against Plasmodium sp. using this assay. At a rehabilitation centre in Cape Town, South Africa, oiled African penguins had higher seroprevalence to Plasmodium sp. upon admission (62%) than those that had been in rehabilitation for at least two weeks (38%) or those permanently captive (20%) (Graczyk et al. 1995a). Seroprevalence to Plasmodium sp. was 92% in little penguins captive at Napier, New Zealand, and 43% in Magellanic penguins captive at San Diego, USA. Furthermore, a few studies have applied this ELISA to study the epidemiology of avian malaria in African penguins in captivity (Graczyk et al. 1994a, b, c) and undergoing rehabilitation (Botes, 2004; Thiart, 2005).

However, several authors have noted that there is a considerable discrepancy between the high seroprevalence to Plasmodium sp. detected by this assay and the rarity of individuals with detectable Plasmodium sp. parasitaemia in blood smears and PCR tests in the same populations (Sturrock and Tompkins, 2007; Hill, 2008; McDonald, 2012; Palmer et al. 2013). Some have interpreted this discrepancy as an indication of inaccuracy of the serological test (Sturrock and Tompkins, 2007; McDonald, 2012), whereas others considered it an indication of poor sensitivity of PCR tests (Palmer et al. 2013) or to be due to parasite latency in tissues (Hill, 2008; Palmer et al. 2013). It remains to be tested whether or not this assay cross-reacts with Leucocytozoon spp., which is plausible considering their shared phylogenetic history and genetic similarities (Cosgrove et al. 2006; Martinsen et al. 2008). Cross-reactivity with viruses (Greenberg et al. 1986) and helminths (Naus et al. 2003) have also been shown to occur in serological tests targeting Plasmodium sp. in humans. The results of these serological tests should therefore be interpreted cautiously until detailed studies explain the discrepancies in the results of serological and direct diagnosis tests and to determine if cross-reactivity may have influenced the serological results.

Implications for public health and conservation

There is no evidence to indicate that avian-infecting Plasmodium sp. can infect humans (Valkiūnas, 2005). Plasmodium spp. are recognized as conservation-threatening pathogens due to their well-documented impacts to the Hawaiian avifauna (Van Riper III et al. 1986; Atkinson and Lapointe, 2009).

The high susceptibility of Hawaiian native birds and penguins is thought to result from a lack of physiological/immune adaptations to deal with the infection, as they did not co-evolve with these parasites (Valkiūnas, 2005). The high morbidity and mortality observed in penguins when they are exposed to avian plasmodia in captivity has led to concern that the introduction of mosquitoes to penguin breeding habitats where they had historically been absent could ensue in substantial morbidity and mortality (Jones and Shellam, 1999b; Miller et al. 2001; Mele et al. 2013). This is acutely concerning as climate change increases the pressure imposed by Plasmodium sp. on birds (Garamszegi, 2011).
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In particular, *Plasmodium* sp. may constitute a significant conservation threat to the African, Galapagos and yellow-eyed penguins, three endangered species with relatively narrow geographic distribution (IUCN, 2015) in which infection has already been documented in the wild (Fantham and Porter, 1944; Levin et al. 2009). Fortunately the *Plasmodium* sp. lineages detected at the Galapagos Archipelago so far have failed to become established and produce significant disease in Galapagos penguins (Levin et al. 2009, 2013); however, this could change if more pathogenic lineages are introduced to the archipelago in the future. *Plasmodium* sp. appears to be enzootic in African and yellow-eyed penguins; however, these species’ populations are already declining due to a variety of environmental impacts and pathogens (Crawford et al. 2011; King et al. 2012), and avian malaria could synergize with these existing threats. Furthermore, penguin populations at other areas with relatively warm climate such as Peru, Chile and Tristan da Cunha and Gough Islands could also become at risk if mosquitoes become successfully established near penguin breeding habitat, particularly near freshwater deposits associated with human communities. Other populations of penguins that have relatively narrow geographic distributions, such as Fiordland and Snares penguins could also be at risk, since mosquitoes are already present in their breeding habitat (Fantham and Porter, 1944; Laird, 1950).

**Leucocytozoon (Leucocytozoanosis)**

*Leucocytozoon* spp. (Haemosporida: Leucocytozoidae) parasitize exclusively birds. There are approximately 40 recognized species, one in the subgenus *Akiba* and the remaining in the subgenus *Leucocytozoon*. In the avian blood, young gametocytes and gametocytes of these parasites can be found in the cytoplasm of erythrocytes and mononuclear leucocytes (Fig. 1); there are neither erythrocytic meronts nor haematozoan granules. In the avian tissues, these parasites will invade endothelial cells, macrophages and hepatocytes; megalomeronts may be developed, which are very large and thick-walled (Huff, 1942; Valkiūnas, 2005).

Species recorded in penguins

*Leucocytozoon* (Leucocytozoan) *tawaki* was described from Fiordland penguins (Fallis et al. 1976; Allison et al. 1978). When Earlé et al. (1992) and Peirce et al. (2005) observed similar parasites in other species of penguins in Europe and South Africa they did not hesitate to attribute these records to *L. tawaki*. On the other hand, other authors have documented leucocytozooids in yellow-eyed penguins at locations in New Zealand but preferred not to comment on the species involved (Hill, 2008; Hill et al. 2010; Argilla et al. 2013). Phylogenetic analyses indicated these lineages from yellow-eyed penguins belonged to the subgenus *Leucocytozoon*, and that there might be at least two distinct phylogenetic groups: cluster A is limited to Enderby Island and might be more pathogenic than cluster B, which was detected at Enderby, Campbell, South and Stewart Islands (Argilla et al. 2013). It is unclear whether these phylogenetic clusters are variations within the same morphospecies or correspond to different species, and their relationship to the *L. tawaki* originally described in Fiordland penguins is also not clear.

**Distribution among penguin hosts**

*Leucocytozoon* spp. have been detected in wild Fiordland (Fallis et al. 1976; Allison et al. 1978) and yellow-eyed penguins (Hill et al. 2010; Argilla et al. 2013), as well as in African (Earlé et al. 1992) and Fiordland penguins undergoing rehabilitation (Hill, 2008). Additionally, the infection was documented in Macaroni penguins in captivity (Peirce et al. 2005). Allison et al. (1978) demonstrated that little penguins can develop the infection when forcibly exposed to black flies near *L. tawaki*-infected Fiordland penguins. There is also evidence to suggest that *Leucocytozoon* sp. could infect wild and captive little penguins (see Appendix 2). It is worth noting that Snares, erect-crested and little penguins live in close proximity to populations of Fiordland and yellow-eyed penguins that were found to be infected with *Leucocytozoon* sp., and it is reasonable to presume they are exposed to these parasites in the wild.

**Invertebrate hosts**

*L. (Leucocytozoon)* spp. are transmitted by black flies (Simuliidae), particularly *Simulium* spp. and *Prosimulium* spp., but also *Cnephia* spp. and *Austrosimulium* spp. (Valkiūnas, 2005; Forrester and Greiner, 2008). *Cnephia* spp., *Simulium* spp. and *Prosimulium* spp. are not present in New Zealand (Dumbleton, 1963), whereas *Austrosimulium australense*, *Austrosimulium dumbletoni* and *Austrosimulium ungulatum* are abundant and were shown to be competent in the transmission of *L. tawaki* at South Island (Fallis et al. 1976; Allison et al. 1978; Desser and Allison, 1979). *Austrosimulium ungulatum* is also very abundant in Stewart and South Islands, New Zealand, and could be involved in the transmission of *Leucocytozoon* sp. to yellow-eyed penguins (Hill et al. 2010; Argilla et al. 2013). On the other hand, *Austrosimulium campbellense* and *Austrosimulium vexans* are thought to be respectively responsible for the transmission at Campbell and Auckland Islands, New Zealand (Argilla et al. 2013). *Austrosimulium* spp. do not occur in South
Accepted that leucocytozooids are not transmitted among birds of different taxonomic orders (Valkiūnas, 2005), it is unlikely that birds other than penguins can serve as reservoirs of infection. Leucocytozoon sp. can be occasionally pathogenic for penguin chicks. One yellow-eyed penguin chick found dead at Enderby Island (n = 19) and two at Stewart Island, New Zealand (n = 14), were considered to have died from leucocytozoosis. Necropsy findings included disseminated petechial and ecchymotic haemorrhage, hepatomegaly, splenomegaly and hydropericardium; megalomeronts were abundant in the liver, spleen, kidneys, lungs and other tissues (Hill et al. 2010; Argilla et al. 2013). The tissues of an additional seven yellow-eyed penguins were PCR-positive for Leucocytozoon sp. at Stewart Island; however, it was not determined whether leucocytozoosis was the cause of death or not (Hill et al. 2010). Furthermore, a juvenile Fiordland penguin found at North Island, New Zealand (Muriwai beach), died during rehabilitation after having been positive to Leucocytozoon sp. on blood smears, but it was not possible to determine if leucocytozoosis was the cause of death (Hill, 2008). The health effects of the infection in African and Macaroni penguins are not known (Earlé et al. 1992; Peirce et al. 2005).

Implications for public health and conservation

There is no evidence to indicate that Leucocytozoon spp. could infect humans (Valkiūnas, 2005). Although Leucocytozoon sp. appears to have limited impacts to the health of adult penguins, this can be a considerably pathogenic parasite to penguin chicks and juveniles (Fallis et al. 1976; Allison et al. 1978; Hill, 2008; Hill et al. 2010; Argilla et al. 2013). This is particularly troublesome for yellow-eyed penguins, an endangered species that has faced substantial population decrease in the past decades (IUCN, 2015). Yellow-eyed penguin chicks already face a variety of stressors and diseases (Alley et al. 2004; Hooken, 2005; Browne et al. 2011; Buckle et al. 2014), and Leucocytozoon sp. might be an additional factor contributing to decrease the species’ chick survival (King et al. 2012). In the case of African penguins, which are also endangered (IUCN, 2015), additional studies are urgent to bring better understanding on the epidemiology and pathology of this parasite. There is also evidence to suspect that wild little penguin chicks might also die as a result from leucocytozoosis (see Appendix 2), and therefore an investigation on the occurrence of Leucocytozoon sp. in this species, particularly in Western Australia, would be valuable.

**HAEMOPROTEUS (HAEMOPROTEOSIS)**

Haemoproteus spp. (Haemosporida: Haemoproteidae) parasitize exclusively birds. There are approximately...
Blood parasites of penguins

150 recognized species, 10 in the subgenus Haemoproteus and the remaining in the subgenus Parahaemoproteus (Valkiunas, 2005; Levin et al. 2011, 2012; Valkiunas et al. 2010, 2013). These parasites can be found in the cytoplasm of avian erythrocytes, as trophozoites and gametocytes; haemozoin granules (dark-brown staining pigment) are present, but not erythrocytic meronts. In the avian tissues, these parasites invade endothelial cells and macrophages to form exoerythrocytic meronts; megalomeronts may be developed, which are very large and thick-walled (Huff, 1942; Paperna and Gill, 2003; Valkiunas, 2005).

Species recorded in penguins

Haemoproteus sp. detected in penguins have not been morphologically characterized, hence their identity has not been conclusively established. Phylogenetic analyses indicate however that the lineages identified in Galapagos and Humboldt penguins belong to the subgenus Parahaemoproteus and are closely related to lineages found in passerines (Levin et al. 2009; Sallaberry-Pincheira et al. 2015) (see Appendix 2).

Distribution among penguin hosts

DNA from Haemoproteus sp. has been detected in the blood of wild Galapagos (Levin et al. 2009) and Humboldt penguins (Sallaberry-Pincheira et al. 2015). There is a report of Haemoproteus sp. infection in wild little penguins (Cannell et al. 2013), however that record is problematic (see Appendix 2).

Invertebrate hosts

Haemoproteus (Parahaemoproteus) spp. are transmitted by biting midges Culicoides spp. (Ceratopogonidae) (Valkiunas, 2005; Atkinson, 2008a). Eleven species of Culicoides spp. have been associated with the transmission of these parasites (Valkiunas, 2005).

Culicoides pustillus is the only species of its genus that occurs at the Galapagos Archipelago (Sinclair, 2014), whereas a broad variety of species occurs in Peru (Wirth and Felippe-Bauer, 1989; Borkent, 2013). It is worth noting that the Haemoproteus-positive penguin identified at the Galapagos Archipelago was sampled at western Isabela Island (I. I. Levin, personal communication), whereas C. pustillus has been recorded only at Santa Cruz Island (Sinclair, 2014). Even though DNA from Haemoproteus sp. was identified in the blood meals of mosquitoes Aedes taeniorhynchus at the Galapagos Archipelago, this was most likely an incidental finding and probably did not correspond to actual infections (Bataille et al. 2012). It is not clear which species of biting midges were involved in the transmission of Haemoproteus sp. to Humboldt penguins at Punta San Juan (Sallaberry-Pincheira et al. 2015), however Culicoides spp. are not uncommon in Peru (Tabachnick, 2004; Felippe-Bauer et al. 2008) and the coastal range of Peru provides suitable climatic conditions to these insects (Guichard et al. 2014).

Geographic distribution

Haemoproteus (Parahaemoproteus) spp. were detected in penguins in the Galapagos Archipelago (Isabela Island) (Levin et al. 2009) and Peru (Punta San Juan) (Sallaberry-Pincheira et al. 2015). The distribution of biting midges overlaps that of penguins breeding in Namibia, South Africa and Australia, possibly providing opportunities for H. (Parahaemoproteus) sp. inoculation. Similarly, captive penguins in areas of North and South America, southern Europe, Africa, Asia and Oceania could also be exposed (Fig. 2A).

Epidemiology and pathology

The Haemoproteus-positive penguins studied by Levin et al. (2009) and Sallaberry-Pincheira et al. (2015) had no external signs of illness. Considering that no parasites were seen in blood smears and that molecular tests may produce false-positive results if DNA of recently inoculated sporozoites is present in the blood even when infection was not developed (Levin et al. 2013; Valkiunas et al. 2014), it is possible that these Haemoproteus spp. were not truly capable of infecting penguins and instead represent incidental findings (i.e. abortive infections). The report of lethal haemoproteosis in wild little penguins (Cannell et al. 2013) is problematic, and is addressed in detail in Appendix 2.

Implications for public health and conservation

There is no evidence to indicate that Haemoproteus spp. could infect humans (Valkiunas, 2005). Haemoproteus spp. are generally considered the least pathogenic of avian haemosporidians; however, there are exceptional cases of lethal haemoproteosis (Atkinson and Van Riper III, 1991; Donovan et al. 2008). In the case of penguins, however, it is still unclear whether Haemoproteus sp. sporozoites are able to infect and develop in penguin cells (see Levin et al. 2013; Valkiunas et al. 2014) and, until this has been conclusively demonstrated, it seems unlikely that these parasites pose a significant threat for their conservation.

Babesia (Babesiiosis)

Babesia spp. (Piroplasmida: Babesiidae) parasitize birds and mammals, with 13 avian-infecting species (Peirce, 2000, 2005; Schnittger et al. 2012). In the avian blood, these parasites can be found in the
cytoplasm of erythrocytes either as round forms (trophozoites and pre-gametocytes) or tetrameric elongated forms (meronts) (Fig. 1); there are no haemozoin granules. There is no invasion of tissue cells; however, infected erythrocytes can occasionally be seen in the margin of blood vessels (Peirce, 2000; Chauvin et al. 2009; Schnittger et al. 2012).

**Species recorded in penguins**

*Babesia peircei* was described from African penguins (Earlé et al. 1993). It is not clear whether the *Babesia* sp. reported in little penguins in Australia corresponds to *B. peircei* or to a different species (Cunningham et al. 1993; Vanstreels et al. 2015b). The remarkable morphological and/or genetic similarities between *B. peircei*, *Babesia* sp. of little penguins, *Babesia poelea* (parasite of boobies *Sula* spp.) and *Babesia uriae* (parasite of common murrens *Uria aalge*) has led to speculation that these taxa could in fact correspond to a single seabird-infecting species (Peirce, 2000; Vanstreels et al. 2015b).

**Distribution among penguin hosts**

*Babesia peircei* is known from African penguins in the wild and in rehabilitation (Coles, 1941; Earlé et al. 1993; Brossy et al. 1999; Yabsley et al. 2012) and *Babesia* sp. was documented in wild little penguins (Cunningham et al. 1993; Vanstreels et al. 2015b).

**Invertebrate hosts**

It is generally accepted that hard ticks (Ixodidae) are the most relevant invertebrate hosts of avian *Babesia* spp., but soft ticks (Argasidae) are thought to play a significant role for colonial ground-nesting birds (Peirce, 2000). Hard ticks, particularly *Ixodes uriae*, are the most probable vectors of *B. peircei* to African penguins (Earlé et al. 1993; Peirce, 2000), but the soft tick *Carios capensis* has also been speculated to play a role in the transmission (Brossy et al. 1999). The hard tick *Ixodes kohlsi* may play a key role in the transmission to little penguins at New South Wales, Australia (Cunningham et al. 1993), and both soft and hard ticks were observed on *Babesia*-infected little penguins in Tasmania, Australia (Vanstreels et al. 2015b).

**Geographic distribution**

*Babesia peircei* was documented infecting penguins in Namibia (Ichaboe Island), South Africa (Western Cape and Eastern Cape) and *Babesia* sp. infects penguins in Australia (New South Wales, Victoria and Tasmania) (Cunningham et al. 1993; Earlé et al. 1993; Vanstreels et al. 2015b; Parsons et al. in preparation). Seabird ticks, both soft and hard, are broadly distributed around the world, overlapping with the distribution of penguins in numerous sub-Antarctic islands, Peru, Chile, Argentina, New Zealand, Antarctic Peninsula and at some locations in the Antarctic mainland (Fig. 3A); the occurrence of *Babesia* sp. in penguins at these locations is therefore plausible.

**Epidemiology and pathology**

*Babesia* sp. infects 1·6 to 4·8% (blood smears; Cunningham et al. 1993) and 2·7% (blood smears; Vanstreels et al. 2015b) of wild little penguins in southeastern Australia. *B. peircei* is endemic at low prevalence in wild African penguins in Namibia and South Africa (blood smears: 1–4%) (Brossy, 1992; Parsons et al. in preparation), whereas a higher prevalence (blood smears: 11–15%) was observed in African penguins undergoing rehabilitation (Brossy, 1992). Because it is not known whether penguin-infecting *Babesia* sp. and *B. poelea* are the same species or not, it is not clear if other seabirds can serve as reservoirs of infections for penguins and vice-versa. The clinical and pathological effects of *Babesia* spp. infections are not clear. Inicted little penguins can present mild regenerative anemia, but did not show any evident signs of illness (Cunningham et al. 1993; Sergent et al. 2004). Brossy et al. (1999) considered that *B. peircei* ‘does not cause overt clinical symptoms except under stress or in association with other debilitating diseases’. On the other hand, Parsons et al. (in preparation) found that *B. peircei*-infected wild African penguins had signs of regenerative response of the erythrocytic lineage and haematological indications of active inflammatory response and hepatic function impairment.

Furthermore, approximately 50% of *Borrelia* -infected African penguins undergoing rehabilitation in South Africa are also co-infected with *B. peircei* (Yabsley et al. 2012), which could reflect: (a) transmission by a shared invertebrate host; (b) *Babesia* sp. infections predispose penguins or ticks to *Borrelia* sp. infections or vice versa; or (c) the poor health and immune status of penguins in rehabilitation predispose them to both of these pathogens.

**Serological studies**

The indirect ELISA designed for *Plasmodium* by Graczyk et al. (1993, 1994b) was adapted to test penguins for antibodies against *Babesia* sp., and showed that 18–22% of wild African penguins in South Africa were seropositive (47% in oiled birds) (Graczyk et al. 1996). However, the limitations and concerns raised regarding the use of this assay to test for *Plasmodium* sp. may also apply to its application for *Babesia* sp.

**Implications for public health and conservation**

There is no evidence to indicate that avian-infecting *Babesia* spp. can infect humans (Peirce, 2000). There
is evidence that Babesia sp. infections significantly affect the health of penguins (Cunningham et al. 1993; Parsons et al. in preparation), which is concerning because this pathogen is not uncommon in African penguins, an endangered species whose population has been steadily decreasing (Crawford et al. 2011; IUCN, 2015). Epidemiological and pathological studies of Babesia sp., particularly in African penguins, will therefore be important to clarify its potential conservation impacts.

**TRYPANOSOMA (TRYPANOSOMIASIS)**

Trypanosoma spp. (Kinetoplastida: Trypanosomatidae) parasitize all tetrapod classes. Avian-infecting trypanosomatids are considered part of the ‘Trypanosoma avium complex’, for which more than 100 species have been described with arguable validity (Molyneux, 1977; Haag et al. 1998; Sehgal et al. 2001; Votycka et al. 2002; Hamilton et al. 2004; Zidková et al. 2012). These parasites remain free in the avian blood in the form of trypomastigotes, which have a characteristic elongated shape with flagella, a kinetoplasm and an undulating membrane. Trypomastigotes can concentrate in blood vessels of lymphoid tissues and in the bone marrow, however in birds the asexual multiplication probably does not occur in those tissues nor in the myocardium (Diamond and Herman, 1954; Baker, 1956; Baker and Bird, 1968).

**Species recorded in penguins**

Only one species, Trypanosoma eudyptulae, has been reported in penguins (Jones and Woehler, 1989). This parasite has not been reported in other avian hosts.

**Distribution among penguin hosts**

Trypanosoma sp. has only been reported in wild little penguins (Jones and Woehler, 1989).

**Invertebrate hosts**

There is no information regarding which invertebrates are involved in the transmission of *T. eudyptulae*. Black flies (Metacnephia lyra, Simulium spp. and *Prosimulium decemarticulatum*), mosquitoes (*Aedes aegypti*), louse flies (*Ornithomyia avicularia*) and mites (*Dermanyssus gallinae*) have been found to be competent hosts of other avian trypanosomes (Molyneux, 1977; Reeves et al. 2007). Of those, *A. aegypti* is absent in Tasmania (Kearney et al. 2009), whereas *Dermanyssus* sp. (including *D. gallinae*) and *Ornithomyia* spp. (including *O. avicularia*) are present (Domrow, 1979; ALA, 2014). Furthermore, other species of black flies (*Austrosimulium* spp. and *Cnephia* spp.) also occur in Tasmania (Dumbleton, 1963) and could be plausible hosts.

**Geographic distribution**

Trypanosoma eudyptulae was originally described at a little penguin colony on Marion Bay in Tasmania, Australia (Fig. 3C) (Jones and Woehler, 1989). However, that colony was destroyed during a fire in 1994 and has not been recolonized since (Stevenson and Woehler, 2007; E. J. Woehler, personal communication). Recent efforts to detect this parasite in breeding colonies near Marion Bay have failed (Vanstreels et al. 2015). Because this parasite’s invertebrate hosts remain unknown, it is difficult to speculate on its potential distribution.

**Epidemiology and pathology**

Despite having been observed with a relatively high prevalence (blood smears: 15·8%), *T. eudyptulae* was present only with low parasitaemia (often only one parasite per blood smear) (Jones and Woehler, 1989), which suggests chronic infection. Infected penguins presented no external signs of illness.

It is worth noting that Jones and Woehler (1989) obtained blood samples by superficially scraping the skin near the brachial vein on the flipper with razorblades then collecting a drop of blood with a capillary tube or glass slide (E. J. Woehler, personal communication). This method would result in the collection of blood from capillary vessels, as opposed to blood from larger vessels as is obtained through venipuncture. This may be relevant because it has been shown that mammal-infecting trypanosomes tend to concentrate in capillaries rather than larger blood vessels (Hornby and Bailey, 1931; Banks, 1978). It is unclear whether or not avian trypanosomes behave similarly (Holmstad et al. 2003), but there is evidence to suggest that these parasites concentrate in the bone marrow of birds rather than in their circulating blood (Diamond and Herman, 1954). For these reasons, it is possible that studies using blood smears from samples collected by venipuncture may have systematically underestimated the occurrence of trypansomatis in penguins. Molecular methods could also enhance the detection of these parasites in the future (see Sehgal et al. 2001).

**Implications for public health and conservation**

There is no evidence to indicate that avian-infecting *Trypanosoma* spp. can infect humans (Molyneux, 1977). Avian trypanosome infections are not usually regarded as pathogenic, but in some circumstances these parasites may have mild health impacts (Molyneux et al. 1983; Merino et al. 1996; Sehgal et al. 2001). Because of how little is known about *T. eudyptulae*, it is difficult to evaluate the impacts it could have on the conservation of little penguins, if any.
BORRELIA (LYME DISEASE, RELAPSING FEVER, ANIMAL SPIROCHETOSIS)

The bacteria of the genus Borrelia (Spirochaetales: Spirochaetaceae) are classified in three groups: Lyme disease Borrelia (LDB), relapsing fever Borrelia (RFB) and animal spirochetosis Borrelia (ASB) (Olsén, 2007). LDB are often referred to as ‘Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato’, a group that comprises 10 species and numerous unidentified strains, of which Borrelia garinii is particularly relevant for seabirds (Olsén et al. 1995a, b; Olsén, 2007). There are 18 species in the RFB group, of which Borrelia hermsii, Borrelia parkeri, Borrelia recurrentis and Borrelia turicatae are considered most relevant (McDowell et al. 2003; Cutler, 2006). Three species are associated with ASB, of which only Borrelia anserina infects birds (Barbour and Hayes, 1986; McDowell et al. 2003; Olsén, 2007). Borrelia spp. are present in the blood in the form of small extracellular helical filiform structures, typically 9–30 µm long and 0.2–0.5 µm wide (Fig. 1); there is no invasion of cells of the blood or other tissues (Barbour and Hayes, 1986; Olsén, 2007).

Species recorded in penguins

Borrelia sp. strains detected in king penguins had a restriction fragment length polymorphism profile identical to that of B. garinii, and are therefore thought to belong to the LDB group (Schramm et al. 2014). On the other hand, the strains identified in African penguins are phylogenetically most related to Borrelia parkeri and Borrelia turicatae, both of which are classified as RFB (Yabsley et al. 2012). Coles (1941) observed spirochetes in the blood smear of a wild African penguin chick at Dassen Island, and discarded them from being B. anserina; considering that RFB were later found to infect African penguins at that region (Yabsley et al. 2012), it is reasonable to assume these corresponded to similar strains.

Distribution among penguin hosts

RFB has been documented in African penguins in the wild (Coles, 1941; Parsons et al. in preparation) and undergoing rehabilitation (Yabsley et al. 2012; Parsons et al. in preparation). LDB was recorded in wild king penguins (Schramm et al. 2014); it is reasonable to presume that Gentoo, Macaroni and Southern rockhopper penguins breeding near king penguins at Crozet Archipelago (IUCN, 2015) are also exposed to LDB.

Vectors

With the exception of B. recurrentis, which is transmitted to humans by lice, all Borrelia spp. are transmitted by ticks. LDB are transmitted by hard ticks Ixodes spp., RFB are transmitted by soft ticks Carios (=Ornithodoros) spp., and B. anserina is transmitted by soft ticks Argas spp. (Barbour and Hayes, 1986; Olsén, 2007; Elbir et al. 2013). Additionally, B. anserina can be transmitted through the ingestion or inoculation of faeces, fluids, and tissues (Olsén, 2007).

Soft ticks, particularly C. capensis, are commonly found on wild African penguins (Clarke and Kerry, 1993), and are likely responsible for the transmission of RFB to those birds (Yabsley et al. 2012). On the other hand, the hard ticks Ixodes kerguelensis and I. uraliae are abundant in sub-Antarctic islands and are through to play a key role in the transmission of LDB to king penguins (Olsén et al. 1995b; Gauthier-Clerc et al. 1999; Schramm et al. 2014).

Geographic distribution

RFB infects penguins in South Africa (Cape Town, Dassen Island) (Coles, 1941; Yabsley et al. 2012; Parsons et al. in preparation), and LDB is present in king penguins at Crozet Archipelago (Possession Island) (Olsén et al. 1995b; Schramm et al. 2014). The distribution of Ixodes spp. overlaps with penguin breeding habitat in Southern South America, Antarctic Peninsula, South Africa, Australia, New Zealand and at a number of sub-Antarctic islands (Fig. 3B), and LDB strains are broadly distributed in seabirds at a number of these locations (Olsén et al. 1995b; Olsén, 2007). Similarly, the distribution of Carios spp. overlaps with breeding colonies of penguins in the Galapagos Archipelago, Peru, Tristan da Cunha Archipelago, South Africa, Amsterdam and Saint-Paul Islands, Southeastern Australia, New Zealand and Chatham Islands (Fig. 3B).

Epidemiology and pathology

RFB occurs at low prevalence (blood smears: 0.9–1.1%) in African penguins undergoing rehabilitation (Yabsley et al. 2012; Parsons et al. in preparation); infection is more frequent in chicks (3.6%) than in juveniles (0.83%) and adults (0.14%). As previously discussed, approximately 50% of RFB-infected African penguins undergoing rehabilitation in South Africa are co-infected by B. peircei (Yabsley et al. 2012).

In only one RFB-infected African penguin studied by Yabsley et al. (2012) death was considered to result from Borrelia infection; that penguin presented signs of neurological disease (unsteady gait, circling, torticollis) and died after four days. On post-mortem examination, splenomegaly and hepateomegaly were noted and histological findings were consistent with relapsing fever: splenic reticuloendothelial hyperplasia.
with haemosiderosis, lung congestion, and lymphocy-ctic meningoencephalitis. On the other hand, LDB are generally considered non-pathogenic to seabirds (Olsén et al. 1995a, b; Olsén, 2007), and no external signs of illness were observed in LDB-infected king penguins (Schramm et al. 2014).

Serological studies

Gauthier-Clerc et al. (1999) tested king penguins with a passive haemagglutination commercial kit developed to detect human antibodies against LDB. No additional studies have employed this serological assay to test other penguin species, and it is unknown whether the assay cross-reacts with other Borrelia spp. or other spirochetes (see Magnarelli et al. 1987).

Implications for public health and conservation

Relapsing fever is a relevant disease for humans worldwide, including in South Africa, but it is presently unknown whether the RFB strains that infect African penguins could be the same involved in any of the few human cases recorded in South Africa (Yabsley et al. 2012; Elbir et al. 2013). Similarly, Lyme disease is relevant for humans, and seabirds are thought to play a role in the maintenance and transmission of LDB to humans and other mammals, especially at high latitudes (Olsén et al. 1995b). It seems probable that domestic and synanthropic animals play a much more significant role than penguins in transmitting this infection to humans (Gauthier-Clerc et al. 1999; Yabsley et al. 2012), however it is plausible that humans entering penguin colonies for research, tourism or guano/egg exploitation, or handling these birds in rehabilitation centers could be at risk of exposure to RFB or LDB.

LDB are generally non-pathogenic to seabirds (Olsén, 2007) and therefore are unlikely to be a conservation threat to penguins. On the other hand, RFB have been reported to cause mortality of an African penguin (Yabsley et al. 2012), an endangered species (IUCN, 2015); studies on the epidemiology and pathology of this pathogen in African penguins could therefore help clarifying its conservation significance.

NEMATODE MICROFILARIAE (FILARIASIS)

Microfilariae are early life stages of onchocercid nematodes (Filarioidea: Onchocercidae) that may occasionally be present in the blood. There are 17 genera of Onchocercidae known to infect birds, totaling over 160 species, of which only Dirofilaria immitis and Pelecitus spp. can also infect non-avian hosts (Sano et al. 2005; Bartlett, 2008). In the avian blood, microfilariae are extracellular densely stained linear structures, typically 100–250 µm long and 5–10 µm wide (Fig. 1). Adult worms can be present at a broad variety of organs and tissues such as the skin, subcutaneous connective tissue, air sacs, heart and coelomic cavity (Friend and Franson, 1999; Anderson, 2000; Bartlett, 2008).

Species recorded in penguins

Phylogenetic analysis of microfilariae from the blood of Galapagos penguins revealed this is the same species as the one present in the blood of flightless cormorants (Phalacrocorax harrisii) at the Galapagos Archipelago. This parasite was closely related to mammalian-infecting Onchocercidae, but could not be conclusively identified (Merkel et al. 2007).

There are other instances in which Onchocercidae have been reported infecting penguins: adult Paronchocerca straeleni in the heart of a captive Galapagos penguin in the USA (Chabaud and Ball, 1964; Bartlett and Anderson, 1986), adult D. immitis in the heart of a captive Humboldt penguin in Japan (Sano et al. 2005), and multiple unidentified microfilariae in the eyelid skin of a Magellanic penguin undergoing rehabilitation in Brazil (Vanstreels et al. 2015a). In these cases, even though microfilariae were not observed in blood smears, they could have been present in the blood stream at some stage of the infection.

Distribution among penguin hosts

Microfilariae have only been observed in wild Galapagos penguins (Harmon et al. 1985; Merkel et al. 2007). However, other life stages of onchocercid worms have been documented in captive Galapagos and Humboldt penguins (Chabaud and Ball, 1964; Sano et al. 2005) and in a Magellanic penguin undergoing rehabilitation (Vanstreels et al. 2015a).

Vectors

The following insects have been incriminated in the transmission of avian-infecting onchocercids: biting midges (Culicoides spp.), chewing lice (Austromenopon spp., Pseudomenopon pilosum, Trinoton anserinum), mosquitoes (Aedes taeniorynchus, Armigeres subalbatus, Culex spp., Mansonia crasipes), and black flies (Simulium spp.) (Anderson, 2000; Bartlett, 2008; Manrique-Saide et al. 2008). Ecological modeling suggests that Aedes taeniorynchus is the most probable vector of microfilariae to Galapagos penguins (Siers et al. 2010; Bataille et al. 2012); this is corroborated by the detection of DNA from nematodes in blood meals of Aedes taeniorynchus (Bataille et al. 2012).

Geographic distribution

Microfilariae were documented in the blood of penguins at the Galapagos Archipelago (Fernandina and
Isabela Islands) (Harmon et al. 1985; Merkel et al. 2007). However *Aedes taeniorhynchus*, its most probable vector, is distributed in salt marshes along the tropical and temperate coast of the Americas, including Peru, and could transmit onchocercid worms to wild and captive penguins in the region (Fig. 3C).

Adult Onchocercidae have been reported infecting captive penguins in the USA (Chabaud and Ball, 1964) and Japan (Sano et al. 2005), and microfilariae were reported in the skin of penguins undergoing rehabilitation in southern Brazil (Vanstreels et al. 2015a).

**Epidemiology and pathology**

Local prevalence of microfilariae in Galapagos penguins ranges from 5·3 to 50% among locations (blood smears) (Merkel et al. 2007; Siers et al. 2010). Infection rate was higher in males than in females and was positively correlated to ambient temperature, precipitation and dry-season vegetation, whilst being negatively correlated to elevation and slope (Siers et al. 2010). The parasite often occurs with higher prevalence in sympatric cormorants, suggesting this species might act as a reservoir of infection for penguins (Merkel et al. 2007). Infection rate of *Aedes taeniorhynchus* at these sites was relatively low (0·15%) (Manrique-Saide et al. 2008; Bataille et al. 2012).

Parasitaemia varied greatly among individuals, ranging from 0·04 to 12 parasites per low magnification microscope field (10x objective lens) (Merkel et al. 2007). With few exceptions in which they cause vasculitis, microfilariae are seldom pathogenic per se, and the most significant health implications tend to derive from the adult parasites (Bartlett, 2008). Because the infection site of the adult onchocercids recorded in Galapagos penguins is unknown, it is not currently possible to evaluate the health implications of these infections.

**Implications for public health and conservation**

The microfilariae detected in Galapagos penguins remain unidentified, but it seems unlikely that it could infect humans since the only onchocercid worm to infect both birds and humans is *D. immitis* (Bartlett, 2008), which produces pulmonary disease in the latter (Simón et al. 2005). However, it seems unlikely that penguins play a significant role as reservoirs of infection to humans, considering there is only one documented case of this parasite in a penguin, which is also the only known case of *D. immitis* in a bird (Sano et al. 2005). Considering the high prevalence and parasitaemia with which microfilariae were observed in Galapagos penguins, an endangered species (IUCN, 2015), studies to determine the identity, adult infection site and health effects of these worms are urgent to determine their relevance as a conservation threat.

**Concluding remarks**

Blood parasites are frequently studied through the examination of blood smears, which does not require an a priori decision on the parasites to be searched for. As a result, the fact that there is a much greater number of studies reporting some parasites but not others – for example, there are more than 50 studies reporting *Plasmodium* sp. but only one report of *Trypanosoma* sp. – suggests an actual difference in how common or widely distributed these parasites are. However, it is also possible that blood smears or blood collection methods perform differently for the detection of different parasite taxa, especially if some parasites tend to produce more acute infections than others or are distributed unevenly in the host’s blood vessels (Holmstad et al. 2003; Valkiūnas, 2005; Garamszegi, 2010). Furthermore, sampling effort is not evenly distributed worldwide, and this review identifies a number of geographic areas in which future studies could identify blood parasites in wild and captive penguins (see Figs 2 and 3).

Among the blood parasites of penguins, *Plasmodium* sp. and *Leucocytozoon* sp. stand out as the most relevant for conservation, as both have been documented to cause the death of penguins in captivity and/or in the wild. However, other parasites such as *Babesia* sp., RFB and nematode microfilariae could also produce more subtle yet still significant impacts on the health and fitness of wild penguins. It is concerning that these parasites are known to infect wild populations of the three most endangered penguin species: Galapagos, yellow-eyed and African penguins. Considering that climate change is already changing the distribution and epidemiology of avian blood parasites (Garamszegi, 2011), the perspective of increased morbidity and mortality of these endangered penguins is troubling.

It is therefore clear that studies on the diagnosis, ecology, epidemiology and pathology of blood parasites of penguins will be valuable not only in furthering the advancement of parasitological science, but will also be important components of efforts for the conservation of these birds and their environments, especially in tropical and temperate regions.
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Table A1. Published records of blood parasites in penguins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host, context, location and period of sample collection</th>
<th>Parasite</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>King penguin (Aptenodytes patagonicus)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – San Diego, California, USA (1965)</td>
<td>P. relictum</td>
<td>Griner and Sheridan (1967)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W – Possession Is., Crozet (year unknown)</td>
<td>Borrelia sp. (LDB)</td>
<td>Schramm et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern rockhopper penguin (Eudyptes chrysocome)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – Baltimore, Maryland, USA (1972–1976)</td>
<td>Plasmodium sp.</td>
<td>Sladen et al. (1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern rockhopper penguin (Eudyptes moseleyi)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W – Gough Island, South Atlantic (year unknown)</td>
<td>P. relictum</td>
<td>Fantham and Porter (1944)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockhopper penguin (E. chrysocome or E. moseleyi)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – Honolulu, Hawaii (year unknown)</td>
<td>P. relictum</td>
<td>Laird and Van Riper (1981)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macaroni penguin (Eudyptes chrysolophus)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiordland penguin (Eudyptes pachyrhynchos)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W – Kaikoura, South Is., New Zealand (1975)</td>
<td>L. tawaki</td>
<td>Fallis et al. (1976)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snares penguin (Eudyptes robustus)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W – Snares Is., New Zealand (1947)</td>
<td>P. relictum</td>
<td>Laird (1950)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little penguin (Eudyptula minor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – San Diego, California, USA (1965)</td>
<td>P. relictum</td>
<td>Griner and Sheridan (1967)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E – Jackson Head, South Is., New Zealand (1977)</td>
<td>L. tawaki</td>
<td>Allison et al. (1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W – Unknown location, New South Wales, Australia (1990)</td>
<td>Babesia sp.</td>
<td>Cunningham et al. (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W – Multiple locations, Western Australia, Australia (2006–2012)</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Cannell et al. (2013, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow-eyed penguin (Megadyptes antipodes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W – Fouveau Strait, New Zealand (1929)</td>
<td>P. relictum</td>
<td>Fantham and Porter (1944)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W – Campbell Is., New Zealand (1948)</td>
<td>P. relictum</td>
<td>Laird (1950)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinstrap penguin (Pygoscelis antarctica)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – Antwerp, Belgium (1952)</td>
<td>P. relictum</td>
<td>Rodhain and Andrianne (1952)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host, context, location and period of sample collection</td>
<td>Parasite</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentoo penguin <em>(Spheniscus papua)</em></td>
<td>P. relictum</td>
<td>Griner and Sheridan (1967)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – Bristol, England (year unknown)</td>
<td>P. relictum</td>
<td>Rodhain (1939), Rodhain and Andrianne (1952)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – Antwerp, Belgium (1936)</td>
<td>Babesia sp., Borrelia sp.</td>
<td>Coles (1941), Bennett et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – Vienna, Austria (1958–1962)</td>
<td>P. relictum, P. elongatum</td>
<td>Fleischman et al. (1968a,b), Herman et al. (1968), Sladen et al. (1979), Stoksopef and Beier (1979), Beier and Stoksopef (1980), Beier and Tripia (1981), Cranfield et al. (1994), Graczyk et al. (1994a,b, 1995a), McConkey et al. (1996), Grimm et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – San Diego, California, USA (1965)</td>
<td>P. relictum</td>
<td>Griner and Sheridan (1967)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – Baltimore, Maryland, USA (1967–1996)</td>
<td>P. relictum</td>
<td>P. relictum, P. elongatum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R – Cape Town, South Africa (1991)</td>
<td>L. tawaki</td>
<td>Earlé et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R – Cape Town, South Africa (unknown year)</td>
<td>Plasmodium sp.</td>
<td>Gough et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – Baltimore, Maryland, USA (unknown year)</td>
<td>Plasmodium sp.</td>
<td>Beadell et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U – Unknown location, South Africa (unknown year)</td>
<td>Babesia sp., Borrelia sp. (RFB)</td>
<td>Yabsley et al. (2012), Parsons et al. (in preparation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R – Cape Town, South Africa (2002–2013)</td>
<td>Babesia sp.</td>
<td>Parsons et al. (in preparation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt penguin <em>(Spheniscus humboldti)</em></td>
<td>P. relictum</td>
<td>Rodhain (1939), Rodhain and Andrianne (1952)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – Antwerp, Belgium (1938)</td>
<td>P. elongatum, P. (Haemanoeoba) sp.</td>
<td>Huff and Shiroishi (1962)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – San Diego, California, USA (1965)</td>
<td>Plasmodium sp.</td>
<td>Yoshibi et al. (2006a), Ejiri et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – Kanagawa, Japan (year unknown)</td>
<td>Plasmodium sp.</td>
<td>Dinholp et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magellanic penguin <em>(Spheniscus magellanicus)</em></td>
<td>P. relictum</td>
<td>Fix et al. (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R – Caracas, Brazil (1999–2013)</td>
<td>P. elongatum, Plasmodium sp. b</td>
<td>Ko et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A1. (Cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host, context, location and period of sample collection</th>
<th>Parasite</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Galapagos penguin (Spheniscus mendiculus)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W – Unknown location, Galapagos (unknown year)</td>
<td>Microfilariae</td>
<td>Harmon et al. (1985)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Records were classified according to the context in which the diagnosis was established: W, penguins sampled in the wild; R, penguins undergoing rehabilitation; C, penguins captive in zoos; E, penguins infected under experimental conditions; U, samples obtained from penguins in unknown context.

Notes (see Appendix 2): a Small round intraerythrocytic inclinations were observed, which could be compatible with early life stages of Babesia sp., Haemoproteus sp., Leucocytozoon sp. or Plasmodium sp.

b Revised records, which had originally attributed to a different parasite species.

c Molecular and morphological evidence produced conflicting results.

d Morphological evidence inconclusive, not clear if structures observed were parasites or artefacts.

e Molecular testing for Haemoproteus/Plasmodium produced conflicting and inconclusive results.

APPENDIX 2

Remarks On Revised, Inconclusive Or Questionable Records Of Blood Parasites In Penguins

**Plasmodium**

Laird (1950) reported to have examined ‘E. pachyrhynchos’ (drooping-crested penguin) collected at the Snares Islands; however this taxon was later revised and specimens breeding in the Snares Archipelago are currently considered a separate species, *Eudyptes robustus* (Oliver, 1953). Additionally, some records refer to rockhopper penguins as *Eudyptes crestatus* (Raethel, 1960; Sladen et al. 1979; Laird and Van Riper III, 1981), but because this taxon was later split into two species, *Eudyptes chrysocome* and *Eudyptes moseleyi* (Jouventin et al. 2006), it is not always possible to determine to which species these records correspond. In the case of the Baltimore Zoo (Sladen et al. 1979), records indicate the penguins had been captured at the Falkland Islands (E. Brown, personal communication) and therefore were *E. chrysocome*. It should be noted that although Grilo (2014) did not list which penguin species had been positive at each zoo, this information was obtained through personal communication.

Early reports refer to *P. praecox* or *P. praecox relicatum* (Rodhain, 1939; Rodhain and Andrianne, 1952; Grünberg and Kutzer, 1963), which were later revised as synonyms of *P. relicatum* (Valkiūnas, 2005). It is worth considering that in some reports the identification of *P. relicatum* was based solely on the presence of large round gametocytes and absence of rod-shaped pigment granules and/or no photomicrographs or detailed morphological descriptions were provided (e.g. Rodhain, 1939; Raethel, 1960; Stoskopf and Beier, 1979; Fix et al. 1988). Because *P. relicatum* is remarkably pleomorphic and many other species of the subgenus Haemamoeba share these general morphological characteristics (Laird and Van Riper, 1981; Valkiūnas, 2005), such records should be considered with caution. Records of *P. elongatum* are probably more reliable as this species has a number of unique morphological characteristics (see Valkiūnas, 2005).

Grim et al. (2003) reported *P. (Bennettinia) juxtanucleare* while examining African penguins undergoing rehabilitation at Cape Town, South Africa. However, the photomicrographs and morphological description of the parasites are not consistent, since the fully grown gametocytes of *P. juxtanucleare* should not exceed the size of the nuclei of infected erythrocytes (Valkiūnas, 2005). Genetically, the parasite was identified as *P. juxtanucleare* solely on the basis of highest sequence identity in BLAST search, a method that can be inadequate to identify avian haemosporidians (Valkiūnas et al. 2008; Vanstreels et al. 2014a). Because the gene sequences were not deposited in public databases, it is impossible to conduct further phylogenetic analyses that might contribute in establishing the identity of the parasite. It is therefore more judicious to attribute this record to *Plasmodium* sp. until further information is obtained.

The identity of some *Plasmodium* sp. lineages for which mitochondrial cytochrome b gene sequences are publicly available were later revised and found to correspond to different species. The lineage obtained by Beadell and Fleischer (2005) was revised as *P. elongatum* (Valkiūnas et al. 2008). The lineage obtained by Beadell et al. (2006) was revised as *Plasmodium* sp. (Vanstreels et al. 2015a). The lineages obtained by Bueno et al. (2010) were revised as *P. elongatum* and a lineage of *Plasmodium* sp. closely related to *P. lutzi* (Vanstreels et al. 2014a).

It is well established that *Leucocytozoon* spp. invade hepatocytes to form tissue meronts, whereas
Plasmodium spp. does not (Atkinson and Van Riper III, 1991; Valkiūnas, 2005). The preliminary records provided by Alley et al. (2004) and Varney (2006) regarding wild yellow-eyed penguins at Otago Peninsula (South Island, New Zealand) and captive little penguins at Auckland Zoo (North Island, New Zealand) are therefore more consistent with Leucocytozoon sp. than with Plasmodium sp. as originally attributed. There are unconfirmed reports of wild little penguin chicks suspected to have died from avian malaria at Tiritiri Matangi Island (van Rensburg, 2010).

Thiart (2005) found an unusually high PCR prevalence to Plasmodium sp. (88–94%) in wild African penguins that was not consistent with blood smear and serological results and with previous studies in the region (see Fantham and Porter, 1944; Brossy et al. 1999; Parsons and Underhill, 2005). Because the electrophoresis of amplification products showed multiple bands with inconsistent patterns that often did not match that of the positive control, non-specific annealing of PCR primers is likely to have occurred.

Leucocytozoon

As previously discussed (see ‘Plasmodium’), the preliminary records provided by Alley et al. (2004) and Varney (2006) are more consistent with Leucocytozoon sp. than with Plasmodium sp. as originally attributed. Similarly, the wild little penguins studied by Cannell et al. (2013, 2014) at Western Australia may have been infected by Leucocytozoon sp. (see ‘Haemoproteus’).

Haemoproteus

Vanstreels et al. (2014a) reported Haemoproteus sp. infection in a Magellanic penguin, however later found this to have been a false-positive result due to a laboratory contamination (Vanstreels et al. 2014b). Sallaberry-Pincheira et al. (2015) found two different Haemoproteus sp. lineages in Humboldt penguins and considered that one belonged to the subgenus Haemoproteus and the other to the subgenus Parahaemoproteus. Closer inspection of the phylogenetic tree therein presented, however, reveals that both lineages cluster consistently with lineages of the subgenus Parahaemoproteus.

Cannell et al. (2013, 2014) reported Haemoproteus sp. as the cause of death of ten little penguins found dead on Penguin Island, Western Australia. However, they report that the parasites were present intracellularly in hepatocytes, which does not occur in Haemoproteus spp. infections (Valkiūnas, 2005); their histopathological findings are therefore most compatible with Leucocytozoon sp. The intraerythrocytic inclusion photographed in that study is compatible with either Babesia sp., Haemoproteus sp., Leucocytozoon sp. or Plasmodium sp., and therefore does not allow for conclusive morphological identification. Although the authors were able to obtain DNA sequences from Haemoproteus sp., several aspects of the molecular analyses of that study are concerning: (a) the nested PCR test had a high false negative rate (60%) and poor repeatability; (b) 35 thermal cycles were employed in the HaemNFI/HaemNR3 reaction instead of the 20 recommended in the original protocol (Hellgren et al. 2004), which could result in co-amplification of other parasites (see Cosgrove et al. 2006; Szöllösi et al. 2008); (c) no information was provided on the identity/sequence of the positive control, hence the possibility laboratory contamination, which is not uncommon (see van Rensburg, 2010; Vanstreels et al. 2014b), cannot be dismissed. As a result, the results reported by Cannell et al. (2013) should be interpreted judiciously, requiring further investigation to verify the identity of the parasite and the possibility of infection by Leucocytozoon sp.

Babesia

The intracellular parasites observed by Coles (1941) were reported by Bennett et al. (1992) as corresponding to Babesia sp.

Nematode microfilariae

The record of an unidentified filarioid worm in the heart of a little penguin at Kangaroo Island, Australia (Mawson et al. 1986), was later revised as belonging to the superfamily Ascaridoidea (Merkel et al. 2007), and therefore would not have produced circulating microfilariae.

Aegyptianella

Coles (1941) observed intraerythrocytic inclusions in the blood smear of a wild African penguin and speculated it to be Aegyptianella sp.; however, this record was later revised as Babesia sp. (Bennett et al. 1992). Gough et al. (2002) briefly mention Aegyptianella sp. infection in a captive penguin in England. However, the fact that avian malaria was confirmed through histopathology in the same bird suggests that the intraerythrocytic inclusions most likely corresponded to early life stages of Plasmodium sp., especially when it is considered that chloroquine treatment can lead Plasmodium sp. to develop abnormal shapes that may resemble Aegyptianella sp. (see Vanstreels et al. 2014a). We therefore consider there is not sufficient evidence to demonstrate that Aegyptianella sp. infects penguins.

Undetermined or inconclusive records

It is possible that the cases of toxoplasmosis reported by Ratcliffe and Worth (1951) in captive Humboldt
penguins actually corresponded to avian malaria, considering the unusual epidemiological and pathological characteristics of those cases and the morphological similarity between *Toxoplasma gondii* tachyzoites and *Plasmodium* spp. tissue meronts (see Fleischman *et al.* 1968b; Ploeg *et al.* 2011).

Round intraerythrocytic inclusions were reported in the blood smears of wild little penguins at Tiritiri Matangi Island (Cook Strait, New Zealand) (van Rensburg, 2010) and of a Fiordland penguin rescued at Muriwai beach and treated in Auckland (North Island, New Zealand) (Hill, 2008), and could be compatible with early life stages of *Babesia* sp., *Haemoproteus* sp., *Leucocytozoon* sp. or *Plasmodium* sp. McDonald (2012) did not observe parasites in blood smears of yellow-eyed penguins in South Island (New Zealand) however obtained conflicting results when employing different PCR and serological tests targeting *Plasmodium* sp., and it was ultimately not possible to determine whether these or other parasites were present or not. Colombelli-Negrèl and Kleindorfer (2014) examined the blood smears of little penguins in South Australia and found structures they considered could correspond to *Plasmodium*, *Shellakia*, *Trypanosoma*, *Hepatozoon* or *Leucocytozoon*; upon closer inspection, however, the photomicrographs provided in that report are most compatible with staining artefacts (pseudo-parasites).